

CHARACTERIZATIONS OF SOME REAL HYPERSURFACES IN A COMPLEX SPACE FORM IN TERMS OF LIE DERIVATIVE*

U-HANG KI AND YOUNG JIN SUH

1. Introduction

A complex $n(\geq 2)$ -dimensional Kaehlerian manifold of constant holomorphic sectional curvature c is called a complex space form, which is denoted by $M_n(c)$. A complete and simply connected complex space form is a complex projective space P_nC , a complex Euclidean space C^n or a complex hyperbolic space H_nC , according as $c > 0$, $c = 0$ or $c < 0$. Takagi [12] and Berndt [2] classified all homogeneous real hypersurfaces of P_nC and H_nC .

Now, let M be a real hypersurface of $M_n(c), c \neq 0$. Then M has an almost contact metric structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) induced from the Kaehler metric and the almost complex structure of $M_n(c)$. We denote by \mathcal{L}_ξ the Lie derivative with respect to ξ .

Recently Ki, Kim and Lee [4] gives a characterization of real hypersurfaces of type A . We denote by A a shape operator of the real hypersurface M . They proved the following.

THEOREM A. *Let M be a real hypersurface of P_nC , $n \geq 3$. If it satisfies*

$$(1.1) \quad \mathcal{L}_\xi A = 0,$$

where A denotes the shape operator, then M is locally a tube of radius r over one of the following Kahler submanifolds:

(A_1) a hyperplane $P_{n-1}C$, where $0 < r < \pi/2$,

Received August 12, 1993.

1991 AMS Subject Classification: 53C15, 53C45.

Key words: real hypersurfaces of type A , ruled real hypersurface, Lie derivative.

* Partially supported by TGRC-KOSEF and BSRI 94-1404.

(A₂) a totally geodesic $P_k C$ ($1 < k < n - 2$), where $0 < r < \pi/2$.

As an example of special real hypersurfaces of $P_n C$ different from the above ones, we can give some characterizations of ruled real hypersurfaces in terms of the Lie derivative of the second fundamental form.

On the other hand, Kimura [7] obtained some properties about a ruled real hypersurface M of $P_n C, n \geq 3$. In particular, an example of minimal ruled hypersurface M of $P_n C, n \geq 3$ is constructed. Let T_0 be a distribution defined by a subspace $T_0(x) = \{u \in T_x M : u \perp \xi(x)\}$ of the tangent space $T_x(M)$, which is called the *holomorphic distribution*. Kimura and Maeda [8] also proved the following

THEOREM B. *Let M be a real hypersurface of $P_n C, n \geq 3$. Then the second fundamental form is η -parallel and the holomorphic distribution T_0 is integrable if and only if M is locally a ruled real hypersurface.*

The purpose of this article is to generalize Theorem A slightly and then to give another characterization of the ruled real hypersurfaces in $M_n(c)$. Assume that ξ is not necessary principal. Then we can put $A\xi = \alpha\xi + \beta U$, where U is a unit vector orthogonal to ξ and α and ξ are smooth functions on M . We prove the following.

THEOREM 1. *Let M be a real hypersurface of $M_n(c), c \neq 0, n \geq 3$.*

$$(1.2) \quad g((\mathcal{L}_\xi A)X, Y) = 0$$

for any vector fields X and Y in the distribution T_0 , then M is of type A.

THEOREM 2. *Let M be a real hypersurface of $M_n(c), c \neq 0$ and $n \geq 3$. If it satisfies*

$$(1.3) \quad g((\mathcal{L}_\xi A)X, Y) = \beta^2 g(X, \phi U)g(Y, U)$$

for any vector fields X and Y in the distribution T_0 and if the structure vector field is not principal and $d\alpha(\xi) \neq 0$, then M is locally congruent to a ruled real hypersurface.

2. Preliminaries

First of all, we recall fundamental properties of real hypersurfaces of a complex space form. Let M be a real hypersurface of a complex n -dimensional complex space form $M_n(c)$ of constant holomorphic sectional curvature $c(\neq 0)$ and let C be a unit normal field on a neighborhood of a point x in M . We denote by J an almost complex structure of $M_n(c)$. For a local vector field X on a neighborhood of x in M , the transformation of X and C under J can be represented as

$$JX = \phi X + \eta(X)C, \quad JC = -\xi,$$

where ϕ defines a skew-symmetric transformation on the tangent bundle TM of M , while η and ξ denote a 1-form and a vector field on a neighborhood of x in M , respectively. Moreover, it is seen that $g(\xi, X) = \eta(X)$, where g denotes the induced Riemannian metric on M . By properties of the almost complex structure J , the set (ϕ, ξ, η, g) of tensors satisfies

$$\phi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi, \quad \phi\xi = 0, \quad \eta(\phi X) = 0, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1,$$

where I denotes the identity transformation. Accordingly, the set is so called an *almost contact metric structure*. Furthermore the covariant derivative of the structure tensors are given by

$$(2.1) \quad (\nabla_X \phi)Y = \eta(Y)AX - g(AX, Y)\xi, \quad \nabla_X \xi = \phi AX,$$

where ∇ is the Riemannian connection of g and A denotes the shape operator with respect to the unit normal C on M .

