

---

 論 文
 

---

大韓造船學會論文集  
 제 30 卷 第 3 號 1993 年 8 月  
 Transactions of the Society of  
 Naval Architects of Korea  
 Vol. 30, No. 3, August 1993

## 백의 유체역학적 힘과 공기의 압축성 효과

이경중\*

### Effects of Air Compressibility on the Hydrodynamic Forces of a Bag

by

G.J. Lee\*

#### 요 약

공기가 차 있는 백이 물에 부분적으로 잠겨서 동요할 때, 유체역학적 문제의 정식화가 Lee (1992)에 의해서 이루어졌으며, 선형항에 대한 계산방법이 주어졌다. 그러나 그의 정식화과정에서는 백내의 압력을 일정하다고 가정하여 공기의 압축성 효과가 무시되었다.

본 논문에서는 공기의 압축성을 고려하여 이 문제를 다시 정식화 하였다. 이때 공기의 압축과정은 정역학 문제시에는 등온과정, 동역학 문제시에는 단열과정으로 가정하였다. 또한 정역학 계산시 문제가 되었던 평형상태의 안정성이 다루어졌다. 수치계산을 통하여 강체의 경우, 일정 압력, 변동 압력의 경우에 대한 비교검토가 이루어졌다.

#### Abstract

The hydrodynamic problem when the pressurized bag submerges partially into water and oscillates was formulated by Lee(1992), and the solution method was given. In his formulation, however, the compressibility of air was neglected and the pressure inside the bag was assumed to be constant.

In this paper, the formulation was done including the air compressibility and the wall to block fling around phenomenon. The compression process was assumed to be a isothermal process for a static problem, isentropic process for a dynamic problem. And the stability was analyzed for the static problem. Through the various numerical calculations, the forces and the shape of the bag were compared with those of a rigid body case, constant pressure case, and variable pressure case.

---

발 표 : 1992년도 대한조선학회 추계연구발표회('92. 11. 14.)  
 접수일자 : 1992년 12월 21일, 재 접수일자 : 1993년 5월 12일  
 \* 정회원, 국방과학연구소

## 1. Introduction

The stern bag of SES plays an important role in preventing the air from leakage out of the cushion chamber, and this is very effective because of its flexibility. The bag also has an effect on the motion of the craft, especially on the pitch motion.

Ozawa[1] studied the dynamic characteristics of the seal system of SES by theoretical method and experiments, however in his study the hydrodynamics is not included. The hydrodynamics of the bag submerged partially into water was formulated and calculated by Lee[2], but he assumed a constant pressure in the bag, so the compressibility of air was ignored.

The hydrodynamics of a bag has peculiar characteristics: the boundary condition on the bag is represented globally not point-wisely and it has a very complex form because the pressure change in certain portion of a bag affects the shape of the bag on the whole. And it is a moving boundary like a free surface boundary so the treatment of it is difficult.

The motion of a bag can be divided into two modes by its mechanism, one is due to the pressure change in the bag, and the other due to the movement of structure to which the bag is attached. In the latter case, the pressure in the bag can be constant or variable. It is almost constant when the air of the bag is fed by a huge reservoir and the opening area to the bag is large, and when the opening of the bag is closed the pressure inside is to be varied in order to satisfy the state equation of air. There are two processes in compression-expansion, isothermal process, and isentropic process. For static problem, it is assumed to be isothermal because there is enough time to flow out the resulting heat, and for dynamic problem isentropic because there is too short time to flow out the resulting heat totally.

In this paper, the static and dynamic problem were formulated including the compressibility of air. Because most bags are made of fiber, the

mass of the bag and girth-wise elongation were ignored. And the hydrodynamic problem is treated by a potential theory. The wall to block the 'fling around' is included, and the static stability was analyzed more thoroughly. Through a number of numerical calculations, the comparison was done with rigid body, compressible air, constant pressure cases.

## 2. Static Problem

In this chapter, the shape and the static problem were studied for a pressurized bag submerged partially into water. The pressure in the bag may remain constant, or may be varied because of the compressibility of air. The isothermal process is assumed, and the mass of a bag and elongation in girth-wise length are ignored like Lee[2].

