

## SOME PROPERTIES OF THE SET OF SCHWARZIAN OF CONFORMAL FUNCTIONS

JONG SU AN AND TAI SUNG SONG

ABSTRACT. Let  $U$  denote the set of all Schwarzian derivatives  $S_f$  of conformal function  $f$  in the unit disk  $\mathbf{D}$ . We show that if  $S_f$  is a local extreme point of  $U$ , then  $f$  cannot omit an open set. We also show that if  $S_f \in U$  is an extreme point of the closed convex hull  $\overline{\text{co}}U$  of  $U$ , then  $f$  cannot omit a set of positive area. The proof of this uses Nguyen's theorem.

### 1. Introduction

In this paper,  $E = E(\mathbf{D})$  will denote the Banach space of holomorphic functions  $\psi$  in the unit disk  $\mathbf{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ , equipped with the norm

$$(1) \quad \|\psi\| = \|\psi\|_{\mathbf{D}} = \sup_{z \in \mathbf{D}} |\psi(z)|(1 - |z|^2)^2.$$

We define Banach space  $E$  by

$$E = \{\psi : \psi : \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \text{ holomorphic, } \|\psi\| < \infty\}.$$

Next for each function  $f$  which is meromorphic and locally univalent in  $\mathbf{D}$  we let  $S_f$  denote the Schwarzian derivative of  $f$ . At finite points of  $\mathbf{D}$  which are not poles of  $f$ ,  $S_f(z)$  is given by

$$S_f(z) = (f''(z)/f'(z))' - (1/2)(f''(z)/f'(z))^2$$

and it is holomorphic in  $\mathbf{D}$ . Direct computation gives the transformation rule

$$(2) \quad S_{f \circ g}(z) = S_f(g(z))g'(z)^2 + S_g(z).$$

---

Received December 28, 1995. Revised June 21, 1996.

1991 AMS Subject Classification: 30C35, 30C99.

Key words and phrases: univalent function, extreme point, Schwarzian derivative, Lipschitz function.

If  $T$  is a Möbius transformation, we have  $S_T = 0$ , and so  $S_{f \circ T}(z) = S_f(T(z))T'(z)^2$ .

Let  $U$  denote the set of all Schwarzian derivatives  $S_f$  of conformal function  $f$  from  $\mathbf{D}$  into the Riemann sphere  $\hat{\mathbf{C}} = \mathbf{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ . Here, and in the rest of paper, conformal means holomorphic and univalent. It turns out that  $U \subseteq E$ ; in fact  $U$  is a closed set in  $E$  [9,p.115]. Furthermore,  $U$  is contained in the closure of the ball  $B(0, 6) = \{\psi \in E : \|\psi\| < 6\}$  and  $U$  contains the closure of  $B(0, 2)$  ([7],[10]). The set  $U$  has been of some interest due to its connection with the Bers model

$$Q = \{S_f \in U : f \text{ has quasiconformal extension to } \hat{\mathbf{C}}\}$$

of the universal Teichmüller space. It was shown by [1] that  $Q$  is open, and the relationship between  $U$  and  $Q$  was clarified by [3], who showed that  $Q = \text{int}(U)$ .

It was for a long time an open question, due to Bers, whether  $U$  is equal to the closure of  $Q$  in  $E$ . This was disproved by [4], who showed by an example that  $U - \overline{Q} \neq \emptyset$ . Recently, Thurston[14] proved that in fact,  $U$  has isolated points.

In [12], we know that the omitted set of a conformal map  $f$  from  $\mathbf{D}$  into  $\mathbf{C}$  has zero area if  $S_f$  is an isolated point of  $U$ . The proof uses [11], which is also used in the proof of theorem 4.1 of this paper.

## 2. Extreme points and local extreme points

An extreme point of set  $A \subset E$  is a  $\psi \in A$  such that if  $\psi$  has a convex decomposition  $\psi = t\psi_1 + (1-t)\psi_2$  with  $0 < t < 1$  and  $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in A$ , then  $\psi_1 = \psi_2$ ; i.e., the decomposition is trivial. The set of extreme points of  $A$  is denote  $e(A)$ .

A local extreme point of a set  $A \subseteq E$  is a  $\psi \in A$  such that there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\psi \in e(\{\varphi \in A : \|\varphi - \psi\| \leq \delta\})$ .

We will denote the set of local extreme points of  $A$  by  $le(A)$ . Clearly we have  $e(A) \subseteq le(A)$ , with equality whenever  $A$  is convex. But  $le(A)$  may contain other points besides extreme points; an isolated point is always a local extreme point, for instance.

