

## CONTINUOUS AND LINEAR SELECTIONS FOR THE METRIC PROJECTION

SUNG HO PARK

### 1. Introduction

A linear subspace  $M$  of a normed linear space  $X$  is called *proximal* (resp. *Chebyshev*) if, for each  $x$  in  $X$ , the set of best approximations to  $x$  from  $M$ ,

$$P_M(x) := \{y \in M : \|x - y\| = \inf_{m \in M} \|x - m\|\}, \quad (1-1)$$

is nonempty (resp. a singleton). The set-valued mapping  $P_M : X \rightarrow 2^M$  thus defined is called the metric projection onto  $M$ . A selection for  $P_M$  or a metric selection for  $M$  is a function  $s : X \rightarrow M$  such that  $s(x) \in P_M(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . In this paper, we are mainly interested in selections which are also continuous or linear.

Let  $H(M)$  denote the collection of all nonempty, closed, bounded and convex subsets of  $M$ . It is well-known that if  $M$  is proximal, then  $P_M : X \rightarrow H(M)$  and  $P_M$  is homogeneous, i. e.,  $P_M(\alpha x) = \alpha P_M(x)$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ , and  $P_M$  is additive, i. e.,  $P_M(x+m) = P_M(x) + m$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $m \in M$ .

A selections  $s$  for  $P_M$  is said to be:

$$\text{homogeneous if } s(\alpha x) = \alpha s(x), \quad x \in X, \alpha \in \mathbf{R}, \quad (1-2)$$

$$\text{additive if } s(x+m) = s(x) + m, \quad x \in X, m \in M. \quad (1-3)$$

Finally, the kernel of the metric projection  $P_M$  is the set

$$\text{Ker } P_M := \{x \in X : 0 \in P_M(x)\}.$$

Now we can outline some of the main results of this paper. In section 2, we give general results for continuous selection from [5].

In Section 3, consider the space  $L_1 = L_1(T, \mathcal{J}, \mu)$  of the functions on the measure space  $(T, \mathcal{J}, \mu)$ . For an  $n$ -dimensional subspace  $M$  of  $L_1$  which has a basis  $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$  satisfying  $\mu\{\text{supp}(y_i) \cap \text{supp}(y_j)\} = 0$

---

Received October 30, 1989.

Revised January 30, 1990.

Supported by Korea Science and Engineering Foundation.

for all  $i \neq j$ , we proved the following result.  $P_M$  has a continuous selection if and only if each  $x_j$  satisfies the Lazar condition.

In Section 4, we study the linear metric projection on  $L_p = L_p(T, \mathcal{J}, \mu)$ . By using the results from [7], for an  $n$ -dimensional subspace  $M$  of  $L_p$  we proved the following result.  $P_M$  is linear if and only if there exists a basis  $\{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n\}$  of  $M$  such that  $\text{supp}(m_i)$  is purely atomic and contains at most two atoms.

In Section 5, for an  $n$ -dimensional subspace  $M$  of  $L_1$  we prove the following theorem by using results in [1] and [7].  $P_M$  has a linear selection if and only if there exists a basis  $\{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n\}$  of  $M$  such that  $\text{supp}(m_i)$  contains an atom  $A_i$  for  $i=1, 2, \dots, n$  so that

$$\|m_i\| \leq 2 |m_i(A_i) \mu(A_i)| \text{ and } m_i(A_j) = 0 \text{ for } i \neq j.$$

In Section 6, we learn something from the proof of Theorem 11 in [7].

## 2. General theorem

A subset  $N$  of  $X$  is called homogeneous if  $\alpha N \subset N$  for each  $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ . If  $M$  is a proximal subspace of  $X$  and  $N$  is a subset (not necessarily a subspace) of  $X$ , we will write  $X = M \oplus N$  to mean that each  $x \in X$  has a unique representation as  $x = m + n$ , where  $m \in M$  and  $n \in N$ .

Recall that the quotient map  $Q = Q_M : X \rightarrow X/M$  defined by  $Q(x) = x + M$ , is linear,  $\|Q(x)\| \leq \|x\|$  for every  $x$ , and  $\|Qx\| = \|x\|$  for each  $x \in \text{Ker} P_M$ .

We can now characterize when the metric projection has a continuous selection which is additive modulo  $M$ .

