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## 1. Introduction

As a complex analogue to the Weyl conformal curvature tensor, S.S. Eum [2] introducted the so-called cosymplectic Bochner curvature tensor and studied its fundamental properties.

Recently, the cosymplectic manifolds have studied by G.D. Ludden [5] in the theory of submanifolds and T. Kashiwada [3] studied some conditions in order that the Bochner curvature tensor vanishes in the Kaehler manifolds. Similar studies were made by M. Seino [6] in the contact Bochner curvature tensor case.

The purpose of this paper is to study necessary and sufficient conditions for the cosymplectic Bochner curvature tensor to vanish in the cosymplectic manifolds.

## 2. Cosymplectic Bochner curvature tensor

Let $M$ be an $m$-dimensional cosymplectic manifold with structure ( $\phi, \xi, \eta, g$ ), that is, a manifold $M$ which admits a 1 -form $\eta$, a vector field $\xi$, a metric tensor $g$ satisfying

$$
\begin{gather*}
\eta(\xi)=1, \quad \phi^{2} X=-X+\eta(X) \xi, \quad \phi \xi=0 \\
g(\xi, X)=\eta(X), \quad g(\phi X, \phi Y)=g(X, Y)-\eta(X) \eta(Y)  \tag{2.1}\\
\nabla_{X} \phi=0, \quad \nabla_{X} \xi=0 \tag{2.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

for any vector fields $X$ and $Y$, where $\nabla$ denotes the Riemannian connection of $g$. The fundamental 2 -form $\Phi$ is defined by

$$
\Phi(X, Y)=g(\phi X, Y) .
$$
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The cosymplectic Bochner curvature $B(X, Y, Z, U)=g(B(X, Y) Z, U)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& B(X, Y, Z, U)=K(X, Y, Z, U)-[S(Y, Z)\{g(X, U)-\eta(X) \eta(U)\}  \tag{2.3}\\
& -S(X, Z)\{g(Y, U)-\eta(Y) \eta(U)\}+S(X, U)\{g(Y, Z)-\eta(Y) \eta(Z)\} \\
& -S(Y, U)\{(g(X, Z)-\eta(X) \eta(Z)\}-\Phi(X, U) H(Z, Y) \\
& +\Phi(Y, U) H(Z, X)-\Phi(Y, Z) H(U, X)+\Phi(X, Z) H(U, Y) \\
& +2 \Phi(Z, U) H(Y, X)+2 \Phi(X, Y) H(U, Z)] /(m+3) \\
& +Q[\{g(X, U)-\eta(X) \eta(U)\} \cdot\{g(Y, Z)-\eta(Y) \eta(Z)\} \\
& -\{g(Y, U)-\eta(Y) \eta(U)\} \cdot\{g(X, Z)-\eta(X) \eta(Z)\} \\
& -\Phi(X, U) \Phi(Z, Y)+\Phi(Y, U) \Phi(Z, X) \\
& +2 \Phi(X, Y) \Phi(U, Z)] /(m+1)(m+3)
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H(X, Y)=S(\phi X, Y)=-H(Y, X) \\
& K(X, Y, Z, U)=g(K(X, Y) Z, U)
\end{aligned}
$$

is the Riemannian curvature tensor, $S$ is the Ricci curvature and $Q$ is the scalar curvature of $M$.

It is easily seen that the cosymplectic Bochner curvature tensor satisfies the following conditions;

$$
\begin{align*}
& B(X, Y, Z, U)=-B(Y, X, Z, U) \\
& B(X, Y, Z, U)=-B(X, Y, U, Z) \\
& B(X, Y, Z, U)=B(Z, U, X, Y)  \tag{2.4}\\
& B(X, Y, Z, U)+B(Y, Z, X, U)+B(Z, X, Y, U)=0 \\
& B(X, Y, \phi Z, Z)=0, \quad B(\xi, X, Y, Z)=0
\end{align*}
$$

