

## MAXIMAL OPERATORS CONCERNING THE DIFFERENTIABILITY OF FUNCTIONS

YOUNG-HWA HA\* AND DO YOUNG KWAK

### 1. Introduction

In this paper we will introduce certain maximal operators in terms of which we will characterize the first order Sobolev functions. The first order Sobolev space  $L_1^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$  is defined to be the set of all functions  $f$  belonging to  $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$  whose distributional derivatives  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}, j=1, \dots, n$ , also belong to  $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . It is well known that if a function  $f$  and its distributional derivative  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}$  are locally integrable then  $f$  (possibly modified on a set of measure zero) is in fact partially differentiable with respect to  $x_j$  almost everywhere. For this and other properties of Sobolev functions we refer the readers to [1] and [4]. The differentiability of a function at almost every point in a given set has been studied by many persons. We refer the readers to Stein [3], which shows a systematic approach to the problem, and also to Neugebauer [2] for a succinct condition for the differentiability property. In their studies the even part of a function played an important role. We are, however, concerned with the odd part. The even and odd parts of a function  $f$  on  $\mathbf{R}^1$  at  $x$  are defined to be the functions  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$ , respectively, given by  $\varphi(t) = \frac{1}{2}(f(x+t) + f(x-t))$  and  $\psi(t) = \frac{1}{2}(f(x+t) - f(x-t))$ .

### 2. Definitions

For a function  $f \in C^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$  and for  $j=1, \dots, n$  and  $h>0$  we define the *mean difference quotient*  $\delta_{j,h}f(x)$  of  $f$  at  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$  by the equation

---

Received September 15, 1988.

\* Research supported by a grant from Ajou University.

$$(1) \quad \delta_{j,h}f(x) = \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h \frac{f(x+te_j) - f(x-te_j)}{2t} dt,$$

where  $e_j$  is the  $j$ -th standard basis element of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . The *maximal derivate*  $D_j f(x)$  is then defined to be the associated maximal function given by the equation

$$(2) \quad D_j f(x) = \sup_{0 < h \leq 1} |\delta_{j,h} f(x)|.$$

We interpret the singular integral in (1) as the limit of the following integrals when  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ :

$$\frac{1}{h} \int_{\epsilon}^h \frac{f(x+te_j) - f(x-te_j)}{2t} dt.$$

LEMMA 1. Let  $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . Then for every  $j=1, \dots, n$  and every  $h>0$ , the integral defining  $\delta_{j,h}f(x)$  converges and is finite for a.e.  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ , and so  $D_j f(x)$  is a well-defined measurable function.

*Proof.* Suppose first  $n=1$  and fix  $h>0$ . The function  $\phi_h$  defined to be  $1/s$  if  $|s| \leq h$  and zero otherwise is a Calderón-Zygmund kernel, and so for every  $g \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^1)$  the singular integral  $g * \phi_h(x)$  exists for a.e.  $x \in \mathbf{R}^1$ . Now for each positive integer  $N$  let  $f_N(x)$  to be  $f(x)$  if  $|x| \leq N$  and zero otherwise. Then since  $f_N \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^1)$ ,  $f_N * \phi_h(x)$  exist for a.e.  $x \in \mathbf{R}^1$ . If  $|x| \leq N-h$ , then  $f * \phi_h(x) = f_N * \phi_h(x)$ , and so  $f * \phi_h(x)$  exists for a.e.  $x$  with  $|x| \leq N-h$ . Letting  $N \rightarrow \infty$  we now see that  $f * \phi_h(x)$  exists for a.e.  $x \in \mathbf{R}^1$ . But,  $\delta_{1,h}f(x) = -\frac{1}{2h} f * \phi_h(x)$ . The assertion for  $\delta_{j,h}f$  is thus proved for the case  $n=1$ .

