

## ON SELF MAPS OF HILBERT ALGEBRAS

SUNG MIN HONG AND YOUNG BAE JUN

### 1. Introduction

In 1966, Diego [6] introduced the notions of Hilbert algebras and deductive systems, and proved various properties. The theory of Hilbert algebras and deductive systems was further developed by Busneag in [2-4]. In 1980, Schmid [10] defined the concept of maximal lattice of quotients for a distributive lattice. Using Schmid's idea, Busneag [3] constructed the notions of Hilbert algebra of fraction and maximal Hilbert algebra of quotients for a Hilbert algebra, and proved the existence of the maximal Hilbert algebra of quotients for a Hilbert algebra. For the general development of Hilbert algebras the deductive system theory plays an important role. Jun [9] gave some characterizations of deductive systems. In this paper we introduce the concept of right maps in Hilbert algebras. We study the properties of Hilbert algebras in the language of right maps. We show that the set of all right maps of a Hilbert algebra  $H$  is also a Hilbert algebra, and give a characterization of a deductive system by using right maps.

### 2. Preliminaries

We review some definitions and well known results.

DEFINITION 2.1 (DIEGO [6]). A *Hilbert algebra* is a triple  $(H, \rightarrow, 1)$ , where  $H$  is a nonempty set,  $\rightarrow$  is a binary operation on  $H$ ,  $1 \in H$  is an element such that the following three axioms are satisfied for every  $x, y, z \in H$ :

- (i)  $x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow x) = 1$ ,
- (ii)  $(x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z)) \rightarrow ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)) = 1$ ,
- (iii) If  $x \rightarrow y = y \rightarrow x = 1$  then  $x = y$ .

---

Received February 26, 1996.

The present studies were supported by the Basic Science Research Institute Program, Ministry of Education, 1995, Project No. BSRI-95-1406. .

If  $H$  is a Hilbert algebra, then the relation  $x \leq y$  iff  $x \rightarrow y = 1$  is a partial order on  $H$ , which will be called the natural ordering on  $H$ . With respect to this ordering, 1 is the largest element of  $H$ .

PROPOSITION 2.2 (BUSNEAG [3] AND DIEGO [6]). If  $H$  is a Hilbert algebra and  $x, y, z \in H$ , then the following hold:

- (1)  $x \leq y \rightarrow x$ .
- (2)  $x \rightarrow 1 = 1$ .
- (3)  $x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z) = (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)$ .
- (4)  $(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow ((y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x) = (y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y)$ .
- (5)  $x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z) = y \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)$ .
- (6)  $x \leq (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y$ .
- (7)  $((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y = x \rightarrow y$ .
- (8)  $1 \rightarrow x = x$ .
- (9)  $x \rightarrow y \leq (y \rightarrow z) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)$ .
- (10) If  $x \leq y$ , then  $z \rightarrow x \leq z \rightarrow y$  and  $y \rightarrow z \leq x \rightarrow z$ .

DEFINITION 2.3 (BUSNEAG [2]). If  $H$  is a Hilbert algebra, a subset  $D$  of  $H$  is called a deductive system of  $H$  if it satisfies:

- (i)  $1 \in D$ ,
- (ii) If  $x, x \rightarrow y \in D$ , then  $y \in D$ .

### 3. Self maps of Hilbert algebras

We begin this section by giving the definition of right maps in Hilbert algebras.

DEFINITION 3.1. Let  $H$  be a Hilbert algebra and  $x \in H$ . A mapping  $R_x : H \rightarrow H$  defined by  $R_x(t) = t \rightarrow x$  for all  $t \in H$  is called a right map of  $H$ , and denote  $\mathcal{R} = \{R_x : x \in H\}$  and  $R_x^n = R_x \circ \dots \circ R_x$  ( $R_x$  appears  $n$  times).

By using the notion of right maps, we can directly express some fundamental properties of a Hilbert algebra  $H$  as follows:

- (1)  $x \leq R_x(y)$ .
- (2)  $R_1(x) = 1$  and  $R_x(1) = x$ .
- (3)  $R_{R_x(y)}(z) = R_{R_x(z)}(y) = R_{R_x(z)}(R_y(z))$ .
- (4)  $R_{R_x^2(y)}(R_y(x)) = R_{R_x^2(x)}(R_x(y))$ .