Let $q=(m-1) / 2$ and take a $\phi$ - basis $\left\{e_{1}, \cdots, e_{m}\right\}$ at each point of $M$ such that $e_{1}, \cdots, e_{q}, e_{q+1}=\phi e_{1}, \cdots, e_{2 q}=\phi e_{q}, e_{m}=\xi$. We write the basis by $\left\{e_{\lambda}, e_{\lambda^{*}}=\phi e_{\lambda}, \xi\right\}$ and assume that the indices $i, j, k, \cdots$ run over the range $\{1,2, \cdots, m\}, \lambda, \mu, \kappa, \cdots$ run over the range $\{1,2, \cdots, q\}$ and $r, s, t, u, \cdots$ take $\{1,2, \cdots, 2 q\}$. Then we have the following com-
ponents and relations with respect to the $\phi$-basis $\left\{e_{\lambda}, e_{\lambda^{*}}, \xi\right\}$;

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{j i}=\delta_{\jmath 1} \\
& \Phi_{\lambda \lambda^{*}}=-\Phi_{\lambda * \lambda}=1, \quad \Phi_{3 \lambda}=0 \quad\left(j \neq \lambda^{*}\right), \quad \Phi_{m m}=0, \\
& K_{k \lambda \mu^{*}}+K_{k j \lambda^{*} \mu}=0,  \tag{2,5}\\
& K_{\lambda m m \lambda}+K_{\lambda^{*} m m \lambda^{*}}=0, \\
& S_{\lambda^{*} \mu^{*}}=S_{\lambda \mu}, \quad S_{\lambda \mu^{*}}=-S_{\lambda^{*} \mu} \\
& S_{m m}=0, \quad S_{\lambda m}=S_{\lambda^{*} m}=0,
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Phi_{\lambda \mu}=\Phi\left(e_{\lambda}, e_{\mu}\right), K_{\lambda \mu \kappa \tau}=K\left(e_{\lambda}, e_{\mu}, e_{\kappa}, e_{\tau}\right)$ and $S_{\lambda \mu}=S\left(e_{\lambda}, e_{\mu}\right)$.

## 3. Theorems

R.L. Bishop and S.I. Goldberg [1] proved

Proposition 3.1. Let $R$ be a curvature like tensor, i.e., $R$ satisfics
(1) $R(X, Y, Z, U)=-R(Y, X, Z, U)$,
(2) $R(X, Y, Z, U)=R(Z, U, X, Y)$,
(3) the first Bianchi's identity.

Then $R=0$ if and only if $R(X, Y, X, Y)=0$ for every orthonormal basis.

In the case of a Kachlerian manifold, as a special case of Proposition 3.1, T. Kashiwada [3] showed that, for $R=0$, it suffices that $R_{r s r s}=0$ for every $J$-basis $\left\{e_{\lambda}, e_{\lambda^{*}}=J e_{\lambda}\right\}$, where $J$ is the almost complex structure. Since (2.4) guarantee that the cosymplectic Bochner curvature tensor $B$ is a curvature like tensor and by means of $\nabla \phi=0$ and (2.4), we see that

Proposition 3.2. In an m-dimensional cosymplectic manifold, the cosymplectic Bochner curvature tensor vanishes if and only if $B\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right.$, $\left.e_{2}, e_{j}\right)=0$ for every $\phi$-basis.

In [4], the present author proved
Lemma 3.3. Let $M$ be an $m(\geq 9)$-dimensional cosymplectic manifold. The cosymplectic Bochncr curvature tensor $B$ of $M$ vanishes if and only if $K_{r s t u}=0 \quad(|r|,|s|,|t|,|u| \neq)$, where writing $|r|=\lambda$ for
$r=\lambda$ or $\lambda^{*}$ and $|r|,|s|,|t|,|u| \neq$ means that $|r|,|s|,|t|$ and $|u|$ differ from one another.