Suppose now  $n>1$ , and fix  $j=1, \dots, n$ . Let  $V_j$  be the hyperplane of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  perpendicular to  $e_j$ , and for each  $x' \in V_j$  let  $f_{x'}(t) = f(x' + te_j)$ ,  $t \in \mathbf{R}^1$ . By the Fubini's theorem it follows that  $f_{x'} \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbf{R}^1)$  for a.e.  $x' \in V_j$ . The previous case then implies that  $f_{x'} * \phi_h(t)$  exists for a.e.  $x' \in V_j$  and for a.e.  $t \in \mathbf{R}^1$ . But,  $\delta_{j,h}f(x) = \delta_{j,h}f(x' + te_j) = -\frac{1}{2h} f_{x'} * \phi_h(t)$ . Thus  $\delta_{j,h}f(x)$  exists for a.e.  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ .

The measurability of  $D_j f$  follows from the equation  $\sup\{\delta_{j,h}f(x) : 0 < h \leq 1\} = \sup\{\delta_{j,r}f(x) : 0 < r \leq 1, r \text{ rational}\}$ , which in turn follows from the fact that for each fixed  $x$ ,  $\delta_{j,h}f(x)$  is continuous in  $h$ .

Note that  $D_j f$  is well-defined in particular for every  $f \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ ,

$1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . The readers are referred to [4] for the Calderón-Zygmund kernel.

### 3. Main results

**THEOREM 1.** Let  $f \in L^p_1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . Then for  $j=1, \dots, n$

(a) if  $1 < p \leq \infty$ , then  $D_j f \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$  and

$$\|D_j f\|_p \leq c_p \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^j} \right\|_p;$$

and

(b) if  $p=1$ , then for every  $r > 0$

$$|\{x : D_j f(x) > r\}| \leq \frac{c_1}{r} \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right\|_1,$$

where  $|\cdot|$  denotes the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . The constants  $c_p$  depend only on the parameters  $p$  and  $n$ .

We need the following lemma for the proof of the above theorem.

**LEMMA 2.** Let  $f \in L^p_1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . Then for  $j=1, \dots, n$  and  $h > 0$

$$\delta_{j,h} f(x) = \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h \left( \frac{1}{2t} \int_{-t}^t \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(x + se_j) ds \right) dt$$

for a.e.  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ .

*Proof.* By the Fubini's theorem we may assume  $n=1$ . Fix  $h > 0$  and let

$$I(x) = \delta_{1,h} f(x) - \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h \left( \frac{1}{2t} \int_{-t}^t f'(x+s) ds \right) dt.$$

It suffices to show that for every  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^1)$

$$(3) \quad \int I(x) \varphi(x) dx = 0.$$

Setting

$$J(t) = \int (f(x+t) - f(x-t) - \int_{-t}^t f'(x+s) ds) \varphi(x) dx,$$

we get

$$\int I(x) \varphi(x) dx = \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h \frac{1}{2t} J(t) dt.$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} J(t) &= \int f(x) (\varphi(x-t) - \varphi(x+t) + \int_{-t}^t \varphi'(x-s) ds) dx \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now (3) follows from this.

*PROOF OF THEOREM 1.* Let  $M_j$  be the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator acting in the direction of  $e_j$ , defined by the equation  $M_j g(x) = \sup_{t>0} \frac{1}{2t} \int_{-t}^t |g(x + se_j)| ds$ . Then by Lemma 2

$$\begin{aligned} |\delta_{j,h} f(x)| &\leq \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h \frac{1}{2t} \int_{-t}^t \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(x + se_j) \right| ds dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h M_j \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right)(x) ds = M_j \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $D_j f(x) \leq M_j \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right)(x)$  for a. e.  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ . Now the inequalities for  $D_j$  follows from the corresponding inequalities for  $M_j$ .

We refer readers to [4] for the properties of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operators.