Since the ambient space is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature c , the equation of Gauss and Codazzi are respectively given as follows

$$(2.2) \quad R(X, Y)Z = \frac{c}{4} \{g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y + g(\phi Y, Z)\phi X - g(\phi X, Z)\phi Y - 2g(\phi X, Y)\phi Z\} + g(A Y, Z)A X - g(A X, Z)A Y,$$

$$(2.3) \quad (\nabla_X A)Y - (\nabla_Y A)X = \frac{c}{4} \{\eta(X)\phi Y - \eta(Y)\phi X - 2g(\phi X, Y)\xi\},$$

where R denotes the Riemannian curvature tensor of M and $\nabla_X A$ denotes the covariant derivative of the shape operator A with respect to X .

The second fundamental form is said to be η -parallel if the shape operator A satisfies $g((\nabla_X A)Y, Z) = 0$ for any vector fields X, Y and Z in T_0 .

3. Proof of Theorem 1

Let M be a real hypersurface of $M_n(c)$, $c \neq 0$, $n \geq 3$. In order to prove Theorem 1 the norm of the vector field $(A\phi - \phi A)X$ for any vector field X in T_0 shall be estimated by the Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_\xi A$ with respect to ξ . By the properties of the Lie derivative we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_\xi A)X &= \mathcal{L}_\xi(AX) - A\mathcal{L}_\xi X \\ &= (\nabla_\xi A)X - \nabla_{AX}\xi + A\nabla_X\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by the second equation of (2.1) it is reformed to

$$\begin{aligned} (3.1) \quad g((\mathcal{L}_\xi A)X, Y) & \\ &= g((\nabla_\xi A)X, Y) - g(\phi A^2 X, Y) + g(A\phi AX, Y), \end{aligned}$$

for any vector fields X and Y in T_0 . Interchanging X and Y in this equation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} g((\mathcal{L}_\xi A)Y, X) & \\ &= g((\nabla_\xi A)Y, X) - g(\phi A^2 Y, X) + g(A\phi AY, X), \end{aligned}$$

from which combined with (3.1) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (3.2) \quad g((\mathcal{L}_\xi A)X, Y) - g((\mathcal{L}_\xi A)Y, X) & \\ &= -g((A^2\phi - 2A\phi A + \phi A^2)X, Y). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we do not necessarily assume that ξ is principal. So we put $A\xi = \alpha\xi + \beta U$, where U is a unit vector field orthogonal to ξ and α and β are smooth functions on M . By the direct calculation and by

using the property of the structure tensor ϕ , the square of the norm $(A\phi - \phi A)X$ is given as follows;

$$g((A\phi - \phi A)X, (A\phi - \phi A)X) = g((A^2\phi - 2A\phi A + \phi A^2)X, \phi X) - \beta^2 g(X, U)^2,$$

from which together with (3.2) it follows that

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} &g((A\phi - \phi A)X, (A\phi - \phi A)X) \\ &= g((\mathcal{L}_\xi A)\phi X, X) - g((\mathcal{L}_\xi A)X, \phi X) - \beta^2 g(X, U)^2 \end{aligned}$$

for any vector field X in T_0 .

Proof of Theorem 1. By the assumption $g((\mathcal{L}_\xi A)X, Y) = 0$ for any X and Y in T_0 and (3.3) it turns out to be

$$(3.4) \quad (A\phi - \phi A)X = 0, \quad \beta g(X, U) = 0, \quad X \in T_0.$$

By the above second equation it satisfies $\beta = 0$, which means that ξ is principal, i.e., $A\xi = \alpha\xi$. By this property and the first equation of (3.4) the shape operator A must commute the structure tensor ϕ . Namely we have

$$A\phi - \phi A = 0.$$

By a theorem due to Okumura [11] in P_nC and Montiel and Romero [10] in H_nC , this completes the proof.

REMARK 1. By a theorem due to Ki, Kim and Lee [4] the following conditions are equivalent with each other:

$$(1)\mathcal{L}_\xi g = 0, \quad (2)\mathcal{L}_\xi \phi = 0, \quad (3)\mathcal{L}_\xi A = 0.$$

According to Theorem 1, it is shown that the restriction of the condition (3) to the distribution T_0 implies (1) and (2). However, we note that ruled real hypersurfaces satisfy

$$\mathcal{L}_\xi g(X, Y) = 0, \quad g((\mathcal{L}_\xi \phi)X, Y) = 0$$

for any vector fields X and Y in T_0 , but it is not of type A.