**THEOREM 2.1.** [5] *Let  $M$  be a proximal subspace of a Banach space  $X$ . The following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $P_M$  has a continuous selection;
- (2)  $P_M$  has a continuous selection which is homogeneous and additive modulo  $M$ ;
- (3)  $\text{Ker } P_M$  contains a closed homogeneous subset  $N$  such that  $X = M \oplus N$  and the mapping  $s(m+n) = m$  is continuous;
- (4)  $\text{Ker } P_M$  contains a closed homogeneous subset  $N$  such that  $Q|_N$  is a homeomorphism between  $N$  and  $X/M$ .

**COROLLARY 2.2.** [5] *Let  $M$  be a Chebyshev subspace of a normed space*

X. *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $P_M$  is continuous;
- (2)  $(Q|_{\text{Ker}P_M}) - 1$  is continuous.

REMARKS. (1) In [1], we can find characterization of subspaces of a normed linear space whose metric projection has a linear selection.

(2) We can find more informations of general results of continuous, and Lipschitz continuous selections in [5].

### 3. Continuous selections in $L_1$

Let  $(T, \mathcal{J}, \mu)$  be a measure space and  $L_1 = L_1(T, \mathcal{J}, \mu)$  denote the space of all real-valued measurable functions  $x$  on  $T$  which are integrable and having the norm

$$\|x\| : = \int_T |x(t)| d\mu.$$

An *atom* is a set  $A \in \mathcal{J}$  such that  $0 < \mu(A) < \infty$  and if  $B \in \mathcal{J}$ ,  $B \subset A$ , then either  $\mu(B) = 0$  or  $\mu(B) = \mu(A)$ . A measurable subset  $E$  of  $T$  is called *purely atomic* if  $E$  is (up to a set of measure zero) a union of atoms. Any measurable function  $x$  is constant a.e. ( $\mu$ ) on an atom  $A$ . We will write  $x(A)$  for this value. For  $x \in L_1$ , the support of  $x$  and zero set of  $x$  are defined (up to a set of measure zero) by  $\text{supp}x = \{t \in T : x(t) \neq 0\}$  and  $Z(x) = T \setminus \text{supp}x = \{t \in T : x(t) = 0\}$ .

DEFINITION 3.1. [3] Let  $y \in L_1(T)$ . We say that  $y$  satisfies the *Lazar condition* if whenever  $A$  and  $B$  are disjoint measurable sets with  $A \cup B = \text{supp}y$  and

$$\int_A |y| d\mu = \int_B |y| d\mu,$$

then either  $A$  or  $B$  must be a finite union of atoms.

THEOREM 3.2. [3] Let  $y \in L_1 \setminus \{0\}$ . Then  $P_{[y]}$  has a continuous selection if and only if  $y$  satisfies the Lazar condition.

THEOREM 3.3. Let  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \in L_1 \setminus \{0\}$  be such that  $\mu(\text{supp}(y_i) \cap \text{supp}(y_j)) = 0$  if  $i \neq j$ . Then  $P_{[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n]}$  has a continuous selection if and only if  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n$  satisfy the Lazar condition.

*Proof.* We proceed by induction.

- (i)  $n=1$ . By Theorem 3.2, it holds.

(ii) Assume that  $P_{[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k]}$  has a continuous selection if and only if  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k$  satisfy the Lazar condition.

(iii) We need to prove it for  $n=k+1$ .

Assume  $P_{[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{k+1}]}$  has a continuous selection, say  $s$ . Then for each  $x \in L_1(T)$ ,  $s(x) = \alpha_1^x y_1 + \alpha_2^x y_2 + \dots + \alpha_k^x y_k + \alpha_{k+1}^x y_{k+1}$ . Define  $s_1 : L_1 \rightarrow [y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k]$  and  $s_2 : L_1 \rightarrow [y_{k+1}]$  by

$$s_1(x) = \alpha_1^x y_1 + \alpha_2^x y_2 + \dots + \alpha_k^x y_k \text{ and } s_2(x) = \alpha_{k+1}^x y_{k+1}.$$

Then  $s(x) = s_1(x) + s_2(x)$ . Let  $x_n \rightarrow x$ . Since  $s$  is continuous,  $\|s_1(x_n) - s_1(x)\|_1 + \|s_2(x_n) - s_2(x)\|_1 = \|s(x_n) - s(x)\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ . Thus  $\|s_1(x_n) - s_1(x)\|_1 \rightarrow 0$  and  $\|s_2(x_n) - s_2(x)\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ . So  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  are continuous.

