- 6. Mark, H. B.; Pons, B. S. Anal. Chem. 1966, 38, 119.
- Bewick, A.; Kunimatsu, K.; Pons, B. S.; Russell, J. W. J. Electroanal. Chem. 1984, 160, 47.
- 8. Kunimatsu, K. J. Electroanal. Chem. 1982, 140, 205.
- Golden, W. G.; Dunn, D. S.; Overend, J. J. Catal. 1981, 71, 395.
- Pons, S.; Davidson, T.; Bewick, A. J. Electroanal. Chem. 1984, 160, 63.
- Golden, W. G.; Kunimatsu, K.; Seki, H. J. Phys. Chem. 1984, 88, 1275.
- 12. Kötz, R.; Yeager, E. J. Electroanal. Chem. 1981, 123, 335.
- Fleischmann, M.; Hill, I. R.; Pemble, M. E. J. Electroanal. Chem. 1982, 136, 361.
- Kunimatsu, K.; Seki, H.; Golden, W. G.; Gordon II, J. G.; Philpott, M. R. Surf. Sci. 1985, 158, 596.
- Kunimatsu, K.; Seki, H.; Golden, W. G.; Gordon II, J. G.; Philpott, M. R. Langmuir 1988, 4, 337.
- 16. Kortüm, G. *Reflectance Spectroscopy*; Springer-Veralg: Heidelberg, 1969.
- Dignam, M. J.; Fedyk, J. Appl. Spectrosc. Rev. 1978, 14, 249.

- Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Head-Gordon, M.; Gill, P. M. W.; Wong, M. W.; Foresman, J. B.; Johnson, B. G.; Schlegel, H. B.; Robb, M. A.; Replogle, E. S.; Gomperts, R.; Andres, J. L.; Raghavachari, K.; Binkley, J. S.; Gonzalez, C.; Martin, R. L.; Fox, D. J.; Defrees, D. J.; Baker, J.; Stewart, J. J. P.; Pople, J. A. Gaussian 92, Revision D.2, Gaussian Inc., Pittsburgh PA, 1992.
- Russell, J. W.; Severson, M.; Scanlon, K.; Overend, J.; Bewick, A. J. Phys. Chem. 1983, 87, 293.
- 20. Anderson, A. B. J. Electroanal. Chem. 1990, 280, 37.
- 21. (a) Lambert, D. K. Solid State Commun. 1984, 51, 297;
 (b) Lambert, D. K. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1983, 50, 2160;
 (c) Lambert, D. K. J. Chem. Phys. 1988, 89, 3847.
- 22. Ray, N. K.; Anderson, A. B. J. Phys. Chem. 1982, 86, 4851.
- Mehandru, P. S.; Anderson, A. B. J. Phys. Chem. 1989, 93, 2044.
- 24. Holloway, S.; Nórskov, J. K. J. Electroanal. Chem. 1984, 161, 193.
- Ashley, K.; Weinert, F.; Samant, M. G.; Seki, H.; Philpott, M. R. J. Phys. Chem. 1991, 95, 7409.

Syntheses of Morindaparvin A and Its Derivatives

Young S. Rho*, Si Ho Park, Sunglyeol Kim, Yeo Keun Yun, In Ho Cho, and Heun-Soo Kang

Dept. of Chemistry, Chonbuk National University, Chonju 560-756 Research & Development Center, Miwon Co., Ltd. 720 Banghak-Dong, Dobong-Ku, Seoul 132-020 Received December 6, 1993

A general route for convergent syntheses of morindaparvin derivatives with 1,2-methylene-dioxyanthraquinone pattern is described. The anion of 2-methoxycyclohexanone (3), generated with lithium cyclohexylisopropylamine at -78°C, was sulfenylated with phenyl phenylthiosulfonate followed by elimination to afford α . β -unsaturated carbonyl system 8. 6-Methoxy-2-cyclohexen-1-one (8) was condensed with the four phthalide sulfones derivatives 10a-d, to provide morindaparvin derivatives, 1a-d.

