

A GEOMETRIC CRITERION FOR THE ELEMENT OF THE CLASS $A_{1, N_0}^2(r)$

HAE GYU KIM AND YOUNGOH YANG

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{H} denote a separable, infinite dimensional complex Hilbert space and let $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} . A *dual algebra* is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ that contains the identity operator $1_{\mathcal{H}}$ and is closed in the weak* operator topology on $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$. For $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, let \mathcal{A}_T denote the smallest subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ that contains T and $1_{\mathcal{H}}$ and is closed in the weak* operator topology. Moreover, let $Q_{\mathcal{A}_T}$ denote the quotient space $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{H})/\perp_{\mathcal{A}_T}$, where $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{H})$ is the trace class ideal in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ under the trace norm, and $\perp_{\mathcal{A}_T}$ denotes the preannihilator of \mathcal{A}_T in $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{H})$. For a brief notation, we shall denote $Q_{\mathcal{A}_T}$ by Q_T . One knows that \mathcal{A}_T is the dual space of Q_T and that the duality is given by

$$(1) \quad \langle A, [L] \rangle = \text{tr}(AL), \quad A \in \mathcal{A}_T, [L] \in Q_T.$$

The Banach space Q_T is called a predual of \mathcal{A}_T . For x and y in \mathcal{H} , we can write $x \otimes y$ for the rank one operator in $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{H})$ defined by

$$(2) \quad (x \otimes y)(u) = (u, y)x, \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{H}.$$

The theory of dual algebras is applied to the study of invariant subspaces, dilation theory, and reflexivity. The classes $A_{m,n}$ (to be defined in section 2) were defined by H. Bercovici, C. Foias and C. Pearcy in [3]. Also these classes are closely related to the study of the theory of dual algebras. Especially, B. Chevreau and C. Pearcy [7] established

Received August 12, 1994.

AMS Classification: 47D27.

Key words and Phrases: dual algebra, absolutely continuous contraction.

property $E_{\theta, \gamma}^r$ (to be defined in section 2), and researched a relationship with the class \mathbf{A}_{1, \aleph_0} .

In theorem 1 of [9], B. Prunaru got a sufficient condition for the membership in the class $\mathbf{A}_{1, \aleph_0}(\rho)$. In theorem 3.2.2 of [8], Hae Gyu Kim got a sufficient condition for the membership in the class $\mathbf{A}_{1,1}^2(\rho)$. In a sequel to this study (theorem 3.2.2 of [8]), in this paper we obtain a sufficient condition for the membership in the class $\mathbf{A}_{1, \aleph_0}^2(\rho)$ by using techniques in [6] and [9].

2. Preliminaries

Before giving the main result, we recall some ideas introduced in [3], [6], [7], [8] and [10]. Recall that any contraction T can be written as a direct sum $T = T_1 \oplus T_2$, where T_1 is a completely nonunitary contraction and T_2 is a unitary operator. If T_2 is absolutely continuous or acts on the space (0) , T will be called an *absolutely continuous contraction*. We denote by $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H})$ the class of all absolutely continuous contractions T in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ for which the Sz.-Nagy-Foias functional calculus $\Phi_T : \mathcal{H}^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_T$ is an isometry (see [4, Theorem 4.1]).

If \mathcal{A} is a dual algebra and m, n are cardinal numbers such that $1 \leq m, n \leq \aleph_0$, then \mathcal{A} is said to have property $(\mathbf{A}_{m,n})$ if each $m \times n$ system of simultaneous equations of the form

$$(3) \quad [x_i \otimes y_j] = [L_{ij}], \quad 0 \leq i < m, 0 \leq j < n,$$

where $\{[L_{ij}]\}_{\substack{0 \leq i < m \\ 0 \leq j < n}}$ is an arbitrary $m \times n$ array from $Q_{\mathcal{A}}$, has a solution $\{x_i\}_{0 \leq i < m}, \{y_j\}_{0 \leq j < n}$ consisting of a pair of sequences of vectors from \mathcal{H} . If $\rho \geq 1$ then \mathcal{A} has property $(\mathbf{A}_{m,n}(\rho))$ if for every $s > \rho$ and every $m \times n$ array $\{[L_{ij}]\}_{\substack{0 \leq i < m \\ 0 \leq j < n}}$ from $Q_{\mathcal{A}}$ such that the rows and columns of the matrix $([L_{ij}])$ are summable, there exist sequences $\{x_i\}_{0 \leq i < m}$ and $\{y_j\}_{0 \leq j < n}$ from \mathcal{H} that satisfy (3) and also satisfy the following conditions:

$$(4) \quad \|x_i\|^2 \leq s \sum_{0 \leq j < n} \|[L_{ij}]\|, \quad 0 \leq i < m,$$

and

$$(5) \quad \|y_j\|^2 \leq s \sum_{0 \leq i < m} \|[L_{ij}]\|, 0 \leq j < n.$$

For brief notation, we shall denote $(\mathbb{A}_{n,n})$ by (\mathbb{A}_n) . Furthermore, if m and n are cardinal numbers such that $1 \leq m, n \leq \aleph_0$, we denote by $\mathbb{A}_{m,n} = \mathbb{A}_{m,n}(\mathcal{H})$ the set of all T in $\mathbb{A}(\mathcal{H})$ such that the singly generated dual algebra \mathcal{A}_T has property $(\mathbb{A}_{m,n})$, and similarly for $\mathbb{A}_{m,n}(\rho)$.

Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ be a dual algebra and let $\theta \in [0, 1)$. Then $\mathcal{E}_\theta^r(\mathcal{A})$ denotes the set of all $[L]$ in $Q_{\mathcal{A}}$ such that there exist sequences $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ and $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of vectors from \mathcal{H} satisfying

- (i) $\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|[x_i \otimes y_i] - [L]\| \leq \theta$,
- (ii) $\|x_i\| \leq 1, \|y_i\| \leq 1, 1 \leq i < \infty$,
- (iii) $\|[x_i \otimes z]\| \rightarrow 0, \forall z \in \mathcal{H}$ and
- (iv) $\{y_i\}$ converges weakly to zero.

If $0 \leq \theta < \gamma < +\infty$, then a dual algebra \mathcal{A} is said have property $E_{\theta, \gamma}^r$ if the closed absolutely convex hull of the set $\mathcal{E}_\theta^r(\mathcal{A})$ contains the closed ball $B_{0, \gamma}$ of radius γ centered at the origin in $Q_{\mathcal{A}}$:

$$(6) \quad \overline{\text{aco}}(\mathcal{E}_\theta^r(\mathcal{A})) \supset \{[L] \in Q_{\mathcal{A}} : \|[L]\| \leq \gamma\} = B_{0, \gamma}.$$

We shall employ the notation $C_{\cdot 0} = C_{\cdot 0}(\mathcal{H})$ for the class of all (completely nonunitary) contraction T in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ such that the sequence $\{T^{*n}\}$ converges to zero in the strong operator topology and is denoted by, as usual, $C_{\cdot 0} = (C_{\cdot 0})^*$, and $C_{00} = C_{\cdot 0} \cap C_{\cdot 0}$.

Recall that every contraction T in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ has a minimal coisometric extension $B = B_T$ that is unique up to unitary equivalence. Given such T and B , one knows that there exists a canonical decomposition of the isometry B^* as

$$(7) \quad B^* = S \oplus R^*,$$

corresponding to a decomposition of the space

$$(8) \quad \mathcal{K} = \mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{R},$$

where, if $\mathcal{S} \neq (0)$, S is a unilateral shift operator of some multiplicity in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$, and, if $\mathcal{R} \neq (0)$, R is a unitary operator in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{R})$. Of course, either \mathcal{S} or \mathcal{R} may be (0) ([7]).

LEMMA 2.1. ([7]) Suppose $x, y \in \mathcal{H}, z \in \mathcal{K}$ and $T (\in \mathbf{A}(\mathcal{H}))$ has minimal coisometric extension B in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$. Then

- (9) $B \in \mathbf{A}(\mathcal{K}), \Phi_T \circ \Phi_B^{-1}$ is an isometry and weak* homeomorphism from \mathcal{A}_B onto \mathcal{A}_T , and $j = \varphi_B^{-1} \circ \varphi_T$ is a linear isometry of Q_T onto Q_B ,
- (10) $j([x \otimes y]_T) = [x \otimes y]_B$,
- (11) $[x \otimes z]_B = [x \otimes Pz]_B$,

where P is the projection of \mathcal{K} onto the subspace \mathcal{H} .

