

## PROPERTY (P) ON $\ell_p$

DO KON LEE

$X$  and  $Y$  are real Banach spaces with closed unit balls  $B_X$  and  $B_Y$  respectively. For  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ , the space  $\mathcal{P}(^n X, Y)$  of continuous  $n$ -homogeneous polynomials  $P : X \rightarrow Y$  consists of all functions  $P$  of the form  $P(x) = A(x, \dots, x)$ , where  $A : X \times \dots \times X \rightarrow Y$  is a continuous  $n$ -linear mapping.  $\|P\| \equiv \sup\{\|P(x)\| : x \in B_X\}$ . The space  $\mathcal{P}(X, Y)$  is the algebraic direct sum of the space  $\mathcal{P}(^n X, Y)$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ .  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  and  $\mathcal{P}(^n X)$  denote  $\mathcal{P}(X, \mathbb{R})$  and  $\mathcal{P}(^n X, \mathbb{R})$ , respectively.

We say that a Banach space  $X$  has *property (P)* if for any bounded sequences  $(u_n)$  and  $(v_n)$  in  $X$  such that  $|P(u_n) - P(v_n)| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $P \in \mathcal{P}(^n X)$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , then  $|Q(u_n - v_n)| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $Q \in \mathcal{P}(^n X)$ ,  $n \geq 1$ . This property was studied in [ACL], which is closely related with Dundord–Pettis property and Schur property. Aron, Choi and Llavona [ACL] showed that every super-reflexive Banach space has *property (P)*. However in their proof they used the fact that every super-reflexive Banach space is in  $W_p$ -class, which was studied by Castillo and Sánchez[CS]. Hence we cannot have the exact form of polynomial which works in their proof. In this note we will prove that every  $\ell_p$  ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ) has *property (P)*, without using  $W_p$ -class. For general background on polynomials we refer to [D] and [M].

**LEMMA 1.** For any  $p$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , if  $(u_j)$  and  $(v_j)$  are two sequences in  $\ell_p$  which go to 0 weakly, and if for all polynomials  $P \in \mathcal{P}(\ell_p)$ ,  $P(u_j) - P(v_j) \rightarrow 0$ , then  $\|u_j - v_j\|_p \rightarrow 0$

*Proof.* It is enough to prove the case  $1 < p < \infty$ . Suppose that  $\|u_j - v_j\|_p \not\rightarrow 0$ . Since  $(u_j)$  and  $(v_j)$  converge to 0 weakly, by passing to a subsequence, there is an increasing sequence  $(n_j)$  of positive integers such that for  $E_j = \{n_j \leq k \leq n_{j+1} - 1\}$ ,

$$\|u_j \chi_{E_j} - u_j\|_p < \frac{1}{2^j} \quad \text{and} \quad \|v_j \chi_{E_j} - v_j\|_p < \frac{1}{2^j}.$$

---

Received April 30, 1993

The author would like to thank Y.S. Choi for lots of discussions and suggestions in preparing this paper .

We may assume  $(u_j)$  and  $(v_j)$  to be  $(u_j \chi_{E_j})$  and  $(v_j \chi_{E_j})$  respectively. Let

$$E_j^+ = \{k \in E_j : u_j^k v_j^k \geq 0\}, \quad \text{and} \quad E_j^- = \{k \in E_j : u_j^k v_j^k < 0\},$$

where  $u_j = (u_j^1, u_j^2, \dots, u_j^n, \dots)$  and  $v_j = (v_j^1, v_j^2, \dots, v_j^n, \dots)$ . Since  $\|u_j - v_j\|_p \neq 0$ . We have that  $\|(u_j - v_j) \chi_{E_j^+}\|_p \neq 0$  or  $\|(u_j - v_j) \chi_{E_j^-}\|_p \neq 0$ . When  $\|(u_j - v_j) \chi_{E_j^+}\|_p \neq 0$ , we partition each set  $E_j^+$  into four pairwise disjoint subsets.  $P_{u_j}, P_{v_j}, N_{u_j}, N_{v_j}$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} P_{u_j} &= \{k \in E_j^+ : u_j^k \geq v_j^k > 0\}, \\ P_{v_j} &= \{k \in E_j^+ : v_j^k > u_j^k > 0\}, \\ N_{u_j} &= \{k \in E_j^+ : u_j^k \leq v_j^k \leq 0\} \quad \text{and} \\ N_{v_j} &= \{k \in E_j^+ : v_j^k < u_j^k \leq 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\|(u_j - v_j) \chi_{E_j^+}\|_p \neq 0$ , one of the following four sequences does not converge to 0;  $(\|(u_j - v_j) \chi_{P_{u_j}}\|_p)$ ,  $(\|(u_j - v_j) \chi_{P_{v_j}}\|_p)$ ,  $(\|(u_j - v_j) \chi_{N_{u_j}}\|_p)$ ,  $(\|(u_j - v_j) \chi_{N_{v_j}}\|_p)$ . Suppose  $(\|(u_j - v_j) \chi_{P_{u_j}}\|_p)$  does not converge to 0. We may assume that for each  $j$ ,  $\|(u_j - v_j) \chi_{P_{u_j}}\|_p \geq \delta$  for some  $\delta > 0$  (consider a subsequence if necessary). Define