Introduction

The rhizome and root of Morinda Parvifolia Bartl. (Rubiaceae) are well known as medicinal plants in Chinese folklore, and are used as herbal remedies for the treatment of bronchitis and whooping cough in humans.1 From this plant, Chang and others have isolated and determined the structure of morindaparvin A & B which exhibit good biological activities² including antitumor activities. A number of morindaparvin analogs³ with different substituents on the phenyl rings and its derivatives possessing an ethylenedioxy group at 1 to 4 position have been synthesized. Also, many other researchers^{2,3} have discovered that morindaparvin contains potential antitumor properties. Based on this finding, it has been reported that not only morindaparvin with various kinds of substituents but also their derivatives²⁴ similar to 2, containing ethylenedioxy group at the positions 1 and 2, have been synthesized.



Morindaparvin A 1,2-Ethylenedioxyanthraquinone (or 1,2-Methylenedioxyanthraquinone)

However, any derivatives with a hydroxyl group at either 5 or 8 position have not been synthesized. The hydroxyl group at either position will form an intramolecular hydrogen bonding with a carbonyl group in the quinone moiety, and consequently it may alter biological activity. To this end, we synthesized four morindaparvin derivatives **1a-d** and would like to report the synthesis of theses compounds in this paper.



Scheme 1. (a) See the Table 1; (b) NaIO₄ (1.1 eq)/MeOH : H_2 -O=5:3, 0°C, rt, 24 h, 92%; (c) CaCO₃ (1.5 eq)/benzene, rfl, 12 h, 84%; (d) LDA (1.1 eq)/THF, -78° C (0.5 h), Br₂(1.0 eq)/CH₂Cl₂, rt, 0.5 h, 61%; (e) DBU (1.3 eq)/benzene, rfl, 12 h, 23%; (f) Method A: DDQ (2.0 eq)/dioxane, rfl, 32 h, 33%; Method B: DDQ (2.0 eq)/benzene, rfl, 32 h, 16%; (g) Method A: LDA (1.1 eq)/THF, TMSCl (1.7 eq), -78° C (1 h), 25°C (1 h), 51%; Method B: LDA (1.1 eq)/DME, TMSCl (1.7 eq), 0°C (20 min), 25°C (15 min), 21%; (h) DDQ/collidine (1.5 eq)/benzene, rt, 18 h, 48%.

Results and Discussion

The principal reaction for the synthesis of 1 is the Michael type reaction between phthalide sulfone 10^5 and enone 8. The precursor 4 of Michael acceptor component 8 was prepared from 2-methoxycyclohexanone (3)⁶ as shown in Scheme 1.

There are numerous literature7 precedents in which the double bond is generated on the same or the opposite side of the methyl substituent when 2-methylcyclohexanone is converted to its corresponding enone system. However, in the case of other substituents, there are very few examples known in the literature. One known example⁸ is the formation of 6-hydroxy-2-cyclohexen-1-one from 2-cyclohexen-1one via silyl enol ether. Trost9a reported that, in the case of 2-methylcyclohexanone, there is no difference in the ratio of two regioisomers between when the enolate generated in kinetically controlled conditions at -78°C was added to PhSO₂SPh and when the electrophile was added to the enolate. On the other hand, in the case of 2-methoxycyclohexanone (3), the former conditions provided 5 as a major product and the latter conditions gave 4 as a major product. Therefore, we have examined the reaction conditions⁹ to find the conditions which would provide 4 predominantly, as shown in Table 1. When the enolate is generated at -78° C and PhSO₂SPh¹⁰ is used as an electrophile (entry 2, 6), the compound 4 was generated in a regioselective manner. The stereochemical relationship between two substituents, SPh & OMe, was proved to be all equational form as determined by NOESY and COSY techniques.

