

ON THE STRONG HOMOLOGY GROUPS

HONG-JAE LEE AND DAE-WOONG LEE

*Dept. of Mathematics, Chonbuk National University,
Chonju, Chonbuk 560-756, Korea.*

1. Introduction

Let X be a pointed 0-connected CW -complex given with a filtration

$$X_0 \subset X_1 \subset \cdots \subset X_\alpha \subset \cdots, \cup_\alpha X_\alpha = X$$

by subcomplexes.

In 1985, J. T. Lisica and S. Mardesić [6] defined the strong homology groups $H_*^S(C)$ of the inverse system $C = (C_\alpha, f_{\alpha\alpha'}, D)$ of chain complexes $(C_\alpha, \partial)_{\alpha \in D}$. In 1993, L. Mdzinarishvili and E. Spanier [9] have constructed the long exact sequence for the derived functor $\varprojlim_{\alpha}^{(n)}$, $n \geq 0$ with respect to the M-S cohomology

modules $\hat{H}^*(C; A)$. Algebraic topologists have studied the strong homology groups in the several categories inv-Top , pro-Top and CPH-Top ([5],[7],[8]).

The purpose of this paper is to construct an exact sequence for the negative dimensional (r -stage) strong homology groups $H_*^s(C)$ and the derived functor $\varprojlim_{\alpha}^{(n)}$, $n \geq 0$. The definition and some results of the derived functor can be found in ([3],[10]).

Received May 6. 1996.

2. (r -stage) strong homology groups of inverse systems

Let $C = (C_\alpha, f_{\alpha\alpha'}, D)$ be an inverse system of chain complexes (C_α, ∂) and chain maps $f_{\alpha\alpha'} : C_{\alpha'} \rightarrow C_\alpha, \alpha \leq \alpha'$ in directed set D . Let $D^n, n \geq 0$ denote the set of all non-decreasing n -sequence $a = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n), \alpha_0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_n$, in D . The $(n-1)$ -sequence $(\alpha_0, \dots, \hat{\alpha}_j, \dots, \alpha_n) \in D^{n-1}$ is obtained from $a = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n)$, by deleting the j -th factor $\alpha_j, 0 \leq j \leq n$.

A *strong p -chain* of $C, p \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a function $x = (\dots, x_{(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n)}, \dots)$, which assigns to every $a = (\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n) \in D^n, n \geq 0$, a $(p+n)$ -chain $x_{(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n)}$ of $(p+n)$ -chain group $(C_{(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n)})_{p+n}$, where $C_{(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n)} = C_{\alpha_0}$ and \mathbb{Z} denotes the set of all integers. Putting

$$(x+y)_{(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n)} = x_{(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n)} + y_{(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n)},$$

we obtain the strong p -chain groups $C_p^s, p \in \mathbb{Z}$, of the inverse system $C = (C_\alpha, f_{\alpha\alpha'}, D)$ which are defined by

$$C_p^s = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \prod_{(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n) \in D^n} (C_{(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n)})_{p+n},$$

where $(C_{(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n)})_{p+n}$ is the $(p+n)$ -chain group of the first chain complex C_{α_0} . A boundary operator $d_p : C_{p+1}^s \rightarrow C_p^s$ was defined by

$$\begin{cases} (d_p(x))_{(\alpha_0)} = \partial(x_{(\alpha_0)}) \text{ for } n = 0 \\ (-1)^n (d_p x)_{(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n)} = \partial(x_{(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n)}) - f_{\alpha_0 \alpha_1}(x_{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)}) \\ \quad - \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^j x_{(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \hat{\alpha}_j, \dots, \alpha_n)} \text{ for } n \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

where $x \in C_{p+1}^s$. Note that $d_p \circ d_{p+1} = 0, p \geq -n$ so that (C^s, d) is a chain complex. The p -dimensional strong homology group, denoted by $H_p^s(C)$, of the inverse system $C = (C_\alpha, f_{\alpha\alpha'}, D)$ is defined by the homology group of this chain complex (C^s, d) , i.e.,

$$H_p^s(C) = \ker(d_{p-1} : C_p^s \rightarrow C_{p-1}^s) / \text{im}(d_p : C_{p+1}^s \rightarrow C_p^s).$$

