

A NOTE ON THE PROJECTIVE REPRESENTATIONS OF A FINITE GROUP

MOON-OK WANG

*Dept. of Mathematics,
Hanyang University, Ansan Kyungki-do, 425-791 Korea.*

Let G be a finite group and F a field. The group of nonzero scalar matrices $Z(n, F) \subset GL(n, F)$ is the center of $GL(n, F)$ ($= Z(GL(n, F))$). The projective general linear group $PGL(n, F)$ is defined by $GL(n, F)/Z(n, F)$. Let

$$\pi : G \longrightarrow PGL(n, F)$$

be a group homomorphism. Then π is a composition of a group homomorphism

$$\kappa : GL(n, F) \longrightarrow PGL(n, F)$$

and a mapping

$$\mathfrak{X} : G \longrightarrow GL(n, F)$$

satisfying that for all $g, h \in G$ and some function $\alpha : G \times G \longrightarrow F$,

$$(1) \quad \mathfrak{X}(g)\mathfrak{X}(h) = \alpha(g, h)\mathfrak{X}(gh).$$

A projective F -representation \mathfrak{X} of G is a function

$$\mathfrak{X} : G \longrightarrow GL(n, F)$$

satisfying (1). Here n is the *degree* of \mathfrak{X} and the function α is the *associated F -factor set* of \mathfrak{X} .

Received March 16 1996.

The study of projective representations arises in the study of ordinary representations. For example, consider $N \triangleleft G$ and an irreducible \mathbb{C} -representation \mathfrak{Y} of N such that for all $g, h \in G$, $\mathfrak{Y}^g(h) = \mathfrak{Y}(ghg^{-1}) = \mathfrak{Y}(h)$. Then, there exists a projective \mathbb{C} -representation \mathfrak{X} of G satisfying that for all $n \in N$ and $g \in G$,

- (a) $\mathfrak{X}(n) = \mathfrak{Y}(n)$
- (b) $\mathfrak{X}(ng) = \mathfrak{X}(n)\mathfrak{X}(g)$
- (c) $\mathfrak{X}(gn) = \mathfrak{X}(g)\mathfrak{X}(n)$,

where \mathbb{C} is the field of complexes (cf. [1]).

In this note, we shall describe the relation between the cohomology theory and the associated factor sets of G , and prove some properties of the associated factor sets of G (see Proposition 2, 3, and Theorem 4).

LEMMA 1. Let $\alpha : G \times G \rightarrow F^\times$ be the associated factor set, of a projective F -representation of G . Then

- (1) For all $x, y, z \in G$,

$$\alpha(xy, z)\alpha(x, y) = \alpha(x, yz)\alpha(y, z), \quad \text{and}$$

- (2) $\alpha(1, 1) = \alpha(1, x) = \alpha(x, 1)$, where $F^\times = F - \{0\}$.

Proof. Let \mathfrak{X} be the projective representation. Note first

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{X}(x)\mathfrak{X}(y)\mathfrak{X}(z) &= \alpha(x, y)\mathfrak{X}(xy)\mathfrak{X}(z) \\ &= \alpha(x, y)\alpha(xy, z)\mathfrak{X}(xyz) \\ &= \mathfrak{X}(x)\alpha(y, z)\mathfrak{X}(yz) \\ &= \alpha(y, z)\alpha(x, yz)\mathfrak{X}(xyz). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\alpha(xy, z)\alpha(x, y) = \alpha(x, yz)\alpha(y, z)$. Thus, (1) holds. In addition, from (1) it follows that

$$\alpha(1 \cdot 1, x)\alpha(1, 1) = \alpha(1, 1 \cdot x)\alpha(1, x),$$

and then $\alpha(1, 1) = \alpha(1, x)$ for all $x \in G$. Symmetrically, it also follows that $\alpha(1, 1) = \alpha(x, 1)$. \square

For a possibly infinite abelian group A , an A -factor set of G is a function $\alpha : G \times G \rightarrow A$ such that

$$\alpha(xy, z)\alpha(x, y) = \alpha(x, yz)\alpha(y, z),$$

for all $x, y, z \in G$.

Let α be an F^\times -factor set of G . The twisted group algebra $F^\alpha[G]$ with respect to α is defined as follows. Let $F^\alpha[G]$ be the F -vector space with basis $\{\bar{g} \mid g \in G\}$. Define multiplication in $F^\alpha[G]$ by $\bar{g} \cdot \bar{h} = \overline{gh} \alpha(g, h)$ and extend via the distributive law. Then $F^\alpha[G]$ is a group algebra.