In order to explain regioselectivity of two enolates, the heat of formation and net charge of four enolates were calculated by AM1 method (Table 2). Since the difference in the net charge between the enolate of entry 1 and 2 is greater than that of between the enolate of entry 3 and 4, it was expected that there would be a significant difference in the regioselectivity, if the net charge of the enolates is an important factor in determining the reaction rate of two enolates. However, experimental result in the sulfenylation showed that the there was no significant difference in the ratio of two regioisomers formed, indicating that there would be other factors controlling the regioselectivity. The regioselectivity with PhSO₂SPh as an electrophile was better than with PhSSPh and the purification procedure was much easier.

As an alternative way of preparing 8 from 3, the compound 3 was treated with DDQ in benzene or dioxane solution.¹¹ Under this conditions, the compound 8 was not obtained but instead the compound 9 was obtained only in 16-33% yield. Alternatively, when 3 was brominated first and the resulting bromo compound was treated with DBU,¹² only the compound 9 was formed in 23%. However, when 3 was converted initially to silyl enol¹³ (LDA/TMS-Cl) and then the resulting enol ether was terated with DDQ, 8 was obtained in 48% yield (in the case of 6-methyl-2-cyclohexen-1-one, the yield is 73%).

Among all of the examined conditions, in the case of 2methoxycyclohexenone 3, the sulfenylation (LiCIPA, $PhSO_2$ SPh) followed by elimination^{9,14} was found to be the best way for the preparation of 6-methoxy-2-cyclohexen-1-one (8).

Thus prepared 8 reacted⁵ with phthalide sulfone 10 to give 11 which was then oxidized^{5b} by bubbling O_2 into the reaction mixture to give the anthraquinone derivatives 12. The demethylation¹⁵ by BBr₃/dimethylsulfide and alkylation¹⁶ with dichloromethane provided new morindaparvin derivatives **1a-d**.

Experimental

All reactions were performed under N2 or Ar gas. All rea-

Table 1. Sulfenylation of 3 by PhSSPh and PhSO₂SPh under Various Basic Conditions

Run	Reaction condition	Electrophile	Ratio (4/5) ^e	Product (% yield)
1.	LDA (1.1 eq.)/THF -78°C (1 hr), 25°C (1.5 hr)	PhSSPh	5/1 (4/1)	85% (87%)
2.	4	PhSO ₂ SPh	95/1	84%
3.	LDA (1.05 eq.)/DME - 20°C (25 min), 30°C (35 min)	PhSSPh	2.7/1	76%
4.	4	PhSO ₂ SPh	2.6/1	50%
5.	LiCIPA (1.1 eq.)/THF -78°C (10 min), 25°C (20 min)	PhSSPh	11/1	64%
6.	4	PhSO ₂ SPh	95/1 (97/3)	94% (85%)
7.	LiCIPA (1.1 eq.)/DME -20°C (25 min), 30°C (35 min)	PhSSPh	2.7/1	69 %
8.	4	PhSO₂Ph	6.1/1	57%

*Determined by GC. *Yield of isolated product. (Parentheses indicate the yields of sulfenylation for 2-methylcyclohexanone.%)

 Table 2. Heat of Formation and Net Charge for Each Lithium Enolates

Entry	Anion	Heat of formation (Kcal)	Net charge		
1	OLi	- 100.6977	- 0.6085	20.000	
2	OLi	- 105.4573	-0.4276	}0.1809 }	
3	OLi	-67.7124	-0.5863)	
4	OLi Me	- 72.8141	-0.5362	<u>}0.0501</u>	

gents and solvents were dried and purified according to the conventional procedures immediately before use. Melting points were determined using Buchi 510 apparatus and are uncorrected. IR spectra were taken in KBr on a Nicolet 5-DXB grating spectrophotometer. UV spectra were recorded on a Beckmann DB-8B spectrophotometer. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz) and ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz) spectra were taken on a JEOL JMN-EX 400 spectrometer. NMR spectra were run in CDCl₃ solution and chemical shifts were related to TMS. GC/MS spectra were obtained on a Nermag Model R10-10C spectrometer and the data reported are the m/z values for the most abundant peaks. E. Merck silica gel 9385(230-400 mesh) was used for flash chromatography.

