

A REMARK ON STRONGLY NONLINEAR PARABOLIC PROBLEMS

A. T. El-Dessouky

In the present paper we deal with the existence of weak solutions of strongly nonlinear variational inequalities of parabolic operators of the form

$$\frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial t} + Au(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in Q = \Omega \times (0, T) \quad (1)$$
$$u(0) = 0;$$

where $Au(x, t) = \sum_{i=1}^n D_i A_i(x, t, D_i u(x, t)) + A_0(x, t, u(x, t))$, is strongly nonlinear in the sense that its coefficients has a libral growth. Although we restrict ourselves to second order operators, our results are still workable for higher order operators.

Introduction

Consider the parabolic initial-boundary value problem

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + A(u) + g(x, t, u) = f \text{ in } Q$$
$$u(0) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega$$
$$D^\alpha u|_{[0, T] \times \partial \Omega} = 0, |\alpha| \leq m - 1$$

where

$$A(u)(x, t) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} (-1)^{|\alpha|} D^\alpha A_\alpha(x, t, Du),$$

D^α denotes the partial derivatives with respect to the space variables corresponding to the multi-indices $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N)$ of order $|\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i$, Du stands for the function u with all its derivatives up to order m .

Received May 1, 1993.
Revised March 25, 1994.

If the coefficients $A_\alpha(x, t, \xi)$ are subject to some growth condition implying $A(u)$ to be a bounded operator of a Sobolev space, respectively Sobolev-Orlicz space, into its dual, while $g(x, t, u) = 0$; the existence of weak solutions is obtained by the theory of monotone operators. Brezis and Browder [2] proved that for $g(x, t, u)$ the growth condition can be substituted by certain structure conditions. Landes [5] extended the above result of [2] to include the top order coefficients. In [6] he discussed sufficient conditions for the perturbed term to establish the existence of weak solutions. In [3] Browder and Brezis generalized their results [2] to the class of variational inequalities. It is the purpose of this work to extend the results of Landes [5],[7] to the corresponding class of variational inequalities of arbitrary order.

The author would like to thank professor R. Landes for his very fruitful discussion on this subject.

Prerequisites

Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbf{R}^N having a smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. Let us introduce for $A(u)$ the following hypothesis.

A1) For $i = 0, 1, \dots, N$, each $A_i(x, t, \xi)$ is continuous in t and ξ for almost all x and measurable in x for all t and ξ with $A_i(x, t, 0) = 0$ for all $(x, t) \in Q$. Moreover, for any $s \geq 0$ there exists a function $h_s \in L^1_+(Q)$ such that for all $(x, t) \in Q$.

$$\sup_{|r| \leq s} |A_i(x, t, r)| \leq h_s(x, t)$$

A2) For all $(x, t) \in Q$ and $r \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^N A_i(x, t, r)r \geq c_0|r|^p - k_1(x, t), \quad A_0(x, t, r)r \geq 0$$

with $c_0 > 0$ and $k_1 \in L^1(Q)$.

A3) For all $(x, t) \in Q$ and $r, r^* \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^N (A_i(x, t, r) - A_i(x, t, r^*))(r - r^*) > 0.$$

For the Galerkin method we choose a sequence $\Psi_j \subset C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $\cup_{n=1}^\infty W_n$ with $W_n = \text{span}(\Psi_1, \dots, \Psi_n)$ is dense in $W^{j,p}(\Omega)$, $j > \frac{N}{p} + 1$.

Denote by $Y_n = c(0, T; W_n)$. Since the closure of $\cup Y_n$ with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_{C(Q)}^{1,0} := \sup_{\substack{i=1,2,\dots,N \\ (x,t) \in Q}} |D_i u(x,t)|$$

contains $C_0^\infty(Q)$, we have that for f there exists $f_k \in \cup_{n=1}^\infty Y_n$ such that $f_k \rightarrow f$ in $L^2(Q)$ [7]. Let K be a closed convex subset of $C(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$ with $0 \in K$. Introduce the space

$$X = L^2(Q) \cap L^1(0, T; W_0^{1,1}(\Omega))$$

with the norm

$$\|\cdot\|_x = \|u\|_2 + \|u\|_{1,1,1}$$

where $\|\cdot\|_2$ is the usual norm in $L^2(Q)$ and $\|\cdot\|_{1,1,1}$ is defined by

$$\|u\|_{1,1,1} = \int_Q \sum_{i=1}^N |D_i u| dx dt.$$

For more details of these spaces we refer to [1].