### 2.1 The Shape of a Bag

Suppose that there is no tangential force on the surface of a bag, so the tension is constant along the perimeter. The shape of a bag and coordinate system is shown in Fig. 1




Fig. 1 Shape of a bag and coordinates

The end points of a bag are attached to the structure, these points are denoted by point  $A$ ,  $B$  and their positions are  $(x_A, y_A)$ ,  $(x_B, y_B)$ . The angles between the tangential direction of the bag and  $x$ -axis are  $\theta_A$ ,  $\theta_B$  at end points, and  $\theta(l)$

between them. Here  $l$  is the girth-wise length, and total length is  $L$ . If the bag is overlapped with the wall, the angles at end points are  $\theta_{WA}$ ,  $\theta_{WB}$ , and the overlapping lengths are  $l_A$ ,  $l_B$  respectively.

The relationship of the pressure, tension, curvature of the bag is given by the following Laplace formula[3].

$$P_b - P = \frac{T}{R} \tag{1}$$

where  $P_b$  is the pressure in the bag,  $P$  out of the bag,  $T$  tensile force,  $R$  radius of curvature. The definition of radius of curvature is the reciprocal of the derivative of tangential angle with respect to arc length, so the tangential angle can be obtained from it.

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(l) &= \int_0^l \frac{1}{R} du + \theta_A \\ &= \int_0^l \frac{P_b - P(u)}{T} du + \theta_A \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

and when the bag is overlapped with the wall, it is

$$\theta(l) = \begin{cases} \theta_{WA} & l < l_A \\ \theta_{WA} + T^{-1} \int_{l_A}^l (P_b - P(u)) du & l_A < l < L - l_B \\ \theta_{WA} + T^{-1} \int_{l_A}^{L-l_B} (P_b - P(u)) du & l > L - l_B \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

$\theta_{WA} = \theta_A$  when  $l_A = 0$ . The shape of the bag can be represented by use of this angle as

$$\begin{aligned} x(l) &= \int_0^l \cos(\theta(u)) du + x_A \\ y(l) &= \int_0^l \sin(\theta(u)) du + y_A \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Once the two points  $A$ ,  $B$  and length  $L$  and pressure difference are given, the shape can be obtained. The unknowns are  $T$ ,  $\theta_A$  for the  $l_A = 0$ ,

or  $T$ ,  $l_A$  for  $l_A > 0$ . For the mathematical convenience, introduce the generalized  $\theta_A$ .

$$\theta_A = \begin{cases} \theta_A & \text{if } l_A = 0 \\ l_A & \text{if } l_A > 0 \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

The following state equation of air is to be used when the compressibility of air is included.

$$P_b V = \text{const}$$

Above equation is for the isothermal process. In the static problem, there is enough time to flow out the resulting heat totally, so the assumption of isothermal process is reasonable. Including the compressibility, the pressure inside  $P_b$  is also an unknown to be sought for, thus three unknowns can be found from three equations below.

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= x(L) - x_B = 0 \\ f_2 &= y(L) - y_B = 0 \\ f_3 &= P_b V - P_{b0} V_0 = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where the volume inside is

$$V = \int_0^L y \frac{dx}{dl} dl + \frac{1}{2} (y_A + y(L))(x_A - x(L))$$

The pressure outside bag has a value  $P(l) = -\rho g y(l)$  only in the portion below the free surface  $y = 0$ . Eq. (6) are non-linear equations, the unknowns are obtained using Newton-Raphson method.

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{Bmatrix} T^{k+1} \\ \theta_A^{k+1} \\ P_b^{k+1} \end{Bmatrix} &= \begin{Bmatrix} T^k \\ \theta_A^k \\ P_b^k \end{Bmatrix} - \begin{Bmatrix} \Delta T^k \\ \Delta \theta_A^k \\ \Delta P_b^k \end{Bmatrix} \\ \begin{Bmatrix} \Delta T^k \\ \Delta \theta_A^k \\ \Delta P_b^k \end{Bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial T} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial \theta_A} & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial P_b} \\ \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial T} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial \theta_A} & \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial P_b} \\ \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial T} & \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial \theta_A} & \frac{\partial f_3}{\partial P_b} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{Bmatrix} f_1^k \\ f_2^k \\ f_3^k \end{Bmatrix} \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

The derivatives used in the above equations are derived in Appendix A. The initial guesses are given as the same manner as in the work of Lee [2].