REMARK 2. In the forthcoming paper ([6]) the fact that if it satisfies $(\mathcal{L}_\xi\phi)X = 0$ for any X in T_0 , then $\mathcal{L}_\xi\phi = 0$ is observed.

4. Ruled real hypersurfaces

This section is concerned with necessary properties about ruled real hypersurfaces. First of all, we recall a ruled real hypersurface M of $M_n(c)$, $c \neq 0$. Let $\gamma : I \rightarrow M_n(c)$ be any regular curve. For any $t \in I$ let $M_{n-1}^{(t)}(c)$ be a totally geodesic complex hypersurface through the point $\gamma(t)$ of $M_n(c)$ which is orthogonal to a holomorphic plane spanned by $\gamma'(t)$ and $J\gamma'(t)$. Set $M = \{x \in M_{n-1}^{(t)}(c) : t \in I\}$. Then the construction of M asserts that M is a real hypersurface of $M_n(c)$, which is called a *ruled real hypersurface*. This means that there are many ruled real hypersurfaces of $M_n(c)$. Moreover from this construction we know that the distribution T_0 defined by $T_0(x) = \{X \in T_x M : X \perp \xi_x\}$ for $x \in M$ is integrable and its integral manifold is a totally geodesic submanifold $M_{n-1}(c)$ of $M_n(c)$, $c \neq 0$.

Now let us give some fundamental properties of the ruled real hypersurface M of $M_n(c)$, $c \neq 0$. Let us put $A\xi = \alpha\xi + \beta U$, where U is a unit vector orthogonal to ξ and α and β ($\beta \neq 0$) are smooth functions on M . As is seen in [1] and [8], the shape operator A satisfies

$$(4.1) \quad AU = \beta\xi.$$

In fact, if we let $AU = \beta\xi + \gamma U + \delta W$ for certain vector field W orthogonal to ξ and U , then

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &= g(AU, U) = g(-D_U C, U) = g(C, D_U U) = g(C, \nabla_U U) = 0, \text{ and} \\ \delta &= g(AU, W) = g(-D_U C, W) = g(C, D_U W) = g(C, \nabla_U W) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

because the distribution T_0 is integrable and its integral manifold is totally geodesic in $M_n(c)$, $c \neq 0$, where D and ∇ denotes the Riemannian connection of $M_n(c)$ and M respectively. Moreover, from (4.1) it follows that

$$(4.2) \quad AX = 0,$$

for any vector field X orthogonal to ξ and U , because

$$\begin{aligned} g(AX, \xi) &= g(A\xi, X) = g(\alpha\xi + \beta U, X) = 0, \\ g(AX, U) &= g(AU, X) = \beta g(\xi, X) = 0, \text{ and} \\ g(AX, Y) &= g(-D_X C, Y) = g(C, D_X Y) = g(C, \nabla_X Y) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for any X and Y in T_0 orthogonal to ξ and U . Thus from (4.1) and (4.2) it turns out to be

$$(4.3) \quad A\phi X = -\beta g(X, \phi U)\xi, \quad \phi AX = 0, \quad X \in T_0,$$

which implies that

$$(4.4) \quad g((A\phi - \phi A)X, Y) = 0, \quad X, Y \in T_0.$$

Next the covariant derivative $\nabla_\xi A$ with respect to ξ is explicitly expressed. Since it satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} g((\nabla_\xi A)X, Y) &= g(\nabla_\xi(AX) - A\nabla_\xi X, Y) \\ &= g(\nabla_\xi(AX), Y) - g(\nabla_\xi X, AY), \quad X, Y \in T_0, \end{aligned}$$

we get, by the direct calculation of the left hand side of the above relation and using the property $\nabla_\xi \xi = \phi A\xi = \beta \phi U$ by (2.1),

$$g((\nabla_\xi A)X, Y) = \begin{cases} 0, & X = Y = U; \\ \beta^2 g(Y, \phi U), & X = U, Y \perp U; \\ \beta^2 g(X, \phi U), & X \perp U, Y = U; \\ 0, & X, Y \perp U. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$g(\phi A^2 X, Y) = \begin{cases} 0, & X = Y = U; \\ \beta^2 g(Y, \phi U), & X = U, Y \perp U; \\ 0, & X \perp U, Y = U; \\ 0, & X, Y \perp U. \end{cases}$$

Because of $\phi AX = 0$ for any X in T_0 , we get by (3.1)

$$(4.5) \quad g((\mathcal{L}_\xi A)X, Y) = \beta^2 g(X, \phi U)g(Y, U), \quad X, Y \in T_0.$$

This shows that the ruled real hypersurface M of $M_n(c)$ satisfies the condition (1.3).