Claim :  $s_1$  is a selection for

$$P_{[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k]} : L_1|_{T - \text{supp}(y_{k+1})} \rightarrow [y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k].$$

Let  $x \in L_1|_{T - \text{supp}(y_{k+1})} = \{x \in L_1 : x(\text{supp}(y_{k+1})) = 0\}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - s(x)\|_1 &= \int_{T - \text{supp}(y_{k+1})} |x - s(x)| d\mu + \int_{\text{supp}(y_{k+1})} |s_2(x)| d\mu \\ &\leq \int_{T - \text{supp}(y_{k+1})} |x - (\alpha_1 y_1 + \alpha_2 y_2 + \dots + \alpha_k y_k)| d\mu + \int_{\text{supp}(y_{k+1})} |\alpha_{k+1} y_{k+1}| d\mu \end{aligned}$$

for any  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{k+1} \in \mathbf{R}$ . If  $\alpha_{k+1} = 0$ , then

$$\int_{T - \text{supp}(y_{k+1})} |x - s_1(x)| d\mu \leq \int_{T - \text{supp}(y_{k+1})} |x - \alpha_1 y_1 - \alpha_2 y_2 - \dots - \alpha_k y_k| d\mu$$

for any  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k \in \mathbf{R}$ . Thus for each  $x \in L_1|_{T - \text{supp}(y_{k+1})}$ ,  $s_1(x) \in P_{[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k]}(x)$ . By assumption,  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k$  satisfy the Lazar condition. Similarly we can prove that  $s_2 : L_1|_{T - \bigcup_{i=1}^k \text{supp}(y_i)} \rightarrow [y_{k+1}]$  is a selection for  $P_{[y_{k+1}]} : L_1|_{T - \bigcup_{i=1}^k \text{supp}(y_i)} \rightarrow 2[y_{k+1}]$ . By theorem 3.2,  $y_{k+1}$  satisfies the Lazar condition.

Conversely, assume that  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{k+1}$  satisfy the Lazar condition. By (ii),  $P_{[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k]}$  has a continuous selection, say  $s_1$ . By Theorem 3.2,  $P_{[y_{k+1}]}$  has a continuous selection, say  $s_2$ .

Claim :  $s_1 + s_2$  is a continuous selection for  $P_{[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k, y_{k+1}]}$ . Since  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  are continuous,  $s_1 + s_2$  is continuous. It suffices to prove that, for each  $x \in L_1$ ,

$$s_1(x) + s_2(x) \in P_{[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k, y_{k+1}]}(x).$$

Since  $s_1(x) \in P_{[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k]}(x)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - s_1(x)\|_1 &= \int_{T - \bigcup_{i=1}^k \text{supp}(y_i)} |x| d\mu + \int_{\bigcup_{i=1}^k \text{supp}(y_i)} |x - s_1(x)| d\mu \\ &\leq \|x - \alpha_1 y_1 - \alpha_2 y_2 - \dots - \alpha_k y_k\|_1 \end{aligned}$$

$$= \int_{T - \bigcup_{i=1}^k \text{supp}(y_i)} |x| d\mu + \int_{\bigcup_{i=1}^k \text{supp}(y_i)} |x - \alpha_1 y_1 - \alpha_2 y_2 - \dots - \alpha_k y_k| d\mu$$

for any  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k \in \mathbf{R}$ . Thus

$$\int_{\bigcup_{i=1}^k \text{supp}(y_i)} |x - s_1(x)| d\mu \leq \int_{\bigcup_{i=1}^k \text{supp}(y_i)} |x - \alpha_1 y_1 - \alpha_2 y_2 - \dots - \alpha_k y_k| d\mu$$

for any  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k \in \mathbf{R}$ . Similarly,

$$\int_{\text{supp}(y_{k+1})} |x - s_2(x)| d\mu \leq \int_{\text{supp}(y_{k+1})} |x - \alpha_{k+1} y_{k+1}| d\mu$$

