

ON KATO'S DECOMPOSITION THEOREM

YONG BIN CHOI, YOUNG MIN HAN AND IN SUNG HWANG

1. Introduction

Suppose X is a complex Banach space and write $B(X)$ for the Banach algebra of bounded linear operators on X , X^* for the dual space of X , and $T^* \in B(X^*)$ for the dual operator of T . For $T \in B(X)$ write

$$\alpha(T) = \dim T^{-1}(0) \text{ and } \beta(T) = \text{codim } T(X).$$

Thus $\alpha(T)$ and $\beta(T)$ will be either a nonnegative integer or ∞ . We recall ([2], [3], [4]) that $T \in B(X)$ is called *upper semi-Fredholm* if

$$T \text{ has a closed range and } \alpha(T) < \infty$$

and is called *lower semi-Fredholm* if

$$T \text{ has a closed range and } \beta(T) < \infty.$$

If $T \in B(X)$ is either upper or lower semi-Fredholm it is called a *semi-Fredholm* operator. Write

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_+(X) &= \{T \in B(X) : T(X) \text{ is closed} \\ &\quad \text{and } \alpha(T - \lambda) \text{ is constant for } 0 < |\lambda| < \varepsilon\}, \\ \Psi_-(X) &= \{T \in B(X) : T(X) \text{ is closed} \\ &\quad \text{and } \beta(T - \lambda) \text{ is constant for } 0 < |\lambda| < \varepsilon\}, \\ \Psi_{\pm}(X) &= \Psi_+(X) \cup \Psi_-(X). \end{aligned}$$

Evidently, we have

$$\{T \in B(X) : T \text{ is semi-Fredholm}\} \subseteq \Psi_{\pm}(X).$$

West ([7]) defined a jump (essentially, due to Kato ([5])) of a semi-Fredholm operator. We now extend this concept to the case of a larger class. If $T \in \Psi_{\pm}(X)$ we define the *upper jump*, $j_+(T)$, and the *lower jump*, $j_-(T)$ of T by setting

$$j_+(T) = \alpha(T) - \alpha(T - \lambda), \quad 0 < |\lambda| < \varepsilon, \quad T \in \Psi_+(X)$$

$$j_-(T) = \beta(T) - \beta(T - \lambda), \quad 0 < |\lambda| < \varepsilon, \quad T \in \Psi_-(X)$$

with the understanding that for any real number r ,

$$\infty - r = \infty$$

and that $j_+(T) = 0$ ($j_-(T) = 0$, resp.) whenever $\alpha(T)$ ($\beta(T)$, resp.) and $\alpha(T - \lambda)$ ($\beta(T - \lambda)$, resp.) are both ∞ . Note that if $T \in B(X)$ is Fredholm then the continuity of the index ensures that $j_+(T) = j_-(T) < \infty$. We also recall ([1], [3], [4]) that $T \in B(X)$ is said to be *regular* if there is $T' \in B(X)$ for which $T = TT'T$. It is known that if $T \in B(X)$ is regular then $T^{-1}(0)$ and $T(X)$ are complemented in X . Thus

$$T \text{ is Fredholm} \implies T \text{ is regular.}$$

Kato's decomposition theorem ([5, Theorem 4]) says that if $T \in B(X)$ is semi-Fredholm then $T = T_1 \oplus T_2$, where T_1 is a nilpotent and $j_+(T_2) = 0$ (or $j_-(T_2) = 0$). In this paper, we shall show that if $T \in \Psi_{\pm}(X)$ is regular with some additional conditions then Kato's decomposition allows for T .