5. Proof of Theorem 2

In this section we shall give a characterization for ruled real hypersurfaces. Let M be the real hypersurface of $M_n(c)$, $c \neq 0$, and assume that the structure vector is not principal. We put $A\xi = \alpha\xi + \beta U$, where U is a unit vector in the holomorphic distribution T_0 . Then by the assumption the function β does not vanish identically on M .

Concerning the ruled real hypersurfaces the following is proved by the present authors [6].

THEOREM C. *Let M be a real hypersurface of $M_n(c)$, $c \neq 0$ and $n \geq 3$. If it satisfies*

$$(5.1) \quad \mathcal{L}_\xi g(X, Y) = 0,$$

$$(5.2) \quad g((\mathcal{L}_\xi A\phi)X, Y) = 0$$

for any vector fields X and Y in the distribution T_0 , and if the structure vector field is not principal and $da(\xi) \neq 0$, then M is locally congruent to a ruled real hypersurface.

Now, let M_0 be an open subset of M consisting of points x at which $\beta(x) \neq 0$. By the assumption that ξ is not principal, the set M_0 is not empty. In order to prove the theorem, it suffices to show that the equations (5.1) and (5.2) in Theorem C hold for any vector fields X and Y in T_0 on M_0 .

We consider first (3.3). By the assumption

$$g((\mathcal{L}_\xi A)X, Y) = \beta^2 g(X, \phi U)g(Y, U),$$

We get

$$g((A\phi - \phi A)X, (A\phi - \phi A)X) = \beta^2 g(X, \phi U)^2.$$

From this equation we can calculate the norm of $(A\phi - \phi A)X + \beta g(X, \phi U)\xi$, and we can easily obtain $(A\phi - \phi A)X + \beta g(X, \phi U)\xi = 0, X \in T_0$. This means that (5.1) holds on M_0 .

It is also seen that (5.1) is equivalent to

$$g((\mathcal{L}_\xi \phi)X, Y) = 0, \quad X, Y \in T_0.$$

Using the property of the Lie derivative and the above equation we can prove that (5.2) holds on M_0 . In fact, by the direct calculation, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &g((\mathcal{L}_\xi A\phi)X, Y) \\
 &= g((\mathcal{L}_\xi A)\phi X, Y) + g(A(\mathcal{L}_\xi \phi)X, Y) \\
 &= \beta^2 g(\phi X, \phi U)g(Y, U) + g((\mathcal{L}_\xi \phi)X, AY) \\
 &= \beta^2 g(\phi X, \phi U)g(Y, U) + g((\mathcal{L}_\xi \phi)X, (AY)_0) + g(AY, \xi)g((\mathcal{L}_\xi \phi)X, \xi) \\
 &= \beta^2 g(\phi X, \phi U)g(Y, U) + \beta g(Y, U)g((\mathcal{L}_\xi \phi)X, \xi),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $(AY)_0$ denotes the T_0 -component of AY . Because of $g((\mathcal{L}_\xi \phi)X, \xi) = -\beta g(X, U)$, we can prove (5.2).

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

References

1. S. S. Ahn, S. B. Lee and Y. J. Suh, *On ruled real hypersurfaces in a complex space form*, Tsukuba J. Math. **17** (1993), 311-322.
2. J. Berndt, *Real hypersurfaces with constant principal curvatures in a complex hyperbolic space*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **395** (1989), 132-141.
3. T. E. Cecil and P. J. Ryan, *Focal sets and real hypersurfaces in complex projective space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **269** (1982), 481-499.
4. U-H. Ki, S. J. Kim and S. B. Lee, *Some characterizations of a real hypersurface of type A*, Kyungpook Math. J. **31** (1991), 205-221.
5. U-H. Ki and Y. J. Suh, *On real hypersurfaces of a complex space form*, Math. J. Okayama **32** (1990), 207-221.
6. U-H. Ki and Y. J. Suh, *Some characterizations of ruled real hypersurfaces in a complex space form*, to appear in J. of Korean Math. (1996).
7. M. Kimura, *Sectional curvatures of holomorphic planes on a real hypersurface in $P_n C$* , Math. Ann. **276** (1987), 487-497.
8. M. Kimura and S. Maeda, *On real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space*, Math. Z. **202** (1989), 299-311.
9. S. Montiel, *Real hypersurfaces of a complex hyperbolic space*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **37** (1985), 515-535.
10. S. Montiel and A. Romero, *On some real hypersurfaces of a complex hyperbolic space*, Geometriae Dedicata **20** (1986), 245-261.
11. M. Okumura, *On some real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **212** (1975), 355-364.
12. R. Takagi, *On homogeneous real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space*, Osaka J. Math. **10** (1973), 495-506.
13. K. Yano and M. Kon, *CR-submanifolds of Kähler and Sasakian manifolds*, Birkhäuser, Boston, Basel, Stuttgart, 1983.

Department of Mathematics
Kyungpook University
Taegu 702-701, KOREA