## 2.2 Static Stability

The stability of the static equilibrium state of a bag is analyzed here.




Fig. 2 Forces and shape of a bag

Firstly, suppose the case that the bag is not overlapped with the wall. The external force on the bag is

$$\begin{aligned} f_x &= -T \cos \theta_A + T \cos \theta_B \\ f_y &= -P_b d + \text{Buoy} - T \sin \theta_A \\ &\quad + T \sin \theta_B \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

If the shape is symmetric in  $y$ -axis,  $\theta_A = -\theta_B$ , so the force in the  $x$ -direction vanishes. When an infinitesimal force in the  $x$ -direction exists, the angles are changed by an amount of  $\Delta\theta_A$ ,  $\Delta\theta_B$  respectively, and these changes are positive.

The change in  $f_x$  is

$$\Delta f_x = T \sin \theta_A \Delta\theta_A - T \sin \theta_B \Delta\theta_B \quad (9)$$

For the case that  $\theta_B < \pi$ ,  $\Delta f_x$  is negative and its direction is opposed to the direction of movement, so the positive restoring results out. The static instability takes place when  $\theta_B > \pi$ , and a neutral state when  $\theta_B = \pi$ .

Secondly, suppose the case that the bag is

overlapped with the wall. Similarly, the external force on the bag is

$$\begin{aligned} f_x &= -T \cos \theta_{WA} + T \cos \theta_{WB} \\ &\quad - P_b l_A \sin \theta_{WA} - P_b l_B \sin \theta_{WB} \\ f_y &= -P_b d + \text{Buoy} - T \sin \theta_{WA} \\ &\quad + T \sin \theta_{WB} + P_b l_A \cos \theta_{WA} \\ &\quad - P_b l_B \cos \theta_{WB} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

If the shape is symmetric,  $\theta_{WA} = -\theta_{WB}$ . When an infinitesimal force in the positive  $x$ -direction is applied, the overlapped length are changed by an amount of  $\Delta l_A$ ,  $\Delta l_B$ , where  $\Delta l_A$  is negative,  $\Delta l_B$  positive. The change in  $f_x$  is

$$\Delta f_x = -P_b \Delta l_A \sin \theta_{WA} - P_b \Delta l_B \sin \theta_{WB} \quad (11)$$

From the above equation, it can be said that it is stable when  $\theta_{WB} < \pi$ , unstable when  $\theta_{WB} > \pi$ , neutral when  $\theta_{WB} = \pi$ . This result is the same as the case of no wall.

## 3. Dynamic Problem

The hydrodynamic problem when the bag moves is treated here. The motion of a bag can be divided into two modes by its mechanism, one mode is due to the pressure change in the bag, and the other due to the movement of structure to which the bag is attached. In the latter case, the pressure in the bag can be constant or variable, including the compressibility of air it is to be varied in order to satisfy the state equation of air, and an isentropic process is assumed.

### 3.1 Shape Change due to Pressure Change

In order to obtain the boundary condition on the bag, the shape change due to the pressure change in and out has to be known before.

Firstly, suppose the case that the pressure in the bag is changed. The change of  $P_b$  results in

the changes of  $T$ ,  $\theta_A$ , and the shape. Denote the change of  $P_b$  by  $dP_b$ , and the shape by  $dX_1$ ,  $dY_1$ . Even though  $P_b$  changes, the end points of the bag remain at the same points, that is, the first two equations in Eq. (6) must hold.

The differentials of them are

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial x(L)}{\partial P_b} dP_b + \frac{\partial x(L)}{\partial T} dT + \frac{\partial x(L)}{\partial \theta_A} d\theta_A &= 0 \\ \frac{\partial y(L)}{\partial P_b} dP_b + \frac{\partial y(L)}{\partial T} dT + \frac{\partial y(L)}{\partial \theta_A} d\theta_A &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

$dT$ ,  $d\theta_A$  can be obtained from the above equations.

$$\begin{Bmatrix} dT \\ d\theta_A \end{Bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial x(L)}{\partial T} & \frac{\partial x(L)}{\partial \theta_A} \\ \frac{\partial y(L)}{\partial T} & \frac{\partial y(L)}{\partial \theta_A} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{Bmatrix} \frac{\partial x(L)}{\partial P_b} \\ \frac{\partial y(L)}{\partial P_b} \end{Bmatrix} dP_b \tag{13}$$

