δ 3.86 and 3.68 ppm while the disubstituted Cp ring gives rise to five broad signals expected for the ABC protons. The methine proton appears as a quartet at δ 4.26 ppm as usual.

Obviously, much work has yet to be done concerning the mechanisms for the formation of these unexpected phosphine oxides not alone their further uses as ligands for the preparation of other series of coordination compounds. The compound 5 is also interesting in that it may be used not only in the synthesis of heteronuclear metal clusters but also in homogeneous catalytic cyclization of some alkynes. These points are the subject of our future communication.

Acknowledgement. This work has been partially supported by the Ministry of Education through the Korea Reasearch Foundation (1988) and the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation (Grant No. 88-0304-03). Dr. J. H. Cheong of Korea Institute of Science and Technology is gratefully acknowledged for the crystal structure determination.

References

- (a) T. Hayashi, K. Yamamoto, and M. Kumada, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 15, 4405 (1974).
 (b) T. Hayashi, T. Mise, S. Mitachi, K. Yamamoto, and M. Kumada, *ibid*, 17, 1133 (1976).
- M. Kumada, T. Hayashi, and K. Tamao, fundamental Research in Homogeneous Catalysis, Plenum Press, New York, 175 (1982).
- T. Hayashi and M. Kumada, Acc. Chem. Res., 15, 395 (1982).
- 4. W. R. Cullen, F. W. B. Einstein, T. Jones, and T. J. Kim, Organometallics, 2, 741 (1983).
- 5. W. R. Cullen, F. W. B. Einstein, T. Jones, and T. J. Kim, Organometallics, 4, 346 (1985).
- T. G. Appleton, W. R. Cullen, S.V. Evans, T. J. Kim, and J. Trotter, J. Organomet. Chem., 279, 5 (1985).
- 7. T. Hayashi, M. Konishi, Y. Kobori, M. Kumada, T. Higuchi, and K. Hirotsu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 106, 158 (1984).
- W. R. Cullen, S. V. Evans, N. F. Han, and J. Trotter, Inorg. Chem., 26, 514 (1987).
- Y. Ito, M. Sawamura, and T. Hayashi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 108, 6405 (1986).
- Y. Ito, M. Sawamura, and T. Hayashi, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 28, 6215 (1987).
- 11. T. J. Kim and K. C. Lee. Bull. Korean. Chem., 10, 279 (1989).
- T. J. Kim, K. H. Kwon, S. C. Kwon, J. O. Baeg, S. C. Shim, and D. H. Lee J. Organomet. Chem., 389, 205 (1990).
- 13. T. J. Kim, S. C. Kwon, K. H. Kwon, and N. H. Heo, manuscript in preparation.
- D. F. Harvey, B. M. Johnson, C. S. Ung, and K. P. C. Volhardt, Synlett., 15 (1989).
- 15. K. P. C. Vollhardt, Lect. Heterocycl. Chem., 9, 59 (1987).
- K. P. C. Vollhardt, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 23, 539 (1984).
- For 2: ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.61-7.39(m, C₆H₅), 4.72(b, C₅H₄). 4.26(b, C₅H₅). ³¹P-NMR (121.5 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 26.13 (s). Anal. Calcd for C₂₂H₁₉POFe: C, 68.42; H, 4.92. Found: C, 67.90; H, 4.70. For 3: ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.64-7.37(m, P(O)Ph₂), 7.27(b, PPh₂), 4.57 and 4.36(b, C₅H₄P(O)Ph₂), 4.21 and 4.03(b, C₅H₄PPh₂). ³¹P-NMR (121.5 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.72 (s, P(O)Ph₂), -19.37(s,

PPh₂). Anal. Calcd for $C_{34}H_{28}P_2OFe$: C, 71.59; H, 4.91. Found: C, 70.70; H, 4.74.

- 18. Crystal data for 2: Space group P2₁/c, a=14.172(2), b=10.434(1), c=11.894(2) Å, $\beta=90.53(1)^\circ$, V=1758.7(5) Å³, Z=4, $D_{coled}=1.494$ gcm⁻¹, Mo-Ka radiation, $\lambda=0.71073$ Å, $\mu=2.29$ cm⁻¹. An Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer, 2047 unique absorption corrected reflections with 1>3 σ (1) in the range 3° <20 <50°. The structure was solved by Patterson and Fourier Methods. Final R=0.0317, $R_w=0.0374$.
- 19. For 4: ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.65-7.32 (m, C₆H₅), 4.41-4.25(m, C₅H₃), 4.18(s, C₅H₅), 3.89(q, ³J=6 Hz, CH), 1.63(s, NMe₂), 1.15(d, ³J=6 Hz, CMe), ³¹P-NMR (121.5 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 25.03 (s). Anal. Calcd for C₂₆H₂₈NPOFe: C, 68.29; H, 6.13; N, 3.06. Found: C, 68.10; H, 6.18; N, 3.15.
- 20. Crystal data for 4: space group P2₁, a=9.3252(8), b=11.342(1), c=11.043(1) Å, $\beta=102.631(8)^\circ$, V=1139.8(2) Å³, Z=2, $D_{calcd}1.331$ gcm⁻¹, Mo-Ka radiation, $\lambda=0.71073$ Å, $\mu=2.29$ cm⁻¹. An Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer, 1797 unique absorption corrected reflections with 1>3 σ (1) in the range 3° <20 <50°. The structure was solved by Patterson and Fourier Methods. Final R=0.0311, R_w= 0.0344.
- 21. For 5: ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.59-7.14(m, C₆H₅), 4.36-4.13(m, C₅H₃), 3.92(s, C₅H₅), 3.84(q, ³J=6 Hz, CH), 1.76(s, NMe₂), 1.23(d, ³J=6 Hz, CMe). ³¹P-NMR (121.5 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 26.64(s, PPh₂Co), -25.82(s, PPh₂). Anal. Calcd for C₈₁H₇₉N₂P₄Fe₂Co: C, 70.76; H. 5.75; N, 2.04. Found: C, 70.30; H, 5.51; N, 2.15.
- 22. For 6: ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.55-7.32(m, C₆H₅), 4.81, 4.73, 4.59, 4.52 and 4.47(b, C₅H₃), 4.26(q, ³J=9 Hz, CH), 3.86 and 3.68 (b, C₅H₄), 1.56(s, NMe₂), 1.01(d, ³J=9 Hz, CMe), ³¹P-NMR (121.5 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 26.17(s), 23.78 (s). Anal. Calcd for C₃₈H₃₇NP₂O₂Fe: C, 67.97; H, 5.51; N, 2.08. Found: C, 67.90; H, 5.61; N, 1.87.