Definition 1. A function $u_n \in Y_n \cap K$ ($n \in \mathbf{N}$) is called a Galerkin solution of the variational inequalities of the operator (1) if and only if

$$\int_\Omega \left(\frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t}\right)(v - u_n) dx + \langle Tu_n(t), v - u_n(t) \rangle \geq \int_\Omega f_n(t)(v - u_n) dx$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$ and all $v \in Y_n \cap K$,

$$u_n(0) = 0,$$

where

$$\langle Tu_n(t), v - u_n(t) \rangle = \int_\Omega \sum_{i=0}^N A_i(t, D_i u_n(t))(D_i v - D_i u_n(t)) dx.$$

The existence of a Galerkin solution and its main property which is a consequence of our hypotheses is stated in the following lemma.

Lemma. For every $n \in \mathbf{N}$ there exists a Galerkin solution $u_n \in Y_n \cap K$ such that

$$\|u_n\| \leq c_1 \quad (c_1 > 0). \quad (2)$$

Proof. Define a vector-valued function $g_n(t, a) : [0, T] \times \mathbf{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^N$ by

$$(g_n(t, a))_j = \inf_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^N A_i \left(\sum_{k=1}^N D_k \Psi_k \right) D_i \Psi_j, a = (a_1, \dots, a_N).$$

Clearly $g_n(t, a)$ is continuous. Therefore the system of ordinary differential inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} (\xi(t) + g_n(t, \xi), n - \xi(t)) &\geq (f_n(t), \eta - \xi(t)) \text{ for a.a. } t \in [0, T] \\ \xi(0) &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

with $(f_n(t))_i = \int_{\Omega} f_n(t) \psi_i dx$, has a local solution [8].

From (3) we get the estimate

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} |\xi(t)| \leq |f_n(t)| |\xi(t)|,$$

and hence,

$$|\xi(t)| \leq C_n(T)$$

including the existence of a solution $\xi_n \in Y_n \cap K$. In exactly the same manner as in [5] we may prove (2).

Definition 2. A function $u \in X \cap K$ is called a weak solution of (1) if

$$\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, v - u \right) + (Tu, v - u) \geq (f, v - u) \text{ for all } v \in C^1(0, T; C_0^\infty(\Omega)) \cap K$$

with

$$u(0) = 0$$

where

$$(Tu, w) = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=0}^N A_i(x, t, D_i u) D_i w dx dt.$$

Existence Theorem

Theorem. Let K be a closed convex subset of $C(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$ containing the origin. Let the hypotheses A1) - A3) be satisfied. Then for a given $f \in C^1(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$ the problem (1) admits a weak solution.

Proof. From the above lemma we obtain the existence of a Galerkin solution u_n such that

$$(\dot{u}_n, v - u_n) + (Tu_n, v - u_n) \geq (f_n, v - u_n), \quad f_n, v \in Y_n \cap K, \quad (4)$$

and

$$f_n \rightarrow f \text{ in } L^2(Q).$$

Setting $v = 0$ in (4) we obtain the uniform boundedness from above the numerical sequence $\{A_i(x, t, D_i u_n) D_i u_n\}_{i=0}^N$. The proof will follow if we show the following assertions for some subsequence of (u_n) :

$$\frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t} \rightarrow \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \text{ weakly in } L^2(Q) \quad (5)$$

$$u_n \rightarrow u \text{ strongly in } L^P(Q) \quad (6)$$

$$\sum_{i=0}^N A_i(x, t; D_i u_n) D_i w \rightarrow \sum_{i=0}^N A_i(x, t; D_i u) D_i w \quad \forall w \in C_0^\infty(Q) \quad (7)$$

$$\liminf \sum_{i=0}^N A_i(x, t; D_i u_n) D_i u_n \geq \sum_{i=0}^N A_i(x, t; D_i u) D_i u \quad \forall n \quad (8)$$

$$u \in X \cap K. \quad (9)$$

Assertions (6) - (9) follows in exactly the same manner as in [4] and [5]. The crucial point to prove is to show assertion (5). In order to prove (5). Given $\rho > 0$, an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $w_n \in K \cap Y_n$; put $v = u_n - \rho w_n$. Since v is arbitrary, w_n is absolutely arbitrary for a given u_n . Substituting in (4) we get

$$\langle \dot{u}_n(t), w_n(t) \rangle + \langle T u_n(t), w_n(t) \rangle \leq \langle f_n(t), w_n(t) \rangle.$$