2. Main results

If $T \in B(X)$ then its *hyperrange* and *hyperkernel* are defined by subspaces([3], [6], [7], [8])

$$T^{\infty}(X) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} T^n(X)$$

and

$$T^{-\infty}(0) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (T^n)^{-1}(0).$$

If $T \in B(X)$ is semi-Fredholm, then $T^\infty(X)$ is closed in X . If we define

$$\text{comm}(T) = \{S \in B(X) : ST = TS\}$$

for the *commutant* of T , write

$$U^\wedge : T^\infty(X) \longrightarrow T^\infty(X)$$

for the operator induced by $U \in \text{comm}(T)$. It is well known ([7, Propositions 2.1 and 1.7]) that

(2.1)

$$T \text{ is upper semi-Fredholm} \implies T^\wedge \text{ is Fredholm and } \beta(T^\wedge) = 0.$$

The following theorem is an improvement of both [4,(7.8.3.4)] and [7, Proposition 1.2]:

LEMMA 1. *If $S \in B(X)$ is invertible and commutes with $T \in B(X)$ then*

$$(2.2) \quad (T - S)^{-\infty}(0) \subseteq T^\infty(X)$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad T^{-\infty}(0) \subseteq (T - S)^\infty(X).$$

Proof. Towards (2.2) suppose $x \in (T - S)^{-\infty}(0)$. Then $(T - S)^m x = 0$ for some $m \in N$. Thus

$$\sum_{k=0}^m {}_m C_k T^k (-S)^{m-k}(x) = 0$$

$$\implies (TU)(x) = (-1)^{m+1} S^m(x) \quad \text{with } U = \sum_{k=1}^m {}_m C_k T^{k-1} (-S)^{m-k}$$

$$\implies x = (-1)^{m+1} S^{-m}(TU)(x).$$

Observe that U commutes with T and S . Thus, for each $n \in N$,

$$\begin{aligned} x &= (-1)^{m+1} S^{-m}(TU)(x) = (-1)^{n(m+1)} S^{-mn} T^n U^n(x) \\ &= T^n (-1)^{n(m+1)} S^{-mn} U^n(x) \\ &\in T^n(X), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $x \in T^\infty(X)$. This gives (2.2)

Towards (2.3) suppose that $x \in T^{-\infty}(0)$. Then $T^{n+1}(x) = 0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Thus, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} T^{n+1}(x) = 0 &\implies x = (S - T)S^{-1}(I + S^{-1}T + \cdots + S^{-n}T^n)(x) \\ &\implies x = (S - T)^m S^{-m}(I + S^{-1}T + \cdots + S^{-n}T^n)^m(x) \\ &\quad \in (T - S)^m(X), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $x \in (T - S)^\infty(X)$. This gives (2.3).

THEOREM 2. *If $T \in \Psi_+(X)$ has a finite dimensional intersection $T^{-1}(0) \cap T^k(X)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ then*

$$(2.4) \quad j_+(T) = 0 \text{ if and only if } T^{-\infty}(0) \subseteq T^\infty(X).$$

Proof. Suppose that $T \in \Psi_+(X)$. If $j_+(T) = 0$ we claim that

$$(2.5) \quad \alpha(T^\wedge) \leq \alpha(T) = \alpha(T - \lambda) = \alpha(T^\wedge - \lambda) \leq \alpha(T^\wedge), \text{ for } 0 < |\lambda| < \varepsilon.$$

Indeed, the first inequality is evident, the second equality comes from the assumption, the third equality comes from (2.2), and the last inequality comes from an argument of Kato ([5, Theorem 1]). Thus (2.5) gives

$$\alpha(T) = \alpha(T^\wedge).$$

Thus, since, by assumption, $\dim T^{-1}(0) = \dim T^{-1}(0) \cap T^\infty(X) < \infty$, it follows that $T^{-1}(0) \subseteq T^\infty(X)$, and hence $T^{-\infty}(0) \subseteq T^\infty(X)$.

Conversely, suppose that $T^{-\infty}(0) \subseteq T^\infty(X)$. Then

$$T^{-1}(0) = T^{-1}(0) \cap T^\infty(X) \subseteq T^{-1}(0) \cap T^k(X)$$

is finite dimensional; thus T is upper semi-Fredholm. By (2.1), T^\wedge is Fredholm and $\beta(T^\wedge) = 0$. Thus we have

$$\alpha(T) = \alpha(T^\wedge) = \alpha(T^\wedge - \lambda) = \alpha(T - \lambda) \text{ for } 0 < |\lambda| < \varepsilon,$$

which says that $j_+(T) = 0$.