- (5)  $x \leq R_y^2(x)$ .
- (6)  $R_y^3(x) = R_y(x)$ .
- (7)  $R_y(x) \leq R_{R_x(x)}(R_x(y))$ .

For a right map  $R_x$  we have the following properties.

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert algebra and  $x \in H$ . Then*

- (1) *If  $R_x$  is injective then  $x$  is a minimal element of  $H$ .*
- (2) *If  $R_x$  is surjective then  $x$  is the least element of  $H$ .*
- (3) *If  $R_x$  is surjective then it is injective.*

*Proof.* (1) Let  $R_x$  be injective and suppose  $y \leq x$  for some  $y \in H$ . Then  $x \rightarrow x = 1 = y \rightarrow x$ , which implies  $R_x(x) = R_x(y)$ . Since  $R_x$  is injective, therefore  $x = y$ . This means that  $x$  is a minimal element of  $H$ .

(2) Let  $y$  be an arbitrary element of  $H$ . As  $R_x$  is surjective, there exists an element  $t \in H$  such that  $t \rightarrow x = y$ . It follows from (2) and (5) of Proposition 2.2 that

$$x \rightarrow y = x \rightarrow (t \rightarrow x) = t \rightarrow (x \rightarrow x) = t \rightarrow 1 = 1.$$

This shows that  $x$  is the least element of  $H$ .

(3) Suppose that  $R_x$  is surjective and  $R_x(s) = R_x(t)$  for  $s, t \in H$ . By definition of  $R_x$  we have  $s \rightarrow x = t \rightarrow x$ . As  $R_x$  is surjective, for the element  $t$  there exists an element  $y \in H$  such that  $y \rightarrow x = t$ . It follows from Proposition 2.2(6) that  $y \leq (y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x = t \rightarrow x = s \rightarrow x$  so that, by using Proposition 2.2(5),  $1 = y \rightarrow (s \rightarrow x) = s \rightarrow (y \rightarrow x) = s \rightarrow t$ . Similarly we obtain  $t \rightarrow s = 1$ . Thus  $s = t$  and  $R_x$  is injective.  $\square$

To prove the converse of Theorem 3.2(3), we need the following lemma.

**LEMMA 3.3.** *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert algebra,  $x \in H$  and  $R_x$  a right map of  $H$ . Then  $R_x$  is injective if and only if  $(u \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x = u$  for every  $u \in H$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $R_x$  be an injective map. By Proposition 2.2(7), we have

$$((u \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x = u \rightarrow x \text{ for every } u \in H.$$

This implies  $R_x((u \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x) = R_x(u)$ . Since  $R_x$  is injective, it follows that  $(u \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x = u$  for every  $u \in H$ . Conversely suppose that  $(u \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x = u$  for every  $u \in H$  and let  $R_x(s) = R_x(t)$ . Then  $s = (s \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x = (t \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x = t$ , and so  $R_x$  is injective.  $\square$

By using Theorem 3.2(3) and Lemma 3.3, we know that the injectivity and the surjectivity of a right map are coincide as mentioned in the following theorem.

**THEOREM 3.4.** *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert algebra and  $x \in H$ . Then  $R_x$  is injective if and only if  $R_x$  is surjective.*

*Proof.* It suffices to show that if  $R_x$  is injective then it is surjective. Let  $R_x$  be an injective map. By Lemma 3.3 we have  $(u \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x = u$  for every  $u \in H$ . It follows that  $R_x(u \rightarrow x) = u$ , which means that  $R_x$  is surjective. Thus the theorem is true.  $\square$

The following theorem shows that every self map of a Hilbert algebra is uniquely expressed in the language of a right map.

