

Optimal k Value for the Profit Maximizing in the k out of n : open & close Systems.

Chung Hwan Oh*
Jong Chul Lee**

ABSTRACT

This Paper shows a special case of the optimization criterion is to make the maximum profit in the system reliability of the k out of n open & close structure.

Especially, the number of the optimal k is determined for the profit maximization in system reliability by deriving several properties of the optimal k out of n systems in one of four possible styles (closed & opened).

1. Introduction

The system consists of n components(iid) that can be with a pre-specified frequency in one of the four possible styles. The components are subject to failures in each style. Thus, the four styles of component and system are

* The College of Suwon

** Osan Technical Engineering College

- 1) succeeding to close
- 2) failure to close
- 3) succeeding to open
- 4) failure to open

that is, the system is good to close if more than k components close when closed and also is good to open if more than k components of n open when opened. In case of failure, the system is failure to close if fewer than k components close when closed and is failure to open if fewer than k components of n open when trying to open [5]. A characterization of the optimal k which maximizes the mean system-profit is obtained. This characterization is then applied to identify the situations under prediction, based on the parameters of the system, whether the optimal k is smaller than or larger than $n/2$. The same characterization also predicts the effect on the optimal k of a change in the costs of the four styles failure.

So, this paper shows to analyze and decide the optimal number of k which maximizes the mean profit of the k out of n systems.

2. Notation

- I_1 : The probability of unit in succeeding to close
- I_2 : The probability of unit in failing to close
- I_3 : The probability of unit in succeeding to open
- I_4 : The probability of unit in failing to open
- n : number of units in the system
- $R_1(k)$: The probability of system in succeeding to close
- $R_2(k)$: The probability of system in failing to close
- $R_3(k)$: The probability of system in succeeding to open
- $R_4(k)$: The probability of system in failing to open
- a : The probability of the closed system
- $1-a$: The probability of the opened system
- A^1 : The same gained value from system success in close
- A^2 : The same gained value from system failure in close
- A^3 : The same gained value from system success in open
- A^4 : The same gained value from system failure in open

3. The criterion and properties of the maximization for k

The maximization of :

$$Z(k) = R_1(k) - R_4(k) \{ (1-a)(A^3 - A^4) / a(A^1 - A^2) \} \quad (1)$$

The optimization is straightforward to identify the results corresponding to this special case. A k is optimal if and only if it satisfies [1], [2].

$$Z(k) - Z(k-1) \geq 0, \text{ and } Z(k) - Z(k+1) \geq 0 \text{ where } n > k > 0, \quad (2)$$

with at least one strict inequality

For $k^* = 0$ or n , $k = 0$ is optimal value if and only if

$$Z(0) \geq Z(1) \quad (3)$$

and $k = 1$ is also optimal if and only if

$$Z(n) \geq Z(n-1) \quad (4)$$

From the equation (2) and (4), We can get the necessary and sufficient conditions for the determination of optimal k are :

for $1 \leq k^* \leq n-1$

$$\begin{aligned} (I_2/I_1)^{n-k^*+1} (I_1/I_3)^{k^*-1} &\leq (1-a)(A^3 - A^4) / a(A^1 - A^2) \\ &\leq (I_2/I_1)^{n-k^*} (I_1/I_3)^{k^*+1} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

for $k^* = 0$,

$$(I_2/I_1)^n \geq (1-a)(A^3 - A^4) / a(A^1 - A^2) \quad (6)$$

and for $k^* = n$,

$$(I_2/I_1)(I_1/I_3)^{n-1} \leq (1-a)(A^3 - A^4) / a(A^1 - A^2) \quad (7)$$

One of the uses of equation (5), (6), (7), is that it can help predict certain properties of the magnitude of k^* , based directly on the values of the parameters $(1-a)(A^3 - A^4) / a(A^1 - A^2)$,

I_1, I_3 . In particular, equation (5), (6), (7), delineates sufficient conditions under which k^* is :

$$k^* < n/2 + 1; \text{ if } (1-a)(A^3-A^4)/a(A^1-A^2) < 1, \\ \text{and } I_3 \leq I_2 \quad (8)$$

$$k^* < n/2; \text{ if } (1-a)(A^3-A^4)/a(A^1-A^2) > 1, \\ \text{and } I_3 \geq I_2 \quad (9)$$

$$k^* = \frac{1}{2}(n+1), \text{ for even } n; \text{ if } I_3 = I_2 \\ \text{and } (1-a)(A^3-A^4)/a(A^1-A^2) = 1, \quad (10)$$

$$k^* = \frac{1}{2}(n+1), \text{ for odd } n; k^* = n/2 \text{ or } n/2 + 1 \quad (11)$$

< Proof of the Eq (8), (9), (10), (11) >

We can rewrite Eq(5) as :

$$(n-k^* + 1) \log \frac{I_1 I_2}{I_3 I_4} + (2k^* - n - 2) \log \left(\frac{I_1}{I_3} \right) \\ \leq \log [(1-a)(A^3-A^4)/a(A^1-A^2)] \\ \leq (n-k^*) \log \left(\frac{I_1 I_2}{I_3 I_4} \right) + (2k^* - n) \log \left(\frac{I_1}{I_3} \right) \quad (12)$$

The right hand side of Eq (12) is nonnegative

because $\frac{I_1 I_2}{I_3 I_4} \geq 1, n-k^* + 1 > 0, \frac{I_1}{I_3} > 1,$ and $(2k^* - n - 2) \geq 0$

On the other hand, $\log [(1-a)(A^3-A^4)/a(A^1-A^2)] < 0.$

Thus Eq (12) is contradicted.