For all $g, h, z \in G$, we have to prove that

$$(\bar{g} \cdot \bar{h}) \cdot \bar{z} = \bar{g} \cdot (\bar{h} \cdot \bar{z}).$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{g} \cdot \bar{h}) \cdot \bar{z} &= \overline{gh} \alpha(g, h) \bar{z} = \overline{ghz} \alpha(g, h) \alpha(gh, z), \\ \bar{g} \cdot (\bar{h} \cdot \bar{z}) &= \bar{g} \alpha(h, z) \overline{hz} = \overline{ghz} \alpha(h, z) \alpha(g, hz), \end{aligned}$$

and since $\alpha(g, h) \alpha(gh, z) = \alpha(h, z) \alpha(g, hz)$ we have

$$(\bar{g} \cdot \bar{h}) \cdot \bar{z} = \bar{g} \cdot (\bar{h} \cdot \bar{z}).$$

In particular, $F^\alpha[G]$ has the unit $\nu \bar{1}$, where $\nu = \alpha(1, 1)^{-1}$. That is, for all $g \in G$

$$\begin{aligned} (\nu \bar{1}) \bar{g} &= \nu (\bar{1} g) \alpha(1, g) = \bar{g} \nu \alpha(1, g) = \bar{g} \\ \bar{g} (\nu \bar{1}) &= \nu (\bar{g} \bar{1}) \alpha(g, 1) = \bar{g} \nu \alpha(g, 1) = \bar{g}. \end{aligned}$$

For each $g \in G$, \bar{g} has the inverse $\overline{g^{-1}} \alpha(g, g^{-1})^{-1} \nu = \overline{g^{-1}} \alpha(g^{-1}, g)^{-1} \nu$ in $F^\alpha[G]$, because of that

$$\bar{g} \cdot \overline{g^{-1}} \alpha(g, g^{-1})^{-1} \nu = \bar{1} \nu.$$

Moreover, the set of A -factor sets forms a group under pointwise multiplication, that is, for any two A -factor sets α and β

$$\alpha \cdot \beta(x, y) = \alpha(x, y) \beta(x, y).$$

Then $\alpha \cdot \beta(xy, z)\alpha \cdot \beta(x, y) = \alpha \cdot \beta(x, yz)\alpha \cdot \beta(y, z)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha^{-1}(x, y) &= (\alpha(x, y))^{-1} \implies \alpha^{-1}(xy, z)\alpha^{-1}(x, y) \\ &= [\alpha(xy, z)\alpha(x, y)]^{-1} \\ &= [\alpha(x, yz)\alpha(y, z)]^{-1} \\ &= \alpha^{-1}(x, yz)\alpha^{-1}(y, z)\end{aligned}$$

is the inverse of $\alpha(x, y)$, where $x, y, z \in G$.

If $\mu : G \rightarrow A$ an arbitrary function, we define $\delta(\mu) : G \times G \rightarrow A$ by

$$\delta(\mu)(x, y) = \mu(x)\mu(y)\mu(xy)^{-1}.$$

Then $\delta(\mu)$ an A -factor set, that is ,

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(\mu)(xy, z)\delta(\mu)(x, y) &= \mu(xy)\mu(z)\mu(xyz)^{-1}\mu(x)\mu(y)\mu(xy)^{-1} \\ &= \mu(x)\mu(y)\mu(z)\mu(xyz)^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(\mu)(x, yz)\delta(\mu)(y, z) &= \mu(x)\mu(yz)\mu(xyz)^{-1}\mu(y)\mu(z)\mu(yz)^{-1} \\ &= \mu(x)\mu(y)\mu(z)\mu(xyz)^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

For two A -factor sets α and β , if there exists a function $\mu : G \rightarrow A$ such that $\beta = \alpha\delta(\mu)$, then we say that α and β are *equivalent* ([1], [2]).

PROPOSITION 2. (1) If F^\times -factor sets α and β are equivalent, then $F^\alpha[G] \cong F^\beta[G]$.