2-Methoxy-6-phenylthiocyclohexanone (4). To a stirred solution of LiCIPA at -78° , prepared from *N*-isopropylcyclohexylamine (1.41 mL, 8.58 mmol) and *n*-BuLi (5.84 mL, 1.47 M, 8.58 mmol) in THF (10 mL) was added a solution of methoxy compound 3 (1.0 g, 7.80 mmol) in THF (10 mL) dropwise by syringe. After complete addition, the reaction was kept at -78° for 1 h. Phenyl phenylthiosulfonate (2.34 g, 9.36 mmol) in 5 ml of THF was added immediately to a cold enolate solution, then allowed to warm to 25°



Scheme 2. *t*-BuOLi (2.5 eq)/THF, -78° , 8 (0.92 eq)/THF, rt (1 h), rfl (0.5 h), 87%; (b) O₂/DMF, rfl, 6 h, 86%; (c) BBr₃SMe₂ (4.0 eq)/1,2-dichloroethane, rfl, 24 h, 78%; (d) CsF (5.0 eq), CH₂Cl₂ (1.1 eq)/DMF, 2 hr, rfl, 2 h, 89%.

for 20 min. The mixture was poured into a combined solution (ether/1 N HCl=3:1). The layers were separated and organic layer washed successively with 1 N HCl, saturated NaHCO₃, and then dried (MgSO₄), filtered and evaporated at reduced pressure. The residue was purified by distillation, and then flash chromatography (EtOAc/hexane = 3:2) to afford 4 as a colorless oil; yield: 1.70 g (94%); bp. 187° /17 torr; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₂/TMS): 8 7.45 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.29 (m, 3H, Ar), 3.87 (dd, 1H, J=11.17, 5.20 Hz, CH_a-OMe), 3.84 (dd, 1H, J = 12.34, 5.97 Hz, CH_a-SPh), 3.46 (s, 3H, OMe), 2.32 (m, 2H), 1.94 (m, 1H), 1.68 (m, 3H); ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃/TMS): δ 204.43, 133.32, 132.80, 128.93, 128.89, 128.85, 127.44, 84.48, 58.03, 57.25, 35.66, 34.50, 22.87. IR (KBr): v=2938, 1721, 779; MS: m/z = 236 (M⁺, 7%), 127 (78), 98 (100), 67 (74). 5: ¹H-NMR (CDCl₂/TMS): 8 7.39 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.28 (m. 3H, Ar), 3.52 (s, 3H, OMe), 2.89 (m, 1H), 2.40 (m, 1H), 2.27 (m, 1H), 7: ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃/TMS): δ 4.94 (t, 1H, J=4.1 Hz, =CH), 3.39 (s. 3H. OMe), 2.17-0.89 (m. 7H), 0.17 (s. 9H. OTMS).

6-Methoxy-2-cyclohexen-1-one (8). Phenylthio compound 4 (1.38 g, 5.84 mmol) was dissolved in 20 mL of methanol and cooled in an ice bath prior to the dropwise addition of a solution of NaIO₄ (1.37 g, 6.42 mmol) in water (12 mL). After complete addition, the ice bath was removed, and

