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## Introduction

In this paper we study existence questions of solutions for the singular nonlinear second-order boundary value problem

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(p(x) y^{\prime}(x)\right)^{\prime}=q(x) f\left(x, y(x), p(x) y^{\prime}(x)\right) \quad \text { on } \quad(0,1) \\
y(0)=-y(1) \quad \lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}} p(x) y^{\prime}(x)=-p(1) y^{\prime}(1) . \tag{1}
\end{array}
$$

The problem may be singular because $p(0)=0$ is allowed and $q$ is not assumed to be continuous at 0 . The idea of considering such problems was motivated by [2-4]. Our analysis consists in determining a priori bounds on all solutions to related one-parameter family of problems and applying the topological transversality theorem of Granas [4], which relies on the notion of an essential map. By a solution we shall mean a function of class $C([0,1]) \cap C^{2}((0,1))$ that satisfies (1). Throughout this paper we assume that $p \in C^{1}(0,1], q \in C(0,1], p, q>0$ on $(0,1], q, 1 / p \in L^{1}(0,1)$, and $f$ continuous on $[0,1] \times(-\infty, \infty) \times(-\infty, \infty)$.

A Priori Bounds on $y_{\lambda}$.
We consider the family of problems

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(p(x) y_{\lambda}^{\prime}(x)\right)^{\prime} & =\lambda q(x) f\left(x, y_{\lambda}(x), p(x) y_{\lambda}^{\prime}(x)\right) \quad \text { on } \quad(0,1) \\
y_{\lambda}(0) & =-y_{\lambda}(1), \quad \lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}} p(x) y_{\lambda}^{\prime}(x)=-p(1) y_{\lambda}^{\prime}(1) \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$
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indexed by the parameter $\lambda \in[0,1]$.
Lemma 1. Let $p q$ be bounded and let there exist a constant $M>0$ and a differentiable function $g>0$ on $[M, \infty)$ such that $f(x, y, 0)<0$ on $(0,1) \times(-\infty,-M] \cup[M, \infty)$ and $-g(y) \leq f(x, y, z)$ for $y \geq M$. Define $G(\xi)=\frac{\xi-M}{\sqrt{\int_{m}^{\xi} g(\eta) d \eta}}$ for $\xi>M$ and $G_{0}=\sqrt{2 \max q p} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d t}{p(t)}$. Then
(a) $\lim _{\xi \rightarrow \infty} G(\xi)>G_{0}$, then any solution $y_{\lambda}$ of (2), independently of $\lambda$, satisfies $\left|y_{\lambda}(x)\right| \leq Y, x \in[0,1]$, for a constant $Y$.
(b) if $\lim _{\xi \rightarrow \infty} G(\xi)=0$ and $G(\xi)>G_{0}$, then there exists an interval $\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)$ such that no solution of (2) has its maximum value or absolute value of its minimum on $\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)$ and $\xi_{1}<\hat{\xi}<\xi_{2}$, where $\hat{\xi}$ is a zero greater than $M$ of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \int_{M}^{\xi} g(\eta) d \eta=(\xi-M) g(\xi) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. If $\lambda=0$, then the unique solution is $y_{0} \equiv 0$. Henceforth we assume $\lambda \in(0,1]$. Let $y_{\lambda}$ be a solution for which $y_{\lambda}$ has an interior maximum $y_{\lambda}\left(x_{0}\right)>M$ at $x_{0} \in(0,1)$. Since $f(x, y, 0)<0$ for $y>M, y_{\lambda}$ has neither a local minimum greater than $M$ nor an inflection point with a horizontal tangent and a value greater than $M$. From the boundary condition $y_{\lambda}(0)=-y_{\lambda}(1)$, one end point has a nonpositive value. Thus there exists an interval $\left(\hat{x}, x_{0}\right)$ or ( $x_{0}, \hat{x}$ ) satisfying $y_{\lambda}(\hat{x})=M$ and $y_{\lambda}{ }^{\prime}(x)$ a fixed sign there. On $\left(\hat{x}, x_{0}\right)$, we have $y_{\lambda}{ }^{\prime}(x)>0$ and

$$
-\lambda q p g\left(y_{\lambda}\right) y_{\lambda}{ }^{\prime} \leq\left(p y_{\lambda}{ }^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} p y_{\lambda}{ }^{\prime} .
$$

