

FINITELY GENERATED MODULES

SANG CHEOL LEE

Introduction

In this paper, *unless otherwise indicated, we shall not assume that our rings are commutative, but we shall always assume that every ring has an identity element.* By a *module*, we shall always mean a unitary left module.

We provide a characterization of non-zero finitely generated Noetherian modules, some properties of finitely generated Noetherian and Artinian modules, and the localization E_S of a finitely generated module E over a commutative ring R with respect to a multiplicatively closed subset S of R not containing 0.

Finally, this paper deals with aspects of the identification of the maximal submodules of a finitely generated module over a commutative ring R . It shows an analogy between this set of submodules and the spectrum of R .

1. Finitely generated Noetherian and Artinian modules

The Cohen theorem [C50] says that if every prime ideal in a commutative ring R is finitely generated, then R is Noetherian. We first generalize this result.

Let E be an R -module. A submodule M of E is said to be a *maximal submodule* of E if (i) M is a proper submodule of E and (ii) there is no proper submodule of E strictly containing M .

It is well known [SV72] that every non-zero finitely generated R -module possesses a maximal submodule.

DEFINITION. Let E be an R -module. Then a submodule P of E is said to be a *prime submodule* of E if (a) P is proper and (b) whenever $re \in P$ ($r \in R$, $e \in E$), then either $e \in P$ or $rE \subseteq P$.

LEMMA 1. *Let R be a commutative ring and A a simple R -module. Then every zero-divisor on A is an annihilator of A .*

Proof. Let r be an arbitrary zero-divisor on A . Then there exists $e \in A$, $e \neq 0$ such that $re = 0$. Since A is a simple R -module, the submodule of A generated by e must be A itself. Hence

$$rA = r(Re) = (rR)e = (Rr)e = R(re) = 0$$

and so r is an annihilator of A .

PROPOSITION 2. *Let R be a commutative ring and A an R -module. Then every maximal submodule of A is prime.*

Proof. Let M be an arbitrary maximal submodule of A . Then M is proper. Replacing A by A/M , we can assume that A is a simple R -module and $M = 0$. It suffices to show that every zero-divisor on A is an annihilator of A . But, this follows from Lemma 1.

Note that for every R -module E , the annihilator, denoted by $\text{Ann}_R E$, of E is a two-sided ideal of R . Let E be an R -module and P a submodule of E . Let \mathfrak{p} denote $\text{Ann}_R(E/P)$. Then if P is prime, then \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal of R by the definitions. Further, if P is maximal, then \mathfrak{p} is a maximal ideal of R . In fact, E/P is a simple R -module and is R -isomorphic to R/\mathfrak{m} for some maximal left ideal \mathfrak{m} of R . This implies that

$$\mathfrak{p} = \text{Ann}_R(E/P) = \text{Ann}_R(R/\mathfrak{m}) = \mathfrak{m},$$

which becomes a maximal ideal of R . Hence we have the following result.

PROPOSITION 3. *Let E be an R -module and P a submodule of A . Let \mathfrak{p} denote $\text{Ann}_R(E/P)$. Then :*

(i) *P is prime if and only if the factor module E/P , as an R/\mathfrak{p} -module, is torsion-free ;*

(ii) *If P is maximal, then E/P , as an R/\mathfrak{p} -module, is divisible. In particular, when E is cyclic over a commutative ring R , P is maximal if and only if R/\mathfrak{p} is a field.*

If N is an R -submodule of an R -module E and \mathfrak{a} an ideal of R , we define $N :_E \mathfrak{a}$ to be the R -submodule of E consisting of all $x \in E$ such that $\mathfrak{a}x \subseteq N$.

LEMMA 4. Let N be a submodule of an R -module E and r an element of R . If $N + rE$ and $N :_E rR$ are finitely generated, then N is also finitely generated.

Proof. Adapt the proof of [N62, (3.3), p.8].

It is well known [N62] that every finitely generated module over a Noetherian ring is a Noetherian module. Note that any submodule of a finitely generated module is not necessarily finitely generated. The following result is a characterization of finitely generated Noetherian modules and is also a generalization of the Cohen theorem.

THEOREM 5. A non-zero finitely generated R -module E is Noetherian if and only if every prime submodule of E is finitely generated.