Determination of Z-and E-2-Methylbut-1-en-1-ol

Chong Shik Chin,* Byeongno Lee, and Jongpil Chun

Department of Chemistry, Sogang University, Seoul 121-742

Received December 10, 1990

Fast double bond migration of allylic alcohols with metal complexes is an unique way of generating simple enols in non-aqueous solvents.^{1,2} The reaction of 2-ethylprop-2-en-1-ol with [Rh(CO)(PPh₃)₅] ClO₄ generates a simple and relatively stable enol, 2-methylbut-1-en-1-ol, 1 containing both Z-and E-isomers according to ¹H-NMR data (Eq. 1).² Unambiguous assignments of the ¹H-NMR signals of 1, however,






Figure 1. (A) ¹H-NMR spectrum of the mixture of 2-methylbut-1-en-1-ol (1) and 2-methylbutanal (2); (B) and (C) NOE difference spectra resulting from irradiation of $= CCH_3$ and $= CCH_2$ resonances, respectively at 300 MHz (Bruker WH-300 pulsed FT spectrometer) at 25°C in CD₃COCD₃. Arrows indicate the shifts irradiated.

have not been made to each of Z- and E-isomer.

We are now able to distinguish one isomer from the other of 1 by the NOE difference spectra. While Z/E-isomers and *syn/anti*-isomers of enols were prepared from different isomers of starting materials and characterized by ¹H-NMR and ¹³C-NMR spectral data,³ NOE difference spectra have been rarely used to distinguish those isomers of enols.³

Irradiation of the methyl protons $(=CCH_3)$ enhances the olefinic proton (=CH) of the major isomer at δ 6.21 ppm² by 1.5% while no enhancement is observed for the olefinic proton of the minor isomer at δ 6.25 ppm² (Figure 1B). Irradiation of the methylene protons $(=CCH_2)$ enhances the hydroxyl proton (=COH) by 0.5% (Figure 1C). The chemical shifts of -OH for the two isomers are so close (δ 6.52 ppm for the major isomer and δ 6.53 ppm for the minor isomer²) that it is uncertain which proton (8 6.52 or 6.53) showed the enhancement. These NOE difference spectra strongly suggest the major isomer (ca. 90%) being Z-isomer and the minor (ca. 10%) being E-isomer. The two small negative enhancements observed for = COH in Figure 1B (with = C (CH_3) irradiation) and for = CH in Figure 1C (with = CCH₂) irradiation) are also in good agreement with the structure of the Z-isomer. The small enhancement (0.5%) of -OHby irradiation of = CCH₂ is probably because the enol 1 exists mainly in anti-conformation where the hydroxyl hydrogen (-OH) is directed away from the methylene protons (= CCH₂) and toward the olefinic proton (=CH). This interpretais supported by the large enhancement of = CH by irradiation of -OH (6.6%) (Figure 2) and the fact that the coupling




Figure 2. NOE difference spectrum in the region of = CH(OH) resulting from irradiation of = C(OH) resonance of 2-methylbut-1-en-1-ol (1) at 300 MHz (Bruker pulsed FT spectrometer) at 25°C in CD₃COCD₃.

constant (6.0 Hz) observed between = CH and = COH of 1^2 is very close to those observed for *anti*-conformers of other enols.^{1b,3a}

The ratio (7-9) of Z/E-isomer remains practically unchanged until most of 1 undergoes ketonization in CD_3COCD_3 . The Z/E-isomer ratio seems to be determined when they are generated.

Acknowledgement. Authors wish to thank Professor J. T. Park, KAIST for the NOE spectrum measurements, and Korea Science and Engineering Foundation and the Basic Science Research Institute Program, Ministry of Education for their financial supports.

References

- (a) C. S. Chin and J. Park, *Chem. Commun.*, 1213, 1987;
 (b) C. S. Chin, S. Y. Lee, J. Park, and S. Kim, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 110, 8244 (1988).
- 2. C. S. Chin, B. Lee, S. Kim, and J Chun, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton, Trans., in press.
- (a) B. Capon and A. K. Siddhanta, Tetrahedron Lett., 3199 (1982);
 (b) S. E. Biali and Z. Rappoport, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 106, 5641 (1984);
 (c) S. E. Biali, Z. Rappoport, and W. E. Hull, *ibid.*, 107, 5450 (1985);
 (d) B. Capon and A. K. Siddhanta, J. Org. Chem., 49, 255 (1984);
 (e) B. Capon, A. K. Siddhanta, and C. Zucco, *ibid.*, 50 3580 (1985);
 (f) B. Capon and B. Guo, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 110, 5144 (1988);
 (g) F. Turecek, L. Brabec, and J. Korvola, *ibid.*, 110, 7984 (1988);
 (h) B. Capon, B-Z Guo, F. C. Kwok, A. K. Siddhanta, and C. Zucco, Acc. Chem. Res., 21, 135 (1988).