The change of the shape is

$$dX_1(l) = \frac{\partial x(l)}{\partial T} dT + \frac{\partial x(l)}{\partial \theta_A} d\theta_A + \frac{\partial x(l)}{\partial P_b} dP_b \tag{14}$$

$$dY_1(l) = \frac{\partial y(l)}{\partial T} dT + \frac{\partial y(l)}{\partial \theta_A} d\theta_A + \frac{\partial y(l)}{\partial P_b} dP_b \tag{15}$$

Substituting Eq. (13) into above equations,  $dX_1(l)$ ,  $dY_1(l)$  can be represented by only one variable  $P_b$ . The derivatives used in above equations are derived in Appendix A.

Secondly, let us seek the shape change due to variations of the outside pressure. Hereafter the equations are derived for the case of compressible air, if the constant pressure is wanted it is achieved by setting  $dP_b = 0$ ,  $V = \text{const}$ . In the isentropic process, the state equation of air is

$$P_b^{1/\gamma} V - P_{b0}^{1/\gamma} V_0 = 0 \tag{16}$$

where  $\gamma$  is the specific heat of air, its value is 1.4 for dry air. The differentials of the first two equations in Eq. (6) and the above equation are as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial x(L)}{\partial P} dP + \frac{\partial x(L)}{\partial T} dT + \frac{\partial x(L)}{\partial \theta_A} d\theta_A + \frac{\partial x(L)}{\partial P_b} dP_b &= 0 \\ \frac{\partial y(L)}{\partial P} dP + \frac{\partial y(L)}{\partial T} dT + \frac{\partial y(L)}{\partial \theta_A} d\theta_A + \frac{\partial y(L)}{\partial P_b} dP_b &= 0 \\ \frac{\partial V}{\partial P} dP + \frac{\partial V}{\partial T} dT + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta_A} d\theta_A + \left( \frac{V}{\gamma P_b} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial P_b} \right) dP_b &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

$dT$ ,  $d\theta_A$ ,  $dP_b$  can be obtained from the above equations as follows.

$$\begin{Bmatrix} dT \\ d\theta_A \\ dP_b \end{Bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial x(L)}{\partial T} & \frac{\partial x(L)}{\partial \theta_A} & \frac{\partial x(L)}{\partial P_b} \\ \frac{\partial y(L)}{\partial T} & \frac{\partial y(L)}{\partial \theta_A} & \frac{\partial y(L)}{\partial P_b} \\ \frac{\partial V}{\partial T} & \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta_A} & \frac{V}{\gamma P_b} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial P_b} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{Bmatrix} \frac{\partial x(L)}{\partial P} dP \\ \frac{\partial y(L)}{\partial P} dP \\ \frac{\partial V}{\partial P} dP \end{Bmatrix} \tag{18}$$

The derivatives used in above equations are derived in Appendix A, and B. Because  $dP(l)$  is a function, derivative with respect to  $dP(l)$  is somewhat different from that with respect to a scalar, and it has to be obtained in the distribution sense. Thus derivatives with respect to a function  $dP(l)$  is linear operators not values, Appendix B, contains more details. The shape change of the bag can be obtained as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} dx(l) &= \frac{\partial x(l)}{\partial T} dT + \frac{\partial x(l)}{\partial \theta_A} d\theta_A + \frac{\partial x(l)}{\partial P_b} dP_b + \frac{\partial x(l)}{\partial P} dP \\ dy(l) &= \frac{\partial y(l)}{\partial T} dT + \frac{\partial y(l)}{\partial \theta_A} d\theta_A + \frac{\partial y(l)}{\partial P_b} dP_b + \frac{\partial y(l)}{\partial P} dP \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Using Eq. (18), the above equations are rewritten as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} dx(l) &= \frac{\partial x(l)}{\partial P} dP + \begin{Bmatrix} \frac{\partial x(l)}{\partial T} \\ \frac{\partial x(l)}{\partial \theta_A} \\ \frac{\partial x(l)}{\partial P_b} \end{Bmatrix}^T \begin{Bmatrix} dT \\ d\theta_A \\ dP_b \end{Bmatrix} \\ &= L_x \cdot dP \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 dy(l) &= \frac{\partial y(l)}{\partial P} dP + \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \frac{\partial y(l)}{\partial T} \\ \frac{\partial y(l)}{\partial \theta} \\ \frac{\partial y(l)}{\partial P_i} \end{array} \right\}^T \left\{ \begin{array}{c} dT \\ d\theta_A \\ dP_i \end{array} \right\} \\
 &= L_y \cdot dP
 \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

where  $L_x, L_y$  are linear operators.