Proof. The *only if* part is a consequence of [N62, (3.1), p.7]. Use Zorn's lemma and Lemma 4 to prove the *if* part (cf. [N62, (3.4), p.8]).

The Formanek theorem [F73] says that if R is a commutative ring and $M = Rm_1 + \cdots + Rm_k$ is a faithful finitely generated R -module which satisfies the ascending chain condition (ACC) on "extended submodules" IM , where I is an ideal in R , then M is a Noetherian R -module and hence R is a Noetherian ring. In the remainder of this section we discuss under what conditions 'faithful' can be replaced.

LEMMA 6. Let $M = Rm_1 + \cdots + Rm_k$ be a finitely generated module over a commutative ring R . Suppose that, for every ideal I in R and for each i , Im_i is equal to $Rm_i \cap IM$. Then M satisfies ACC (resp. DCC) on extended submodules if and only if each Rm_i , satisfies ACC (resp. DCC) on extended submodules.

Proof. We consider only the ACC case since the proof of the DCC case is similar.

Assume that M satisfies ACC on extended submodules. We show only the case of $i = 1$ since the proof of the other case is similar. Consider the ascending chain

$$I_1m_1 \subseteq I_2m_1 \subseteq I_3m_1 \subseteq \cdots$$

of extended submodules of Rm_1 . This gives an ascending chain

$$\text{Ann}_R(Rm_1/I_1m_1) \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(Rm_1/I_2m_1) \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(Rm_1/I_3m_1) \subseteq \cdots$$

of ideals of R . But, each $\text{Ann}_R(Rm_1/I_i m_1)$ is equal to the sum $I_i + \text{Ann}_R(m_1)$. We get an ascending chain

$$(I_1 + \text{Ann}_R m_1)M \subseteq (I_2 + \text{Ann}_R m_1)M \subseteq (I_3 + \text{Ann}_R m_1)M \subseteq \cdots$$

of extended submodules of M . By our assumption, there exists a positive integer s such that $(I_n + \text{Ann}_R m_1)M = (I_s + \text{Ann}_R m_1)M$ for all $n \geq s$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} I_n m_1 &= Rm_1 \cap I_n M \\ &\subseteq Rm_1 \cap (I_n + \text{Ann}_R m_1)M \\ &= Rm_1 \cap (I_s + \text{Ann}_R m_1)M \\ &= (I_s + \text{Ann}_R m_1)m_1 \\ &= I_s m_1 \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \geq s$. Also, it is clear that $I_n m_1 \supseteq I_s m_1$ for all $n \geq s$. Therefore the given ascending chain terminates.

Conversely, assume that each Rm_i satisfies ACC on extended submodules. Consider the ascending chain

$$I_1 M \subseteq I_2 M \subseteq I_3 M \subseteq \cdots$$

of extended submodules of M . This gives ascending chains

$$Rm_i \cap I_1 M \subseteq Rm_i \cap I_2 M \subseteq Rm_i \cap I_3 M \subseteq \cdots, \quad i = 1, \dots, k.$$

But, $Rm_i \cap I_n M = I_n m_i$ for each $1 \leq i \leq k$ and for each $n \geq 1$. By our assumption, for each $1 \leq i \leq k$ there exists a positive integer s_i such that $I_n m_i = I_{s_i} m_i$ for all $n \geq s_i$. Take $s = \max\{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k\}$. Then $I_n m_i = I_s m_i$ for all $n \geq s$. Hence

$$I_n M = I_n m_1 + I_n m_2 + \cdots + I_n m_k = I_s m_1 + I_s m_2 + \cdots + I_s m_k = I_s M$$

for all $n \geq s$. Thus, the given ascending chain terminates.

THEOREM 7. *Let M be as in Lemma 6. If, for every ideal I in R and for each i , Im_i is equal to $Rm_i \cap IM$, and M satisfies ACC (resp. DCC) on extended submodules, then M is a Noetherian (resp. Artinian) R -module and hence $R/\text{Ann}_R M$ is a Noetherian (resp. Artinian) ring.*

Proof. We consider only the Noetherian case, since the proof of the Artinian case is similar. By our hypothesis and Lemma 6 each Rm_i in M satisfies ACC on extended submodules. Since each Rm_i is R -isomorphic to $R/\text{Ann}_R m_i$, it is Noetherian. By [SV72, Proposition 1.18, p.18] $Rm_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Rm_k$ is Noetherian. Define a mapping $f : Rm_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Rm_k \rightarrow Rm_1 + \cdots + Rm_k$ by $f(r_1 m_1, \dots, r_k m_k) = r_1 m_1 + \cdots + r_k m_k$, where $r_i \in R$. Then f is an epimorphism. This gives an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker } f \rightarrow Rm_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Rm_k \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

of R -modules. Hence M is Noetherian.

