pound. A possible mechanism for the formation of the azine is shown in Eq. (6)-(9).

$$PhC(Me)HN=NH(Me)CPh + Th^{+\cdot} \longrightarrow Th + [PhC(Me)HN=NH(Me)CPh]^{+\cdot} \quad (6)$$

$$PhC(Me)\overset{+}{H}N\text{-}\dot{N}H(Me)CPh \longrightarrow PhC(Me)=N\text{-}\dot{N}H(Me)CPh + H^{+} \quad (7)$$

$$PhC(Me)=N\text{-}\dot{N}H(Me)CPh + Th^{+\cdot} \longrightarrow PhC(Me)=N\text{-}\overset{+}{N}H(Me)CPh + Th \quad (8)$$

$$PhC(Me)=N\text{-}\overset{+}{N}H(Me)CPh \longrightarrow PhC(Me)=N\text{-}N=(Me)CPh + H^{+} \quad (9)$$

The formation of the thianthrene 5-oxide (ThO) can be rationalized by hydrolysis of either some of $Th^{+\cdot}$ by incompletely dried solvent during the course of reaction, or unused $Th^{+\cdot}$ during workup.[10]

No evidence for ethylbenzene was found from the α-phenylethyl radical disproportionation reaction or from hydrogen abstraction from MeCN. When the reaction of $Th^{+\cdot}$ with *meso*-ABPE was carried out in the presence of $BrCCl_3$ in MeCN, as we did earlier with AA, the formation of DBP was not stopped. Therefore, we can conclude that DPB is probably not formed in the oxidative reactions by dimerization of α-phenylethyl radical. Generally, radical is destined to abstract hydrogen atom fro MeCN rather than to dimerize in the absence of a competing reaction.[11] The mechanism for the formation of DPB is rationalized in Scheme 2, which is very similar to that of formation of AdAd by $Th^{+\cdot}$.[11] DPB may have arisen from the DPB cation radical [PhC(Me)HH-(Me)$CPh^{+\cdot}$], formed by a cage recombination between α-phenylethyl cation and α-phenylethyl radical, rather than the coupling between two α-phenylethyl radicals. Conversion of DPB cation radical into DPB would have to occur by electron-transfer reaction from $Th^{+\cdot}$ within solvent cage. In that case, $Th^{+\cdot}$ would have served as a catalyst for the formation of DPB from *meso*-ABPE. It is interesting to compare the yield of AdAd and DPB from oxidative decomposition of corresponding azoalkane $Th^{+\cdot}$. Whereas 2.5% of AdAd was obtained from oxidation of AA, 23.6% of DPB was formed in the oxidative of *meso*-ABPE by $Th^{+\cdot}$. In the oxidation of *meso*-ABPE with $Th^{+\cdot}$, α-phenylethyl radical would not survive so long enough to be reduced to cation as tetriary adamantyl radical. Therefore, relatively lots of α-phenylethyl radical would recombine with α-phenylethyl cation to form a DPB without further oxidation in the solvent cage. *Meso*-ABPE gave 21.8% of *meso* and 1.8% of non-*meso*-DPB, indicating that some changes in orientations (by out-of-plane rotation) of the cations and radicals are occurring in these original cages prior to combination between α-phenylethylcation and



Scheme 2. Possible reaction pathways for the formation of 2,3-diphenylbutane.

α-phenylethyl radical.

In conclusion, the reaction of $Th^{+\cdot}$ with *meso*-ABPE, possesing one α hydrogen, in acetonitrile follows not only the carbocationic route but also unundergoes tautomerization to its hydrazone, and no oxidative cycloaddition was observed.

Acknowledgement. We thank the Chonnam National University for financial support (1991) and acknowledge Dr. Sung Sik Kim of Conbuk National University for GC-MS.