In particular, since v is arbitrary we have from (4):

$$\begin{aligned} & - \langle \dot{u}_n(t), \frac{u_n(t - \rho) - u_n(t)}{-\rho} \rangle - \langle T u_n(t), \frac{u_n(t - \rho) - u_n(t)}{-\rho} \rangle > \\ & \leq - \langle f_n(t), \frac{u_n(t - \rho) - u_n(t)}{-\rho} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Allowing $\rho \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$- \langle \dot{u}_n(t), \dot{u}_n(t) \rangle - \langle T u_n(t), \dot{u}_n(t) \rangle \leq - \langle f_n(t), \dot{u}_n(t) \rangle. \quad (10)$$

Similarly, from (4), we have,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \dot{u}_n(t + \rho), \frac{u_n(t + \rho) - u_n(t)}{\rho} \rangle + \langle T u_n(t + \rho), \frac{u_n(t + \rho) - u_n(t)}{\rho} \rangle > \\ & \leq \langle f_n(t + \rho), \frac{u_n(t + \rho) - u_n(t)}{\rho} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\rho \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \{ \langle \dot{u}_n(t+\rho), \dot{u}_n(t) \rangle + \langle Tu_n(t+\rho), \dot{u}_n(t) \rangle \leq \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \langle f_n(t+\rho), \dot{u}_n(t) \rangle . \quad (11)$$

Adding (10) and (11) and integration over $(0, t)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{\dot{u}_n(s+\rho) - \dot{u}_n(s)}{\rho} \right] \dot{u}_n(s) ds dx \\ & + \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\rho^2} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} (Tu_n(s+\rho) - Tu_n(s))(u_n(s+\rho) - u_n(s)) ds dx \\ & \leq \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{f_n(t+\rho) - f_n(s)}{\rho} \right] \dot{u}_n(s) ds dx. \end{aligned}$$

Using A2), A3), we get

$$\int_{\Omega} |\dot{u}_n(t)|^2 - |\dot{u}_n(0)|^2 dx \leq 2 \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} |f_n(s) - \dot{u}_n(s)|^2 dx ds.$$

Therefore,

$$\|\dot{u}_n(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq 2 \int_0^t \|f'_n(s)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \|\dot{u}_n(s)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 ds + \|\dot{u}_n(0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \quad (12)$$

On the other hand, we get from (4),

$$\langle \dot{u}_n(t), \dot{u}_n(t) \rangle + \langle Tu_n(t), \dot{u}_n(t) \rangle \leq \langle f_n(t), \dot{u}_n(t) \rangle .$$

In particular, in view of A1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \dot{u}_n(0), \dot{u}_n(0) \rangle \leq \langle f_n(0), \dot{u}_n(0) \rangle \\ & \|\dot{u}_n(0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \|f'_n(0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\dot{u}_n(0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Now from (12) and (13) as well as Gronwall's inequality we conclude the a priori bound

$$\|\dot{u}_n(0)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \text{const.} \quad \forall n \in \mathbf{N}.$$

and assertion (5) follows.

References

- [1] R. A. Adams, *Sobolev spaces*, Academic press, New York, 1975.
- [2] H. Brezis and F. E. Browder, *Strongly nonlinear parabolic initial-boundary value problems*, Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. U.S.A., vol.76, No.1, pp. 38-40, 1987.
- [3] F. E. Browder and H. Brezis, *Strongly nonlinear parabolic variational inequalities*, Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. U.S.A., vol.77, No.2, pp. 713-715, 1980.
- [4] A. T. El-Dessouky, *Strongly nonlinear parabolic problems*, Boll. U.M.I., Analisi Funzionale e Applicazioni, Serie VI, vol.III-c, No.1, 1984.
- [5] R. Landes, *On the existence of weak solutions for quasilinear parabolic initial-boundary value problems*, Proc. of the Royal Soc. of Edinburgh, 89A, pp.217-237, 1981.
- [6] R. Landes, *On weak solutions of quasilinear parabolic equation*, *Nonlinear analysis theory*, Methods and Applications, Vol.9, No.9, pp.887-904, 1985.
- [7] R. Landes, *A strongly nonlinear parabolic initial boundary value problem*, Arhiv for matemetih, vol.25, No.1, pp.29-40, 1987.
- [8] N. S. Parageorgiou, *Functional differential inclusions in Banach spaces with non-convex right hand side*, Funkcialaj Ekvacioj, 32, pp.143-156, 1989.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, HELWAN UNIVERSITY, CAIRO, EGYPT.