Now define a mapping $g : R \rightarrow Rm_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Rm_k$ by $g(r) = (rm_1, \dots, rm_k)$, where $r \in R$. Then g is an R -homomorphism and $\text{Ker } g = \text{Ann}_R M$. So, $R/\text{Ann}_R M$ can be regarded as an R -submodule of $Rm_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Rm_k$. Hence since $Rm_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Rm_k$ is Noetherian, so is $R/\text{Ann}_R M$.

PROPOSITION 8. *Let R be a commutative domain and M as in Lemma 6. If $M \neq 0$ is divisible, then M is faithful. Moreover, the converse holds if M is simple.*

Proof. M is faithful if and only if for each non-zero $r \in R$ there is at least one of m_1, \dots, m_k (depending on r) such that $rm_i \neq 0$. This latter property is inductive. The remainder of the proof is obvious.

It is well known [SV72, Proposition 2.6, p.33] that every injective module is divisible. Of course, every torsion-free divisible module over a commutative domain is injective [SV72, Proposition 2.7, p.34]. Hence the following proposition follows from Proposition 8 and the Formanek theorem.

PROPOSITION 9. *Let R be a commutative domain and M as in Lemma 6. If $M \neq 0$ is injective and satisfies ACC on extended submodules, then M is a Noetherian R -module and hence R is a Noetherian domain.*

2. Localization

In this section we discuss the localization E_S of a finitely generated module E over a commutative ring R with respect to a multiplicatively closed subset S of R not containing 0. Specifically, if P is a prime submodule of E , then we will take $S = R \setminus \text{Ann}_R(E/P)$ and consider the corresponding localization of E .

PROPOSITION 10. *Let E be a non-zero finitely generated module over the commutative ring R , S a multiplicatively closed subset of R not containing 0, and P a prime R -submodule of E . Let \mathfrak{p} denote $\text{Ann}_R(E/P)$. Then:*

- (i) *when $S \cap \mathfrak{p}$ is non-empty, then $P \otimes_R R_S = E \otimes_R R_S$;*
- (ii) *when $S \cap \mathfrak{p}$ is empty, then $P \otimes_R R_S$ is a prime R_S -submodule of the finitely generated R_S -module $E \otimes_R R_S$.*

Hence there is a one-to-one order-preserving correspondence between the prime R_S -submodules of $E \otimes_R R_S$ and the prime R -submodules Q of E such that $S \cap \text{Ann}_R(E/Q)$ is empty.

Proof. Note that $E \otimes_R R_S = E_S$ and $P \otimes_R R_S = P_S$. Let $E = Re_1 + \cdots + Re_n$. Then

(i) when $s \in S \cap \mathfrak{p}$, then, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $e_i/1 = se_i/s \in P_S$; hence $P_S = E_S$.

(ii) Since E is finitely generated over R , E_S is finitely generated over R_S .

Assume $S \cap \mathfrak{p}$ is empty. Then E_S is non-zero. For, if not, then $e_i/1 = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$; hence there exists σ in S such that $\sigma e_i = 0$, which belongs to P and so $\sigma \in \mathfrak{p}$, a contradiction. Clearly $P_S \neq E_S$.

Now let

$$(a/s)(e/t) \in P_S, \quad e/t \notin P_S,$$

where $a \in R, s, t \in S$, and $e \in E$. Then $ae/st = p/u$ for some $u \in S$ and $p \in P$; hence there is σ in S such that $\sigma((ua)e - (st)p) = 0$, which implies $(\sigma ua)e \in P$. Hence since $e \notin P$ and P is prime in E , we have $\sigma ua \in \mathfrak{p}$. Since $\sigma u \notin \mathfrak{p}$ we have $a \in \mathfrak{p}$. This implies $a/s \in \mathfrak{p}R_S$. It is well known [N76, p.41] that $\text{Ann}_R(E/P)R_S = \text{Ann}_{R_S}(E_S/P_S)$. Thus $a/s \in \text{Ann}_{R_S}(E_S/P_S)$. Therefore every zero-divisor on E_S/P_S is an annihilator of E_S/P_S .