References

1. D. H. Bae, P. S. Engel, A. K. M. M. Hoque, D. E. Keys, W. K. Lee, R. W. Shaw, and H. J. Shine, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **107**, 2561 (1985).
2. A. K. M. M. Hoque, A. C. Kovelesky, W. K. Lee, and H. J. Shine, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 5655 (1985).
3. H. J. Shine, D. H. Bae, A. K. M. M. Hoque, A. Kajstura, W. K. Lee, R. W. Shaw, M. Soroka, P. S. Engel, and D. E. Keys, *Phosphorus sulfur*, **23**, 111 (1985).
4. J. M. Lee, K. Kim, and J. H. Shin, *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.*, **6**, 358 (1985).
5. P. S. Engel, A. K. M. M. Hoque, J. N. Scholz, H. I. Shine, and K. H. Witmire, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **110**, 7880 (1988).
6. S. G. Cohen, S. J. Groszos, and D. B. Sparrow, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **72**, 3947 (1960).
7. P. S. Engel, *Chem. Rev.*, **99**, 80 (1980).
8. O. Hammerich and V. D. Parker, *Adv. Phys. Org. Chem.*, **20**, 55 (1984).
9. P. A. S. Smith, Derivatives of Hydrazine and other Hydronitrogens having N-N Bonds: Benjamin/Cummings: Reading, Mass., pp. 47-70, 176-177, 1983.
10. Y. Murata and H. J. Shine, *J. Org. Chem.*, **34**, 3368 (1969). **Caution:** $Th^{+\cdot}ClO_4^-$ is explosive. It should be prepared in small quantitaties only and used soon after preparation. Sintered glass should not be used for filteration.
11. P. S. Engel, W. K. Lee, G. E. Marschke, and H. J. Shine, *J. Org. Chem.*, **52**, 2813 (1987).

The Crystal Structure and Magnetic Properties of Triethylenediaminenickel(II)-Bis(maleonitriledithiolato)nickelate(II); $[Ni(C_2H_8N_2)_3]$-$[Ni(C_4N_2S_2)_2]$

Chulmin Keum, CHonhan Kim, Chulsung Kim, Hyontae Kwak, Moonhee Kwon, and Hae Namgung†

Department of Chemical Education, Kookmin University, Seoul 136-702

†*Department of Physic Education, Kookmin University, 136-702*

Received June 3, 1992

Bidentate dithiolate ligands form very well square planar complexes with Ni-triad ions of different oxidation states,

Table 1. Exiperiment Data for the X-ray Diffraction Study

a=8.719(3) Å	Crytal	=Red, Needle
b=9.556(3) Å	Formula	=$Ni_2C_{14}H_{24}N_{10}S_4$
c=16.279(4) Å	Space Group	=$P\bar{1}$ (No=2)
α=85.74(2)°	Z	=2
β=99.38(2)°	Mol. Wt.	=578.09
γ=117.14(2)°	D_c	=1.612 gcm^{-3}
V=1190.8 cm^3	μ	=19.5 cm^{-1}
	$F_{(000)}$	=596
Radiation	=Mo-Kα, 0.7107 Å	
Monochromator	=Incident beam, Graphite	
Mode	=$\theta/2\theta$	
2θ range (°)	=2-50°	
HKL ranges	=H −10 to 10	
	K 0 to 10	
	L −19 to 19	
Correction	=Lorentz, Polarisation, Linear decay (averaging 1.00163 on I)	
Reflection	=4344 total	
	=4187 unique	
	=3418 used with $I>3.0\ \sigma\ (I)$	
Parameter refined	=376	
R, wR, R (all)	=0.046, 0.065, 0.071	
Maximum shift e.s.d	=0.03	
Scale factor (final)	=0.297	
Goodness of fit	=1.76	
$\Delta\rho$	=0.611 eÅ^{-3}	

whose solid state properties exhibit widespread electrical behavior from insulating through semiconducting to metallic.[1] The high metallic conductive complex $Li_{0.75}[Pt(mnt)_2]\cdot 2H_2O$ (mnt=1,2-Dicyanoethylene-1,2-dithiolate) has an one-dimensional columnar structure similar to those of the partially oxidized tetracyanoplatinate and bis (oxalato)-platinate complexes.[2] The semiconducting complex of $(C_2H_5)_4N\cdot[Ni(mnt)_2]$ also shows the one-dimensional stacks of coplanar overlapped diadic anion unit.[3] The electrically insulating complex of $[(C_4H_9)_4N]_2\cdot[Ni(mnt)_2]$ is isomorphous with Co^{2+} and Cu^{2+} complexes with large metal to metal distances.[4] In our investigation of the influence of the Ni-triadic complex cations, instead of oxonium ions as counter ion, on the stacking structure of $M(mnt)_2^{x-}$ anions with different oxidation states (x= 1- or 2-) within a crystal, most of complexes prepared were obtained as powder and only single crystals of the title complex were suitable for X-ray structure analysis. We report here its crystal structure and magnetic properties.