for any  $\alpha_{k+1} \in \mathbf{R}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - s_1(x) - s_2(x)\|_1 &= \int_{\bigcup_{i=1}^k \text{supp}(y_i)} |x - s_1(x)| d\mu + \int_{\text{supp}(y_{k+1})} |x - \alpha_{k+1} y_{k+1}| d\mu \\ &\quad + \int_{T - \bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} \text{supp}(y_i)} |x| d\mu \\ &\leq \int_{\bigcup_{i=1}^k \text{supp}(y_i)} |x - \alpha_1 y_1 - \alpha_2 y_2 - \dots - \alpha_k y_k| d\mu \\ &\quad + \int_{\text{supp}(y_{k+1})} |x - \alpha_{k+1} y_{k+1}| d\mu + \int_{T - \bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} \text{supp}(y_i)} |x| d\mu \\ &= \|x - \alpha_1 y_1 - \alpha_2 y_2 - \dots - \alpha_{k+1} y_{k+1}\|_1 \end{aligned}$$

for any  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{k+1} \in \mathbf{R}$ . Thus

$$s_1(x) + s_2(x) \in P_{[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{k+1}]}(x).$$

**COROLLARY 3.4.** *Let  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \in l_1 \setminus \{0\}$  be such that  $\text{supp}(y_i) \cap \text{supp}(y_j) = \emptyset$  if  $i \neq j$ .  $P_{[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n]}$  has a continuous selection if and only if  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n$  satisfy the Lazar condition.*

Because of the assumption on the supports of a basis, the above theorem is not a complete characterization of those subspace having continuous metric selections. This leads us to the next question: Is there an intrinsic characterization of the  $n$ -dimensional ( $n > 1$ ) subspaces of  $L_1$  which have a continuous metric selection?

#### 4. Linear selections in $L_p$

Let  $(T, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measure space,  $1 < p < \infty$ , and let  $L_p = L_p(T, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  denote the space of all real-valued measurable functions  $x$  on  $T$  whose absolute  $p^{\text{th}}$  power are integrable and whose norm is

$$\|x\| := \left[ \int_T |x(t)|^p d\mu \right]^{1/p}.$$

F. Deutsch [1] gave the following Theorem that is an intrinsic characterization of those  $x_1 \in L_p \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $P_{[x_1]}$  is linear.

**THEOREM 4.1.** [1] *Let  $x_1 \in L_p \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , and  $p \neq 2$ . The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $P_{[x_1]}$  is linear;
- (2)  $\text{supp}(x_1)$  is purely atomic and contains at most two atoms.

when  $p=2$ ,  $L_p$  is a Hilbert space, so for any closed subspace  $M$ ,  $P_M$  is linear. We will therefore only be interested in the case when  $p \neq 2$ , i. e., when  $L_p$  is not a Hilbert space.

Let  $T_0$  denote the union of all atoms in  $(T, \mathcal{J}, \mu)$ .

**THEOREM 4.2.** *Suppose  $M$  is an  $n$ -dimensional subspace of  $L_p$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $p \neq 2$ . The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $P_M$  is linear;
- (2) There exist  $k$  disjoint subsets  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_k$  of  $T_0$  such that  $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k M_i$ , where  $M_i$  is either  $L_p(B_i)$  or a hyperplane in  $L_p(B_i)$ ;
- (3) There exist  $l+1$  distinct subsets  $B_0, B_1, \dots, B_l$  of  $T_0$  such that  $M = L_p(B_0) \oplus (\bigoplus_{i=1}^l M_i)$  where  $M_i$  is a hyperplane of  $L_p(B_i)$ ;
- (4) There exists a basis  $\{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n\}$  of  $M$  such that  $\text{supp}(m_i)$  is purely atomic and contains at most two atoms.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2) is proved by P.-K. Lin [7].

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) Suppose (2) holds. Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the collection of  $B_i$  which  $M_i = L_p(B_i)$ . Put  $B_0 = \bigcup_{B_i \in \mathcal{B}} B_i$  and  $l = k - \text{the number of sets in } \mathcal{B}$ . Then

$L_p(B_0) = \bigoplus_{B_i \in \mathcal{B}} L_p(B_i)$ . Thus  $M = L_p(B_0) \oplus (\bigoplus_{i=1}^l M_i)$  where  $M_i$  is a hyperplane of  $L_p(B_i)$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4) Suppose (3) holds. If  $M = L_p(B_0)$ , then  $B_0$  has  $n$  atoms, say  $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\}$ . Thus  $m_i = \chi_{A_i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . We may assume  $M \neq L_p(B_0)$ . For  $L_p(B_0)$  we can find a basis of  $L_p(B_0)$  consisting of characteristic functions of each atom of  $B_0$ . It suffices to prove that for  $M_1$  there is a basis such that the support of each element of it is purely atomic and contains at most two atoms. Since  $M_1$  is finite dimensional subspace, we can find a basis of  $M_1$  such that the support of each element of it is purely atomic because  $B_1$  is purely atomic.