Table 3. Morindaparvin A derivatives la-d prepared

Product mp(°C)		IR (KBr) ¹ H-NMR ν cm ⁻¹ (CDCl ₃ /TMS), δ, J (Hz)		UV (MeOH) λ _{max} (nm), log ε	MS (70 eV) m/z (%)
1a	283-285	2924, 1665, 1581, 1489,	8.15 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.83 (d, 1H, $J=8.7$, Ar),	259.2 (2.20)	252 (M ⁺ , 88),
		1454, 1293, 1257, 1025,	7.67 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.04 (d, 1H, $J=7.9$, Ar)	237.9 (1.70)	223, 196,
		990, 927, 842, 716	6.20 (s, 2H, CH ₂).	214.2 (1.25)	163 (100)
16	276-278	3444, 2924, 1672, 1588,	13.68 (s, 1H, OH), 8.30 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.98	259.6 (1.47)	268 (M ⁺ , 5)
		1496, 1454, 1293, 1257	(d, 1H, $J=7.9$, Ar), 7.78 (d, 1H, $J=8.5$,	238.8 (1.02)	251(100)
		1032, 990, 927, 835	Ar), 7.14 (d, 1H, $J = 7.9$, Ar),	213.8 (0.74)	138 (33)
		716	6.32 (s, 2H, CH ₂).		
1¢	177-179	3446, 2924,1735, 1602,	12.81 (s, 1H, OH), 8.00 (d, 1H, $J=8.7$, Ar),	237.9 (1.51)	simillar to 1b
		1454, 1377, 1290, 1243,	7.71 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.53 (d, 1H, $J=8.7$, Ar),	215.4 (1.34)	
		1032, 913, 807, 730	7.15 (d, 1H, $J=7.9$, Ar), 6.33 (s, 2H, CH ₂).		
1d	270-272	3437, 2924, 1630, 1581,	13.06 (s, 1H, OH), 12.84 (s, 1H, OH), 8.02	243.8 (0.64)	284 (M ⁺ , 100)
		1461, 1257, 1222, 1032,	(d, 1H, $J=8.4$, Ar), 7.27 (d, 2H, $J=7.9$, Ar),	214.2 (0.24)	268 (49)
		920, 786	7.18 (d, 1H, $f=8.4$, Ar), 6.42 (s, 2H, CH ₂).		

the reaction was stirred at room temperature for 24 h. The reaction mixture was filtered, and the precipitate was washed several times with MeOH, then worked up as usual method. The residue was purified by chromatotron (10% EtOAc in CH₂Cl₂) to afford the desired 2-methoxy-6-phenylsulfinylcyclohexanone as a colorless oil; yield: 1.35 g (92%); ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃/TMS): & 8.07 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.56 (m, 3H, Ar), 3.56-4.06 (m, 2H, 2×CH), 3.38 (s, 3H, OMe), 1.49-2.63 (m, 6H). A vigorously stirred mixture of 2-methoxy-6-phenylsulfinylcyclohexanone (2.0 g, 7.93 mmol), CaCO₃ (1.19 g, 11.89 mmol) and dried benzene (50 mL) was heated at reflux for 12 h. The reaction was cooled and then filtered to remove inorganic material. The solvent was evaporated and the residue dissolved in ether (50 mL). The mixture was washed successively with brine, water, then dried (MgSO4), filtered and evaporated at reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography and chromatotron (EtOAc/hexane = 3:2) to afford 8 as a colorless oil; yield: 0.84 g (84%); bp. 72-74°C /2.15 torr, ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃/TMS): δ 6.83 (dt, 1H, J=10.0, 4.1 Hz, =CH), 5.90 (dt, 1H, J=10.0, 1.8 Hz, =CH), 3.69 (dd, 1H, J=10.34, 4.6 Hz, CH), 3.41 (s, 3H, OMe), 2.51-1.86 (m, 4H); MS: m/z 126 (M⁺, 98%), 95 (37), 55 (100). 9: 'H-NMR (CDCI_/TMS): δ 5.80 (t, 1H, J=4.4 Hz, =CH), 3.53 (s, 3H, OMe), 2.51-2.29 (m, 2H), 2.08-1.84 (m, 2H), 1.25-1.11 (m. 2H).