DEFINITION 2.2. ([8]) Let m, n and l be any cardinal numbers such that $1 \leq m, n, l \leq \aleph_0$. We denote by $\mathbf{A}_{m,n}^l(\mathcal{H})$ the class of all sets $\{T_k\}_{k=1}^l$ such that T_k belongs to $\mathbf{A}(\mathcal{H})$ for all $k = 1, \dots, l$ and that every $m \times n \times l$ system of simultaneous equations of the form

$$(12) \quad [x_i \otimes y_j^{(k)}]_{T_k} = [L_{ij}^{(k)}]_{T_k},$$

where $\{[L_{ij}^{(k)}]_{T_k}\}_{\substack{0 \leq i < m \\ 0 \leq j < n}}$ is an arbitrary $m \times n$ array from Q_{T_k} for each $k = 1, \dots, l$, has a solution consisting of a pair of sequences $\{x_i\}_{0 \leq i < m}, \{y_j^{(k)}\}_{\substack{0 \leq j < n \\ 1 \leq k \leq l}}$ of vectors from \mathcal{H} . Furthermore, if for every

doubly indexed family $\{[L_{ij}^{(k)}]_{T_k}\}_{\substack{0 \leq i < m \\ 0 \leq j < n}}$ of elements of Q_{T_k} for each

$k = 1, \dots, l$, such that the rows and columns of the matrix $(\|[L_{ij}^{(k)}]_{T_k}\|)$ are summable and r is a fixed real number satisfying $r \geq 1$, then we denote by $\mathbf{A}_{m,n}^l(r)$ the class of all sets $\{T_k\}_{k=1}^l$ such that T_k belongs to $\mathbf{A}(\mathcal{H})$ for all $k = 1, \dots, l$ and that every $m \times n \times l$ system of simultaneous equations of the form (12) has a solution consisting of a pair of sequences $\{x_i\}_{0 \leq i < m}, \{y_j^{(k)}\}_{\substack{0 \leq j < n \\ 1 \leq k \leq l}}$ of vectors from \mathcal{H} and also satisfy the following conditions, for every $s > r$,

$$(13) \quad \|x_i\|^2 \leq s \sum_{0 \leq j < n} \|[L_{ij}^{(k)}]_{T_k}\|, 0 \leq i < m, 1 \leq k \leq l$$

and

$$(14) \quad \|y_j^{(k)}\|^2 \leq s \sum_{0 \leq i < m} \|[L_{ij}^{(k)}]_{T_k}\|, 0 \leq j < n, 1 \leq k \leq l.$$

3. Main Results

CONVENTION. In this section we assume that \mathcal{R}_1 and \mathcal{R}_2 are either simultaneously (0) or simultaneously not (0) and so are \mathcal{S}_1 and \mathcal{S}_2 .

LEMMA 3.1. Suppose $T_k(\in \mathbb{A}(\mathcal{H}))$ with minimal coisometric extension $B_k(\in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}_k \oplus \mathcal{R}_k))$, $B_k \in C_0(\mathcal{K})$, and for some $0 < \theta_k < \gamma_k \leq 1$, \mathcal{A}_{T_k} has property E_{θ_k, γ_k}^r , for each $k = 1, 2$. Suppose also that, for each $k = 1, 2$, $0 < \rho < 1$, $[L_k] \in Q_{B_k}$, $a \in \mathcal{H}$, $w_k \in \mathcal{S}_k$, $b_k \in \mathcal{R}_k$, and $\delta_k > 0$ are given such that

$$(15) \quad \|[L_k]_{B_k} - [a \otimes (w_k + b_k)]_{B_k}\| < \delta_k.$$

Then there exist $\hat{a} \in \mathcal{H}$, $\hat{w}_k \in \mathcal{S}_k$, $\hat{b}_k \in \mathcal{R}_k$, $k = 1, 2$, such that

$$(16) \quad \|[L_k]_{B_k} - [\hat{a} \otimes (\hat{w}_k + \hat{b}_k)]_{B_k}\| < \left(\frac{\theta}{\gamma}\right)\delta,$$

and

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\hat{a} - a\| &< 6\left(\frac{\delta}{\gamma}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, & \|\hat{w}_k - w_k\| &< \left(\frac{\delta_k}{\gamma_k}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \|\hat{b}_k\| &< \frac{1}{\rho} \left\{ \|b_k\| + \left(\frac{\delta_k}{\gamma_k}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}, & k &= 1, 2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\theta = \max_{k=1,2} \{\theta_k\}, \delta = \max_{k=1,2} \{\delta_k\} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma = \min_{k=1,2} \{\gamma_k\}.$$

Proof. By theorem 3.2.1 of [8], it is clear.