### 3.2 Boundary Condition

The boundary condition on the surface of a rigid body is well known and simple, but on the surface of a flexible body, like a pressurized bag, the boundary condition has a complicated nature[2]. In the previous section, the shape change due to the change of pressure is given, but practically in a fluid the bag can not make such shape change because of the static and dynamic pressure in the fluid.

$$P = -\rho g y - \rho \phi_t \quad \text{for } y < 0$$

where  $\phi$  is a velocity potential. The motion excluding this dynamic condition, that is, the movement due to the change of the pressure in the bag and due to the movement of the end points is represented by

$$\begin{aligned}
 dX_E(l) &= dX_1(l) + dX_2 \\
 dY_E(l) &= dY_1(l) + dY_2
 \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

where  $dX_1, dY_1$  are the shape changes due to the pressure inside, and  $dX_2, dY_2$  are the motion displacements due to the movement of its end points. If the compressibility of air is included,  $dX_1, dY_1$  need not to be considered. The actual displacement of the surface of a bag is as follows, using the dynamic condition.

$$\begin{aligned}
 dy &= L_y \cdot dP + dY_E \\
 &= -\rho g L_y^* \cdot dy - \rho L_y^* \cdot d\phi_t + dY_E \\
 dy &= [I + \rho g L_y^*]^{-1} [-\rho L_y^* \cdot d\phi_t + dY_E]
 \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

in which  $I$  is an identity operator and  $L_y^*$  is an operator which is reduced from  $L_y$  by ignoring the part of  $y > 0$ . Similarly  $dx$  can be obtained as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
 dx &= L_x \cdot dP + dX_E \\
 &= -\rho L_x^* [I + \rho g L_y^*]^{-1} d\phi_t \\
 &\quad - \rho g L_x^* [I + \rho g L_y^*]^{-1} dY_E + dX_E
 \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Only the portion  $y < 0$  is required to solve the boundary value problem. Examining the above two equations closely, we know that the portion  $y > 0$  has no effect on the portion  $y < 0$  because the pressure remains constant over the portion  $y > 0$ . Thus we can rewrite the equations only for  $y < 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 dx^* &= -\rho K_x [I + \rho g K_y]^{-1} d\phi_t \\
 &\quad - \rho g K_x [I + \rho g K_y]^{-1} dY_E^* + dX_E^* \\
 dy^* &= [I + \rho g K_y]^{-1} [-\rho K_y d\phi_t + dY_E^*]
 \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

where  $K_x, K_y$  are the linear operators which are reduced from  $L_x^*, L_y^*$  by ignoring the part of  $y > 0$ , and defined only on the portion  $y < 0$ .

The kinematic condition is

$$\begin{aligned}
 \phi_n &= n_x x_t^* + n_y y_t^* \\
 &= n_x X_{Et}^* + C_y Y_{Et}^* - C_\phi \phi_{tt}
 \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_y &= [n_y - n_x \rho g K_x] [I + \rho g K_y]^{-1} \\
 C_\phi &= [n_x \rho K_x [I + \rho g K_y]^{-1} \\
 &\quad + n_y \rho [I + \rho g K_y]^{-1} K_y]
 \end{aligned}$$

The boundary condition can be represented globally as shown above. Above boundary condition seems to be similar to that of free surface, but it is global while that of free surface is point-wise.