We also have the dual result:

THEOREM 3. *If $T \in \Psi_-(X)$ has a finite dimensional intersection $(T^k)^{-1}(0)^\perp \cap T(X)^\perp$ for some $k \in N$ then*

$$j_-(T) = 0 \text{ if and only if } T^{-\infty}(0) \subseteq T^\infty(X).$$

Proof. Suppose that $T \in \Psi_-(X)$. Remembering that

$$T \text{ has a closed range } \iff T^* \text{ has a closed range}$$

and $\beta(T) = \alpha(T^*)$ we have

$$T^* \in \Psi_+(X^*) \text{ and } j_-(T) = 0 \iff j_+(T^*) = 0.$$

Furthermore, a direct calculation shows that

$$\dim [(T^*)^{-1}(0) \cap (T^k)^*(X^*)] = \dim [(T(X)^\perp \cap (T^k)^{-1}(0)^\perp)] < \infty$$

and

$$(T^*)^{-\infty}(0) \subseteq T^*(X^*) \iff T^{-\infty}(0) \subseteq T^\infty(X).$$

Now applying Theorem 2 gives the required result.

Our main theorem is an extension of Kato's decomposition theorem :

THEOREM 4. *If $T \in \Psi_+(X)$ satisfies that*

$$\begin{aligned} T^{-1}(0) \text{ and } T^{-1}(0) + T(X) \text{ are complemented,} \\ T^{-1}(0) \cap T(X) \text{ is finite dimensional} \end{aligned}$$

then we have a decomposition

$$T = T_1 \oplus T_2,$$

where T_1 is nilpotent and T_2 is upper semi-Fredholm with $j_+(T_2) = 0$.

Proof. If $j_+(T) = 0$, then by (2.4), $T^{-1}(0) \subseteq T^\infty(X)$; thus our assumption says that T is upper semi-Fredholm. Thus there is nothing to prove.

If $j_+(T) \neq 0$, then there is a smallest integer v such that

$$T^{-1}(0) \subseteq T^v(X), \text{ but } T^{-1}(0) \not\subseteq T^{v+1}(X).$$

If $v \geq 1$ then, by assumption,

$$T^{-1}(0) = T^{-1}(0) \cap T^v(X) \subseteq T^{-1}(0) \cap T(X)$$

is finite dimensional and hence T is upper semi-Fredholm; in this case, an argument of West ([8, Theorem 7]) gives the required result. Now suppose

$$T^{-1}(0) \not\subseteq T(X).$$

By assumption, we can find closed subspaces Y , Z and W for which

$$\begin{aligned} T^{-1}(0) &= Y \oplus T^{-1}(0) \cap T(X) \\ T(X) &= T^{-1}(0) \cap T(X) \oplus Z \end{aligned}$$

and

$$X = T^{-1}(0) \oplus Z \oplus W.$$

Thus there are continuous projections $P \in B(X)$ and $Q \in B(T^{-1}(0))$ for which

$$P(X) = T^{-1}(0) \text{ and } P^{-1}(0) = Z \oplus W$$

and

$$Q(T^{-1}(0)) = Y \text{ and } Q^{-1}(0) = T^{-1}(0) \cap T(X).$$

Then we have

$$QP = (QP)^2,$$

so that QP is a continuous projection on X with range Y . Further,

$$\begin{aligned} T(QP(X)) &= T(Y) = \{0\}, \\ QP(TX) &= QP(Z \oplus T^{-1}(0) \cap T(X)) \\ &= Q(T^{-1}(0) \cap T(X)) = \{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus T is reduced by the decomposition $X = (QP)(X) \oplus (QP)^{-1}(0)$. We write

$$T = S_1 \oplus S_2,$$

where $S_1 = T|_{(QP)(X)}$ and $S_2 = T|_{(QP)^{-1}(0)}$. Note that $S_1 = 0$. Since S_2 has a closed range and