$$P(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k \in P_{u_j}} x^k |u_j^k - v_j^k|^{p-1} \right)^N$$

where  $N$  is an integer greater than  $p$  and  $x = (x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n, \dots) \in \ell_p$ . If  $\|x\|_p \leq 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} |P(x)| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k \in P_{u_j}} |x^k| |u_j^k - v_j^k|^{p-1} \right)^N \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k \in P_{u_j}} |x^k|^p \right)^{\frac{N}{p}} \left( \sum_{k \in P_{u_j}} |u_j^k - v_j^k|^{(p-1)q} \right)^{\frac{N}{q}} \\ &\quad (q \text{ is the exponential conjugate of } p). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $(u_j)$  and  $(v_j)$  are bounded sequences in  $\ell_p$ , there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\left( \sum_{k \in P_{u_j}} |u_j^k - v_j^k|^{(p-1)q} \right)^{\frac{N}{q}} = \left( \sum_{k \in P_{u_j}} |u_j^k - v_j^k|^p \right)^{\frac{N}{q}} \leq C$$

for every  $j$ . Thus

$$|P(x)| \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k \in P_{u_j}} |x^k|^p \right)^{\frac{N}{p}} \leq C \|x\|_p^p \leq C,$$

for  $\|x\|_p \leq 1$ . The second inequality above comes from the fact  $\frac{N}{p} \geq 1$  and  $\|x\|_p \leq 1$ . Thus  $P$  is a continuous  $N$ -homogeneous polynomial on  $\ell_p$ . However

$$\begin{aligned} P(u_\ell) - P(v_\ell) &= \left( \sum_{k \in P_{u_\ell}} u_\ell^k |u_\ell^k - v_\ell^k|^{p-1} \right)^N - \left( \sum_{k \in P_{v_\ell}} v_\ell^k |u_\ell^k - v_\ell^k|^{p-1} \right)^N \\ &\geq \left( \sum_{k \in P_{u_\ell}} |u_\ell^k - v_\ell^k|^p \right)^N = \|(u_\ell - v_\ell)\chi_{P_{u_\ell}}\|_p^{pN} \geq \delta^{pN}, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts hypothesis. (The first inequality above from the fact that if  $a \geq b > 0$ , then  $(a - b)^N \geq a^N - b^N$ .) The other cases are proved similarly to the above.

On the other hand, when  $\|(u_j - v_j)\chi_{E_j^-}\|_p \not\rightarrow 0$ , we have that  $\|u_j\chi_{E_j^-}\|_p \not\rightarrow 0$  or  $\|v_j\chi_{E_j^-}\|_p \not\rightarrow 0$ . We may assume without loss of generality that for each  $j$ ,  $\|u_j\chi_{E_j^-}\|_p \geq \delta$  for some  $\delta > 0$  (consider a subsequence if necessary). Let  $z_j = \frac{u_j\chi_{E_j^-}}{\|u_j\chi_{E_j^-}\|_p}$  and then  $(z_j)$  is a normalized basic sequence in  $\ell_p$ . Let  $Z$  be the closed subspace of  $\ell_p$

spanned by  $(z_j)$ . Define  $\pi : \ell_p \rightarrow \ell_p$  by

$$\pi(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k \in E_j^-} x^k (\text{sign } z_j^k) |z_j^k|^{p-1} \right) z_j$$

$$(x = (x^1, x^2, \dots) \in \ell_p).$$

Since  $(z_j)$  is a normalized sequence with pairwise disjoint supports,

$$\|\pi(x)\|_p = \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{k \in E_j^-} x^k (\text{sign } z_j^k) |z_j^k|^{p-1} \right|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\leq \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{k \in E_j^-} |x^k|^p \right) \left( \sum_{k \in E_j^-} |z_j^k|^{(p-1)q} \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

Since  $\sum_{k \in E_j^-} |z_j^k|^{(p-1)q} = \sum_{k \in E_j^-} |z_j^k|^p = 1$  for every  $j$ , we obtain  $\|\pi(x)\|_p \leq \|x\|_p$  and also  $\pi(z_\ell) = z_\ell$  for every  $\ell$ . Hence  $\pi$  is a norm 1 projection from  $\ell_p$  onto  $Z$ .