We now consider local extreme points of  $U$ :

**PROPOSITION 2.1.** *Let  $f, g : \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{C}}$  be conformal into, with  $f(\mathbf{D}) \subseteq g(\mathbf{D})$ . If  $S_f \in le(U)$ , then  $S_g \in le(U)$ .*

**PROOF.** By assumption  $f = g \circ T$  where  $T : \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$  is a conformal automorphism [15,p.39]. Suppose  $S_g \notin le(U)$ . Then  $S_g = tS_{g_1} + (1-t)S_{g_2}$  with  $S_{g_1}, S_{g_2} \in U, S_{g_1} \neq S_{g_2}, 0 < t < 1, \|S_g - S_{g_1}\| \leq \delta$  and  $\|S_g - S_{g_2}\| \leq \delta$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} S_f &= S_{g \circ T} = S_g(T)T'^2 + S_T \\ &= [tS_{g_1}(T) + (1-t)S_{g_2}(T)]T'^2 + S_T \\ &= t[S_{g_1}(T)T'^2 + S_T] + (1-t)[S_{g_2}(T)T'^2 + S_T] \\ &= tS_{g_1 \circ T} + (1-t)S_{g_2 \circ T}. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that  $S_{g_1 \circ T}, S_{g_2 \circ T} \in U$ , and  $S_{g_1 \circ T} \neq S_{g_2 \circ T}$ . Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_{g \circ T} - S_{g_1 \circ T}\| &= \|S_g(T)T'^2 + S_T - S_{g_1}(T)T'^2 - S_T\| \\ &= \|(S_g(T) - S_{g_1}(T))T'^2\| \\ &= \sup_{z \in \mathbf{D}} |S_g(T(z)) - S_{g_1}(T(z))| |T'(z)|^2 (1 - |z|^2)^2 \\ &\leq \sup_{z \in \mathbf{D}} |S_g(T(z)) - S_{g_1}(T(z))| (1 - |T(z)|^2)^2 \\ &\leq \sup_{z \in \mathbf{D}} |S_g(z) - S_{g_1}(z)| (1 - |z|^2)^2 \\ &= \|S_g - S_{g_1}\| \leq \delta \end{aligned}$$

by the Schwarz-Pick lemma [1,p.3]. By the similar method we have  $\|S_{g \circ T} - S_{g_2 \circ T}\| \leq \delta$ . Consequently  $S_f \notin le(U)$ . Thus we are finished  $\square$

The above theorem is also valid for extreme points of  $U$ , of course; the proof is just a subset of the above proof.

**THEOREM 2.2.** *If  $S_f \in le(U)$ , then  $f$  cannot omit a nonempty open set.*

**PROOF.** Suppose  $f$  omits a nonempty open set. Then it will in particular omit some closed disk  $D_o$ , say. Let  $g$  be a Möbius transformation mapping  $\mathbf{D}$  onto  $\hat{\mathbf{C}} - D_o$ . Clearly  $f(\mathbf{D}) \subseteq g(\mathbf{D})$ , so proposition 2.1 would

imply that  $0 = S_g \in le(U)$ , which is false. We can see this by considering the functions  $f_p(z) = [(1+z)/(1-z)]^p$  which are univalent for  $0 < p \leq 2$ . Since  $S_{f_p}(z) = 2(1-p^2)(1-z^2)^{-2}$ , it follows that  $0 \notin le(U)$ .  $\square$

### 3. The hyperbolic metric

Now we give a brief introduction to the hyperbolic metric. We refer the reader [8] and [16] for further details. Let  $\Omega \subset \hat{\mathbf{C}}$  be a simply connected region. A simply connected region  $\Omega$  is called hyperbolic if the complement of  $\Omega$  in  $\hat{\mathbf{C}}$  contains at least three points. By the Uniformization theorem ([2],p.142],[15,p.9]) there exists a holomorphic universal covering projection  $g$  of  $\mathbf{D}$  onto  $\Omega$ . Since  $\Omega$  is simply connected, then  $g$  is just a conformal function of  $\mathbf{D}$  onto  $\Omega$ . The collection of all holomorphic universal covering projections of  $\mathbf{D}$  onto  $\Omega$  consists of the functions  $g \circ T$ , where  $T \in \text{Aut}(\mathbf{D})$ , the group of conformal automorphisms of  $\mathbf{D}$ . The hyperbolic metric on  $\mathbf{D}$  is defined by

$$\lambda_{\mathbf{D}}(z)|dz| = (1 - |z|^2)^{-1}|dz|.$$

The density  $\lambda_{\Omega}(w)$  of the hyperbolic metric  $\lambda_{\Omega}(w)|dw|$  on a hyperbolic region  $\Omega$  is determined by

$$(3) \quad \lambda_{\Omega}(g(z))|g'(z)| = \lambda_{\mathbf{D}}(z) = (1 - |z|^2)^{-1},$$

where  $w = g(z)$  is any holomorphic universal covering projection of  $\mathbf{D}$  onto  $\Omega$ . The density of the hyperbolic metric is independent of the choice of the holomorphic universal covering projection  $g$  since

$$|T'(z)|(1 - |T(z)|^2)^{-1} = (1 - |z|^2)^{-1}, \quad z \in \mathbf{D}$$

for any  $T \in \text{Aut}(\mathbf{D})$  [1,p.3]. Using the density  $\lambda_{\Omega}$ , we can define the following norm

$$(4) \quad \|h\|_{\Omega} = \sup_{w \in \Omega} |h(w)|\lambda_{\Omega}(w)^{-2},$$

which is analogous to the norm  $\|\psi\| = \|\psi\|_{\mathbf{D}}$  from (1).