For a given above chain complex (C^s, d) , we can define a new chain complex $(C^{s(r)}, d^r)$, $r \geq 0$ whose p -dimensional chain group, called *r-stage strong p-chain group* $C_p^{s(r)}$, is defined by

$$C_p^{s(r)} = \prod_{n=0}^r \prod_{(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n) \in D^n} (C_{(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_n)}^{s(r)})_{p+n},$$

and the boundary operator $d_p^{(r)} : C_{p+1}^{s(r)} \rightarrow C_p^{s(r)}$ is obtained by restricting the boundary operator $d_p : C_{p+1}^s \rightarrow C_p^s$ to $C_{p+1}^{s(r)} = C_{p+1}^{s(r)} \times 0 \subseteq C_{p+1}^s$. As the special case of the p -dimensional strong homology group $H_p^s(C)$, we have the p -dimensional homology group, denoted by $\bar{H}_p^s(C^{s(r)})$, of the restricted chain complex $(C^{s(r)}, d^r)$ and we also have the homomorphisms $j_{p_*}^{r, r+1} : \bar{H}_p^s(C^{s(r+1)}) \rightarrow \bar{H}_p^s(C^{s(r)})$ and $j_{p_*}^{s(r)} : H_p^s(C) \rightarrow \bar{H}_p^s(C^{s(r)})$ induced by the natural projections $j_{p_*}^{r, r+1} : C_p^{s(r+1)} \rightarrow C_p^{s(r)}$ and $j_{p_*}^{s(r)} : C_p^s \rightarrow C_p^{s(r)}$ respectively.

DEFINITION 2.1. The *r-stage strong homology group* $H_p^{s(r)}(C)$ is defined by

$$H_p^{s(r)}(C) = j_{p_*}^{r, r+1}(\bar{H}_p^s(C^{s(r+1)})) \subseteq \bar{H}_p^s(C^{s(r)}).$$

3. 4-term exact sequence for the negative dimensional (r-stage) strong homology groups

Let $\Gamma : 0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{a} I \xrightarrow{f} J \rightarrow 0$ be an injective resolution of abelian group A . In the previous paper, E. H. Brown[1] shows that there is a pointed 0-connected CW-complex $\hat{B}(I)$ and natural equivalence

$$\hat{\eta}_I : [-, \hat{B}(I)] \rightarrow Hom(H_*(-), I).$$

For example, $\hat{B}(I)$ is the Eilenberg MacLane space $K(\pi, n)$ of type (π, n) or the Moore space $M(\pi, n)$ of type (π, n) .

We put $P\hat{B}(J) = \{\omega : [0, 1] \rightarrow \hat{B}(J) \mid \omega(0) = *\}$. $B(\Gamma) = \{(x, \omega) \in \hat{B}(I) \times P\hat{B}(J) \mid \omega(1) = \hat{f}(x)\}$ is said to be the *mapping kernel* of $\hat{f} : \hat{B}(I) \rightarrow \hat{B}(J)$. Note that the mapping kernel $B(\Gamma)$ has the structure of H -group. The map $\hat{f} : \hat{B}(I) \rightarrow \hat{B}(J)$ makes a Barratt-Puppe sequence ([4]).

$$\dots \rightarrow \Omega B(\Gamma) \xrightarrow{\Omega j_f} \Omega \hat{B}(I) \xrightarrow{\Omega \hat{f}} \Omega \hat{B}(J) \xrightarrow{\varphi_f} B(\Gamma) \xrightarrow{j_f} \hat{B}(I) \xrightarrow{\hat{f}} \hat{B}(J)$$

where $j_f : B(\Gamma) \rightarrow \hat{B}(I)$ is defined by $j_f(x, \omega) = x$ and $\varphi_f : \Omega \hat{B}(J) \rightarrow B(\Gamma)$ by $\varphi_f(\omega) = (*, \omega)$.

DEFINITION 3.1. For any injective resolution Γ , we define the contravariant functor $CF(-; \Gamma) = [-, B(\Gamma)]$.

LEMMA 3.2. Let $\Gamma : 0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{a} I \xrightarrow{f} J \rightarrow 0$ be an injective resolution. Then the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Ext(H_*(X), A) \rightarrow CF(X; \Gamma) \rightarrow Hom(H_{*+1}(X), A) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact.

Proof. From the Barratt-Puppe sequence for fibration, we obtain an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow [X, \Omega \hat{B}(I)] &\xrightarrow{\Omega \hat{f}_*} [X, \Omega \hat{B}(J)] \xrightarrow{\varphi_{f_*}} [X, B(\Gamma)] \xrightarrow{j_{f_*}} [X, \hat{B}(I)] \\ &\xrightarrow{\hat{f}_*} [X, \hat{B}(J)]. \end{aligned}$$

By the Brown's representability theorem [1], there is a commutative diagram;

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [X, \hat{B}(I)] & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\hat{\eta}_I} & Hom(H_*(X), I) \\ \hat{f}_* \downarrow & & \hat{f}_X \downarrow \\ [X, \hat{B}(J)] & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\hat{\eta}_J} & Hom(H_*(X), J) \end{array}$$

It follows that the following sequence is exact

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{coker}(\Omega \hat{f}_*) & \longrightarrow & CF(X; \Gamma) & \longrightarrow & \text{ker}(\hat{f}_*) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \cong \downarrow & & & & \cong \downarrow \\
 & & \text{coker}(\tilde{f}_{SX}) & & & & \text{ker}(\tilde{f}_X)
 \end{array}$$