THEOREM 3.2. For $k = 1, 2$, suppose $T_k(\in \mathbb{A}(\mathcal{H}))$ with minimal coisometric extension $B_k(\in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}_k \oplus \mathcal{R}_k))$, $B_k \in C_0(\mathcal{K})$, and for some $0 \leq \theta_k < \gamma_k \leq 1$, $\max_{k=1,2} \{\theta_k\} < \min_{k=1,2} \{\gamma_k\}$, \mathcal{A}_{T_k} has property E_{θ_k, γ_k}^r . Suppose also that we are given scalar $\beta > 1$ and sequence $\left\{ [L_j^{(k)}]_{B_k} \right\}_{j=1}^{\infty} \subset Q_{B_k}$ are given such that

$$(18) \quad \|[L_j^{(k)}]_{B_k}\| < \mu_j^{(k)}, j \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \text{with} \quad \mu_k = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (\mu_j^{(k)})^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty.$$

Moreover if we set

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{\gamma^{\frac{1}{2}} - \theta^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad \mu = \max_{k=1,2} \{\mu_k\},$$

and

$$\theta = \max_{k=1,2} \{\theta_k\}, \quad \gamma = \min_{k=1,2} \{\gamma_k\}.$$

Then there exist $x \in \mathcal{H}, \{w_j^{(k)}\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{S}_k$ and $\{b_j^{(k)}\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{R}_k, k = 1, 2$ such that

$$(19) \quad [L_j^{(k)}]_{B_k} = [x \otimes (w_j^{(k)} + b_j^{(k)})]_{B_k}, \quad k = 1, 2,$$

$$(20) \quad \|x\| < 6\alpha\mu^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$(21) \quad \|w_j^{(k)}\| < \alpha(\mu_j^{(k)})^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{for all } j \quad \text{and } k = 1, 2,$$

and

$$(22) \quad \|b_j^{(k)}\| < \alpha\beta(\mu_j^{(k)})^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{for all } j \quad \text{and } k = 1, 2.$$

Proof. Since if \mathcal{A}_{T_k} has property E_{0, γ_k}^r for each k , it also has property E_{θ_k, γ_k}^r for all $0 < \theta_k < \gamma_k$, the right-hand side of (20), (21), and (22) are continuous functions of θ_k and $\mu_j^{(k)}$, it suffices to treat the case $0 < \theta_k < \gamma_k$. Let us set

$$\epsilon_{j,t} = [\max_{k=1,2} \{\mu_j^{(k)}\}] \left(\frac{\theta}{\gamma}\right)^t$$

for all $j \geq 1, t \geq 0$, let $\{s_n\}$ be a sequence of positive numbers strictly decreasing to $\frac{1}{\beta}$ such that $s_1 = 1$, and let $\rho_n = \frac{s_n+1}{s_n}, n \geq 1$. Let $B : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a bijection such that $j \leq j'$ and $t \leq t'$ implies $B(j, t) \leq B(j', t')$. Let $w_{j,0}^{(k)} = 0$ in \mathcal{S}_k and $b_{j,0}^{n,k} = 0$ in \mathcal{R}_k , for all $j, n \geq 1$, and $k = 1, 2$. We shall now construct, by induction, sequences $\{x_n\} \subset$

$\mathcal{H}, \{w_{j,t}^{(k)}\}_{j,t \geq 1} \subset \mathcal{S}_k$ and, for $n \geq 1$, finite sequences $\{b_{j,t}^{n,t}\}_{B(j,t) \leq n} \subset \mathcal{R}_k$ such that

$$(23) \quad \|[L_j^{(k)}]_{B_k} - [x_n \otimes (w_{j,t}^{(k)} + b_{j,t}^{n,k})]_{B_k}\| < \epsilon_{j,t}, \quad B(j,t) \leq n, k = 1, 2,$$

$$(24) \quad \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| < 6 \left(\frac{\epsilon_{j,t-1}}{\gamma} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{for } n = B(j,t),$$

$$(25) \quad \|w_{j,t}^{(k)} - w_{j,t-1}^{(k)}\| < \left(\frac{\epsilon_{j,t-1}}{\gamma} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

for all $j, t \geq 1$, and

$$(26) \quad \|b_{j,t}^{n,k}\| < \frac{1}{\rho_n} \left\{ \|b_{j,t-1}^{n-1,k}\| + \left(\frac{\epsilon_{j,t-1}}{\gamma} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\},$$

if $B(j,t) \leq n, k = 1, 2$.

