

ON CANCELLATION IDEALS

CHANG WOO CHOI AND YOUNG SOO PARK*

ABSTRACT. In this paper we characterize cancellation ideals in terms of multiplication ideals. Especially, we find a condition for an ideal generated by three elements to be a cancellation ideal.

1. Introduction and Preliminary

Let R be a commutative ring with an identity. An ideal A of R is called a *multiplication ideal* if for every ideal $B \subseteq A$ there exists an ideal C of R such that $B = AC$. Recall that an ideal A in the ring R is said to be a *cancellation ideal* if, for all ideals B, C of R , $AB \subseteq AC$ implies that $B \subseteq C$. The ideal A is said to be a *weak cancellation ideal* if, for all ideals B, C of R , $AB \subseteq AC$ implies that $B \subseteq C + \text{ann}(A)$. Thus every principal ideal is a weak cancellation ideal. It is well known and easy to prove that every invertible ideal is a cancellation ideal. If A and B are any ideals in R , We put

$$[A : B] = \{x \in R \mid xB \subseteq A\}.$$

If $A = (a)$ or $B = (b)$ is a principal ideal; then we put $[a : B]$ for $[(a) : B]$ and $[A : b]$ for $[A : (b)]$ and $[a : b]$ for $[(a) : (b)]$. In this paper we characterize cancellation ideals in terms of multiplication ideals. Especially, we find a condition for an ideal generated by three elements to be a cancellation ideal.

Received November 12, 1994. Revised September 28, 1995.

1991 AMS Subject Classification: 13A15.

Key words and phrases: multiplication ideal, (weak)cancellation ideal.

This research was supported by the Basic Science Research Institute Program, Ministry of Education, BSRI-95-1402.

2. Cancellation ideals

The following result shows that finitely generated faithful multiplication ideals in any ring R are cancellation ideals

THEOREM 1. *Let A be a finitely generated faithful multiplication ideal in the ring R . Then A is a cancellation ideal*

PROOF. Suppose A is generated by (a_1, \dots, a_n) and $AB \subseteq AC$ for any ideals B, C of R . Since A is a multiplication ideal, there exists an $n \times n$ matrix $M = (r_{ij})$ with entries in R such that

- (i) $U = UM$
- (ii) $r_{ij}a_k = r_{ik}a_j$
- (iii) $\text{Trace}M = 1$ Where U is the n -vector (a_1, \dots, a_n) in R^n , and R^n is the direct product of n copies of R [see[6], Theorem 1.1]

Let $b \in B$. Then, for each $j, 1 \leq j \leq n, ba_j \in AC$, hence

$$ba_j = \sum_{i=1}^n c_{ij}a_i$$

Where $c_{ij} \in C$. This implies

$$\sum_{i \neq j} c_{ij}a_i + (c_{jj} - b)a_j = 0$$

Thus the n -vector $(c_{1j}, c_{2j}, \dots, c_{jj} - b, \dots, c_{nj}) \in U$. By the properties of the matrix M [6], it follows that

$$\sum_{i \neq j} c_{ij}r_{ji} + (c_{jj} - b)r_{jj} \in \text{ann}(A)$$

Since A is faithful,

$$\sum_{i \neq j} c_{ij}r_{ji} + (c_{jj} - b)r_{jj} = 0$$

and hence $br_{jj} = \sum_{i=1}^n c_{ij}r_{ji} \in C$. Since $\text{Trace}M = 1$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^n r_{jj} = 1$$

and hence $b \in C$. Therefore A is a cancellation ideal.

REMARK 2. Theorem 1 shows that if A is non-faithful, A is a weak cancellation ideal and hence any finitely generated multiplication ideals in any ring R are weak cancellation ideals. Theorem 1 has several other consequences which we wish to mention.