Considering the case where $\{(1-a)(A^3-A^4)/a(A^1-A^2)\} = 1,$ and $I_3 = I_2$

then $\frac{I_1 I_2}{I_3 I_4} = 1$ from $\frac{I_1 I_2}{I_3 I_4} \geq 1,$ if $I_3 \leq I_2.$

Thus Eq (12) becomes

$$(2k^* - n) \log [I_1/I_3] \geq 0 \geq (2k^* - n - 2) \log [I_1/I_3] \quad (13)$$

Now since $\log [I_1/I_3] > 0$, it follows from Eq (13) that $k^* = (n+1)/2$ if n is odd. If n is even, then Eq (13) is satisfied for either $k^* = n/2$, or $k^* = (n/2) + 1$

Theorem 1.

An interior k^* is nondecreasing in $(1-a)(A^3-A^4)/a(A^1-A^2)$.
If the increase in $(1-a)(A^3-A^4)/a(A^1-A^2)$ is sufficiently large, then an interior k^* must increase.

< Proof >

From the Eq (5), We can rewrite as

$$\begin{aligned} [(I_1I_4)/(I_3I_2)]^{k^*-1} &\leq [(1-a)(A^3-A^4)/a(A^1-A^2)]/(I_2/I_4)^n \\ &\leq [(I_1I_4)/(I_3I_2)]^{k^*} \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where $(I_1I_4)/(I_3I_2) > 1$ because $I_1 > I_3$. Now Let's suppose $V = (1-a)(A^3-A^4)/a(A^1-A^2)$ is changed \bar{V} such that $\bar{V} > V$. If the corresponding optimal k is denoted \bar{k}^* , then

$$[(I_1I_4)/(I_3I_2)]^{k^*-1} \leq V/(I_2/I_4)^n \leq [(I_1I_4)/(I_3I_2)]^{k^*} \quad (15)$$

Because of $\bar{V} > V$, the second part of the inequality (14) yields :
 $V/(I_2/I_4)^n > [(I_1I_4)/(I_3I_2)]^{k^*-1}$. The preceding equation implies that the first part of the inequality (15) will be contradicted if $\bar{k}^* \leq k^* - 1$. Therefore $\bar{k}^* \geq k^*$ and if \bar{V} is sufficiently larger than V , then it is obvious that Eq (15) will be satisfied only if $\bar{k}^* > k^*$.

4. Evaluation at k^* by the result of the derivation for $Z(k)$

This part shows some effects concerning how an interior k^* is altered due to a change in the number of components n , or due to a change in the parameters I_1 and I_3 .

Our main project here is to derive qualitative effects and results with respect to the direction of change in optimal k due to a change in parameters.

The derivative of $Z(k)$ with respect to k is :

$$\begin{aligned}
 Z(k) &= R_{1k}(k) - R_{1k}(k)V \text{ (where } V = (1-a)(A^3 - A^4)/a(A^1 - A^2)) \\
 R_{1k}(k) &= \partial R_1(k)/\partial k \\
 R_{4k}(k) &= \partial R_4(k)/\partial k
 \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Thus, the interior extreme points of $Z(k)$ are those which satisfy :

$$Z_k(k) = 0$$

It's very easy to show that $Z_k(k)$ is strictly concave in k at any² k which satisfies $Z'_k(k) = 0$. It follows therefore that, for an interior k^* , and $Z(k) = 0$ represents the necessary and sufficient condition for optimality (since $\partial Z_k(k)/\partial k < 0$)

Now, Using the derivation for the evaluation at k^* We obtain the following expression for dk^*/dn that is

$$\frac{dk^*}{dn} = \frac{(k^*)^2 I_2 I_4 + \{n^2 - (k^*)^2\} I_1 I_3}{\{k^* I_2 I_4 + (n - k^*) I_1 I_3\} 2n} \tag{17}$$

< Proof >

Z_k in Eq (16) can be rewritten as $Z_k = R_{4k}[(R_{1k}/R_{4k}) - V]$. (where $V = (1-a)(A^3 - A^4)/a(A^1 - A^2)$). Thus, the derivative dZ_k/dk , evaluated at $Z_k = 0$, is :

$$\begin{aligned}
 \partial Y_k / \partial k &= R_{4k} \frac{\partial (R_{1k} / R_{4k})}{\partial k} \\
 &= [k^* I_2 I_4 + (n - k^*) I_1 I_3] R_{1k} (I_1 - I_3) / (n I_1 I_3 I_2 I_4) < 0
 \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

therefore,

$$\partial Z_k / \partial n = -R_{1k} (I_1 - I_3) [(k^*)^2 (1 - I_1 - I_3) + n^2 I_1 I_3] / (2n^2 I_1 I_3 I_2 I_4) \tag{19}$$

and hence,

$$dk^*/dn = [(k^*)^2 (I_2 I_4 + \{n^2 - (k^*)^2\} I_1 I_3)] / \{2n(k^* I_2 I_4 + (n - k^*) I_1 I_3)\} \tag{20}$$

Eq (17) is same as Eq (20). So, the proof is concluded like as above developments.