We shall need the following theorem due to [3].

**THEOREM 3.1 (GEHRING' THEOREM).** *If  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{C}}$  is conformal into, then  $\|S_f\|_\Omega \leq 12$ .*

In the following,  $m(A)$  will always denote the Lebesgue planar measure of a set  $A$ . We shall also need the theorem of [11]

**THEOREM 3.2 (NGUYEN'S THEOREM).** *If  $\Gamma$  is compact in  $\mathbf{C}$ , with  $m(\Gamma) > 0$ , there exists a nonconstant bounded holomorphic Lipschitz function on  $\hat{\mathbf{C}} - \Gamma$ .*

#### 4. Extreme points of the closed convex hull

The smallest closed convex set that contains  $U$  is called the closed convex hull of  $U$  and it is denoted by  $\overline{\text{co}}U$ .

**THEOREM 4.1.** *If  $S_f \in U$  and  $S_f \in e(\overline{\text{co}}U)$ , then  $f$  cannot omit a set of positive area.*

**PROOF.** Let  $S_f \in U$ , and put  $\Omega = f(\mathbf{D}), \Gamma = \hat{\mathbf{C}} - \Omega$ . There is no loss of generality in assuming that  $\infty \in \Omega$ . We shall suppose that  $m(\Gamma) > 0$ , and conclude that  $S_f \notin e(\overline{\text{co}}U)$ .

Since  $\Gamma$  is compact with  $m(\Gamma) > 0$ , Nguyen's theorem gives a nonconstant bounded holomorphic Lipschitz function  $F$  on  $\Omega$ . There is a point  $w_o \in \Omega$  at which  $F'''(w_o) \neq 0$ , otherwise  $F$  would be a quadratic polynomial, which is impossible, because  $F$  could not then be bounded. By adding a linear term to  $F$  if necessary, we may in addition assume that  $F'(w_o) = 0$ . The new  $F$  will still be a nonconstant holomorphic Lipschitz function. For convenience, we write  $G = F'F''' - (3/2)F''^2$ .

Let  $A$  be the Lipschitz constant of  $F$ , and put

$$H_\theta(w) = w + re^{i\theta}F(w), \quad \text{with } 0 \leq r < 1/A.$$

Then  $H_\theta$  is conformal on  $\Omega$ . We have

$$(5) \quad S_{H_\theta} = (re^{i\theta}F''' + r^2e^{2i\theta}G)/(1 + re^{i\theta}F')^2,$$

and so  $S_{H_\theta}$  depends holomorphically on  $re^{i\theta}$ . Thus by the mean value theorem

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} S_{H_\theta}(w)d\theta = 0.$$

Using (2), we get

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} S_{H_\theta \circ f}(z) d\theta = S_f(z).$$

Put

$$\psi_j(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\pi j - \pi}^{\pi j} S_{H_\theta \circ f}(z) d\theta \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2.$$

Then clearly  $S_f = (1/2)\psi_1 + (1/2)\psi_2$ .

Putting  $r = 1/(2A)$ . By calculation, using  $F'(w_o) = 0$ , we see that

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\pi j - \pi}^{\pi j} S_{H_\theta}(w_o) d\theta = (-1)^{j+1} \frac{i}{\pi A} F'''(w_o) \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2.$$

These two integrals are not equal at  $w_o \in \Omega$ , and so we conclude that  $\psi_1 \neq \psi_2$ .

To conclude that  $S_f \notin e(\overline{c\partial U})$ , it remains to show that  $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in \overline{c\partial U}$ . This goes in exactly the same way for  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$ ; we will do it for

$$\psi_1 = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi S_{H_\theta \circ f} d\theta.$$