A typical experimental procedures from 11 to 1 are as follows:

5,10-Dihydroxy-4,7-dimethoxy-7,8,9-trihydro-6-na**phthacene** (11b). To a cold $(-78^{\circ}C)$ stirred solution of lithium t-butoxide, prepared from t-butyl alcohol (0.95 mL, 10.07 mmol) and n-BuLi (6.63 mL of 1.47 M solution, 9.75 mmol) in dry THF (15 mL) under N2 was added the sulfone 10b (1.09 g, 3.57 mmol) as a slurry in THF (15 mL). Upon complete addition of the sulfone, the orange-yellow mixture of partially precipitated anion was stirred for 20 min at -78°C. A solution of the cyclohexenone 8 (0.41 g, 3.25 mmol) in THF (5 mL) was added by syringe, and the mixture was stirred at -78° for 1 h. The cooling bath was removed, and the reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature and then refluxed for 30 min, during which time an orangered precipitate formed. The reaction mixture was cooled at 0°C and acidified with 2 N HCl. The THF was evaporated under reduced pressure, and the aqueous mixture was extracted with EtOAc (3×50 mL). The combined EtOAc extracts were washed successively with saturated NaHCO₃, 3% Na-HSO₃, H₂O and brine, dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and evaporated at reduced pressure. Trituration of the syrupy residue with Et₂O gave a crude compound 11b.

5-Hydroxy-4,6-dimethoxyanthraquinone (12b). Oxygen was bubbled through a heated (110°C) solution of the crude **11b** (0.94 g, 3.26 mmol) in DMF (60 mL) for 6 h. The oxygen flow was terminated, and the solution was cooled in an ice-water bath. Addition of H₂O (20 mL) to the solution precipitated **12b** as yellow solid, which was collected by filtration, washed with H₂O, and dried to give pure **12b**; yield: 0.8 (86%); mp. 218-220°C; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃/TMS): δ 13.12 (s, 1H, OH), 8.32 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.89 (d, 1H, *J*=7.8 Hz, Ar), 7.81 (m, 1H, Ar), 7.19 (d, 1H, *J*=8.8 Hz, Ar), 4.03, 4.01 (3H, each, s, OMe), **12a**: ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃/TMS): δ 13.57 (s, 1H, OH), 8.11 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.80 (d, 1H, *J*=8.7 Hz, Ar), 7.65 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.02 (d, 1H, *J*=7.9 Hz, Ar), 4.01 (s, 3H, OMe). **12c**:

mp. 165-167°C; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃/TMS): δ 12.84 (s, 1H, OH), 7.97 (d, 1H, J=7.7 Hz, Ar), 7.81 (dd, 1H, J=8.4, 0.7 Hz, Ar), 7.71 (t, 1H, J=8.1 Hz, Ar), 7.36 (d, 1H, J=8.4 Hz, Ar), 7.17 (d, 1H, J=8.8 Hz, Ar), 4.04, 4.00 (3H each, s, OMe). 12d: ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃/TMS): δ 13.08 (s, 1H, OH), 8.04 (d, 1H, J=8.4 1 Hz, Ar), 7.31 (d, 2H, J=8.1 Hz, Ar), 7.20 (d, 1H, J=8.4 Hz, Ar), 4.12, 4.07, 4.03 (3H each, s, OMe).

4,5,6-Trihydroxyanthraquinone (13b). To a flamedried flask under N2 was added boron tribromide/dimethyl sulfide (0.89 mL, 0.89 mmol) in 10 mL of 1,2-dichloroethane. To this solution was transfered 12b (63 mg, 0.22 mmol) in 10 mL of 1,2-dichloroethane by means of a cannula using N_2 pressure. The reaction was stirred at reflux for 24 h. The mixture was hydrolyzed by adding 20 mL of H₂O, stirring for 20 min and diluting with CH₂Cl₂. The organic layer was separated and washed with 1 M NaHCO3 and the solution was subsequently taken up with 1 N NaOH (3×20 mL). The combined NaOH washings were acidified and the product was subsequently extracted into CH2Cl2, dried (MgSO4) and the solvent removed. The yellow residue was purified by column chromatography to afford 13b as a red crystals: yield: 44.5 mg (78%). 13a, 13b, 13c and 13d are insoluble in most common organic solvents.