(2) For an A -factor set α and $g \in G$,

$$\alpha(x, y) = \alpha(y, x) \quad \text{if } x, y \in \langle g \rangle.$$

Proof. (1) Let $\{\bar{g}_\alpha \mid g \in G\}$ be a basis of $F^\alpha[G]$ and $\{\bar{g}_\beta \mid g \in G\}$ a basis of $F^\beta[G]$. We define an F -homomorphism

$$f : F^\alpha[G] \rightarrow F^\beta[G]$$

by $f(\bar{g}_\alpha) = \bar{g}_\beta \mu(g)^{-1}$, where $\beta = \alpha\delta(\mu)$. Then $f(\bar{g}_\alpha \cdot \bar{h}_\alpha) = f(\bar{g}_\alpha)f(\bar{h}_\alpha)$ because of that $\bar{g}_\alpha \cdot \bar{h}_\alpha = (\overline{gh})_\alpha \alpha(g, h)$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned} f(\bar{g}_\alpha \cdot \bar{h}_\alpha) &= f((\overline{gh})_\alpha \alpha(g, h)) \\ &= \alpha(g, h) f((\overline{gh})_\alpha) \\ &= \alpha(g, h) (\overline{gh})_\beta \mu(gh)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f(\bar{g}_\alpha)f(\bar{h}_\alpha) &= \bar{g}_\beta \mu(g)^{-1} \bar{h}_\beta \mu(h)^{-1} \\ &= (\overline{gh})_\beta \beta(g, h) \mu(g)^{-1} \mu(h)^{-1} \\ &= (\overline{gh})_\beta \mu(g) \mu(h) \mu(gh)^{-1} \mu(g)^{-1} \mu(h)^{-1} \alpha(g, h) \\ &= \alpha(g, h) (\overline{gh})_\beta \mu(gh)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The inverse of f is defined by $f^{-1}(\bar{g}_\beta) = \bar{g}_\alpha \mu(g)$,

$$f^{-1} : F^\beta[G] \longrightarrow F^\alpha[G].$$

Thus $F^\alpha[G] \cong F^\beta[G]$.

(2) We assume that $x = g^m$, $y = g^n$, $m \geq n$, $m - n = l$. Since $x = g^l \cdot g^n = g^n \cdot g^l$,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(x, y) \alpha(g^n, g^l) &= \alpha(g^n \cdot g^l, g^n) \alpha(g^n, g^l) \\ &= \alpha(g^n, g^l \cdot g^n) \alpha(g^l, g^n) \\ &= \alpha(y, x) \alpha(g^l, g^n). \end{aligned}$$

Now, when $l = 0$ it holds that $\alpha(g^n, 1) = \alpha(1, g^n)$ by Lemma 1. Thus we may suppose that

$$\alpha(g^n, g^l) = \alpha(g^l, g^n)$$

Therefore, we have $\alpha(x, y) = \alpha(y, x)$ \square

We shall next describe the cohomology theory of G . For an abelian group (with multiplication), a *crossed homomorphism* of G to A is a function $f : G \longrightarrow A$ such that

$$f(xy) = xf(y)f(x), \quad \text{for all } x, y \in G,$$

where A is a G -module. Then $x = 1 = y$ implies $f(1) = 1$ (identity of A). If A is a trivial G -module (i.e., $xa = a$, for all $x \in G, a \in A$), then a crossed homomorphism is just an ordinary homomorphism. The set of all crossed homomorphism of G to A is an abelian group which is denoted by $Z_\varphi^1(G, A)$, where $\varphi : G \rightarrow A$ is the G -module structure of A ([4]). For each fixed $a \in A$, the function $f_a(x) = xa \cdot a^{-1}$ (for all $x \in G$) is a crossed homomorphism which is called a *principal crossed homomorphism*. In this case, we can prove that $f_a \cdot f_b = f_{ab}$ and $f_{a^{-1}} = (f_a)^{-1}$ ([4]). We denote $B_\varphi^1(G, A)$ the set of all principal crossed homomorphisms which is a subgroup of $Z_\varphi^1(G, A)$. Then the first cohomology group of G with coefficients in A is defined by

$$H_\varphi^1(G, A) = Z_\varphi^1(G, A) / B_\varphi^1(G, A),$$

where $\varphi : G \rightarrow A$ is the G -module structure of A .

The second cohomology group $H^2(G, A)$ of G with coefficients in A is defined as follows.