3.3 Boundary Value Problem

In the framework of potential theory, the governing equation is Laplace equation, and there must exist boundary condition on the whole boundary. This boundary value problem is summarized as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^2 \phi &= 0 && \text{in fluid domain} \\ \phi_y + 1/g \phi_{tt} &= 0 && \text{on } y = 0 \\ \phi_n + C_\phi \phi_{tt} &= n_x X_{Et}^* + C_y Y_{Et}^* && \text{on the Surface of the bag} \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

and appropriate radiation condition. The solution of this boundary value problem can be obtained by Green's identity

$$\phi(P) = \int_S \{G_{nQ}(P, Q)\phi(Q) - G(P, Q)\phi_n(Q)\} dS(Q) \tag{28}$$

where  $P$  is the field point and  $Q$  source point, and  $G(P, Q)$  is the fundamental solution of Laplace equation which satisfies the free surface boundary condition and the radiation condition [4]. Discretizing the submerged surface of the bag, assuming that the values of  $\phi, \phi_n$  are constant over each segment and equal to the values at midpoint, and performing integration over each segment analytically, the above equation becomes the matrix equation below.

$$\{\phi\} = [G_n]\{\phi\} - [G]\{\phi_n\} \tag{29}$$

Suppose the case of time harmonic motion. Substituting the boundary condition into the above equation results in

$$[I - G_n + \omega^2 G C_\phi]\{\phi\} = -[G]\{n_x X_{Et}^* + C_y Y_{Et}^*\} \tag{30}$$

After discretization, the operator  $C_\phi, C_y$  turn into matrices and  $n_x, n_y$  diagonal matrices. Once the solution of the above equation is found, the press-

ure on the surface of a bag can be calculated by

$$\begin{aligned} dP &= -\rho g dy - \rho d\phi_t \\ &= -\rho [I + \rho g K_y]^{-1} \{gdY_E + d\phi_t\} \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

for  $y < 0$

The first term of the above equation is static pressure and the second term is hydrodynamic pressure. The force acting on the bag is calculated by integrating  $dP$  on the surface of it. Substituting the above equation into Eq.(20), (21), we can obtain the shape change of the bag, and into Eq.(18), the tension,  $\theta_A, P_b$ .

4. Numerical Results

All calculations were carried out with single precision on the i386 based PC. The total length of the perimeter of a bag was divided into 100 elements. And non-dimensionalization is as follows : perimeter length  $L/d$ , submerged depth  $depth/d$ , volume inside  $V' = V/d^2$ , submerged area  $A' = A/d^2$ , pressure inside  $P' = P_s/\rho g d$ , tension  $T' = T/\rho d$ , frequency  $\omega / \sqrt{g/d}$  added mass  $a/\rho d^2$ , damping coefficient  $b/\rho d^2 \sqrt{g/d}$ .

4.1 Static Problem

All integrations used for the static problem were performed by the trapezoidal method. Lee[2] used the modified Newton method to solve the non-linear equations, however in this paper, the conventional Newton-Raphson method makes no problem in solving them because the wall to block 'fing around' makes the solution scheme more stable. But the special numerical treatment is required when the bag starts to be overlapped with the wall.

The shapes of a bag with various submerged depths are shown in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4. The results for constant pressure are in Fig. 3 and for compressible air in Fig. 4. Comparing two cases, it is




Fig. 3 The shape of a bag with various depths, constant pressure case




Fig. 4 The shape of a bag with various depths, including air compressibility




Fig. 5 Static properties of a bag with changing depth, solid line-air compressibility, dashed line-constant pressure

known that the shapes are similar for small depths, but as the depth increases the shape change is smaller and the bottom position is lower in the case of compressible air. This is due to the fact that the inside pressure grows as the depth increases.

In Fig. 5, the inside volume, submerged area, tension, inside pressure are drawn for the various submerged depth. Including the compressibility of air, it can be said that all values are larger than those for the constant pressure case. The buoyancy force can be obtained by multiplying the submerged area by  $\rho g$ , and the restoring force by differentiating this with respect to depth, so the restoring force is proportional to the slope of the submerged area. For the constant pressure, the slope is nearly constant while the length of water line increases, but for the compressible air the slope grows large as the depth increases, and therefore larger restoring force than that of constant pressure case.