**THEOREM 3.5.** *Let  $f$  be a self-map of a Hilbert algebra  $H$  satisfying  $v \rightarrow f(u) = u \rightarrow f(v)$  for every  $u, v \in H$ . Then there exists a unique element  $x$  in  $H$  such that  $R_x = f$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x = f(1)$ . Then for every  $u \in H$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f(u) \rightarrow R_x(u) &= f(u) \rightarrow (u \rightarrow x) \\ &= f(u) \rightarrow (u \rightarrow f(1)) \\ &= f(u) \rightarrow (1 \rightarrow f(u)) \\ &= f(u) \rightarrow f(u) \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} R_x(u) \rightarrow f(u) &= (u \rightarrow x) \rightarrow f(u) \\ &= (u \rightarrow f(1)) \rightarrow f(u) \\ &= (1 \rightarrow f(u)) \rightarrow f(u) \\ &= f(u) \rightarrow f(u) \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $R_x(u) = f(u)$  or  $R_x = f$ . Uniqueness is evident.  $\square$

Define a binary operation  $\xrightarrow{R}$  on  $\mathcal{R}$  as follows:

$$(R_x \xrightarrow{R} R_y)(t) = R_x(t) \rightarrow R_y(t) \text{ for all } t \in H.$$

Define  $R_x \leq_R R_y$  if and only if  $R_x(t) \leq R_y(t)$  for all  $t \in H$ . Then  $R_x \leq_R R_y$  and  $R_y \leq_R R_x$  imply  $R_x = R_y$ .

The following lemma is useful in the sequel.

**LEMMA 3.6.** *In a Hilbert algebra, we have the following properties:*

- (1)  $x \leq y$  implies  $R_x \leq_R R_y$ .
- (2)  $R_x \xrightarrow{R} R_y = R_{x \rightarrow y}$ .

*Proof.* (1) Assume that  $x \leq y$  and let  $t$  be any element of a Hilbert algebra  $H$ . Using Proposition 2.2(10), then

$$R_x(t) = t \rightarrow x \leq t \rightarrow y = R_y(t),$$

which means that  $R_x \leq_R R_y$ .

(2) For every  $t \in H$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (R_x \xrightarrow{R} R_y)(t) &= R_x(t) \rightarrow R_y(t) \\ &= (t \rightarrow x) \rightarrow (t \rightarrow y) \\ &= t \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y) \text{ [by Proposition 2.2(3)]} \\ &= R_{x \rightarrow y}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $R_x \xrightarrow{R} R_y = R_{x \rightarrow y}$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.7.** *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert algebra. Then  $(\mathcal{R}, \xrightarrow{R}, R_1)$  is a Hilbert algebra.*

*Proof.* Let  $R_x, R_y, R_z \in \mathcal{R}$  and let  $t$  be any element of  $H$ . Then

$$(R_x \xrightarrow{R} (R_y \xrightarrow{R} R_z))(t) = (R_x \xrightarrow{R} R_{y \rightarrow z})(t) = R_{x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z)}(t) = R_1(t)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& ((R_x \xrightarrow{R} (R_y \xrightarrow{R} R_z)) \xrightarrow{R} ((R_x \xrightarrow{R} R_y) \xrightarrow{R} (R_x \xrightarrow{R} R_z)))(t) \\
&= (R_{x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z)} \xrightarrow{R} R_{(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)})(t) \\
&= R_{(x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z)) \rightarrow ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z))}(t) \\
&= R_1(t).
\end{aligned}$$

These show that  $R_x \xrightarrow{R} (R_y \xrightarrow{R} R_x) = R_1$  and

$$(R_x \xrightarrow{R} (R_y \xrightarrow{R} R_z)) \xrightarrow{R} ((R_x \xrightarrow{R} R_y) \xrightarrow{R} (R_x \xrightarrow{R} R_z)) = R_1.$$

Finally if  $R_x \xrightarrow{R} R_y = R_y \xrightarrow{R} R_x = R_1$ , then  $R_{x \rightarrow y} = R_{y \rightarrow x} = R_1$ , which implies that

$$R_{x \rightarrow y}(t) = R_{y \rightarrow x}(t) = R_1(t) \text{ or } t \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y) = t \rightarrow (y \rightarrow x) = t \rightarrow 1.$$