Putting

$$
B_{k j i h}=K_{k j i h}+U_{k j z h} /(m+1)(m+3)
$$

we have

$$
B_{r s s r}=K_{r s s r}+U_{r s s r} /(m+1)(m+3)
$$

where writing

$$
\begin{align*}
& U_{r s s r}=-(m+1)\left(S_{r r}+S_{s s}\right)+Q \quad(|r| \neq|s|), \\
& B_{\lambda \lambda^{\bullet} \lambda^{*} \lambda}=K_{\lambda \lambda \cdot \lambda^{\bullet} \lambda}-8 S_{\lambda \lambda} /(m+3)+4 Q /(m+1)(m+3) \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

by use of $H_{\lambda \lambda^{*}}=S_{\lambda \lambda}=H_{\lambda^{*} \lambda}$ and (2.5).
Theorem 3.4. In an $m(\geq 9)$-dimensional cosymplectic manifold $M$, the following relations are equivalent to one another at every point $p$ of $M$.
(1) The cosymplectic Bochner curvature tensor $B(p)=0$.
(2) For every $\phi$-basis at $p$,

$$
A\left(e_{\lambda}, e_{\lambda^{*}}\right)+A\left(e_{\mu}, e_{\mu^{*}}\right)=8\left(e_{\lambda}, e_{\lambda^{*}}\right) \quad(\lambda \neq \mu)
$$

where $A(X, Y)$ means the sectional curvature with respect to the plane spanned by $X$ and $Y$.
(3) For each $\phi$-holomorphic 8-plane $W$ in $T_{p}(M)$,

$$
k_{p}(W, \mathcal{B})=A\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)+A\left(e_{3}, e_{4}\right)
$$

is independent of $\phi$-basis $\mathcal{B}=\left\{e_{1}, \cdots, \phi e_{1}, \cdots, \phi e_{4}\right\}$ of $W$.
(4) For every orthogonal 8 -vectors $\left\{e_{1}, \cdots, e_{4}, \phi e_{1}, \cdots, \phi e_{4}\right\}$ of $T_{p}(M)$,

$$
A\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)+A\left(e_{3}, e_{4}\right)=A\left(e_{1}, e_{4}\right)+A\left(e_{2}, e_{3}\right)
$$

Proof. (1) $\longleftrightarrow$ (2) have proved in [4].
$(1) \longrightarrow(3)$ : Assume that $B(p)=0$, then for a $\phi$-basis, it follows
(3.2) $K_{r s s r}=\left(S_{r r}+S_{s s}\right) /(m+3)+Q /(m+1)(m+3) \quad(|r|,|s| \neq)$.

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{B}=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}, \phi e_{1}, \phi e_{2}, \phi e_{3}, \phi e_{4}\right\}, \quad \text { and } \\
& \mathcal{B}^{\prime}=\left\{e_{1}^{\prime}, e_{2}^{\prime}, e_{3}^{\prime}, e_{4}^{\prime}, \phi e_{1}^{\prime}, \phi e_{2}^{\prime}, \phi e_{3}^{\prime}, \phi e_{4}^{\prime}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

be bases of $W \subset T_{p}(M)$. We construct two bases of $T_{p}(M)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{F}=\left\{e_{1}, \cdots, e_{q}, \phi e_{1}, \cdots, \phi e_{q}, \xi\right\} \\
& \mathcal{F}^{\prime}=\left\{e_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, e_{4}^{\prime}, e_{5}, \cdots, e_{q}, \phi e_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, \phi e_{4}^{\prime}, \phi e_{5}, \cdots, \phi e_{q}, \xi\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
A\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)+A\left(e_{3}, e_{4}\right) & =\left\{S_{11}+S_{22}+S_{33}+S_{44}\right\} /(m+3) \\
& +2 Q /(m+1)(m+3) \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

