

Vertical Lift of Vector Fields to the Frame Bundle

A.K. Mishra

Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi-221005, India

R.N. Singh

Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi-221005, India

ABSTRACT. Let M be a differentiable manifold, TM its tangent bundle and FM its frame bundle. The theory of complete lifts and Horizontal lifts to FM of vector fields on M has been studied by many authors. In this paper, vertical lifts of functions vector fields and 1-forms on M to FM are studied.

0. Introduction

Let M be an n -dimensional C^∞ manifold and FM its frame bundle. The differential geometry of FM was studied by T. Okubo [9, 10], Terrier [3], Mok [1, 2], Cordero [4, 5]. In [2], Mok introduced a Riemannian metric on the frame bundle of a Riemannian manifold. This metric is similar to that defined by Sasaki [8] for the tangent bundle TM of a smooth manifold M . In 1977, Mok [1] introduced the complete lifts of vector fields, different types of tensor fields and linear connections on M to FM . Moreover, in 1984, Cordero and Manuel De Leon [4, 5] introduced the Horizontal lifts of vectors fields, tensor fields and connections on M to FM . One of the present authors [6, 7] has studied vector fields and lifts of different types of tensor fields on Complex tangent bundle TM_{2n} of a Complex manifold M_{2n} . The main purpose of the present paper is to study the vertical lifts of function, vector field and 1-form of M to FM .

1. Preliminaries

In this section, we summarize all the basic definitions and results that are used later. Indices $a, b, c, \dots, i, j, k, \dots, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \dots$ have range in $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Summation over repeated indices is always implied. Entries of matrices are written as A_j^i , A_{ij} , or A^{ij} , and in all cases, i is the row index while j is the column index. R^n is the euclidean n -space $G1(n, R)$ the general linear group and $G1(n, R)$ the Lie algebra of all $n \times n$ square matrices. Coordinate systems in M are denoted by (U, x^i) , where U is the coordinate neighbourhood and x^i are the coordinate functions. Components in (U, x^i) of geometric objects on M will be referred to simply as components in U , or just components. We denote the partial differentiation $\partial/\partial x^i$ by ∂_i , and Lie derivative by \mathcal{L}_x .

Let $T_x M$ be the tangent space at a point $x \in M$, $(X_\alpha) = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ a linear frame at x and FM the frame bundle over M , that is the set of all frames at all points of M . Let $\pi : FM \rightarrow M$ be the Canonical projection of FM on to M ; for the coordinate system (U, x^i) in M'_i , we put $FU = \pi^{-1}U$. A frame (X_α) at x can be expressed uniquely in the form $X_\alpha = X_\alpha^i (\partial/\partial x^i)_x$. The induced coordinate system in FM is $\{FU, (x^i, X_\alpha^i)\}$. The matrix $[X_\alpha^i]$ is non-singular and its inverse will be written as $[X_i^\alpha]$.

Suppose (U, x^i) and $(U', x^{i'})$ are two coordinate systems in M and let $\{FU, (x^i; x^i_\alpha)\}$ and $\{FU', (x^{i'}; X^i_\alpha)\}$ be the induced coordinate system in FM ; by a routine calculation, one easily gets

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} = P_i^{i'} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i'}} + P_{ij}^{i'} X^j_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial X^i_\alpha}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial X^i_\alpha} = P_i^{i'} \delta_\alpha^\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial X^{i'}_\beta}$$

on $FU \cap FU'$, where δ_α^β is the Kronecker delta and

$$P_i^{i'} = \frac{\partial x^{i'}}{\partial x^i}; \quad P_{ij}^{i'} = \frac{\partial^2 x^{i'}}{\partial x^i \partial x^j}$$

with a given linear connection Γ on M , we can define two sets of global 1-forms on FM , namely θ^γ and ω_σ^ρ . Their expression on FU or

$$\begin{aligned} \theta^\gamma &= X_i^\gamma dx^i \\ \omega_\sigma^\rho &= X_h^\rho (\Gamma_{ji}^h X^i_\sigma dx^j + dX^h_\sigma) \end{aligned}$$

and these $n + n^2$ global 1-forms are linearly independent every where. Actually, $\theta = (\theta^\gamma)$ is the Canonical 1-forms of FM and $\omega = (\omega_\sigma^\rho)$ is the connection form of Γ . Let E_α, E_λ^μ be the $n + n^2$ global vector fields on FM dual to $\theta^\gamma, \omega_\sigma^\rho$; they span respectively the horizontal and vertical distribution on FM and their expression on FU are

$$\begin{aligned} E_\alpha &= X_\alpha^i \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - \Gamma_{ik}^j X^k_\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i_\beta} \right) \\ E_\lambda^\mu &= X_\lambda^i \frac{\partial}{\partial X^i_\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