For $n = 1 = B(1,1)$, we apply Lemma 3.1, with $[L_k] = [L_j^{(k)}], \delta = \mu_1, \rho = \rho_1, a = 0, b_k = 0, w_k = 0, k = 1, 2$, to find $x_1 \in \mathcal{H}, w_{1,1}^{(k)} \in \mathcal{S}_k, s_{1,1}^{1,k} \in \mathcal{R}_k$ so that

$$(27) \quad \|[L_1^{(k)}]_{B_k} - [x_1 \otimes (w_{1,1}^{(k)} + b_{1,1}^{1,k})]_{B_k}\| < \epsilon_{1,1}, \quad k = 1, 2,$$

$$(28) \quad \|x_1\| < 6 \left(\frac{\mu_1}{\gamma} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$(29) \quad \|w_{1,1}^{(k)}\| < \left(\frac{\mu_1}{\gamma} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

and

$$(30) \quad \|b_{1,1}^{1,k}\| < \frac{1}{\rho_1} \left(\frac{\mu_1}{\gamma} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad k = 1, 2,$$

where

$$\mu_1 = \max_{k=1,2} \{ \mu_1^{(k)} \}.$$

Suppose now that vectors $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ in \mathcal{H} , $\{w_{j,t}^{(k)}\}_{B(j,t) \leq n}$ in \mathcal{S}_k , and $\{b_{j,t}^{n,k}\}_{B(j,t) \leq n}$ in \mathcal{R}_k , $k = 1, 2$, have been chosen so that (23) – (26) are satisfied. Let $n + 1 = B(p, q)$. Apply Lemma 3.1 with $[L_k] = [L_p^{(k)}]$, $a = x_n$, $w_k = w_{p,q-1}^{(k)}$, $b_k = b_{p,q-1}^{n,k}$, $\delta = \epsilon_{p,q-1}$, $\rho = \rho_{n+1}$ to find $x_{n+1} \in \mathcal{H}$, $w_{p,q}^{(k)} \in \mathcal{S}_k$ and $b_{p,q}^{n+1,k} \in \mathcal{R}_k$, $k = 1, 2$, such that

$$(31) \quad \|[L_p^{(k)}]_{B_k} - [x_{n+1} \otimes (w_{p,q}^{(k)} + b_{p,q}^{n+1,k})]_{B_k}\| < \epsilon_{p,q}, k = 1, 2,$$

$$(32) \quad \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| < 6 \left(\frac{\epsilon_{p,q-1}}{\gamma} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$(33) \quad \|w_{p,q}^{(k)} - w_{p,q-1}^{(k)}\| < \left(\frac{\epsilon_{p,q-1}}{\gamma} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

and

$$(34) \quad \|b_{p,q}^{n+1,k}\| < \frac{1}{\rho_{n+1}} \left\{ \|b_{p,q-1}^{n,k}\| + \left(\frac{\epsilon_{p,q-1}}{\gamma} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}, k = 1, 2.$$

Hence we have

$$\|[L_j^{(k)}]_{B_k} - [x_{n+1} \otimes (w_{j,t}^{(k)} + b_{j,t}^{n+1,k})]_{B_k}\| < \epsilon_{j,t}, k = 1, 2,$$

if $B(j, t) \leq n + 1$. Therefore (23) – (26) are satisfied for $n + 1$.