Since  $E$  is a Banach space and  $d\theta/\pi$  is a Borel probability measure, it is enough to show that the mapping  $\theta \mapsto S_{H_\theta \circ f}$  is continuous [13, p.74]. For then

$$\psi_1 \in \overline{c\partial}\{S_{H_\theta \circ f} | \theta \in [0, \pi]\} \subseteq \overline{c\partial U}$$

since  $H_\theta \circ f$  is conformal.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_{H_\alpha \circ f} - S_{H_\beta \circ f}\| &= \sup_{z \in \mathbf{D}} |S_{H_\alpha \circ f}(z) - S_{H_\beta \circ f}(z)|(1 - |z|^2)^2 \\ &= \sup_{z \in \mathbf{D}} |S_{H_\alpha}(f(z)) - S_{H_\beta}(f(z))| \lambda_\Omega(f(z))^{-2} \\ &= \sup_{w \in \Omega} |S_{H_\alpha}(w) - S_{H_\beta}(w)| \lambda_\Omega(w)^{-2} \\ &= \|S_{H_\alpha} - S_{H_\beta}\|_\Omega \end{aligned}$$

by (1),(2),(3) and (4). Since  $F$  satisfies a Lipschitz condition, the inequality  $|F'(w)| \leq A$  is valid in  $\Omega$ . Furthermore, we have  $S_f(w) \leq 12\lambda_\Omega(w)^2$  in  $\Omega$  by the theorem of Gehring. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |re^{i\theta}F'''(w) + r^2e^{2i\theta}G(w)| &\leq |1 + re^{i\theta}F'(w)|^2 12\lambda_\Omega(w)^2 \\ &\leq 12(1 + Ar)^2 \lambda_\Omega(w)^2 \end{aligned}$$

in  $\Omega$ . Putting  $r = 1/(2A)$ ,  $\theta = 0, \pi$ , and this yields

$$|F'''(w)| \leq 54A\lambda_\Omega(w)^2 \text{ and } G(w) \leq 108A^2\lambda_\Omega(w)^2$$

by the triangle inequality. See [12] for this. Using (5) and these inequalities, straightforward calculations give

$$\|S_{H_\alpha} - S_{H_\beta}\|_\Omega \leq 24732|e^{i\alpha} - e^{i\beta}|.$$

Thus  $\theta \mapsto S_{H_\theta \circ f}$  is continuous, and the proof of theorem 4.1 is complete.  $\square$

REMARKS. The method used in proving theorem 4.1 is a combination of the method from [12], using Nguyen’s theorem to produce a family of Schwarzians of univalent functions depending on a parameter, and the use of integration to produce a convex decomposition. Since theorem 4.1 deals with the closed convex hull, it does not supersede the result in [12].

Since  $U$  is not convex, theorem 4.1 does not necessarily supersede theorem 2.2 even for extreme points. For there might be some  $S_f \in e(U)$  with  $S_f \notin e(\overline{c\partial U})$ . What the exact relationship between  $e(U)$  and  $e(\overline{c\partial U})$  is seems to be unknown.

### References

1. L. V. Ahlfors, *Quasiconformal Reflections*, Acta Math. **109** (1963), 291-301.
2. ———, *Conformal invariant*, McGraw Hill, New York, 1973.
3. F. W. Gehring, *Univalent functions and the Schwarzian derivative*, Comment. Math. Helv. **52** (1977), 561-572.
4. ———, *Spirals and the universal Teichmüller space*, Acta Math. **141** (1978), 99-113.

5. D. H. Hamiton, *The extreme points of  $\Sigma$* , Pro. Amer. Math. Soc. **85** (1982), 393-396.
6. S. V. Hruscev, *A simple proof of the theorem on removable singularities for analytic functions satisfying a Lipschitz condition*, Akad. Nauk. **XI** (1981), 199-203.
7. W. Kraus, *Über den Zusammenhang einiger Charakteristiken eines einfach zusammenhängenden Bereiches mit den Kreisabbildung*, Mitt. Math. Sem. Giessen. **21** (1932), 1-28.
8. G. M. Glouzin, *Geometric theory of function a complex variable*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol.26. Am. Math. Soc., Providence, 1969.
9. O. Lehto, *Univalent Functions and Teichmüller Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986.
10. Z. Nehari, *The Schwarzian derivative and Schlicht functions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **55** (1949), 545-551.
11. X. U. Nguyen, *Removable sets of analytic functions satisfying a Lipschitz condition*, Ark. Math. **17** (1979), 19-27.
12. M. Overholt, *The area of the complement of a conformally rigid domain*, Pro. Amer. Math. Soc. **103** (1988), 448-450.
13. W. Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, McGraw Hill, New York, 1973.
14. W. P. Thurston, *Zipers and univalent functions*, The Bieberbach Conjecture: Proceedings of the Symposium on the Occasion of the Proof. (1986), 185-197.
15. S. D. Fisher, *Function theory on plane domains, A second course in Complex Analysis*, John Wiley Sons, New York, 1983.
16. R. Nevanlinna, *Analytic functions*, Die Grundlehren der Math Wissen, Band 162, Springer-Verlag, New York, New York, 1970.

Department of Mathematics Education  
Pusan National University  
Pusan, 609-735, Korea