2. Vertical Lifts

2.1 Vertical Lifts of Functions

If ϕ is a function in M , we write ϕ^V for the function in FM obtained by forming the composition of $\pi : FM \rightarrow M$ and $\phi : M \rightarrow R$, so that

$$(2.1) \quad \phi^V = \phi \circ \pi$$

Thus, if a point $x \in FU$ has induced coordinates (x^i, x^i_α) , the

$$(2.2) \quad \phi^V(x) = \phi^V(x, X_\alpha) = \phi \cdot \pi(x) = \phi(x)$$

Thus the value of $\phi^V(x)$ is constant along each frame $T_x M$ and equal to the value $\phi^V(x)$ is constant along each frame $T_x M$ and equal to the value $\phi(x)$ of ϕ at the point $x = \pi(x) \in M$. We call ϕ^V the vertical lift of the function ϕ . Thus we have, from (2.2),

$$(2.3) \quad (\psi\phi)^V = (\psi)^V(\phi)^V$$

for any ψ, ϕ on M . We now see from (2.2) that the mapping $\phi \rightarrow \phi^V$ determines a linear isomorphism of M into FM with respect to constant coefficients.

If τ is a 1-form in M , it is regarded, in a natural way, as a function in FM , which we denote by $\gamma\tau$. If τ has the local expression $\tau = \tau_i dx^i$ in a coordinate neighbourhood U of M , then $\gamma\tau$ has the local expression

$$(2.4) \quad \gamma\tau = \tau_i X_\alpha^i$$

with respect to the induced coordinates in FU .

Thus if ϕ is a function in M , then $\gamma(d\phi)$ has the local expression

$$(2.5) \quad \gamma(d\phi) = \partial_i X_\alpha^i$$

with respect to the induced coordinates in FU .

Proposition 2.1. *Let X and Y be vector fields in FM such that*

$$X(\gamma(d\phi)) = Y(\gamma(d\phi)),$$

for an arbitrary function ϕ in M . Then $X = Y$.

Proof: If $X(\gamma(d\phi)) = 0$ for any function ϕ in M , then $X = 0$. If X_α^i are components of X with respect to the induced coordinates (x^i, X_α^i) in FU . Then we have from $X(\gamma(d\phi)) = 0$

$$X^j (\partial_j \partial_i \phi) X_\alpha^i + X^j \partial_j \phi = 0$$

If this holds for any $\phi \in M$, $\partial_j \phi$ and $\partial_j \partial_i \phi$ taking any preassigned values at a fixed point, we have

$$(2.6) \quad X^j X_\alpha^i + X^i X_\alpha^j = 0, \quad X_{j'} = 0$$

Suppose $X_\alpha^i \neq 0$ and assume that $X_\alpha^i \neq 0$. Then putting $i = 1$ in the first equation of (2.6), we have $X^j X_\alpha^1 + X^1 X_\alpha^j = 0$, from which $X^j = \beta X_\alpha^j$ for a certain function β . Substituting this into the first equation of (2.6), we find $2\beta X_\alpha^j X_\alpha^i = 0$, from which, putting $i = j = 1$, we have $\beta = 0$, i.e., $X^i = 0$. Thus we see that the vector field X is zero at a point such that $X_\alpha^i \neq 0$, that is, in $FM - M$. But the vector field X is continuous at every point of FM . So, we have $X = 0$ in FM . Thus proposition (2.1) is proved.

2.2 Vertical Lifts of Vector Fields

Let $x \in FM$ be such that $X\phi^V = 0$ for all $\phi \in M$. Then we say that X is a vertical vector field. Let $\begin{pmatrix} X^i \\ X^{i'} \end{pmatrix}$ be components of X with respect to the induced coordinates. The, from $X\phi^V = 0$, we have $X^i \partial_i \phi = 0$ for all $\phi \in M$, from which $X^i = 0$, i.e.,

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{pmatrix} X^i \\ X^{i'} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ X^{i'} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus X is vertical if, and only if, its components in FU satisfy (2.7).

Suppose that X is a vector field in M . We define a vector field X^V in FM by

$$(2.8) \quad X^V(\gamma\tau) = (\tau(X))^V,$$

being an arbitrary 1-form in M . We call X^V the vertical lift of X in M to FM . If X^i and τ_i are respectively components of X and τ with respect to the local coordinates in U , and if $\begin{pmatrix} X^i \\ X^{i'} \end{pmatrix}$ are components of X^V with respect to the induced coordinates in FU , then we have from (2.8),

$$X^j(\partial_j \tau_i)X^i_\alpha + X^{j'}\tau_j = \tau_i X^i$$

for any τ_i , from which $X^i = 0$, $X^{i'} = X^i$. Thus the vertical lift X^V of X with components X^i in M to FM has components

$$(2.9) \quad X^V = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ X^i \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the induced coordinates in FM . Thus the vertical lift X^V of X to FM is a vertical vector field in FM . Consequently, we have by the definition of X^V .