$[Ni(en)_3]\cdot[Ni(mnt)_2]$. The preparations of the starting compounds, $Ni(en)_3\cdot(ClO_4)_2$ and $(Et_4N)_2\cdot Ni(mnt)_2$, were carried out according to the literature procedures.[5] The red-orange compounds of $Ni(en)_3\cdot Ni(mnt)_2$ was obtained by mixing of the two equimolar solutions of tris(ethylenediamine) nickel(II) perchlorate and bis(tetraethylammonium) bis (1,2-dicyanoethylene dithiolato) nickelate(II) in boiling methanol. The red needle single crystals were obtained by recrystallization from the mixed solvent of $CH_3CN/CH_3OH/H_2O$.

X-ray Crystal Structure Determination. A crystal was mounted on an Enraf-Nonius CAD4-diffractometer using graphite monochromated Mo-Kα radiation. The unit cell dimensions and an orientation matrix were obtained from a least-squares refinement of the setting angles of 25 reflections with $5.06<2\theta<35.50°$. The cell parameters and other crystallographic data are given in Table 1. The intensities of three standard reflections (002, 040, 371) were measured after every one hour during the data collection. Intensities of these standard reflections remained constant within 0.007 % per hour throughout the data collection. The data were corrected for Lorentz and polarisation effect. The 3418 reflections with $I>3.0\sigma\ (I)$ were used in the subsequent analysis. The structure was solved by Patterson methods and fourier maps using **SHELXS**[6] and **SDP**[7] package programms on **PC** and **PDP 11/23+** computers.

All the nonhydrogen atoms were found from a three dimensional fourier maps and refined anisotropically by full-matrix least squares calculations on F's. The funtion $\Sigma w\ (F_o$-

Table 2. Atomic Coordinates and equivalent Isotropic Thermal Parameters of Nonhydrogen Atoms

Atom	X	Y	Z	B (Å^2)
Ni-1	0.000	0.000	0.000	4.10(3)
S1	−0.0841(3)	0.1288(2)	−0.0975(1)	4.82(5)
S2	0.1940(3)	0.2146(2)	0.0627(1)	5.08(5)
C1	0.0472(9)	0.3233(8)	−0.0674(5)	4.3(2)
C2	0.1667(9)	0.3583((8)	0.0015(5)	4.4(2)
C3	0.019(1)	0.4414(9)	−0.1179(5)	5.0(2)
C4	0.278(1)	0.5172(9)	0.0269(5)	4.9(2)
N1	−0.006(1)	0.5345(8)	−0.1582(5)	6.9(2)
N2	0.369(1)	0.6436(8)	0.0492(5)	6.4(2)
Ni-2	0.500	0.000	0.500	4.11(3)
S3	0.7128(3)	0.1288(2)	0.5973(1)	4.79(5)
S4	0.5207(3)	0.2146(2)	0.4372(1)	5.06(5)
C5	0.7767(9)	0.3230(8)	0.5676(5)	4.2(2)
C6	0.6917(9)	0.3585(8)	0.4982(5)	4.3(2)
C7	0.922(1)	0.4414(8)	0.6177(5)	4.9(2)
C8	0.740(1)	0.5177(8)	0.4731(5)	4.8(2)
N3	1.040(1)	0.5352(9)	0.6582(5)	6.8(2)
N4	0.775(1)	0.6442(7)	0.4510(5)	6.4(2)
Ni-3	0.7229(1)	−0.05429(9)	0.25003(6)	3.44(2)
N5	0.6945(8)	−0.0377(7)	0.1199(4)	5.1(2)
N6	0.6367(9)	0.1217(7)	0.2368(5)	6.2(2)
N7	0.7673(8)	−0.0378(7)	0.3793(4)	5.1(2)
N8	0.9846(9)	0.1212(8)	0.2631(5)	6.2(2)
N9	0.7870(8)	−0.2431(7)	0.2542(4)	5.2(2)
N10	0.4694(8)	−0.2436(8)	0.2459(4)	5.3(2)
C9	0.676(2)	0.099(1)	0.0950(7)	8.6(3)
C10	0.585(1)	0.137(1)	0.1473(7)	7.8(3)
C11	0.922(2)	0.099(1)	0.4047(7)	8.7(4)
C12	1.053(1)	0.138(1)	0.3512(7)	7.9(3)
C13	0.627(1)	−0.3892(9)	0.2311(7)	6.9(3)
C14	0.484(1)	−0.389(1)	0.2695(7)	6.9(3)