#### 4.2 Dynamic Problem

The heave added mass and damping of a bag are shown in Fig. 6 and Fig. 7. In low frequency, the added mass and damping have similar behavior to those of rigid body while their values are small. As the pressure increases, the added mass and damping become close to that of rigid body. The effect of compressibility is not shown significantly. In the medium frequency ranging from 1.5 to 2.7 for the Fig. 6 and Fig. 7 the added mass and damping behave quite strangely, this phenomenon seems to be a resonance of the bag. The bag itself is a spring-mass-damper system because the bag above the free surface has a similar role of spring. Therefore the bag has a natural frequency, and as the pressure inside grows large, tension increases, and does the equivalent spring constant. As the result, the resonant frequency of high pressure is higher than that of low pressure. The possibility of irregular frequency has been considered, but this is not the case because the submerged shape is nearly the






Fig. 6 Heave added mass & damping for constant pressure, non-dimensioned pressures are 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1.0, 1.5, dashed lines for rigid body of same shape( $p' = 1$ ).




Fig. 7 Heave added mass & dsamping for compressible air, non-dimensioned pressures are 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1.0, 1.5, dashed lines for rigid body of same shape( $p' = 1$ ).

same so the irregular frequency must remain near a certain frequency, and the added mass and damping of the rigid body of same shape in the range under considering have a smooth behavior. Thus this phenomenon can not be considered as that of irregular frequency. Therefore author concluded that this is the resonance of the bag, but a more careful study on this phenomenon must be done.

### 5. Conclusions

In this paper, the static and dynamic problem was analyzed including the compressibility of air when a bag filled with pressurized air submerges into water. This problem has some distinct nature : both the kinematic and dynamic conditions are required for the boundary condition on the surface of a bag because the surface of a bag can be deformed easily by the pressure acting on it, and the boundary condition is represented not locally but globally.

In this paper, the formulation was done in the framework of potential theory including the compressibility of air, and the wall to block 'fling around' is included. Following conclusions are drawn :

1. The effect of air compressibility was shown in static problem especially in large depth, but in dynamic problem the effect is small.
2. The added mass and damping behaves strangely near a certain frequency, this behavior seems to be a resonance of the bag not an irregular frequency phenomenon.

Author hopes advances in the resonance of a bag, and the application to the stern bag of SES.

### References

- [1] Ozawa, H. et al, On Dynamic Characteristics of SES Seal System, *SNAJ*, vol. 158, 1985
- [2] Lee, G.J. On the Hydrodynamic Forces on a Partially Submerged Bag, *SNAK*, vol. 29, no. 3, 1992

- [3] Landau, L.D. & E.M. Lifshitz, *Fluid Mechanics*, Course of Theoretical Physics Vol. 6, Pergamon Press, 1959
- [4] Wehausen, J.V. & E.V. Laitone, *Surface Waves*, Encyclopedia of Physics vol.9, Springer-Verlag, 1960

#### Appendix A Derivatives w.r.t. Scalar

The definition of the tangential angle  $\theta(l)$  is given in Eq.(3). Derivative of  $\theta(l)$  with respect to a generalized  $\theta_A$  is, for  $l_A = 0$ ,

$$\frac{\partial \theta(l)}{\partial \theta_A} = 1 \quad (\text{A.1})$$

for  $l_A > 0$ ,

$$\frac{\partial \theta(l)}{\partial \theta_A} = \begin{cases} 0 & l < l_A \\ -\{P_b - P(l_A)\}/T & l_A < l < L - l_B \\ -\{P_b - P(l_A)\}/T & l > L - l_B \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Derivative of  $\theta(l)$  with respect to  $T, P_b$ .

$$\frac{\partial \theta(l)}{\partial T} = \begin{cases} 0 & l < l_A \\ -T^{-2} \int_{l_A}^l \{P_b - P(u)\} du & l_A < l < L - l_B \\ -T^{-2} \int_{l_A}^{L-l_B} \{P_b - P(u)\} du & l > L - l_B \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

$$\frac{\partial \theta(l)}{\partial P_b} = \begin{cases} 0 & l < l_A \\ (l - l_A)/T & l_A < l < L - l_B \\ (L - l_B - l_A)/T & l > L - l_B \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Derivatives of  $x, y$

$$z(l) = x(l) + iy(l) = \int_0^l e^{i\theta(v)} dv + x_A + iy_A \quad (\text{A.5})$$

$$\frac{\partial z(l)}{\partial T} = \int_0^l e^{i\theta(v)} i \frac{\partial \theta(v)}{\partial T} dv \quad (\text{A.6})$$

$$\frac{\partial z(l)}{\partial \theta_A} = \int_0^l e^{i\theta(v)} i \frac{\partial \theta(v)}{\partial \theta_A} dv \quad (\text{A.7})$$

$$\frac{\partial z(l)}{\partial P_b} = \int_0^l e^{i\theta(v)} i \frac{\partial \theta(v)}{\partial P_b} dv \quad (\text{A.8})$$