$$S_2^{-1}(0) = (QP)^{-1}(0) \cap T^{-1}(0) = T^{-1}(0) \cap T(X)$$

is finite dimensional, it follows that S_2 is upper semi-Fredholm. Again, an argument of West ([8, Theorem 7]) gives

$$S_2 = R_1 \oplus R_2,$$

where R_1 is nilpotent and R_2 is upper semi-Fredholm with $j_+(R_2) = 0$. Thus we have

$$T = T_1 \oplus T_2 \text{ with } T_1 = O \oplus R_1 \text{ and } T_2 = R_2;$$

thus T_1 is also nilpotent. This completes the proof.

We conclude with the dual result :

THEOREM 5. *If $T \in \Psi_-(X)$ satisfies that*

$$\begin{aligned} T(X) \text{ is complemented,} \\ T(X) + T^{-1}(0) \text{ is finite codimensional} \end{aligned}$$

then

$$T = T_1 \oplus T_2,$$

where T_1 is nilpotent and T_2 is lower semi-Fredholm with $j_-(T_2) = 0$.

Proof. By assumption, we can find closed subspaces Y and W for which

$$X = (T^{-1}(0) + T(X)) \oplus W$$

and

$$T^{-1}(0) + T(X) = T(X) \oplus Y \text{ with } Y \subseteq T^{-1}(0),$$

and hence

$$X = T(X) \oplus Y \oplus W,$$

Thus there are continuous projections $P \in B(X)$ and $Q \in B(T^{-1}(0) + T(X))$ for which

$$P(X) = T^{-1}(0) + T(X) \text{ and } P^{-1}(0) = W$$

and

$$Q(T^{-1}(0) + T(X)) = Y \text{ and } Q^{-1}(0) = T(X).$$

Then we have

$$QP = (QP)^2,$$

so that QP is a continuous projection on X with range Y . Further,

$$\begin{aligned} T(QP(X)) &= T(Y) = \{0\}, \\ QP(TX) &= Q(T(X)) = \{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus T is reduced by the decomposition $X = (QP)(X) \oplus (QP)^{-1}(0)$. We write

$$T = S_1 \oplus S_2,$$

where $S_1 = T|_{(QP)(X)}$ and $S_2 = T|_{(QP)^{-1}(0)}$. Note that $S_1 = 0$. Since $(QP)^{-1}(0) = T(X) \oplus W$, S_2 has a finite codimensional range. It thus follows that S_2 is lower semi-Fredholm. Again, an argument of West ([8, Theorem 7]) gives

$$S_2 = R_1 \oplus R_2,$$

where R_1 is nilpotent and R_2 is lower semi-Fredholm with $j_-(R_2) = 0$. Thus we have

$$T = T_1 \oplus T_2 \text{ with } T_1 = O \oplus R_1 \text{ and } T_2 = R_2;$$

thus T_1 is also nilpotent. This completes the proof.

References

1. S. R. Caradus, *Operator Theory of the Pseudo-inverse*, Queen's Papers in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 38, Queen's University, Kingston Ontario, 1974.
2. S. Goldberg, *Unbounded linear operators*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.
3. R. E. Harte, *Fredholm, Weyl and Browder theory*, Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. Sect. A **85** (1986), 151-176.

4. ———, *Invertibility and singularity*, Dekker, New York, 1988.
5. T. Kato, *Perturbation theory for nullity, deficiency, and other quantities of linear operators*, J. Analyse Math. **6** (1958), 261–322.
6. M. Ósearcóid and T.T. West, *Continuity of the generalized kernel and range of semi-Fredholm operators*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **105** (1989), 513–522.
7. T. T. West, *A Riesz-Schauder theorem for semi-Fredholm operators*, Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. Sect. A **87** (1987), 137–146.
8. ———, *Removing the jump-Kato's decomposition*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **20** (1990), 603–612.

Department of Mathematics
Sung Kyun Kwan University
Suwon 440-746, Korea