Anisotropically refined atoms are given in the form of the isotropic equivalent displacement parameter defined as: $(4/3)\times[a2\times B(1,1)+b2\times B(2,2)+c2\times B(3,3)+ab(\cos\gamma)\times B(1,2)+ac(\cos\beta)\times B(1,3)+bc(\cos\alpha)\times B(2,3)]$

Table 3. Bond Distances (Å) and Bond Angles (°) with e.s.d.'s in Parentheses

A: Bond distances (Å)

Ni-1 -S1	2.174(2)	S3 -C5	1.733(6)	Ni-3 -N9	2.11(1)
Ni-1 -S2	2.166(2)	S4 -C6	1.717(6)	Ni-3 -N10	2.118(7)
S1 -C1	1.737(6)	C5 -C6	1.356(8)	N5 -C9	1.405(8)
S2 -C2	1.718(6)	C5 -C7	1.431(9)	C9 -C10	1.428(8)
C1 -C2	1.347(8)	C6 -C8	1.428(8)	C10 -N6	1.471(9)
C1 -C3	1.43(1)	C7 -N3	1.151(8)	N7 -C11	1.408(8)
C2 -C4	1.426(9)	C8 -N4	1.148(7)	C11 -C12	1.44(1)
C3 -N1	1.144(8)	Ni-3 -N5	2.095(5)	C12 -N8	1.46(1)
C4 -N2	1.149(7)	Ni-3 -N6	2.118(6)	N9 -C13	1.47(1)
Ni-2 -S3	2.172(2)	Ni-3 -N7	2.082(5)	C13 -C14	1.48(1)
Ni-2 -S4	2.167(2)	Ni-3 -N8	2.113(9)	C14 -N10	1.47(2)

B: Bond Angles (°)

S1 -Ni-1 -S2	92.29(7)	S3 -C5 -C7	117.5(5)	N7 -Ni-3 -N9	91.6(3)
S1 -Ni-1 -S2′	87.71(7)	C6 -C5 -C7	122.3(5)	N7 -Ni-3 -N10	94.2(3)
Ni-1 -S1 -C1	102.7(2)	S4 -C6 -C5	121.7(5)	N8 -Ni-3 -N9	94.5(4)
Ni-1 -S2 -C2	102.8(3)	S4 -C6 -C8	117.1(5)	N8 -Ni-3 -N10	174.2(3)
S1 -C1 -C2	120.3(5)	C5 -C6 -C8	121.4(6)	N9 -Ni-3 -N10	81.0(4)
S1 -C1 -C3	117.1(5)	C5 -C7 -N3	179.2(7)	Ni-3 -N5 -C9	109.5(5)
C2 -C1 -C3	122.6(5)	C6 -C8 -N4	178.2(8)	N5 -C9 -C10	113.6(5)
S2 -C2 -C1	121.9(5)	N5 -Ni-3 -N6	82.0(2)	C9 -C10 -N6	113.9(6)
S2 -C2 -C4	116.8(5)	N5 -Ni-3 -N7	172.3(3)	Ni-3 -N6 -C10	107.3(4)
C1 -C2 -C4	121.4(6)	N5 -Ni-3 -N8	92.6(3)	Ni-3 -N7 -C11	109.7(4)
C1 -C3 -N1	179.1(8)	N5 -Ni-3 -N9	94.3(3)	N7 -C11 -C12	113.3(6)
C2 -C4 -N2	178.0(8)	N5 -Ni-3 -N10	91.6(3)	C11 -C12 -N8	113.9(8)
S3 -Ni-2 -S4	92.31(7)	N6 -Ni-3 -N7	92.5(2)	Ni-3 -N8 -C12	107.9(6)
S3 -Ni-2 -S4′	87.70(7)	N6 -Ni-3 -N8	90.1(3)	Ni-3 -N9 -C13	109.1(7)
Ni-2 -S3 -C5	102.9(2)	N6 -Ni-3 -N9	174.2(3)	N9 -C13 -C14	108.9(7)
Ni-2 -S4 -C6	102.9(3)	N6 -Ni-3 -N10	94.5(3)	C13 -C14 -N10	109.1(7)
S3 -C5 -C6	120.2(5)	N7 -Ni-3 -N8	82.1(3)	Ni-3 -N10 -C14	108.8(5)