5-Hydroxy-1,2-methylenedioxyanthraquinone (1b). To a stirred solution of 13b (28 mg, 0.11 mmol) in dried DMF (10 mL) was added CsF (83 mg, 0.55 mmol) and CH_2Cl_2 (8 mL, 0.12 mmol), and the solution was heated under reflux for 2 h. The reaction mixture was diluted with CH_2Cl_2 and washed successively with H_2O and brine. The organic layer was dried and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (10% EtOAc in CH_2Cl_2) to afford 1b as a yellow crystals; yield: 26 mg (89%).

Acknowledgement. This work was supported by a 1992 grant from the Basic Science Research Program, Ministry of Education Korea (BSRI-92-341) and also Miwon Co., Ltd.

References

- (a) Li, H. L.; Liu, T. S.; Huang, T. C.; Koyama, T.; DeVol, C. E. Flora of Taiwan; Epoch Publishing Co., Ltd. Taipei, Taiwan, 1978, Vol. IV. p 308; (b) Chung Yao Ta Tzu tien; Shanghai Ko Hsueh Chi Shu Publishing Co., Ltd. Hong Kong, 1978, Vol. I. p 866; (c) Thomson, R. H. Naturally Occurring Ouinones; 2nd Ed.; Academic Press: NY, 1971 p 58, 375; (d) Kan, W. S. Pharmaceutical Bontany; National Research Institute of Chinese Medicine, Taiwan, 1969, p 391.
- (a) Chang, P.; Lee, K-H.; Shingu, T.; Hirayama, T.; Hall, I. H. J. Nat. Prod. 1982, 45, 206; (b) Chang, P.; Lee, K-H. J. Nat. Prod. 1985, 48, 948.
- (a) Lee, K. H.; Tagahara, K.; Suzuki, H.; Wu, R. Y.; Haruna, M.; Hall, I. H.; Huang, H. C.; Iida, T.; Lai, J. S. J. Nat. Prod. 1981, 44, 530; (b) Sugi, M.; Nagashio, Y. Cancer Therapy in Mordern China; Kondo, K., Ed.; Shizen Sha: Japan, 1977, p 256.
- (a) Khanapure, S. P.; Biehl, E. R. J. Nat. Prod. 1989, 52, 1357;
 (b) Desmarchelier, J.; Krieger, R. I.; Lee, P. W.; Fukuto, T. R. J. Eco. Entomol. 1973, 66, 631.
- 5. (a) Hauser, F. M.; Hewawasam, P.; Rho, Y. S. J. Org.

Chem. 1989, 54, 5110; (b) Hauser, F. M.; Rhee, R. P. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 178; (c) Rho, Y. S.; Cho, I. H.; Park, S. H.; Ahn, K. H. J. Kor. Chem. Soc. 1993, 37, 141.

- 6. Compound 3 was obtained by subjecting diethyl adipate (100 g, 49.89 mmol) and Na (5.05 g, 219.5 mmol) to the acyloin condensation process in benzene solvent, to obtain 2-hydroxycyclohexanone (3.83 g, 67%); and by methylation with dimethylsulfate and K₂CO₃. Allingger, N. L. Organic Syntheses; Rabjohn, N. ed.; John Wiley & Sons: Inc; 1963; Coll. Vol. IV. p 840.
- (a) Reich, H. J.; Renga, J. M.; Reich, I. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 4534; (b) Ryu, I.; Murai, S.; Hatayama, Y.; Sonoda, N. Tetrahedron Lett. 1978, 3455
- Rubottom, G. M.; Gruber, J. M. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 1599.
- (a) Trost, B. M.; Salzmann, T. N.; Hiroi, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 4887; (b) Trost, B. M.; Salzmann, T. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 6840; (c) Trost, B. M.; Mas-

siot, G. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 4405.