A given group G acts to any abelian group A such that $xa = a$ for $x \in G$ and $a \in A$. A group extension E of A by G is a short exact sequence

$$E : 1 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\kappa} B \xrightarrow{\sigma} G \rightarrow 1.$$

To each $x \in G$, choose a representative $u(x)$ in B , that is, $\sigma(u(x)) = x$. Then for $x, y \in G$, there exists a function $\alpha : G \times G \rightarrow A$ such that

$$(2) \quad u(x)u(y) = \alpha(x, y)u(xy).$$

Since $u(1)$ is the unit of B , it is clear that

$$\alpha(1, y) = \alpha(1, 1) = \alpha(x, 1)$$

where $\kappa(\alpha(1, y)) = u(1)$ is the unit of B . The function α is called a factor set of the extension E . Since for $x, y, z \in G$,

$$\begin{aligned} (u(x)u(y))u(z) &= (\alpha(x, y)u(xy))u(z) = \alpha(x, y)\alpha(xy, z)u(xyz) \\ u(x)(u(y)u(z)) &= u(x)(\alpha(y, z)u(yz)) = \alpha(x, yz)\alpha(y, z)u(xyz) \end{aligned}$$

we have the following:

$$(3) \quad \alpha(xy, z)\alpha(x, y) = \alpha(x, yz)\alpha(y, z).$$

The factor set α for an extension depends on a choice of representatives: if $u'(x)$ is a second set of representatives with the unit $u'(1)$ of B , then $u(x)$ and $u'(x)$ lie in the same coset. So there is a function $\mu : G \rightarrow A$ with the unit $\mu(1)$ of B such that

$$u'(x) = \mu(x)u(x).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} u'(x)u'(y) &= \mu(x)\mu(y)u(x)u(y) \\ &= \mu(x)\mu(y)\alpha(x, y)u(xy) \\ &= \mu(x)\mu(y)\mu(xy)^{-1}\alpha(x, y)u'(xy) \\ &= (\delta\mu)(x, y)\alpha(x, y)u'(xy) \end{aligned}$$

where $(\delta\mu)(x, y) = \mu(x)\mu(y)\mu(xy)^{-1}$ which is a factor set. Thus $u'(x)$ has a new factor set $(\delta\mu)\alpha$ of E . We put $Z^2(G, A)$ the set of all factor sets satisfying (2) and (3), and $B^2(G, A)$ the set of all factor sets with the form $\delta\mu$. Then $H^2(G, A)$ is defined by

$$H^2(G, A) = Z^2(G, A)/B^2(G, A)$$

(cf. [4]).

Thus an A -factor set α is an element of $Z^2(G, A) = Z(G, A)$ and $\delta\mu$ is in $B^2(G, A) = B(G, A)$. In consequence, the cohomology theory of a group G coefficients in A and the theory of projective representations of G to A are closely related to each other.

We say that two A -factor sets of G are *equivalent* if they are congruent mod $B(G, A)$. Thus, $H^2(G, A) = H(G, A)$ is the set of equivalence class of A -factor sets on G . If \mathfrak{X} is a projective F -representation on G with factor set α , $\mu : G \rightarrow F^\times$ is any function, and $\mathfrak{Y} = \mathfrak{X}\mu$ is defined by

$$\mathfrak{Y}(g) = \mathfrak{X}(g)\mu(g),$$

then \mathfrak{Y} is a projective representation of G with factor set $\beta = \alpha\delta(\mu)$. Thus \mathfrak{X} and \mathfrak{Y} have equivalent factor sets.

A *central extension* of G is a (possibly infinite) group Γ together with a homomorphism π of Γ onto G such that $\text{Ker } \pi \subseteq Z(\Gamma)$ (the center of Γ). In particular, for an abelian group A and for $\alpha \in Z(G, A)$, there exists a central extension (Γ, π) of G with $\text{Ker } \pi = A$ and such that a set of coset representatives $\{x_g \mid g \in G\}$ exists with $\pi(x_g) = g$ and $x_g x_h = \alpha(g, h)x_{gh}$ (cf. [1]). In this case, Γ is defined such that $\Gamma = G \times A$ with multiplication

$$(g, a)(h, b) = (gh, \alpha(g, h)ab)$$

(cf. [1]). Since $(1, z)(g, a) = (g, \alpha(1, g)za) = (g, a)$, $z = \alpha(1, 1)^{-1}$, and also

$$(g^{-1}, a^{-1}\alpha(g^{-1}, g)^{-1}z)(g, a) = (1, z),$$

we have the identity $1 = (1, z)$ in Γ (cf. [1]).

For $\alpha \in Z(G, A)$ (A is an abelian) $g \in G$ is α -special if $\alpha(g, c) = \alpha(c, g)$ for all $c \in C_G(g) = \{h \in G \mid gh = hg\}$.