E_q (20) yields several qualitative conclusions concerning the local change in an interior k^* as n changes.

$$\frac{dk^*}{dn} \text{ is between 0 and 1, and if } I_3 \begin{matrix} > \\ < \end{matrix} I_2 \text{ then} \\ \frac{dk^*}{dn} \begin{matrix} > \\ < \end{matrix} 1/2.$$

The final result, the following theorem 2 ascertains the direction of local change in k^* from a change in the probabilities of unit failure, when these probabilities are the same in the four styles structure.

Theorem 2.

$$\frac{dk^*}{dI_1} \begin{matrix} > \\ < \end{matrix} 0, \text{ if } V \begin{matrix} < \\ > \end{matrix} 1, \text{ and } I_3 = I_2 \tag{21}$$

(Proof)

Likes as the method and development of E_q (19)

$$\partial Z_k / I_1 = -R_{1k}(n-2k^*)(I_2^2 - I_1^2) / (2I_1^2 I_2^2) \tag{22}$$

Using the $\partial Z / \partial K < 0$ and E_q (22), it follows that

$$\frac{dk^*}{dI_1} \begin{matrix} > \\ < \end{matrix} 0, \text{ if } \frac{k^*}{n} \begin{matrix} \leq \\ > \end{matrix} 1/2, \tag{23}$$

(where $\frac{k^*}{n} \begin{matrix} \leq \\ > \end{matrix} 1/2, \text{ if } V \begin{matrix} \leq \\ > \end{matrix} 1, \text{ and } I_3 = I_2$)

Thus E_q (21) in combination with E_q (22) implies that k^*/n becomes closer to half as the probabilities of unit failure become smaller.

5. Concluded Explanation

To test the fitability of these above results, we undertook numerical simulations for a range of parameters of exact change in K^* due to changes in parameters. The changes in K^* are computed as follows. For each combination of parameters, the integer value of K^* is

first calculated directly from Eq (5) (6) (7). One of the parameters is then altered, and the new integer value of K^* is similarly calculated.

The set of simulations was for each of the following 540 ($5 \times 9 \times 3 \times 4 = 540$)

combinations of parameters : $n = (25, 50, 75, 100, 125)$

$I_1 = (0.5, 0.55, 0.6, 0.65, 0.70, 0.75, 0.80, 0.85, 0.90)$

$I_3 = (I_1 - 0.05, I_1 - 0.1, I_1 - 0.2)$

$V = (0.05, 0.1, 0.75, 1.5)$

In each case, the value of n was increased by 20, and the value of I_1 was increased by 0.05 and the resulting increase in the integer value of k^* was computed. For all cases for which the pre-and post-change k^* had an interior value, the change in k^* was non-negative that is, if $I_3 \geq I_2$, then $1 \leq k^* \leq 2$, and if $I_3 \leq I_2$ then $k^* \leq 1$. And the change in k^* was non-negative for $V = (1-a)(A^3-A_4)/a(A^1-A_2) \leq 1$, and nonpositive for $V = (1-a)(A^3-A^4)/a(A^1-A^2) \geq 1$.

The objective of this paper was to analyze the K which maximizes the mean system profit. We show how one can predict, based on the parameters of the system, if the k is large of smaller than $n/2$. Also the positions of change in the k resulting from changes in system parameters are ascertained.

REFERENCES

1. M. J. Phillips, 1980, "*k out of n : G systems are preferable*", IEEE Trans. Rel, Vol. R-29, pp. 135-140.
2. R. S. Bawlow, K. D. Heidtmann, 1984, "*Computing k out of n system reliability*", IEEE Trans. Rel, Vol. R-33, pp. 322-323.
3. S. P. Jain, K. Gopal, 1985, "*Recursive algorithm for reliability evaluation of k out on n : G system*", IEEE Trans. Reliability, Vol. R-34, pp. 144-146.
4. S. Rai, A. K. Sarjs, E. V. Prasad, A. Kumar, 1987, "*Two recursive algorithms for computing the reliability of k out on n systems*", IEEE Trans. Rel, Vol. R-36, pp. 261-265.
5. Y. Ben-Dov, 1980, "*Optimal reliability design of k out of n systems subject to two kinds of failure*", J. Opl. Res. Soc., Vol. 31, pp. 743-748