Integration on $\left(x, x_{0}\right) \subset\left(\hat{x}, x_{0}\right)$ and the boundedness of $p q$ yield

$$
\sqrt{2 \max q p} \frac{1}{p(x)} \geq \frac{y_{\lambda}^{\prime}(x)}{\sqrt{\int_{M}^{y_{\lambda}\left(x_{0}\right)} g(\eta) d \eta}} .
$$

From another integration on $\left(\hat{x}, x_{0}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(y_{\lambda}\left(x_{0}\right)\right) \leq G_{0} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the same manner we have (4) on $\left(x_{0}, \hat{x}\right)$. If $\lim _{\xi \rightarrow \infty} G(\xi)>G_{0}$, then any interior maximum is bounded by a constant $Y$. Suppose $\lim _{\xi \rightarrow \infty} G(\xi)=0$. Since $\lim _{\xi \rightarrow M} G(\xi)=0$ and $\lim _{\xi \rightarrow M} G^{\prime}(\xi)=\infty, G^{\prime}(\xi)=0$ has at least one
zero greater than $M$. Let $\hat{\xi}$ satisfy (3). Then $G(\hat{\xi})>G_{0}$ implies that $y_{\lambda}\left(x_{0}\right)$ does not lie between $\xi_{1}$ and $\xi_{2}$ such that $G\left(\xi_{1}\right)=G\left(\xi_{2}\right)=G_{0}$. Since $y_{\lambda}$ has no interior minimum less that $-M$, we now consider an end point extremum. Suppose that a solution $y_{\lambda}$ has the maximum at $x=1$ or 0 . If $y_{\lambda}^{\prime}(1)>0$, then $y_{\lambda}$ can not achieve its minumum at $x=0$ and $y_{\lambda}(1)$ is less than the absolute value of interior minimum. Thus $p(1) y_{\lambda}^{\prime}(1)=\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} p(x) y_{\lambda}^{\prime}(x)=0$ for $y_{\lambda}$ to achieve the maximum and minimum at end points. Assume $y_{\lambda}(1)>M$. Then there exists a point $x_{0} \in(0,1)$ such that $y_{\lambda}\left(x_{0}\right)=M$ and $y_{\lambda}^{\prime}(x)>0$ on $\left(x_{0}, 1\right)$. As in the proof of interior maximum we arrive at the inequality $G\left(y_{\lambda}(1)\right) \leq G_{0}$. The corresponding assertion holds for the case $y_{\lambda}(0)>M$. The lemma follows.

Lemma 2. Suppose there exists a positive constant $M$ satisfying yf $x, y$, $0)>0$ on $(0,1] \times(-\infty,-M] \cup[M, \infty)$. Then for any solution $y_{\lambda}$ of (2), $\lambda \in[0,1],\left|y_{\lambda}(x)\right| \leq M$ for $x$ in $[0,1]$.
Proof. If a solution $y_{\lambda}$ of (2) has a local maximum at $x_{0} \in(0,1)$, then $y_{\lambda}\left(x_{0}\right) \leq M$, and $y_{\lambda}$ has no local minimum less than $-M$. Suppose $y_{\lambda}$ has the maximum and minumum at end points. As shown in the proof of Lemma $1, \lim _{x \rightarrow 0} p(x) y_{\lambda}^{\prime}(x)=p(1) y_{\lambda}^{\prime}(1)=0$. If $y_{\lambda}(1)$ is the maximum greater than $M$, then $\lim _{x \rightarrow 1} y_{\lambda}{ }^{\prime \prime}(x)>0$. This implies that $y_{\lambda}$ is decreasing near $x=1$. Contradiction. Similarly the minimum less than $-M$ does not occur at $x=1$. This implies that $\left|y_{\lambda}(1)\right|=\left|y_{\lambda}(0)\right| \leq M$.