The hom-ext exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H_*(X), A) &\xrightarrow{\bar{a}} \text{Hom}(H_*(X), I) \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}_X} \text{Hom}(H_*(X), J) \\
 &\rightarrow \text{Ext}(H_*(X), A) \rightarrow 0
 \end{aligned}$$

shows that there are isomorphisms

$$\begin{cases} \text{ker}(\tilde{f}_X) \cong \text{Hom}(H_*(X), A) \\ \text{coker}(\tilde{f}_{SX}) \cong \text{Ext}(H_*(SX), A). \end{cases}$$

Therefore we obtain the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}(H_*(SX), A) \rightarrow CF(X; \Gamma) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H_*(X), A) \rightarrow 0. \quad \square$$

PROPOSITION 3.3. Let $\psi : \text{Ext}(H_*(X), A) \rightarrow \varprojlim_{\alpha}^{(0)} \{\text{Ext}(H_*(X_{\alpha}), A)\}$ be a homomorphism induced by $i_{\alpha} : X_{\alpha} \hookrightarrow X$. Then we obtain the following isomorphisms[3];

- (1) $\text{ker}(\psi) \cong \varprojlim_{\alpha}^{(1)} \{\text{Hom}(H_*(X_{\alpha}), A)\}$
- (2) $\text{coker}(\psi) \cong \varprojlim_{\alpha}^{(2)} \{\text{Hom}(H_*(X_{\alpha}), A)\}$
- (3) $\text{Hom}(H_*(X), A) \cong \varprojlim_{\alpha}^{(0)} \{\text{Hom}(H_*(X_{\alpha}), A)\}$.

LEMMA 3.4. Let $\{X_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in D}$ denote any direct system of sub-complexes of X with $\cup_\alpha X_\alpha = X$ and let A be any abelian group. Then we obtain the following exact sequence;

$$0 \rightarrow \varprojlim_{\alpha}^{(1)} \{Hom(H_*(X_\alpha), A)\} \rightarrow CF(X; \Gamma) \rightarrow \varprojlim_{\alpha}^{(0)} \{CF(X_\alpha; \Gamma)\} \\ \rightarrow \varprojlim_{\alpha}^{(2)} \{Hom(H_*(X_\alpha), A)\} \rightarrow 0$$

Proof. Consider the commutative diagram;

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Ext(H_*(X), A) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \varprojlim_{\alpha}^{(0)} \{Ext(H_*(X_\alpha), A)\} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ CF(X; \Gamma) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \varprojlim_{\alpha}^{(0)} \{CF(X_\alpha; \Gamma)\} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Hom(H_{*+1}(X), A) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \varprojlim_{\alpha}^{(0)} \{Hom(H_{*+1}(X_\alpha), A)\} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & & \varprojlim_{\alpha}^{(1)} \{Ext(H_*(X_\alpha), A)\} \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & \vdots \end{array}$$

The first column is exact by Lemma 3.2 and the second column is also exact by the long exact sequence with respect to the derived

functor $\varinjlim_{\alpha}^{(n)}, n \geq 0$ ([2]). Using the serpent lemma and the Proposition 3.3, we obtain the following;

- (1) $\ker(\varphi) \cong \varinjlim_{\alpha}^{(1)} \{Hom(H_*(X_{\alpha}), A)\}$
- (2) $\text{coker}(\varphi) \cong \varinjlim_{\alpha}^{(2)} \{Hom(H_*(X_{\alpha}), A)\}$.

Therefore, we complete the proof of this lemma. \square

Let $(\tilde{C}_{\alpha}, \tilde{\partial}), \alpha \in D$, be the chain complex whose p -chain group is defined by

$$(\tilde{C}_{\alpha})_p = \begin{cases} Hom(H_*(X_{\alpha}), A) & \text{for } p = 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } p \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

and the boundary operator $\tilde{\partial}$ is zero. For $\alpha \leq \alpha'$, let $\tilde{f}_{\alpha\alpha'} : \tilde{C}_{\alpha'} \rightarrow \tilde{C}_{\alpha}$ denote the chain map derived from the homomorphism $Hom(H_*(X_{\alpha'}), A) \rightarrow Hom(H_*(X_{\alpha}), A)$. Then we have an inverse system $\tilde{C} = (\tilde{C}_{\alpha}, \tilde{f}_{\alpha\alpha'}, D)$ of chain complexes $(\tilde{C}_{\alpha}, \tilde{\partial}), \alpha \in D$.

DEFINITION 3.5. The above chain complex $(\tilde{C}_{\alpha}, \tilde{\partial}), \alpha \in D$, all of whose chain group vanish, except for the 0-dimensional chain group, is said to be the *single chain complex*.