It follows from (2) and (3) of Proposition 2.2 that

$$(t \rightarrow x) \rightarrow (t \rightarrow y) = (t \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (t \rightarrow x) = 1.$$

Thus the condition (iii) of Definition 2.1 assures us that  $t \rightarrow x = t \rightarrow y$  or  $R_x(t) = R_y(t)$ , so that  $R_x = R_y$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.8.** *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert algebra and  $D \subseteq H$ . Then  $D$  is a deductive system of  $H$  if and only if  $\mathcal{R}_D$  is a deductive system of  $\mathcal{R}$ , where  $\mathcal{R}_D = \{R_x : x \in D\}$ .*

*Proof.*  $(\Rightarrow)$   $1 \in D$  implies  $R_1 \in \mathcal{R}_D$ . Let  $R_x \in \mathcal{R}_D$  and  $R_y \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  $R_x \xrightarrow{R} R_y \in \mathcal{R}_D$ . Then  $R_{x \rightarrow y} = R_x \xrightarrow{R} R_y \in \mathcal{R}_D$ , and so  $x \rightarrow y \in D$ . Since  $R_x \in \mathcal{R}_D$ , therefore  $x \in D$ . It follows from Definition 2.3(ii) that  $y \in D$ , so that  $R_y \in \mathcal{R}_D$ . This proves that  $\mathcal{R}_D$  is a deductive system of  $\mathcal{R}$ .

$(\Leftarrow)$  Assume that  $\mathcal{R}_D$  is a deductive system of  $\mathcal{R}$ . Then  $R_1 \in \mathcal{R}_D$ . Thus  $R_1 = R_d$  for some  $d \in D$ . It follows that  $R_1(t) = R_d(t)$  for all  $t \in H$  or  $t \rightarrow 1 = t \rightarrow d$ . Taking  $t = 1$  and using Proposition 2.2(8), then  $1 = d \in D$ . Now let  $x \in D$  and  $x \rightarrow y \in D$ . Then

$R_x \in \mathcal{R}_D$  and  $R_x \xrightarrow{R} R_y = R_{x \rightarrow y} \in \mathcal{R}_D$ . This implies by Definition 2.3(ii) that  $R_y \in \mathcal{R}_D$ , and so  $R_y = R_u$  for some  $u \in D$ . It follows that  $R_y(t) = R_u(t)$  for all  $t \in H$  or  $t \rightarrow y = t \rightarrow u$ . Replacing  $t$  by 1 and using Proposition 2.2(8), we have  $y = u \in D$ , which proves that  $D$  is a deductive system of  $H$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

### Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful to the referee for his (her) valuable suggestions.

### References

1. R. Balbes and P. Dwinger, *Distributive lattice*, University of Missouri Press (1974).
2. D. Busneag, *A note on deductive systems of a Hilbert lgebra*, Kobe J. Math. **2** (1985), 29 - 35.
3. D. Busneag, *Hilbert algebras of fractions and maximal Hilbert algebras of quotients*, Kobe J. Math. **5** (1988), 161 - 172.
4. D. Busneag, *Hertz algebras of fractions and maximal Hertz algebras of quotients*, Math. Japon. **39** (1993), 461 - 469.
5. K. H. Dar, *A characterization of positive implicative BCK-algebras by self-maps*, Math. Japon. **31** (1986), 197 - 199.
6. A. Diego, *Sur les algébras de Hilbert*, Ed Hermann, Colléction de Logique Math. Serie A **21** (1966).
7. G. Grätzer, *Lattice theory*, W. H. Freeman and Company, San Francisco (1979).
8. J. Hashimoto, *Ideal theory for lattices*, Math. Japon. **2** (1952), 149 - 186.
9. Y. B. Jun, *Deductive systems of Hilbert algebras*, to appear in Math. Japon.
10. J. Schmid, *Distributive lattices and rings of quotients*, Coll. Math. Societatis János Bolyai **33** (1980), 675-696.

Department of Mathematics  
 Gyeongsang National University  
 Chinju 660-701, Korea  
 e-mail : ybjun@nongae.gsnu.ac.kr