C: β angles (°) (torsion angle) and Hydrogen bonds (Å)

N5 -C9 -C10 -N2	43.6(1.2)			
N7 -C11 -C12 -N4	42.4(1.3)			
N9 -C13 -C14 -N6	53.9(1.0)			
	N—H	H—N	<NHN	N—N
N5 -H1 — N2*	1.006(5)	2.195(6)	173.0(5)°	3.196(8)
N7 -H9 — N3*	1.008(7)	2.195(6)	173.7(4)°	3.20(8)
N9 -H1 — N2*	1.009(5)	2.451(6)	142.1(4)°	3.3(1)
N10 -H23 — N2*	1.009(6)	2.449(6)	142.3(6)°	3.31(1)

*: Symmetry code 10-10.

$F_c)^2$ was minimized with unit weights. The positional parameters of the hydrogen atoms were calculated with idealized bond length (1.0 Å). After one cyclic refinement of all atomic parameters with anisotropic temperature factors for all the nonhydrogen atoms and fixed isotropic ones for hydrogen atoms, the final results of structure solution are given in Table 1. The final atomic coordinates and the equivalent isotropic thermal parameters of the nonhydrogen atoms are listed in Table 2. The anisotropic thermal parameters of nonhydrogen atoms, the atomic coordinates and isotropic thermal parameters of hydrogen atoms, the least square planes and dihedral angles, the final values of observed and calculated structure factors are listed in Tables from S1 to S4.[8]

Magnetic Susceptibility Measurement. Static magnetic susceptibility data were collected in the temperature range 10-269K on a powdered sample by using a **MPMS-SQUID**-magnetometer (Quantum Design Inc., USA). The sample weights and applied fields used were 9 mg and 5000 Gauss. The effective magnetic moments of 2.85 B.M. at 269 K are calculated by using the formula, $\mu_{eff}=2.83\sqrt{\chi m \cdot T}$ where χm is molar magnetic susceptibility per a molecule corrected for diamagnetism for all the constitutent atoms of 1,2-ethylenediamine by the use of Pascal's constant.[9] The electric conductance was not measured as the compound was expected



Figure 1. Projection of packing arrangement with atomic numbering. The unit cell is oriented with +c axis horizontal, the +a axis vertical and the +b axis pointing toward the reader.



Figure 2. View of the $Ni(en)_3^{2+}$ cation. The configuration shown is Λ(δδδ), but in this centrosymmetric structure there are an equal number of Δ(λλλ) configurations. The last ring is the central ring (Ni-N9-C13-C14-N10-Ni). The hydrogen atoms are not shown.

to be electric insulator.

Results and Discussion

The unit cell consist of two crystallographically independent $Ni(mnt)_2^{2-}$ ions and a $Ni(en)_3^{2+}$ ion. The bond lengths and angles of the complex are listed in Table 3 and their corresponding e.s.d.'s are shown in parentheses. An **ORTEP** [10] crystal packing diagram with atomic numbering scheme is shown in Figure 1. The central Ni-atoms of two $Ni(mnt)_2^{2-}$ are placed on the projection plane, but two Ni-atoms of $Ni(en)_3^{2+}$ which are related by an inversion center, are deviated very slightly up and down from the plane. Although two averaged Ni-S bond lengths (2.173 and 2.167 Å) are larger than those of $Ni(mnt)_2^{1-}$ anions,[3,11] they are somewhat larger or smaller than those of $Ni(mnt)^{2-}$ anions in other structures.[3,12] The ring of the Ni-2 complex anion has larger deviations than that of the Ni-1 complex from the least square planes of $Ni(mnt)_2^{2-}$ ions which are assumed essentially planar. The dihedral angle between the two least square planes is 11.7°. The configuration of $Ni(en)_3^{2+}$ complex cation viewed down the pseudo threefold axis of the nickel ion is shown in Figure 2. The figure shows that the ethylenediamine chelate rings have identical conformations and three carbon-carbon bonds of ethylenediamine groups are almost parallel to the threefold axis. Therefore, the configuration of this cation may be described as Λ(δδδ); in this centrosymmetric space group there are also equal number of the cation with enantiomeric Δ(λλλ) form. All of the known configurations of $[Ni(en)_3]^{2+}$ cations,[13] which form salts with sulfate and nitrate ions, but not involving any water molecules, have been reported to be Λ(δδδ) as in this complex.