By the elementary, property, we can find a basis for  $M_1$  such that the support of each element of it contain at most two atoms. Similarly, we can find a basis of  $M_i$  such that the support of each element of it is purely atomic and contains at most two atoms. Therefore (4) holds.

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) is easy to prove.

REMARK. F. Deutsch [1] proved (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (4) when  $\dim M=1$ . P.-K. Lin [7] proved (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2).

### 5. Linear selections in $L_1$

In this section, we give intrinsic characterizations of those finite-dimensional subspaces of  $L_1$  whose metric projections admits linear selections.

LEMMA 5.1. *Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional subspace of  $L_1(T)$ . Suppose that  $P_M$  has a linear selection  $s$  and there exist an atom  $A$  and  $m_0(\neq 0) \in M$  such that*

$$|m_0(A) \mu(A)| \geq \int_{T-A} |m_0(t)| d\mu.$$

*Then the metric projection  $P_{M_1} : L_1(T-A) \rightarrow M_1$  has a linear selection where  $M_1 = \{m \in M : m(A) = 0\}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $s$  be a linear selection for  $P_M$ .

Define  $s_1 : L_1(T-A) \rightarrow M_1$  by

$$s_1(f) = s(f') - \frac{s(f')(A)}{m_0(A)} m_0 \text{ where } f' = \begin{cases} f & \text{on } T-A \\ 0 & \text{on } A. \end{cases}$$

Then  $s_1$  is well-defined since  $m_0(A) \neq 0$ . Since  $s(f') \in M$ ,  $\frac{s(f')(A)}{m_0(A)} m_0 \in M$  and  $s_1(f)(A) = 0$ ,  $s_1(f) \in M_1$ . Since  $s$  is linear,  $s_1$

is linear. To show  $s_1$  is a linear selection for  $P_{M_1}$ , we must show that  $s_1(f) \in P_{M_1}(f)$  for each  $f \in L_1(T-A)$ . Let  $f \in L_1(T-A)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - s_1(f)\|_{T \setminus A} &= \|f - (s(f') - \frac{s(f')(A)}{m_0(A)} m_0)\|_{T \setminus A} \\ &\leq \|f - s(f')\|_{T \setminus A} + \left| \frac{s(f')(A)}{m_0(A)} \right| \|m_0\|_{T \setminus A} \\ &= \|f - s(f')\|_{T \setminus A} + \left| \frac{s(f')(A)}{m_0(A)} \right| \int_{T \setminus A} |m_0| d\mu \\ &\leq \|f - s(f')\|_{T \setminus A} + |s(f')(A) \mu(A)| \\ &= \|f' - s(f')\|_T \leq \|f' - m\|_T \end{aligned}$$

for any  $m$  in  $M$ . Since  $M_1$  is a subspace of  $M$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - s_1(f)\|_{T \setminus A} &\leq \|f' - m\|_T \\ &= \|f - m\|_{T \setminus A} \end{aligned}$$

for any  $m$  in  $M_1$ . Thus  $s_1(f) \in P_{M_1}(f)$ . Therefore  $s_1$  is a linear selection for  $P_M$  in  $L_1(T \setminus A)$ .

Frank Deutsch [1] proved the following theorem.

**THEOREM 5.2.** *Let  $x_1 \in L_1 \setminus \{0\}$ . The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $P_{[x_1]}$  has a linear selection;
- (2)  $\text{Supp}(x_1)$  contains an atom and  $\|x_1\| \leq 2 \max\{|x_1(A)\mu(A)| : A \text{ is an atom}\}$ ;
- (3)  $\text{Supp}(x_1)$  contains an atom  $A_0$  such that  $\|x_1\| \leq 2|x_1(A_0)|\mu(A_0)$ .

In the following theorem, we find that for an  $n$ -dimensional subspace the result in [7] is an extension of Theorem 5.2.