COROLLARY. *Let E be a non-zero finitely generated module over a commutative ring and P a prime R -submodule of E . Let \mathfrak{p} denote $\text{Ann}_R(E/P)$. Then the prime $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -submodules of the non-zero finitely generated $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module $E \otimes_R R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ are in one-to-one order-preserving correspondence with the prime R -submodules Q of E such that $\text{Ann}_R(E/Q) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$.*

3. Spectra of finitely generated modules

This section deals with aspects of the identification of the maximal submodules of a finitely generated module over a commutative ring R . It shows an analogy between this set of submodules and the spectrum of R .

If E is an R -module, then the *radical* of E , denoted by $J(E)$, is defined to be the intersection of all maximal submodules of E , that is,

$$J(E) = \bigcap_{M \in \Omega_E} M,$$

where Ω_E is the collection of all maximal submodules of E . From now on we call Ω_E the *maximal spectrum* of E .

The following proposition is concerned with a relation between the radical $J(E)$ of a finitely generated R -module E and the Jacobson radical $J(R)$ of R .

PROPOSITION 11. *Let E be a non-zero finitely generated R -module and \mathfrak{a} an ideal of R contained in the Jacobson radical $J(R)$ of R . Then $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(E/J(E))$. In particular, $J(R) \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(E/J(E))$.*

Proof. Let Ω_E denote the maximal spectrum of E . Then Ω_E is non-empty. For every M in Ω_E , $\text{Ann}_R(E/M)$ is a maximal ideal of R . Hence by hypothesis

$$(3.1) \quad \mathfrak{a} \subseteq \bigcap_{M \in \Omega_E} \text{Ann}_R(E/M).$$

Moreover, it is easy to show that

$$\bigcap_{M \in \Omega_E} \text{Ann}_R(E/M) = \text{Ann}_R(E/J(E)).$$

Therefore the proof is complete.

Note that (3.1) can also be proved by using Nakayama's lemma [AM69, Proposition 2.6, p.21].

Following the most general definition [CE56, p.147, M58, p.516, and SV72, p.63] we will call a ring R a *quasi-local ring* if the set of non-units of R forms a two-sided ideal, or equivalently, if R has only one maximal two-sided ideal.

DEFINITION. An R -module M is said to be a *quasi-local module* if M has the equivalent properties:

- (a) M has a unique maximal submodule ;
- (b) $M/J(M)$ is simple.

Let E be a finitely generated R -module. Then the *spectrum* of E , denoted by $\text{Spec}_R(E)$, is defined to be the collection of all prime R -submodules of E . Thus, for any ring R , $\text{Spec}_R(R)$ is the ordinary spectrum of R . Let \bar{R} denote $R/\text{Ann}_R E$ and define a mapping $f : \text{Spec}_R(E) \rightarrow \text{Spec}_R(\bar{R})$ by $f(P) = \text{Ann}_R(E/P)$, where $P \in \text{Spec}_R(E)$. Then f is surjective. In fact, for any prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R containing $\text{Ann}_R(E)$, $\mathfrak{p}E$ is a prime R -submodule of E and $\text{Ann}_R(E/\mathfrak{p}E) = \mathfrak{p}$. The image of its restriction $f|_{\Omega_E} : \Omega_E \rightarrow \text{Spec}_R(\bar{R})$ to the maximal spectrum Ω_E of E is $\Omega_{\bar{R}}$. The mapping f is not always injective since $f|_{\Omega_E}$ is not. The example is given as follows :

EXAMPLE. Note that the ring \mathbf{Z} of integers is a faithful \mathbf{Z} -module. Consider the ring $\mathbf{Z}[i]$ of Gaussian integers, where $i = \sqrt{-1}$. Then since i is integral over \mathbf{Z} , $\mathbf{Z}[i]$ is a finitely generated \mathbf{Z} -module. It is trivial that, for any prime number p of \mathbf{Z} , $p\mathbf{Z} + i\mathbf{Z}$ and $\mathbf{Z} + i(p\mathbf{Z})$ are distinct maximal \mathbf{Z} -submodules of $\mathbf{Z}[i]$. Moreover,

$$\text{Ann}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{Z}[i]/(p\mathbf{Z} + i\mathbf{Z})) = \text{Ann}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{Z}[i]/(\mathbf{Z} + i(p\mathbf{Z}))) = p\mathbf{Z}.$$

Thus the mapping $f : \text{Spec}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{Z}[i]) \rightarrow \text{Spec}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{Z})$ is not injective.