A Priori Bounds on $p y_{\lambda}^{\prime}$.
Lemma 3. Let $y_{\lambda}$ be a solution of (2) that satisfies $\left|y_{\lambda}\right| \leq Y$ for some constant $Y$ and let $f$ satisfy
(a) $|f(x, y, z)| \leq h(|z|)$ on $[0,1] \times[-Y, Y] \times(-\infty, \infty)$, where $h(z)$ is a continuous function on $[0, \infty)$ and
(b) $\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d z}{h(z)} d z>\int_{0}^{1} q(x) d x$ or
$\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{z}{h(z)} d z>2 \max p(x) q(x) Y$ if $p q$ is bounded.
Then there exists a constant $Z$ such that $\sup _{(0,1)}\left|p(x) y_{\lambda}^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq Z$.
Proof. $y_{\lambda}$ is monotone or $y_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=0$ for some $x_{0}$. Considering monotone case first, we have on $(0,1)$ that

$$
\left(\left|p y_{\lambda}^{\prime}\right|\right)^{\prime} \leq\left|\left(p y_{\lambda}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\right| \leq q(x) h\left(\left|p y_{\lambda}^{\prime}\right|\right) .
$$

Multiplication by $1 / h\left(\left|p y_{\lambda}^{\prime}\right|\right)$ and integration over $(0, x) \subset(0,1)$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\left|p y_{\lambda}^{\prime}(x)\right|} \frac{d z}{h(z)} \leq \int_{0}^{1} q(x) d x \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} p(x) y_{\lambda}^{\prime}(x)=0$. Now suppose $y_{\lambda}^{\prime}$ vanishes at some point $x_{0}$. Then every $x \in[0,1]$ where $y_{\lambda}^{\prime} \neq 0$ belongs to an interval $\left(x, x_{0}\right)$ or $\left(x_{0}, x\right)$ such that $y_{\lambda}^{\prime}$ has a fixed sign there. Similarly we obtain (5) again. If $p q$ bounded, by multiplying $\left|p y_{\lambda}^{\prime}\right| / h\left(\left|p y_{\lambda}^{\prime}\right|\right)$ instead of $1 / h\left(\left|p y_{\lambda}^{\prime}\right|\right)$ we have

$$
\int_{0}^{\left|p y_{\lambda}^{\prime}(x)\right|} \frac{z}{h(z)} d z \leq 2 \max p q Y
$$

The result follows.

## Existence of Solutions

We shall prove the existence of solutions of (1) separately for the cases (a) and (b) in Lemma 1.

Theorem 1. Let there exist constants $Y$ and $Z$ such that any solution $y_{\lambda}$ of (2) satisfies $\max _{[0,1]}\left|y_{\lambda}(x)\right| \leq Y$ and $\sup _{(0,1)}\left|p(x) y_{\lambda}^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq Z, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$. Then the problem (1) has a solution.
Proof. From the differential equation itself and the continuity of $f$ it follows that

$$
\sup _{(0,1)}\left|\frac{\left(p(x) y_{\lambda}^{\prime}(x)\right)^{\prime}}{q(x)}\right| \leq N \equiv \sup _{[0,1] \times[-Y, Y] \times[-Z, Z]}|f(x, y, z)| .
$$

For appropriate functions $v$ define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|v\|_{0}=\max _{[0,1]}|v(x)|, \quad\|v\|_{1}=\max \left(\|v\|_{0}, \sup _{(0,1)}\left|p(x) v^{\prime}(x)\right|\right), \\
& \|v\|_{2}=\max \left(\|v\|_{1}, \sup _{(0,1)}\left|\left(p(x) v^{\prime}(x)\right)^{\prime} / q(x)\right|\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have the Banach spaces $\left(B,\|\cdot\|_{0}\right)=\left\{v \in C(0,1):\|v\|_{0}<\infty\right\}$, $\left(B_{1},\|\cdot\|_{1}\right)=\left\{v \in C[0,1] \cap C^{1}(0,1):\|v\|_{1}<\infty\right\}$, and $\left(B,\|\cdot\|_{2}\right)=$ $\left\{v \in C[0,1] \cap C^{2}(0,1):\|v\|_{2}<\infty\right\}$ and set a convex subset $\widehat{B_{2}}=\{v \in$ $\left.B_{2}: v(0)=-v(1), \lim _{x \rightarrow 0} p(x) v^{\prime}(x)=-p(1) v^{\prime}(1)\right\}$. Define the mappings $F_{\lambda}: B_{1} \rightarrow B$ by $\left(F_{\lambda} v\right)(x)=\lambda f\left(x, v(x), p(x) v^{\prime}(x)\right), j: \widehat{B_{2}} \rightarrow B_{1}$ by $j v=v$, and $L: \widehat{B_{2}} \rightarrow B$ by $(L v)(x)=\left(p(x) v^{\prime}(x)\right)^{\prime} / q(x)$. Clearly $F_{\lambda}$
is continuous. Let $\Omega$ be a bounded set in $\widehat{B_{2}}$. Then $j \Omega$ is uniformly bounded and equicontinuous and the Arzela-Ascoli theorem implies that $j$ is completely continuous. Now we claim that $L^{-1}$ exists and is continuous. The solution $v \in \widehat{B_{2}}$ of $L v=u$ for $u \in B$ is given uniquely by