LEMMA 3.6. If $\tilde{C} = (\tilde{C}_{\alpha}, \tilde{f}_{\alpha\alpha'}, D)$ is an inverse system of single chain complexes. then we have

$$\varinjlim_{\alpha}^{(n)} \{Hom(H_*(X_{\alpha}), A)\} \cong H_{-n}^s(\tilde{C})$$

for all integer n .

Proof. As a special case of the strong p -chain group of $C = (C_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha\alpha'}, D)$, we have that the strong $(-n)$ -chain group of $\tilde{C} = (\tilde{C}_{\alpha}, \tilde{f}_{\alpha\alpha'}, D)$ is

$$\tilde{C}_{-n}^s = \begin{cases} \prod_{(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in D^n} Hom(H_*(X_{(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)}), A) & \text{for } n \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } n < 0, \end{cases}$$

where $\text{Hom}(H_*(X_{(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)}), A) = \text{Hom}(H_*(X_{\alpha_0}), A)$. A boundary operator $d_{-(n+1)} : \tilde{C}_{-n}^s \rightarrow \tilde{C}_{-(n+1)}^s$ is obtained as following;

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^n (d_{-(n+1)} x)_{(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)} &= \partial(x_{(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)}) - f_{\alpha_0 \alpha_1}(x_{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)}) \\ &- \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^j x_{(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \hat{\alpha}_j, \dots, \alpha_n)} = -f_{\alpha_0 \alpha_1}(x_{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)}) - \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^j \\ &x_{(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \hat{\alpha}_j, \dots, \alpha_n)} = (-1)^{n+1} (\delta^n x)_{(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)}, \text{ where } \delta^n, n \geq 0 \\ &\text{is the coboundary operator defined in the paper ([3]). Thus we} \\ &\text{have the proof of this lemma. } \square \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 3.7. *If $\tilde{C} = (\tilde{C}_\alpha, \tilde{f}_{\alpha\alpha'}, D)$ is an inverse system of single chain complexes, then we have that the sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow H_{-1}^s(\tilde{C}) \rightarrow CF(X; \Gamma) \rightarrow \lim_{\alpha}^{(0)} \{CF(X_\alpha; \Gamma)\} \rightarrow H_{-2}^s(\tilde{C}) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact.

Proof. By Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.6, we obtain this theorem. \square

In the previous paper[8], the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \lim_{\overline{r}}^{(1)} \{H_{p+1}^{s(r)}(\tilde{C})\} \rightarrow H_p^s(\tilde{C}) \rightarrow \lim_{\overline{r}}^{(0)} \{H_p^{s(r)}(\tilde{C})\} \rightarrow 0$$

is exact for every integer p . Using this fact, we easily have the following corollary;

COROLLARY 3.8. *If $\lim_{\overline{r}}^{(1)} \{H_{p+1}^{s(r)}(\tilde{C})\} = 0$ for all p , then the sequence*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \lim_{\overline{r}}^{(0)} \{H_{-1}^{s(r)}(\tilde{C})\} \rightarrow CF(X; \Gamma) \rightarrow \lim_{\alpha}^{(0)} \{CF(X_\alpha; \Gamma)\} \rightarrow \\ \lim_{\overline{r}}^{(0)} \{H_{-2}^{s(r)}(\tilde{C})\} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

is also exact. \square

References

1. E. H. Brown, *Cohomology Theories*, Annals of Math **75(3)** (1962), 467-484.
2. L. Fuchs, *Infinite Abelian Groups*, Academic Press Inc., New York, 1970.
3. D. W. Lee, *Relative Morphisms and Exact Sequences of the Derived Functor $\varinjlim^{(n)}$, $n \geq 0$ and Stable Cohomotopy*, Ph. D. Theses J. N. U., Chonju, 1996.
4. K. A. Lee, *Foundation of Topology (vol. II)*, Hakmoonsa, Seoul, 1984.
5. J. T. Lisica and S. Mardešić, *Steenrod-Sitnikov Homology for Arbitrary Spaces*, Bull. of the A. M. S. **2(2)** (1983), 207-210.
6. J. T. Lisica and S. Mardešić, *Strong Homology of Inverse System of Spaces I,II*, Topology and its Appl. **19** (1985), 29-64.
7. J. T. Lisica and S. Mardešić, *Strong Homology of Inverse System of Spaces III*, Topology and its Appl. **20** (1985), 29-37.
8. S. Mardešić and A. V. Parasolv, *Strong Homology is not Additive*, Trans. of the A.M.S. **307(2)** (1988), 725-744.
9. L. Mdzinarishvili and E. Spanier, *Inverse Limits and Cohomology*, Glasnik Mat. **28(48)** (1993), 167-176.
10. G. Nöbeling, " *Über die Derivierten des Inversen und des Direkten Limes einer Modulfamilie*, Topology **1** (1961), 47-61.