Figure 3. Temperature dependence of the gram magnetic susceptibility χ_g ang the inverse susceptibility.

Corey and Bailar[14] calculated that the most stable configuration for $[M(en)_3]^{n+}$ ion is Λ(δδδ). However, the configurations of $[Cr(en)_3]^{3+}$ anions[15] were reported as Λ(δλλ), Λ(δδλ) and Λ(λλλ), but these complexes have one and half more hydrate water molecules. Raymond *et al.*[15a] proposed that the higher energy conformers are stabilized by hydrogen bonds. They found the Λ(δδλ) conformer involved in three strong hydrogen bonds, the Λ(δλλ) conformer in seven and the Λ (λλλ) conformer in ten hydrogen bonds.

In order to confirm the above proposed configuration of $Ni(en)_3^{2+}$ cation of the title complex, the following factors were compared and calculated with each other in details. The bond distances and angles of the third conformation (N9-C13 1.47, C13-C14 1.48 Å, N9-C13-C14 108.9, C13-C14-N10 109.1°) of $Ni(en)_3^{2+}$ configuration were essentially deviated larger than the corresponding averaged values (1,407, 1,434 Å, 113.5, 113.9°) of two other comformations. So then, we calculate the dihedral angle α between the plane which contains the ring carbon atoms and the metal atom and the plane which contains the ring nitrogen atoms and the metal atom and also the angles β between the two nitrogen atoms as one looks down the carbon-carbon bond.

The angles α and β of three conformations were responded to 21 and 43.6 for A, 20 and 42.4 for B, 27.3 and 53.9° for C ring respectively. On the other hand, two or four weak hydrogen bonds between nitrogen atoms of cations and nitrogen atoms of neighboring anions are possible, when NN bond lengths of hydrogen bonds are assumed to be less than 3.4 Å. The distances between two nitrogen atoms of first two conformations of complex cation and two nitrogen atoms of two complex anions are closer than those of the last conformation. Even through it may be proposed as Λ(δδλ) instead of Λ(δδδ), based on the above discussions for the configuration of $Ni(en)_3^{2+}$ ion, it should be described as Λ (δδδ) on account of the positive values of the all angles of α and β.

The gram magnetic susceptibility of a powdered samples is plotted as a function of temperature in Figure 3. The in-

verse magnetic susceptibility is fitted excellently to a straight line in the temperature range 10-269 K. The susceptibility exhibts typical Curie law depedence, with the Curie constant of 1.014 emu K mol^{-1}.

Acknowledgement. This work was supported by a grant from the Basic Research Institute Program (1992), Ministry of Education, Republic of Korea.