$$(2.10) \quad X^V \phi^V = 0$$

for any vector field X and any differentiable function ϕ on M . Using (2.8) or (2.9) and taking account of (2.2), we can easily verify that

$$(2.11) \quad (X + Y)^V = X^V + Y^V$$

$$(2.12) \quad (\phi X)^V = \phi^V X^V$$

for any vector fields X, Y and differentiable function ϕ on M . We now have

Proposition 2.2. *For the Lie product $[X^V, Y^V]$ of X^V and Y^V*

$$(2.13) \quad [X^V, Y^V] = 0$$

holds, X and Y being arbitrary element of M .

Proof: Let τ be an arbitrary elements of 1-form in M . Then, taking account of (2.8) and (2.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} [X^V, Y^V](\gamma\tau) &= X^V Y^V(\gamma\tau) - Y^V X^V(\gamma\tau) \\ &= X^V(\tau(Y))^V - Y^V(\tau(X))^V = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and consequently $[X^V, Y^V] = 0$ by virtue of proposition (2.1). Thus proposition (2.2) is proved.

We see from (2.9) that the mapping $X \rightarrow X^V$ determines a linear isomorphism of M into FM with respect to constant coefficients. From (2.9), we find in each open set FU

$$(2.14) \quad \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right)^V = (X^i_\alpha)^V$$

with respect to the induced coordinates in FM .

2.3 Vertical Lifts of 1-Forms

Let τ be a 1-form on M , the 1-form $\tau^V = \pi^*\tau$ on FM is completely defined by the following.

τ^V is the unique 1-form on FM which verifies

$$(2.15) \quad \tau^V(X^C) = (\tau(X))^V$$

for any vector field X on M .

If $\tau = \tau_i dx^i$ is the local expression in U of τ , then $\tau^V = \tau_i dx^i$ in FU with respect to the global coframe θ^γ and ω_σ^ρ in FU . Their expression on FU are

$$\begin{aligned} \theta^\gamma &= X_i^\gamma dx^i \\ \omega_\sigma^\rho &= X_h^\rho (\Gamma_{ji}^h X^i dx^j + dX_\sigma^h) \end{aligned}$$

one has $\tau^V = X_\alpha^i \tau_i \theta^\alpha$.

The vertical lift τ^V of τ with local expression $\tau = \tau_i dx^i$ has components of the form

$$(2.16) \quad V : (\tau_i, 0),$$

with respect to the induced coordinates in FM . Thus the vertical lift τ^V of τ to FM is a vertical 1-form in FM .

Consequently, we have from (2.9) and (2.16)

$$(2.17) \quad \tau^V(X^V) = 0$$

for any τ on M . Using (2.16) and taking account of (2.2), we can easily verify that

$$(2.18) \quad (\tau + \eta)^V = \tau^V + \eta^V$$

$$(2.19) \quad (\phi\tau)^V = \phi^V \tau^V$$

for any τ, η on M .

We see from (2.16) that the mapping $\tau \rightarrow \tau^V$ determines a linear isomorphism of M into FM with respect to constant coefficients, and that in each open set FU the formula

$$(2.20) \quad (dx^i)^V = dx^i$$

holds with respect to the induced coordinates.

References

1. K.P. Mok, *Complete lifts of tensor fields and connections to the frame bundle*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **32** (1979), 72-88.
2. ———, *On the differential geometry of frame bundles of Riemannian manifolds*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **302** (1979), 16-31.
3. J.M. Terrier, *Linear connection and almost complex structures*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **49** (1975), 59-65.

4. L.A. Cordero-M.De Leon, *Lifts of tensor fields to the frame bundle*, Rend. Circ. Math. Palermo **32** (1983), 236-271.
5. _____, *Horizontal lift of connections to the frame bundle*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B(6) **3** (1984), 223-240.
6. R.N. Singh, *On the complex tangent bundle*, Proc. Math. Soc. **2** (1986), 122-132.
7. _____, *Horizontal lifts of tensor fields to the complex tangent bundle*, Accepted for presentation in the VI International Colloquium on differential Geometry at Santiago de Compostela (SPAIN),.
8. S. Sasaki, *On the differential geometry of tangent bundles of Riemannian manifolds*, Tôhoku Math. J. **10** (1958), 338-354.
9. T. Okubo, *On the differential geometry of fram bundles $F(X_n)$, $n = 2m$* , Mem. Defense Acad. **5** (1965), 1-17.
10. _____, *On the differential geometry of frame bundles*, Ann. Mat. Pure Appl. **72** (1966), 29-44.