If E is cyclic, then the mapping $f : \text{Spec}_R(E) \rightarrow \text{Spec}_R(\bar{R})$ is bijective. Hence we have the following lemma.

LEMMA 12. Let E be a non-zero cyclic R -module. Then every prime R -submodule of E is of the form $\mathfrak{p}E$, where \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal of R containing $\text{Ann}_R E$.

THEOREM 13. *Let E be a non-zero cyclic R -module and P a prime R -submodule of E . Let \mathfrak{p} denote $\text{Ann}_R(E/P)$. Then the non-zero $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module $E \otimes_R R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a quasi-local $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module with unique maximal $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -submodule $P \otimes_R R_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}(E \otimes_R R_{\mathfrak{p}})$.*

Proof. Note that $E_{\mathfrak{p}} = E \otimes_R R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $P_{\mathfrak{p}} = P \otimes_R R_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Since E is cyclic, so is $E_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Hence $E_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -isomorphic to $R_{\mathfrak{p}}/\text{Ann}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(E_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Since $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is quasi-local with unique maximal ideal $\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$, $E_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is quasi-local with unique maximal submodule $(\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}})E_{\mathfrak{p}}$ by Lemma 12.

This theorem can also be proved directly. In fact, since E is cyclic, $E \approx R/\mathfrak{a}$ for some ideal \mathfrak{a} of R . It follows that $P \approx \mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{a}$ for some prime ideal \mathfrak{q} of R containing \mathfrak{a} . Hence

$$\mathfrak{p} = \text{Ann}_R(E/P) = \text{Ann}_R((R/\mathfrak{a})/(\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{a})) = \text{Ann}_R(R/\mathfrak{q}) = \mathfrak{q}.$$

We need to show that $E_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is a quasi-local $R_{\mathfrak{q}}$ -module.

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow 0 \text{ is exact so}$$

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}R_{\mathfrak{q}} \rightarrow R_{\mathfrak{q}} \rightarrow (R/\mathfrak{a})_{\mathfrak{q}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ is exact [AM69, Proposition 3.3].}$$

Thus $R_{\mathfrak{q}}/\mathfrak{a}R_{\mathfrak{q}} \approx (R/\mathfrak{a})_{\mathfrak{q}}$. In order to show that $R_{\mathfrak{q}}/\mathfrak{a}R_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is a quasi-local $R_{\mathfrak{q}}$ -module it is sufficient to prove that the ring $R_{\mathfrak{q}}/\mathfrak{a}R_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is a quasi-local ring. But by using Proposition 3.1 of [AM69] it is easy to see that

$$R_{\mathfrak{q}}/\mathfrak{a}R_{\mathfrak{q}} \approx (R/\mathfrak{a})_{\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{a}}$$

which implies that $R_{\mathfrak{q}}/\mathfrak{a}R_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is a quasi-local ring [AM69, Example 1, p.38].

COROLLARY. *Let R be a commutative quasi-local ring with unique maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} . Let E be a non-zero finitely generated R -module. Then E is quasi-local if and only if E is cyclic.*

Proof. By Nakayama's lemma [AM69, Proposition 2.6, p.21], $\mathfrak{m}E \neq E$. This means that $E/\mathfrak{m}E$ is non-zero, or equivalently that $\text{Ann}_R(E/\mathfrak{m}E) \neq R$. Also, $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(E/\mathfrak{m}E)$. Hence $\mathfrak{m} = \text{Ann}_R(E/\mathfrak{m}E)$. Note that $R_{\mathfrak{m}} = R$. Then if E is cyclic, then E is a quasi-local R -module with unique maximal submodule $\mathfrak{m}E$ (Theorem 13).

Conversely, assume that E is quasi-local with unique maximal submodule M . Take $e \in E \setminus M$. Then e generates E . For, otherwise, Re is a proper submodule of E . By [SV72, Proposition 1.6, p.7] $Re \subseteq M$, so $e \in M$, which contradicts.