- Shine, H. J.; Rahman, M.; Seeger, H.; Wu, G. S. J. Org. Chem. 1967, 32, 1901.
- (a) Davis, B. R.; Halsall, T. G. J. Chem. Soc. 1962, 1883;
 (b) Ishizaki, M.; Hoshino, O.; Iitaka, Y. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 7285;
 (c) Burn, D.; Petrow, V. J. Chem. Soc. 1962, 1223.
- (a) Stotter, P. L.; Hill, K. A. J. Org. Chem. 1973, 38, 2576;
 (b) Garbisch, Jr. E. W. J. Org. Chem. 1965, 30, 2109.
- 13. Fleming, I.; Paterson, I. Synthesis 1979, 736.
- (a) Hauser, F. M.; Prasanna, S. Tetrahedron 1984, 40, 4711; (b) Grieco, P. A.; Reap, J. P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1974, 36, 1097.
- 15. Williard, P. G.; Fryhle, C. B. Tetrahedron Lett. 1980, 21, 3731.
- 16. Clark, J. H.; Holland, H. L.; Miller, J. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1976, 38, 3361.

Cu²⁺-Anthraquinone Complexes : Formation, Interaction with DNA, and Biological Activity

Thong-Sung Ko^{*}, Hack-Young Maeng, Mi-Kyeong Park, Il-Hyun Park, In-Sang Park, and Byoung-Sun Kim

Department of Biochemistry, Chungnam National University, Taejon 305-764 Received December 7, 1993

Growth inhibition potency of the anthraquinones, anthraquinone-1,5-disulfonic acid and carminic acid, for Sarcoma 180 and L1210 leukemia cells *in vivo* and *in vitro*, was induced by the divalent transition metal ion, Cu^{2+} . On the other hand spectroscopic titration data show that the anthraquinone drugs form Cu^{2+} chelate complexes (carminic acid : $Cu^{2+} = 1$: 6; anthraquinone-1,5-disulfonic acid : $Cu^{2+} = 1$: 3). Furthermore the Cu^{2+} -drug complexes associate with DNA to form the Cu^{2+} -anthraquinone-DNA ternary complexes. The formation of the complexes was further supported by the H_2O_2 -dependent DNA degradation, which can be inhibited by ethidium bromide, caused by the Cu^{2+} -drug complexes. It is likely that the Cu^{2+} -mediated cytotoxicity of the anthraquinone drugs is related with the Cu^{2+} -mediated binding of the anthraquinone drugs to DNA and DNA degradation.

Introduction

Although the anthracyline antibiotics are believed to exert their antitumor action¹ by inhibiting DNA transcription and replication *via* intercalation of the anthracycline chromophore between DNA base pairs², their mechanism of action remains uncertain. The planar anthracycline chromophore, which can intercalate together with the positively charged amino-sugar moiety can be anticipated to provide a high DNA affinity. As is often the case with other intercalating agents³⁴, the DNA-topoisomerase II complex is presently believed to be the most probable target of the antitumor activity of the anthracyclines, resulting in DNA strand breakage. If the potent genotoxicity and antitumor activity of adriamycin (doxorubicin) could be attributable to its possession of planar aromatic chromophore which can intercalate between DNA base pairs, such anthraquinones as anthraquinone-1,5-disulfonic acid and carminic acid should also be expected to be able to be induced to attain DNA-intercalative form and consequently the anthracycline-like activity through the formation of appropriate positively charged metal chelate complex of the drugs, although their free drug forms are negatively charged and can not bind to DNA directly due to highly unfavorable electrostatic repulsive forces between the drug and the DNA molecules of the similar charge.

The idea described above prompted us to carry out a series of spectroscopic studies on the formation of the Cu^{2+} drug complex, and interaction of the Cu^{2+} -drug complexes with DNA to form the ternary complexes. Cu^{2+} -drug-DNA; and consequent cytotoxicity of the Cu^{2+} -drug complexes against L1210 leukemia cells in culture and antitumor activity against Sarcoma 180 *in vivo*. Here we present some of the results.