PROPOSITION 3. *Let $(\Gamma, \pi) = (G \times A, \pi)$ be the central extension of G such that $\text{Ker } \pi = A$. Then for $x \in \Gamma$, $\pi(x)$ is α -special if and only if $\pi(C_\Gamma(x)) = C_G(\pi(x))$.*

Proof. Assume that $\pi(x)$ is α -special. Then for all $h \in C_G(\pi(x))$ ($x = (g, a)$),

$$\alpha(g, h) = \alpha(h, g),$$

where $\pi(y) = \pi(h, b) = h$. Thus

$$(g, a)(h, b) = (gh, \alpha(g, h)ab)$$

$$(h, b)(g, a) = (hg, \alpha(h, g)ab)$$

imply $y = (h, b) \in C_\Gamma(x)$. Thus $C_G(\pi(x)) \subset \pi(C_\Gamma(x))$. Since $\pi(C_\Gamma(x)) \subset C_G(\pi(x))$, we have

$$C_G(\pi(x)) = \pi(C_\Gamma(x)).$$

Conversely, we assume that $C_G(\pi(x)) = \pi(C_\Gamma(x))$. For each $h \in C_G(\pi(x))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (g, a)(h, b) &= (gh, \alpha(g, h)ab) \\ &= (h, b)(g, a) \\ &= (hg, \alpha(h, g)ab) \quad \text{in } C_\Gamma(x), \end{aligned}$$

and thus $\pi(x)$ is α -special. \square

THEOREM 4. *In the central extension $(\Gamma, \pi) = (G \times A, \pi)$, for $\alpha \in Z(G, A)$, let $g \in G$ be α -special. Then every conjugate of g in G is also α -special.*

Proof. For all $g^h = hgh^{-1}$ ($g, h \in G$), we have to prove that

$$C_G(hgh^{-1}) = \pi(C_\Gamma(x)),$$

where $x = (hgh^{-1}, a) = (g^h, a)$ ($a \in A$). Notice that

$$g^h c = c g^h \implies g^h = g^{ch} \implies h = ch \implies c = 1 \quad (c \in G),$$

$$g^h c^h = c^h g^h \implies (gc)^h = (cg)^h \implies cg = gc,$$

and

$$g^h c^k \neq c^k g^h \quad \text{if } h \neq k \text{ in } G.$$

For $c^h \in C_G(g^h)$, it suffices to prove that for $y = (c^h, b) \in C_\Gamma(x)$ ($x = (g^h, a)$), $xy = yx$. We note that $c \in C_G(g)$ and $\alpha(g, c) = \alpha(c, g)$ since g is α -special. So,

$$x = (g^h, a) = (h^{-1}gh, a) = (h^{-1}, d)(g, e)(h, f),$$

where d, e, f are determined by

$$(h^{-1}gh, a) = (h^{-1}gh, \alpha(h^{-1}g, h)\alpha(h^{-1}, g)def).$$

Similarly,

$$y = (c^h, b) = (h^{-1}d')(c, e')(h, f').$$

Therefore,

$$xy = (h^{-1}, d)(g, e)(h, f)(h^{-1}, d')(c, e')(h, f').$$

Since,

$$(h, f)(h^{-1}, d') = (1, \alpha(h, h^{-1})fd') \in Z(\Gamma),$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned} xy &= (h^{-1}, d)(g, e)(c, e')(h, f)(h^{-1}, d')(h, f') \\ &= (h^{-1}, d)(c, e')(g, e)(h, f)(h^{-1}, d')(h, f') \\ &= (h^{-1}, d')(c, e')(h, f')(h^{-1}, d)(g, e)(h, f) \\ &= yx. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$c^h = \pi(y) \quad \text{and} \quad y \in C_{\Gamma}(x).$$

That is,

$$C_G(g^h) = \pi(C_{\Gamma}(x)).$$

This implies that g^h is α -special by Proposition 3. \square

References

1. I.M. Isaacs, *Character Theory of Finite Groups*, Academic Press, 1976.
2. ———, *Fixed point and characters*, Canada J. Math. **20** (1968), 1315–1320.
3. G. Karpilovsky, *Projective Representations of Finite Groups*, Marcel Dekker Inc., 1985.
4. S. MacLane, *Homology*, Springer-Verlag, 1975.
5. H. Nagao, Y. Tsushima, *Representations of Finite Groups*, Academic Press Inc., 1987.
6. M. Suzuki, *Group Theory I*, Springer-Verlag, 1982.
7. G. Thompson, *Normal p -complements and irreducible characters*, J. Algebra **14** (1970), 129–134.