COROLLARY 3. *If (a, b) , (a, c) , and (b, c) are multiplication ideals in R and the annihilator of (a, b, c) is trivial, then (a, b, c) is a cancellation ideal.*

PROOF. By [[6], Theorem 1.1(3)], $[a : b] + [b : a] = R$, $[a : c] + [c : a] = R$ and $[b : c] + [c : b] = R$. Then $[a : b] + [c : b] + [a : c] + [b : c] + [b : a] + [c : a] = R$. Since $[a : b] + [c : b] \subseteq [(a, c) : b]$, we get $[(a, b) : c] + [(a, c) : b] + [(b, c) : a] = R$. Now, for each maximal ideal M , $[(a, b)R_M : cR_M] + [(a, c)R_M : bR_M] + [(b, c)R_M : aR_M] = R_M$. So $1 = x_1 + x_2 + x_3$ where $x_1 \in [(a, b)R_M : cR_M]$, $x_2 \in [(a, c)R_M : bR_M]$, $x_3 \in [(b, c)R_M : aR_M]$. Since R_M is a local ring, it follows that one of the x_i 's is unit, say x_1 . Then $[(a, b)R_M : cR_M] = R_M$. This implies $cR_M \subseteq (a, b)R_M$. Since (a, b) is a multiplication ideal, it is locally principal i.e $(a, b)R_M$ is principal (see [1]). It follows that $(a, b, c)R_M$ is a principal ideal. This shows that (a, b, c) is locally principal and hence (a, b, c) is a multiplication ideal [see[6], Theorm 1.1] By Theorem 1, (a, b, c) is a cancellation ideal.

COROLLARY 4. *Let A be a finitely generated projective ideal in R with $\text{ann}(A) = (1 - e)$, where $e = e^2$. If $AB \subseteq AC$ for some ideals B, C in R , then $eB = eC$.*

PROOF. Since every projective ideal is a multiplication ideal [see[7], Theorem 1], $B \subseteq C + (1 - e)$ by Theorem 1 and so $eB \subseteq eC$.

COROLLARY 5. *Let A be an ideal of R as in Theorem 1. Then $[AB : A] = B$ for each ideal B of R .*

PROOF. Let $x \in [AB : A]$ and consider an ideal (x) of R . Then $(x)A = A(x) \subseteq AB$ for each ideal B of R . By Theorem 1, $(x) \subseteq B$. Thus $[AB : A] \subseteq B$. The other inclusion is obvious.

The following result is taken from [4, p.43ex.23]. This exercise is concerned with our interest.

THEOREM 6. *Let R be a domain in which every ideal generated by two elements is invertible. Then every nonzero finitely generated ideal is a cancellation ideal.*

PROOF. Let P be any prime ideal of R . We show that R_p is a valuation domain by showing that each pair of principal ideals of R_p compares under \subseteq . Let a and b be two nonzero elements of R_p . We can write $a = a_1/s_1$, $b = b_1/s_2$ where $a_1, b_1 \in R$ and $s_1, s_2 \in R - P$. Then $aR_p = a_1R_p$ and $bR_p = b_1R_p$. By hypothesis, (a_1, b_1) is invertible in R and so $(a_1, b_1)R_p$ is invertible [see[4], Theorem 61]. This shows that $(a_1, b_1)R_p = a_1R_p$ or $(a_1, b_1)R_p = b_1R_p$ [see[3], Proposition 7.4] If say, $(a_1, b_1)R_p = a_1R_p$, then $bR_p \subseteq aR_p$ so that R_p is a valuation domain. By [4, Theorem 64], R is Prüfer, i.e every nonzero finitely generated ideal is invertible and hence a cancellation ideal.

REMARK 7. It is well known and easy to prove that an ideal A of R is invertible if and only if it is a multiplication ideal which contains a regular element of R and so the theorem remains true if “invertible” is replaced by “multiplication ideal”.

References

1. D. D. Anderson, *Multiplication ideals, multiplication rings and the ring $R(x)$* , *Canad. J. Math.* **28** (1976), 760-768.
2. Z. A. El-Bast and P. F. Smith, *Multiplication modules*. *Comm. Algebra.* **16** (1988), 755-779.
3. R. Gilmer, *Multiplicative ideal theory*, New York, 1972.
4. I. Kaplansky, *Commutative rings*, Allyn and Bacon, 1970.
5. A. G. Naoum, $\frac{1}{2}$ *Cancellation modules*, *Kyungpook Math J* (to appear).
6. A. G. Naoum and M. A. K. Hansan, *The residual of finitely generated multiplication modules*, *Arch. Math* **46** (1986), 225-230.
7. W. W. Smith, *Projective ideals of finite type*, *Canad. J. Math.* **21** (1969), 1057-1061.

Chang Woo Choi

Department of Mathematics Education
Taegu National University of Education
Taegu 705-715 Korea

Young Soo Park
Department of Mathematics
Kyungpook National University
Taegu 702-701 Korea