$$
\begin{aligned}
v(x)= & \int_{0}^{x} \frac{1}{p(t)} \int_{0}^{t} q(s) u(s) d s d t \\
& +\int_{0}^{1} q(t) u(t) d t\left[\frac{1}{4} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{d t}{p(t)}-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{x} \frac{d t}{p(t)}\right] \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{p(t)} \int_{0}^{t} q(s) u(s) d s d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $L$ is one to one and onto. Since $\|L v\|_{0} \leq\|v\|_{2}$, by the Bounded Inverse Theorem $L^{-1}$ is a continuous linear operator.

Let

$$
V \equiv\left\{v \in \widehat{B_{2}}:\|v\|_{2}<\max (Y, Z, N)+1\right\} .
$$

Then $V$ is an open subset of the convex subset $\widehat{B_{2}}$ of the Banach space $B_{2}$. Now we define our compact homotopy $H_{\lambda}: \bar{V} \rightarrow \widehat{B_{2}}$ by $H_{\lambda} v=L^{-1} F_{\lambda} j v$. $H_{\lambda}$ is fixed point free on $\partial V$ by the construction of $V$. Since $H_{0}$ is a constant map and thus essential, it follows by the topological transversality theorem that $H_{1}$ is essential, i.e. (1) has a solution.

Our last theorem shows that the existence of such an interval [(b) in Lemma 1] is sufficient for us to apply the topological transversality theorem.

Theorem 2. Let the following hypotheses hold:
(H1) There exists an interval $\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)$ independently of $\lambda \in[0,1]$, such that no solution $y_{\lambda}$ of (2) has the maximum value of $\left|y_{\lambda}\right|$ on $\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)$.
(H2) For any solution of (2) satisfying $\left|y_{\lambda}\right| \leq Y, \xi_{1}<Y<\xi_{2}$, there exists a constant $Z$ such that $\sup \left|p(x) y_{\lambda}{ }^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq Z$.

$$
(0,1)
$$

Then (1) has a solution.
Proof. The proof closely parallels that of Theorem 1 with replacement of $\|v\|_{1},\|v\|_{2}$, and $V$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|v\|_{1} & =\max \left(\|v\|_{0} / Y, \sup _{(0,1)}\left|p(x) v^{\prime}(x)\right| / Z\right) \\
\|v\|_{2} & =\max \left(\|v\|_{1} / Y, \sup _{(0,1)}\left|\frac{\left(p(x) v^{\prime}(x)\right)^{\prime}}{q(x)}\right| \frac{1}{N}\right) \\
V & =\left\{v \in \widehat{B}_{2}:\|v\|_{2}<1+\varepsilon\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\epsilon$ small enough so that $Y(1+\epsilon)<\xi_{2}$. Since $Z$ and $N$ have the property that $\sup \left|p(x) v^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq Z$ and $\sup \left|\left(p(x) v^{\prime}(x)\right)^{\prime} / q(x)\right| \leq N$, for any solution $(0,1) \quad(0,1)$
$y_{\lambda}$ of (2) satisfying $\left|y_{\lambda}\right| \leq Y, \quad \xi_{1}<Y<\xi_{2}$, it follows that no solution lies on $\partial V$, i.e. $H_{\lambda}$ has no fixed points on $\partial V$.
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