Unless the finitely generated module E is cyclic Theorem 13 does not hold in general because the mapping $f : \text{Spec}_R(E) \rightarrow \text{Spec}_R(\bar{R})$ is not always injective.

Let R be a commutative quasi-local ring with unique maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} . Let E be a finitely generated R -module. $E/\mathfrak{m}E$ is annihilated by \mathfrak{m} , hence is naturally an R/\mathfrak{m} -module, i.e., a vector space over the field R/\mathfrak{m} , and as such is finite-dimensional. If $E/\mathfrak{m}E$ is zero-dimensional, then $\mathfrak{m}E = E$. This implies that E is zero by Nakayama's lemma [AM69, Proposition 2.6, p.21]. Therefore we have the following result.

PROPOSITION 14. *Let R be a commutative quasi-local ring with unique maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} . Let E be a non-zero finitely generated R -module. Then E has at least n distinct maximal R -submodules, where n is the dimension of the vector space $E/\mathfrak{m}E$ over the field R/\mathfrak{m} .*

Proof. Let $n = \dim_{R/\mathfrak{m}}(E/\mathfrak{m}E)$. As we have already observed, we have $1 \leq n < \infty$. Let e_i ($1 \leq i \leq n$) be elements of E whose images \bar{e}_i in $E/\mathfrak{m}E$ form a basis of this vector space. Then the e_i generate E [AM69, Proposition 2.8, p.22]. Now let $M_i = \mathfrak{m}e_i + \sum_{j \neq i} Re_j$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then we shall show that these are distinct maximal submodules of E .

Since $\{\bar{e}_1, \dots, \bar{e}_n\}$ is a basis for the space $E/\mathfrak{m}E$ and $1 \notin \mathfrak{m}$ it follows that the M_i are distinct. In order to show that these are maximal, it is sufficient to prove that each E/M_i is a simple R -module. Again, to show this, it suffices to prove that E/M_i is a simple R/\mathfrak{m} -module.

$M_i = \sum_{j \neq i} Re_j + \mathfrak{m}E$, so each E/M_i is annihilated by \mathfrak{m} , hence is naturally an R/\mathfrak{m} -module, i.e., a vector space over the field R/\mathfrak{m} . Further, each E/M_i is R/\mathfrak{m} -isomorphic to $(E/\mathfrak{m}E)/(M_i/\mathfrak{m}E)$ [SV72, Proposition 1.9 Corollary 2, p.11]. Hence to show that each E/M_i is a simple R/\mathfrak{m} -module, it suffices to prove that each subspace $M_i/\mathfrak{m}E$ of the space $E/\mathfrak{m}E$ is a hyperspace in the space $E/\mathfrak{m}E$. But this follows immediately from the fact that each set $\{\bar{e}_1, \dots, \bar{e}_{i-1}, \bar{e}_{i+1}, \dots, \bar{e}_n\}$ forms a basis for the subspace $M_i/\mathfrak{m}E$.

If E is a non-zero finitely generated module over a commutative quasi-local ring R with unique maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} , then the proposition implies that

$$\text{Card}(\text{Spec}_R(E)) \geq \text{Card}(\Omega_E) \geq \dim_{R/\mathfrak{m}}(E/\mathfrak{m}E),$$

where $\text{Card } A$ means the cardinality of a set A .

References

- [AM69] Atiyah, M. F. and MacDonald, I. G., *Introduction to commutative algebra*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1969.
- [CE56] Cartan, H. and Eilenberg, S., *Homological algebra*, Princeton University Press, 1956.
- [C50] Cohen, I. S., *Commutative rings with restricted minimum condition*, Duke Math. J. **17**(1950), 27–42.
- [F73] Formanek, E., *Faithful Noetherian Modules*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **41** (1973), 381–383.
- [M58] Matlis, E., *Injective modules over Noetherian rings*, Pacific J. Math. **8** (1958), 511–528.
- [N62] Nagata, M., *Local rings*, Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied Mathematics, No.13, J. Wiley and Sons, 1962.
- [N76] Northcott, D. G., *Finite Free Resolutions*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1976.
- [SV72] Sharpe, D. W. and Vámos, P., *Injective modules*, Cambridge, 1972.

Department of Mathematics Education
Chonbuk National University
Chonju 560–756, Korea