It also follows from that (24) and (25) that $\{x_n\}$ and $\{w_{j,t}^{(k)}\}_{t=1}^\infty$ are Cauchy sequences for all $j \geq 1, k = 1, 2$ and from (26) that the sequences $\{b_{j,t}^{(k)}\}_{t=1}^\infty$ are bounded for all $j \geq 1, k = 1, 2$, where $b_{j,t}^{(k)} = b_{j,t}^{B(j,t),k}$ for all $j, t \geq 1, k = 1, 2$. Without loss of generality, we may suppose that $\{b_{j,t}^{(k)}\}_{t=1}^\infty$ converges weakly to some $b_j^{(k)} \in \mathcal{R}_k, k = 1, 2$. Also let

$$x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n+1} \quad \text{and} \quad w_j^{(k)} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} w_{j,t}^{(k)}$$

for each $j \geq 1$. To prove (25), we first know that

$$\lim_t \|[x \otimes (w_j^{(k)} + b_{j,t}^{(k)})]_{B_k} - [x_{n+1} \otimes (w_{j,t}^{(k)} + b_{j,t}^{(k)})]_{B_k}\| = 0$$

because $\|[x \otimes y]\| \leq \|x\| \|y\|$, $\|x - x_{n+1}\| \rightarrow 0$, and the sequence $\{w_{j,t}^{(k)} + b_{j,t}^{(k)}\}_{t=1}^\infty, j \in \mathbb{N}, k = 1, 2$, are bounded (being weakly convergent to $w_j^{(k)} + b_j^{(k)}$). Thus, using (23), we obtain

$$(35) \quad \lim_t \|[L_j^{(k)}]_{B_k} - [x \otimes (w_j^{(k)} + b_{j,t}^{(k)})]_{B_k}\| = 0, j \in \mathbb{N}, k = 1, 2,$$

and hence for any $X_k \in \mathcal{A}_{B_k}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &< X_k, [x \otimes (w_j^{(k)} + b_j^{(k)})]_{B_k} > \\ &= (X_k x, w_j^{(k)} + b_j^{(k)}) \\ &= \lim_t (X_k x, w_j^{(k)} + b_{j,t}^{(k)}) \\ &= \lim_t < X_k, [x \otimes (w_j^{(k)} + b_{j,t}^{(k)})]_{B_k} > \\ &= < X_k, [L_j^{(k)}]_{B_k} >, j \in \mathbb{N}, k = 1, 2, \text{ by (35)}. \end{aligned}$$

And, it follows from (24) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\| &\leq 6 \sum_{j=1}^\infty \sum_{t=0}^\infty \left(\frac{\epsilon_{j,t}}{\gamma}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 6 \sum_{j=1}^\infty \sum_{t=0}^\infty \left\{ \max_{k=1,2} \left(\frac{\mu_j^{(k)}}{\gamma}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\} \left(\frac{\theta}{\gamma}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \frac{6}{1 - \left(\frac{\theta}{\gamma}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left\{ \max_{k=1,2} \sum_{j=1}^\infty \left(\frac{\mu_j^{(k)}}{\gamma}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\|w_j^{(k)}\| \leq \frac{\left(\frac{\mu_j^{(k)}}{\gamma}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{1 - \left(\frac{\theta}{\gamma}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

for all $j \geq 1$ and $k = 1, 2$. Moreover, it follows from (26) that

$$\begin{aligned} s_{n+1} \|b_{j,t}^{(k)}\| &\leq s_{B(j,t-1)+1} \|b_{j,t-1}^{(k)}\| + \left(\frac{\epsilon_{j,t-1}}{\gamma}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq s_{B(j,1)+1} \|b_{j,1}^{(k)}\| + \sum_{l=1}^{t-1} \left(\frac{\epsilon_{j,l}}{\gamma}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \sum_{l=0}^{t-1} \left(\frac{\epsilon_{j,l}}{\gamma}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sum_{l=0}^{t-1} \left(\frac{\mu_j^{(k)}}{\gamma}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{\theta}{\gamma}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\mu_j^{(k)}}{\gamma}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{1 - \left(\frac{\theta}{\gamma}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence that

$$\|b_{j,t}^{(k)}\| \leq \frac{\beta \left(\mu_j^{(k)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{1 - \left(\frac{\theta}{\gamma}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left(\frac{1}{\gamma}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for all $j \geq 1, t \geq 0$ and $k = 1, 2$.

THEOREM 3.3. *Under the same conditions of Theorem 3.2, we have*

$$(36) \quad [L_j^{(k)}]_{T_k} = [x \otimes P(w_j^{(k)} + b_j^{(k)})]_{T_k}, \quad k = 1, 2,$$

where P is the projection of \mathcal{K} onto the subspace \mathcal{H} .