References

1. (a) J. F. Wheiber, L. R. Melby, and R. E. Benson, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, **86**, 4329 (1964); (b) A. E. Underhill and M. M. Ahmad, *JCS Chem. Comm.*, 67 (1981); (c) M. M. Ahmad and A. E. Underhill, *JCS Chem. Comm.*, 1065 (1982); (d) L. C. Isett, D. M. Rosso, and G. L. Bottger, *Phys. Rev.*, **22B**, 4739 (1980).
2. (a) K. Krogmann, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*, **8**, 35 (1969); (b) M. J. Minot and J. H. Perstein, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **26**, 371 (1971); (c) K. Krogmann, *Z. Anorg. Allge. Chem.*, **358**, 97 (1968); (d) A. H. Reis, Jr., S. W. Peterson, and S. C. Lin, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, **98**, 7839 (1976).
3. A. Kobayashi and Y. Sasaki, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, **50**, 2650 (1977).
4. (a) J. D. Forrester, A. Zalkin, and D. H. Templeton, *Inorg. Chem.*, **3**, 1500 (1964); (b)J. D. Forrester, A. Zalkin, and D. H. Templeton, *Inorg. Chem.*, **3** 1507 (1964); (c) K. W. Plumlee, B. M. Hoffman, J. A. Ibers, and Z. G. Soos, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **63**, 1926 (1975).
5. (a) M. E. Farago, J. M. James, and V. C. G. Trew, *J. Chem. Soc.*, A, 820 (1969); (b) E. Billig, R. Williams, Z. Bernal, J. H. Waters, and H. B. Gray, *Inorg. Chem.*, **3**, 663 (1964).
6. G. M. Sheldrick, (1986), **SHELXS**, Program for Crystal Structure Determination, University of Cambridge, England.
7. B. A. Frenz, Enraf-Nonius **SDP-PLUS** Structure Determination Package, Version 3.0, Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Nederlands (1985).
8. Supplementary materials. These are available from the correpsonding auther upon request.
9. (a) A. Weiss and H. Witte, "Magnetochemie", Verlag Chemie, Weinheim/Germany, 136 (1972); (b) R. L. Carlin, "Magnetochemistry", Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Germany, 3 (1986).
10. C. K. Johnson, **ORTEP**, Report **ORNL-3794**, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA (1965).
11. C. J. Fritchie, Jr., *Acta Cryst.*, **20**, 107 (1966).
12. (a) M. T. Hove, B. M. Hoffmann, and J. A. Ibers, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **56**, 3490 (1972); (b) R. Eisenberg, J. A. Ibers, R. J. H. Clark, and H. B. Gray, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, **86**, 113 (1964).
13. (a) L. N. Swink and M. Atoji, *Acta, Cryst.*, **13**, 639 (1960); (b) M. U. Haque, C. N. Caughlan, and K. Emerson, *Inorg. Chem.*, **9**, 2421 (1970).
14. E. J. Corey and J. C. Bailar, Jr., *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, **81**, 2620 (1959).
15. (a) K. N. Raymond, P. W. R. Corfield, and J. A. Iberg, *Inorg. Chem.*, **7**, 842 (1968); (b) K. N. Raymond, P. W. R. Corfield, and J. A. Iberg, *Inorg. Chem.*, **7**, 1362 (1968).

Valence States of SH^{2+} by *Ab Initio* Effective Valence Shell Hamiltonian

Jong Keun Park and Hosung Sun*

Department of Chemistry, Pusan National University, Pusan 609-735

Received June 19, 1992

Recently the electronic states of doubly positive diatomic cations have been investigated extensively[1,2]. In those studies a few quasibound states of doubly positive diatomic cations have been found. There is a repulsive force between two singly positive monatomic cations. The repulsive force is overcome sometimes by redistribution of electron densities when a doubly positive diatomic cation is formed. Therefore metastable bound states can exist in some cases. In other words the avoided curve crossing between a state arising from an ion-ion asymptote (dissociation limit) and a state with the same symmetry arising from an ion-neutral asymptote is a main reason for the existence of quasibound states in doubly positive diatomic cations.

We have studied the nature of the effective valence shell Hamiltonian (H^v) which is based on the quasidegenerate many-body perturbation theory[3]. One of remarkable features of H^v is that the effective H^v operator can reproduce all the valence states of a neutral molecule and its ions simultaneously regardless of its charge states. Once the matrix elements of H^v are evaluated for a neutral molecule, the same matrix elements can be used to determine the valence states of its singly positive, doubly positive,$\cdots$ cations.

The existence of the bound states in NO^{2+}, O_2^{2+}, etc. may be easily predicted. But for the first row diatomic monohydrides, say, CH^{2+}, or NH^{2+} cation, the existence of unusually stable states may not be easily understood. If two electrons are removed from the $1\pi^2$ orbital of neutral NH, NH^{2+} may exist because the 1π orbital of neutral NH has basically nonbonding character. For CH^{2+}, the prediction of its existence is not so simple because at least one electron should be removed from a bonding 3σ orbital of neutral CH to form CH^{2+} cation. We have previously studied the CH^{2+} cation and found no bound states.[4] Therefore so far the H^v has been mainly applied to neutral molecules and singly positive cations. But recently doubly positive cations of various second row diatomic monohydrides have been studied by configuration interaction (CI) method[5,6]. We are encouraged by these studies so that now we apply the H^v method to these systems.

In the present work, we have performed H^v calculations on the SH^{2+} cation. The SH^{2+} cation has been studied previously[2,6]. But one should note that a single H^v calculation simultaneously produces all the valence state energies with same accuracy. So that our present H^v calculations reveal a composite picture of the SH^{2+} potential energy curves of all the valence states.

The second order effective valence shell Hamiltonian calcalculations for SH^{2+} are performed with a contracted Gaussian basis set of $[7s5p3d]$ for sulfur and $[2s1p]$ for hydrogen[7]. Molecular orbitals are obtained from the SCF (self-consistent-