**THEOREM 5.3.** *Suppose that  $M$  is an  $n$ -dimensional subspace of  $L_1$ . The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $P_M$  admits a linear selection;
- (2) There exists a subset  $T_1$  of  $T$  which contains exactly  $n$  atoms such that for any  $m \in M$

$$\int_{T_1} |m(t)| d\mu \geq \int_{T \setminus T_1} |m(t)| d\mu;$$

- (3) There exists a basis  $\{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n\}$  of  $M$  such that  $\text{supp}(m_i)$  contains an atom  $A_i$  for  $i=1, 2, \dots, n$  so that

$$\|m_i\| \leq 2|m_i(A_i)\mu(A_i)| \text{ and } m_i(A_j) = 0 \text{ for } i \neq j;$$

- (4) There exists a basis  $\{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n\}$  of  $M$  and  $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\} \subset T_0$  such that  $P_{[m_i]}$  has a linear selection for  $i=1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $m_i(A_j) = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) is proven by Pei-Kee Lin [7]. In his proof, there may have a gap. In fact, he proved that if  $P_M$  has a selection, then there exists an atom  $A_1$  in  $T$  and  $m_1 \neq 0 \in M$  such that

$$|m_1(A_1)\mu(A_1)| \geq \int_{T \setminus A_1} |m_1(t)| d\mu.$$

By the previous lemma,  $P_{M_1} : L_1(T \setminus \{A_1\}) \rightarrow M_1$  has a linear selection where  $M_1 = \{m \in M \mid m(A_1) = 0\}$ . Since  $m_1 \neq 0$ , we can find a basis

$\{m_1, m_2', \dots, m_n'\}$  of  $M$ . Let  $m_i'' = m_i' - \frac{m_i'(A_1)}{m_1(A_1)}m_1$  for  $i=2, \dots, n$ .

Then  $\{m_1, m_2'', \dots, m_n''\}$  is a basis of  $M$ . So we may assume  $M_1$  has  $n-1$  dimension. By the same argument, there exist an atom  $A_2$  in  $T$  and  $m_2 \neq 0 \in M_1$  such that

$$|m_2(A_2)\mu(A_2)| \geq \int_{T \setminus (A_1 \cup A_2)} |m_2(t)| d\mu.$$

Replace  $m_1$  by  $m_1 - \frac{m_1(A_2)}{m_2(A_2)}m_2$  if necessary. So we may assume  $m_1(A_2) = 0$ . Continuing this process up to  $n$ , there exists  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n \in M$  and  $\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\} = T_1 \subset T_0$  such that  $m_i(A_j) = 0$  if  $i \neq j$  and

$$\begin{aligned} |m_i(A_i)\mu(A_i)| &\geq \int_{T \setminus A_i} |m_i(t)| d\mu \\ &= \int_{T \setminus T_1} |m_i(t)| d\mu \end{aligned} \tag{5-1}$$

for any  $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ . By (5-1),  $\|m_i\| \leq 2|m_i(A_i)\mu(A_i)|$  for any  $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ . Since  $m_i(A_j) = 0$  if  $i \neq j$ ,  $\{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n\}$  is a basis for  $M$ . Thus we proved (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3).

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Suppose that (3) holds. Since  $\{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n\}$  is a basis of  $M$ , for any  $m \in M$ ,  $m = a_1m_1 + a_2m_2 + \dots + a_nm_n$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{T_1} |m(t)| d\mu &= \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| |m_i(A_i)\mu(A_i)| \\ &\geq \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i| \int_{T \setminus T_i} |m_i(t)| d\mu \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{T \setminus T_1} |a_i m_i(t)| d\mu \\ &= \int_{T \setminus T_1} \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i m_i(t)| d\mu \\ &\geq \int_{T \setminus T_1} |\sum_{i=1}^n a_i m_i(t)| d\mu \\ &= \int_{T \setminus T_1} |m| d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Thus for any  $m \in M$ ,

$$\int_{T_1} |m(t)| d\mu \geq \int_{T \setminus T_1} |m| d\mu.$$

Therefore (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) is proved.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) is proven by Pei-Kee Lin [7].

(3)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (4) By Theorem 5.2, it is obvious.

REMARK. F. Deutsch [1] proved (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3) when  $\dim M=1$ .

Pei-Kee Lin [7] proved (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2). In fact, he proved (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3).

## 6. Linear selections in $C_0(T)$

Let  $T$  be a locally compact Hausdorff space of all real-valued continuous functions  $x$  on  $T$  which "vanish at infinity" (i. e.,  $\{t \in T : |x(t)| \geq \varepsilon\}$  is compact for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ) and endowed with the uniform norm:  $\|x\| = \sup\{|x(t)| : t \in T\}$ . When  $T$  is actually compact,  $C_0(T)$  reduces to the space  $C(T)$  of all continuous functions on  $T$ .