Define  $P : Z \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  by  $P(\sum a_j z_j) = \sum a_j^N$  where  $N$  is an odd integer greater than  $p$ . It is easy to see  $P \in \mathcal{P}({}^N Z)$  and hence  $\tilde{P} = P \circ \pi \in \mathcal{P}({}^N \ell_p)$ . For each  $\ell$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}(u_\ell) &= (P \circ \pi)(u_\ell) \\ &= \left( \sum_{k \in E_\ell^-} u_\ell^k (\text{sign } z_\ell^k) |z_\ell^k|^{p-1} \right)^N \\ &= \left( \|u_\ell \chi_{E_\ell^-}\|_p \sum_{k \in E_\ell^-} |z_\ell^k|^p \right)^N \\ &= \|u_\ell \chi_{E_\ell^-}\|_p^N \geq \delta^N \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\tilde{P}(v_\ell) = \left( \sum_{k \in E_\ell^-} v_\ell^k (\text{sign } z_\ell^k) |z_\ell^k|^{p-1} \right)^N < 0.$$

This implies  $\tilde{P}(u_\ell) - \tilde{P}(v_\ell) \geq \delta^N$  for every  $\ell$ , which contradicts hypothesis.  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.** For any  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,  $\ell_p$  has property (P).

*Proof.* We only need to consider  $p \in (1, \infty)$ , since  $\ell_1$  and  $\ell_\infty$  have the Dunford Pettis property. Let  $(u_n)$  and  $(v_n)$  be bounded sequences in  $X$  such that  $|P(u_n) - P(v_n)| \rightarrow 0$  for all polynomials  $P$ . Using the reflexivity of  $\ell_p$ , we may suppose without loss of generality that both  $(u_n)$  and  $(v_n)$  tend weakly to some  $x \in \ell_p$ . Moreover, our hypothesis implies that for all continuous polynomials  $P$ ,  $P(u_n - x) - P(v_n - x) \rightarrow 0$ . To see this, let  $A$  be the continuous symmetric  $k$ -linear form associated with a continuous  $k$ -homogeneous polynomial  $P_k$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & P_k(u_n - x) - P_k(v_n - x) \\ &= A(u_n - x, \dots, u_n - x) - A(v_n - x, \dots, v_n - x) \\ &= [A(u_n, \dots, u_n) - A(v_n, \dots, v_n)] + k[A(x, u_n, \dots, u_n) - A(x, v_n, \dots, v_n)] \\ &\quad + \dots + k[A(x, \dots, x, u_n) - A(x, \dots, x, v_n)] \\ &= [P_k(u_n) - P_k(v_n) + k\{P_{k-1}(u_n) - P_{k-1}(v_n)\}] \\ &\quad + \dots + k\{P_1(u_n) - P_1(v_n)\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $P_i$  is the  $i$ -homogeneous polynomial defined by  $P_i(y) \equiv A(x^{k-i}y^i)$ , ( $1 \leq i \leq k$ ).

Therefore, the sequences  $(u_n - x)$  and  $(v_n - x)$  satisfy the conditions of the preceding lemma. Hence  $\|(u_n - x) - (v_n - x)\| = \|u_n - v_n\| \rightarrow 0$ . Thus, for every  $Q \in \mathcal{P}({}^n X)$ ,  $n \geq 1$ ,  $Q(u_n - v_n) \rightarrow 0$ .  $\square$

## References

- [ACL] R. Aron, Y.S. Choi & J. Llavona, *Estimates by polynomials*, Preprint
- [CS] J. Castillo & C. Sánchez, *Weakly  $p$ -compact,  $p$ -Banach-Saks and super-reflexive Banach spaces*, Preprint
- [D] S. Dineen, *Complex Analysis in Locally Convex Spaces*, North-Holland, 1981.
- [M] J. Mujica, *Complex Analysis in Banach Spaces*, North-Holland, 1986.

Pohang College  
Pohang 795-940, Korea