Moreover, the set $\{T_1, T_2\}$ is in the class $\mathbf{A}_{1, N_0}^2(6\sqrt{2}\alpha^2)$, where $\alpha = \frac{1}{\gamma^{\frac{1}{2}} - \theta^{\frac{1}{2}}}$.

Proof. In order to prove the first part of theorem 3.3, set $v_{j,t}^{(k)} = P(w_{j,t}^{(k)} + b_{j,t}^{(k)})$ for each $k = 1, 2, t \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\{v_{j,t}^{(k)}\}_{t=1}^\infty$ is bounded, we may suppose, without loss of generality, that $\{v_{j,t}^{(k)}\}_{t=1}^\infty$ is weakly convergent to $v_j^{(k)}$, for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k = 1, 2$. It also follows from that (24) and (25) that $\{x_n\}$ and $\{w_{j,t}^{(k)}\}_{t=1}^\infty$ are Cauchy sequences for all $j \geq 1, k = 1, 2$ and from (26) that the sequences $\{b_{j,t}^{(k)}\}_{t=1}^\infty$ are bounded

for all $j \geq 1, k = 1, 2$, where $b_{j,t}^{(k)} = b_{j,t}^{B(j,t),k}$ for all $j, t \geq 1, k = 1, 2$. Without loss of generality, we may suppose that $\{b_{j,t}^{(k)}\}_{t=1}^\infty$ converges weakly to some $b_j^{(k)} \in \mathcal{R}_k, k = 1, 2$. Also let $x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n+1}$ and $w_j^{(k)} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} w_{j,t}^{(k)}$ for each $j \geq 1$. since $\{v_{j,t}^{(k)}\}_{t=1}^\infty$ is bounded, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \| [x \otimes v_{j,t}^{(k)}] - [x_{n+1} \otimes v_{j,t}^{(k)}] \| \\ &= \| [(x - x_{n+1}) \otimes v_{j,t}^{(k)}] \| \\ &\leq \| x - x_{n+1} \| \| v_{j,t}^{(k)} \| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where $n = B(j, t)$. Also, from (10) and (11) with $j_k = \varphi_{B_k}^{-1} \circ \varphi_{T_k}, k = 1, 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \| [L_j^{(k)}]_{T_k} - [x_{n+1} \otimes v_{j,t}^{(k)}]_{T_k} \| \\ &= \| j_k ([L_j^{(k)}]_{T_k}) - [x_{n+1} \otimes v_{j,t}^{(k)}]_{B_k} \|, \quad \text{by (10)} \\ &= \| j_k ([L_j^{(k)}]_{T_k}) - [x_{n+1} \otimes (w_{j,t}^{(k)} + b_{j,t}^{(k)})]_{B_k} \|, \quad \text{by (11)} \\ &< \epsilon_{j,t} = \max_{k=1,2} \left\{ \mu_j^{(k)} \right\} \left(\frac{\theta}{\gamma} \right)^t \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{by (35),} \end{aligned}$$

where $n = B(j, t)$. So

$$\| [L_j^{(k)}]_{T_k} - [x \otimes v_{j,t}^{(k)}]_{T_k} \| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

We now complete to show that $[L_j^{(k)}]_{T_k} = [x \otimes v_j^{(k)}]_{T_k}$, and thus complete the proof: for h in $H^\infty(\mathbb{T})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle h(T_k), [L_j^{(k)}]_{T_k} \rangle &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \langle h(T_k), [x \otimes v_{j,t}^{(k)}]_{T_k} \rangle \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (h(T_k)x, v_{j,t}^{(k)}) \\ &= (h(T_k)x, v_j^{(k)}) \\ &= \langle h(T_k), [x \otimes v_j^{(k)}]_{T_k} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$[L_j^{(k)}]_{T_k} = [x \otimes v_j^{(k)}]_{T_k}, j \in \mathbb{N}, k = 1, 2.$$