Derivative of Volume  $V$

$$V = \int_0^L y \frac{dx}{dl} dl + \frac{1}{2}(y_A + y(L))(x_A - x(L)) \quad (\text{A.9})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial V}{\partial T} &= \int_0^L \left\{ \frac{\partial y(l)}{\partial T} \frac{dx(l)}{dl} + y(l) \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \frac{dx(l)}{dl} \right\} dl \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{\partial y(L)}{\partial T} (x_A - x(L)) - (y_A + y(L)) \frac{\partial x(L)}{\partial T} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial V}{\partial \theta_A} &= \int_0^L \left\{ \frac{\partial y(l)}{\partial \theta_A} \frac{dx(l)}{dl} + y(l) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_A} \frac{dx(l)}{dl} \right\} dl \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{\partial y(L)}{\partial \theta_A} (x_A - x(L)) - (y_A + y(L)) \frac{\partial x(L)}{\partial \theta_A} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.11})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial V}{\partial P_b} &= \int_0^L \left\{ \frac{\partial y(l)}{\partial P_b} \frac{dx(l)}{dl} + y(l) \frac{\partial}{\partial P_b} \frac{dx(l)}{dl} \right\} dl \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{\partial y(L)}{\partial P_b} (x_A - x(L)) - (y_A + y(L)) \frac{\partial x(L)}{\partial P_b} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.12})$$

where  $\frac{dx(l)}{dl} = \cos(\theta(l))$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \frac{dx(l)}{dl} &= -\sin(\theta(l)) \frac{\partial \theta(l)}{\partial T} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_A} \frac{dx(l)}{dl} &= -\sin(\theta(l)) \frac{\partial \theta(l)}{\partial \theta_A} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial P_b} \frac{dx(l)}{dl} &= -\sin(\theta(l)) \frac{\partial \theta(l)}{\partial P_b} \end{aligned}$$

#### Appendix B Derivatives w.r.t. a Function

When a function  $f$  is a function of  $P(l)$ , the change of  $f$  due to a change of  $P$ ,  $dP(l)$  can be obtained in the following manner. The derivative of  $f$  w.r.t.  $P$  when  $P$  is varied at one point  $l = s$

by an amount of  $\Delta P \cdot \delta(l - s)$  is

$$\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial P}\right)' = \lim_{\Delta P \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(P(l) + \Delta P \cdot \delta(l - s)) - f(P(l))}{\Delta P} \tag{B.1}$$

This has a similar meaning with an impulse-response function. Therefore the change of  $f$  due to  $dP(l)$  can be obtained as follows.

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial P} dP = \int_0^L \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial P}\right)' (l - s) \cdot dP(l) ds \tag{B.2}$$

The derivative of  $\theta$  w.r.t.  $P$  is

$$\left(\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial P}\right)' (l - s) = -\frac{1}{T} H(l - s) \tag{B.3}$$

With this result, the change due to  $dP$  can be obtained.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial x(l)}{\partial P} dP &= \int_0^L \left\{ \int_0^l e^{i\theta(v)} i \left(\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial P}\right)' (v - s) dv \right\} \cdot dP(s) ds \\ &= \int_0^l \left\{ \int_s^l e^{i\theta(v)} \frac{-i}{T} dv \right\} \cdot dP(s) ds \end{aligned} \tag{B.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial V}{\partial P} dP &= \int_0^L \left[ \int_0^L \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial P}\right)' \frac{dx(l)}{dl} + y(l) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial P} \frac{dx(l)}{dl}\right)' \right\} dl \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial y(L)}{\partial P}\right)' (x_A - x(L)) - (y_A + y(L)) \left(\frac{\partial x(L)}{\partial P}\right)' \right\} \right] \\ &\quad dP(s) ds \end{aligned} \tag{B.5}$$