A point  $t \in T$  is called an isolated point if the set  $\{t\}$  is open.

**THEOREM 6.1.** *Let  $T$  be an  $n$ -dimensional subspace of  $C_0(T)$ . The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $P_M$  admits a linear selection.;
- (2) There exists a basis  $\{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n\}$  of  $M$  and  $n$  isolated points  $\{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n\}$  such that  $m_i(t_j) = \delta_{ij}$  for  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $\text{card}(\text{supp}(m_i)) \leq 2$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ;
- (3) There exists a basis  $\{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n\}$  of  $M$  such that  $\text{card}(\text{supp}(m_i)) \leq 2$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ;
- (4) Let  $T_0$  be the union of all isolated points of  $T$ . Then there exist  $k$  disjoint subsets  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_k$  of  $T_0$  such that  $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k M_i$  where  $M_i$  is either  $C(B_i)$  or a hyperplane of  $C(B_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ;
- (5) Let  $T_0$  be the union of all isolated points of  $T$ . Then there exist  $l+1$  disjoint subsets  $D_0, D_1, \dots, D_l$  of  $T_0$  such that  $M = C(D_0) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^l M_i\right)$  where  $M_i$  is either  $C(D_i)$  or a hyperplane of  $C(D_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$ ;

*Proof.* Pei-Kee Lin [7] proved (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3).

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Suppose that (3) holds. By the elementary property, we can find  $n$  isolated points  $\{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n\}$  such that  $m_i(t_j) = \delta_{ij}$  for  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Thus (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2).

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (4) In the proof of (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4), Pei-Kee Lin defined  $i \sim j$  if  $\text{supp} m_i \cap \text{supp} m_j \neq \emptyset$ . He said " $\sim$ " is an equivalence relation on  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . But it is not true because it does not satisfy the transitive law. Let  $\text{supp} m_i = \{s_1, s_2\}$ ,  $\text{supp} m_j = \{s_2, t_1\}$  and  $\text{supp} m_k = \{t_1, t_2\}$  with  $s_1 \neq t_2$ . Then  $i \sim j$  and  $j \sim k$  but not  $i \sim k$ . But if we assume that (2) holds, then " $\sim$ " is an equivalence relation on  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Then Pei-Kee Lin's proof works for (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (4).

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (5) Suppose that (4) holds. Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the collection of  $B_i$  which  $M_i = C(B_i)$ . Put  $D_0 = \bigcup_{B_i \in \mathcal{D}} B_i$  and  $l = k$ —the number of sets in  $\mathcal{D}$ . Then  $C(D_0) = \bigoplus_{B_i \in \mathcal{D}} C(B_i)$ . Rearrange  $l$  distinct subsets which are not contained in  $\mathcal{D}$  as  $D_1, D_2, \dots, D_l$ . Thus  $M = C(D_0) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^l M_i \right)$  where  $M_i$  is a hyperplane of  $C(D_i)$ .

(5)  $\Rightarrow$  (4) is obvious.

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) is proved by Pei-Kee Lin [7].

REMARK. F. Deutsch [1] proved (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3) when  $\dim M = 1$ . P.-K. Lin proved (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (4).

### References

1. F. Deutsch, *Linear selections for the metric projection*, J. Functional Anal. **49** (3) (1982), 269–292.
2. F. Deutsch, *A survey of metric selections*, Contemporary Mathematics **18** (1983), 49–71.
3. F. Deutsch, V. Indumathi, and K. Schnatz, *Lower semicontinuity, almost lower semicontinuity, and continuous selections*, J. Approx. Theory **53** (1989), 266–294.
4. F. Deutsch, *An exposition of recent results on continuous metric selections*, Numerical Methods of Approximation Theory, Vol. 8 (L. Collatz, G. Meinardus and G. Nurnberger, ed.), 67–79.
5. F. Deutsch, W. Li, and S.H. Park, *Characterizations of continuous and Lipschitz continuous metric selections in normed linear spaces*, J. Approx. Theory **58** (1989), 297–314.
6. A. J. Lazar, *Spaces of affine continuous functions on simplexes*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **134** (1986), 503–525.
7. P.-K. Lin, *Remarks on linear selections for the metric projection*, J. Approx. Theory **43** (1985), 64–74.

Sogang University  
Seoul 121-742, Korea