by use of (3.2).
Let $S_{i i}$ and $S_{\mathrm{ti}}^{\prime}$ be components of the Ricci curvature with respect to the bases $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$, respectively. So, as $Q=\Sigma S_{u}=\Sigma S_{i \dot{i}}^{\prime}$ and $S_{m m}=S_{m m}^{\prime}=0, S_{\lambda \lambda}=S_{\lambda \lambda}^{\prime}$ and $S_{\lambda^{*} \lambda^{*}}=S_{\lambda^{*} \lambda^{*}} \quad(\lambda>4)$, we have $\sum_{i=1}^{4} S_{i 2}=\sum_{t=1}^{4} S_{i 2}^{\prime}$. Hence, by virtue of $(3.3)$, we see that $k_{p}(W, B)$ is independent of $\mathcal{B}$.
$(3) \longrightarrow(4)$ is trivial.
$(4) \longrightarrow(1):$ Let $\left\{e_{1}, \cdots, e_{q}, e_{1}, \cdots, \phi e_{q}, \xi\right\}$ be an arbitrary $\phi$-basis of $T_{p}(M)$. For $\left\{e_{\kappa}, e_{\lambda}, e_{\mu}, e_{\nu}, \phi e_{\kappa}, \phi e_{\lambda}, \phi e_{\mu}, \phi e_{\nu}\right\}$, by assumptions,

$$
K_{\kappa \lambda \lambda \kappa}+K_{\mu \nu \nu \mu}=K_{\kappa \nu \nu \kappa}+K_{\lambda \mu \mu \lambda}
$$

We take anothor orthonomal vectors $\left\{e_{\kappa}, e_{\lambda}^{\prime}, e_{\mu}^{\prime}, e_{\nu}, \phi e_{\kappa}, \phi e_{\lambda}^{\prime}, \phi e_{\mu}^{\prime}, \phi e_{\nu}\right\}$ such that

$$
\varepsilon_{\lambda}^{\prime}=\rho e_{\lambda}+w e_{\mu}, \quad e_{\mu}^{\prime}=-w e_{\lambda}+\rho e_{\mu} \quad\left(\rho^{2}+w^{2}=1, \rho w \neq 0\right)
$$

Since $A\left(e_{\kappa}, e_{\lambda}^{\prime}\right)+A\left(e_{\mu}^{\prime}, e_{\nu}\right)=A\left(e_{\kappa}, e_{\nu}\right)+A\left(e_{\lambda}^{\prime}, e_{\mu}^{\prime}\right)$, it follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\lambda \kappa \kappa \mu}=K_{\lambda \nu \nu \mu} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so $g\left(\left(k\left(e_{\kappa}, e_{\lambda}^{\prime}\right) e_{\lambda}^{\prime}, e_{\nu}\right)=g\left(K\left(e_{\kappa}, e_{\mu}^{\prime}\right) e_{\mu}^{\prime}, e_{\nu}\right)\right.$ by use of the fact that (3.4) is true for every $\phi$-basis. Hence we get $K_{\kappa \lambda \mu \nu}+K_{\kappa \mu \nu \lambda}=0$ and then $K_{\kappa \mu \lambda \nu}=0$ by the first Bianchi's identity. Replacing $e_{\kappa} \rightarrow$ $e_{\kappa}^{*}, \quad e_{\lambda} \rightarrow e_{\lambda}^{*}, \cdots$, etc., we obtain $K_{r s t u}=0 \quad(|r|,|s|,|t|,|u| \neq)$. Thus, by Lemma 3.3 , the cosymplectic Bochner curvature tensor vanishes.

Remark. It is well known that the cosymplectic Bochner curvature tensor $B$ vanishes in the cosymplectic space form. But the converse is not true, because the locally product manifold $M=M_{1}(c) \times M_{2}(-c) \times$ $E^{1}$ of constant holomorphic sectional curvature $c(c \geq 0)$ and $-c$ with $\operatorname{dim} M_{1}+\operatorname{dim} M_{2}=2 q \geq 4$ and $\min \left\{\operatorname{dim} M_{1}, \operatorname{dim} M_{2}\right\} \geq 2$ is a cosymplectic manifold with vanishing cosymplectic Bochner curvature tensor. But $M$ is not a cosymplectic space form.
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