To that end, let $\{[L_j^{(k)}]_{T_k}\}_{j=1}^\infty$ be a sequence from $Q_{T_k}, k = 1, 2$, such that $d^{(k)} = \sum_{j=1}^\infty d_j^{(k)} < \infty$, where $d_j^{(k)} = \|[L_j^{(k)}]_{T_k}\|, k = 1, 2$. Choose $s > 6\sqrt{2}\alpha^2$ and positive scalar $\beta > 1$ such that $6(1 + \beta^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\alpha^2 < s$, and also choose, for each integer j and $k = 1, 2, \mu_j^{(k)} > d_j^{(k)}$ such that $6(1 + \beta^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\alpha^2(\mu_j^{(k)})^{\frac{1}{2}} < sd_j^{(k)}$. It follows that $6(1 + \beta^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}\alpha^2\mu_k < sd^{(k)}$, where $\mu_k = \sum_{j=1}^\infty (\mu_j^{(k)})^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty$. By the first part of theorem 3.3, there exist a vector \tilde{a} and a sequences $\{y_j^{(k)}\} = \{P(w_j^{(k)} + b_j^{(k)})\}_{j=1,2}^{\infty,2}$ from \mathcal{H} satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} [L_j^{(k)}]_{T_k} &= [\tilde{a} \otimes y_j^{(k)}]_{T_k}, \\ \|\tilde{a}\| &\leq 6\alpha\mu^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ \|y_j^{(k)}\| &\leq \left(\|w_j^{(k)}\|^2 + \|b_j^{(k)}\|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &< \left(\alpha^2\mu_j^{(k)} + \beta^2\alpha^2\mu_j^{(k)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \alpha(1 + \beta^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mu_j^{(k)})^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad j \in \mathbb{N}, k = 1, 2. \end{aligned}$$

Setting

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{a} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(1 + \beta^2)^{\frac{1}{4}}\tilde{a} \\ \hat{y}_j^{(k)} &= \frac{\sqrt{6}}{(1 + \beta^2)^{\frac{1}{4}}}y_j^{(k)}, \quad k = 1, 2. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} [L_j^{(k)}]_{T_k} &= [\hat{a} \otimes \hat{y}_j^{(k)}]_{T_k}, \\ \|\hat{a}\| &< \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(1 + \beta^2)^{\frac{1}{4}}\|\tilde{a}\| < (sd^{(k)})^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ \|\hat{y}_j^{(k)}\| &< \frac{\sqrt{6}}{(1 + \beta^2)^{\frac{1}{4}}}\|y_j^{(k)}\| < (sd_j^{(k)})^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\ &\quad j \in \mathbb{N}, k = 1, 2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the set

$$\{T_1, T_2\} \in \mathbf{A}_{1, \mathbb{N}_0}^2(6\sqrt{2}\alpha^2).$$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The authors wish to thank Professor Il Bong Jung for helpful discussions.

References

1. C. Apostol, H. Bercovici, C. Foias and C. Pearcy, *Invariant subspaces, dilation theory, and structure of the predual of a dual algebra. I*, *Funct. Anal.* **63** (1985), 369-404.
2. H. Bercovici, B. Chevreau, C. Foias and C. Pearcy, *Dilation theory and systems of simultaneous equations in the predual of an operator algebra. II*, *Math. Z.* **187** (1984), 97-103.
3. H. Bercovici, C. Foias and C. Pearcy, *Dilation theory and systems of simultaneous equations in the predual of an operator algebra. I*, *Michigan Math. J.* **30** (1983), 335-354.
4. ———, *Dual algebras with applications to invariant subspaces and dilation theory*, C.B.M.S. Regional Conference Series, no. 56, A.M.S., Providence, R. I.
5. A. Brown and C. Pearcy, *Introduction to operator theory. I*, *Elements of functional analysis*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977.
6. B. Chevreau, G. Exner, and C. Pearcy, *On the structure of contraction operators, III*, *Michigan Math. J.* **36** (1989), 29-62.
7. B. Chevreau, and C. Pearcy, *On the structure of contraction operators, I*, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **76** (1988), 1-29.
8. Hae Gyu Kim, *Ph.D. Thesis*, Kyungpook National University, 1992.
9. B. Prunaru, *On the class $\mathbb{A}_{1, \mathbb{N}_0}$* , *Proceedings of the American Math. Soc.* **112** (1991), 45 - 51.
10. B. Sz-Nagy and C. Foias, *Harmonic analysis of operators on Hilbert spaces*, North Holland Akademiai Kiado, Amsterdam/Budapest, 1970.

Hae Gyu Kim
Department of Mathematics
Korea Naval Academy
Chinhae 645-797, Korea

Youngoh Yang
Department of Mathematics
College of Natural Science
Cheju National University