*REMARK.* The weak-type boundedness of the maximal operators  $D_j$  on  $L^1_1(\mathbf{R}^n)$  is the best we can expect. There are indeed functions in  $L^1_1(\mathbf{R}^n)$  whose maximal derivates do not belong to  $L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . An example can be constructed as follows. For each positive integer  $m$  define a function  $g_m$  on  $\mathbf{R}^1$  by setting  $g_m(x) = m^{-2}$  for  $2^{-m-2} \leq x \leq 1 + 2^{-m-2}$ ,  $g_m(x) = 0$  for  $x \leq 0$  or  $x \geq 1 + 2^{-m-1}$ , and linear otherwise. Then,  $\|g_m\|_1 \leq 2m^{-2}$  and  $\left\| \frac{d}{dx} g_m \right\|_1 \leq 2m^{-2}$ , where  $\frac{d}{dx} g_m$  is the distributional derivative of  $g_m$ . Furthermore, if  $-\frac{1}{4} \leq x \leq -2^{-m-2}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 g_m(x) &\geq \delta_{1,4|x|} g_m(x) \geq \frac{1}{4|x|} \int_{2|x|}^{4|x|} \frac{g_m(x+t)}{2t} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{4|x|} \int_{2|x|}^{4|x|} \frac{m^{-2}}{2t} dt \geq \frac{1}{16m^2|x|} \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\int_{-1}^0 D_1 g_m(x) dx \geq \frac{1}{16m^2} \int_{-1/4}^{-2^{-m-2}} \frac{1}{|x|} dx \geq \frac{c}{m},$$

where  $c = \log 2/16$ . Now letting  $g(x) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} g_m(x - 4(m-1))$  we obtain a desired function. It follows that

Maximal operators concerning the differentiability of functions

$$\|g\|_1 \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \|g_m\|_1 \leq 2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-2} < \infty,$$

and

$$\left\| \frac{dg}{dx} \right\|_1 \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left\| \frac{d}{dx} g_m \right\|_1 \leq 2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-2} < \infty,$$

and so  $g \in L^1_1(\mathbf{R}^1)$ . But, since  $D_1 g(x) = D_1 g_m(x - 4(m-1))$  for  $4(m-1) - 1 \leq x \leq 4(m-1)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_1 g\|_1 &\geq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_{4(m-1)-1}^{4(m-1)} D_1 g(x) dx \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \int_{-1}^0 D_1 g_m(t) dt \geq c \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $D_1 g \notin L^1(\mathbf{R}^1)$  since the last series diverges to  $\infty$ .

An immediate consequence of Theorem 1 is

**COROLLARY.** Let  $f \in L^p_1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ , and  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Then  $\delta_{j,h} f(x) \rightarrow \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(x)$  as  $h \rightarrow 0$  for a.e.  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ . Furthermore, the convergence is also in the  $L^p$ -norm provided  $1 < p \leq \infty$ .

As a converse of Theorem 1 we have

**THEOREM 2.** Let  $f \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . If  $D_j f \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$  for some  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , then  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$  and  $\left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right\|_p \leq \|D_j f\|_p$ . Hence, if  $D_j f \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$  for every  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , then  $f \in L^p_1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ .

The following lemma will be used to prove the above theorem in the case  $p=1$ .

**LEMMA 3.** Let  $\{f_k\}$  be a sequence of functions  $L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ ,  $g \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ , and  $\mu$  a finite Borel measure on  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Suppose  $|f_k| \leq g$  for every  $k$  and  $f_k$  converges weakly to  $\mu$ , i.e.,  $\int f_k \varphi \rightarrow \int \varphi d\mu$  for every  $\varphi \in C_0(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . Then  $\mu$  is absolutely continuous.

*Proof.* We may assume each of  $f_k$  and  $\mu$  is real-valued (by splitting them into the real and imaginary parts, and by applying the following arguments to each part.) It suffices to show each of  $\mu^+$  and  $\mu^-$  is absolutely continuous. The absolute continuity of  $\mu^+$  (or  $\mu^-$ ) is obtained once we show that  $\mu^+(E) > 0$  (or  $\mu^-(E) > 0$ ) implies  $|E| > 0$  for every Borel set  $E$ .

By the Hahn's decomposition theorem there exist Borel sets  $P$  and  $N$  such that  $P \cap N = \emptyset$ ,  $P \cup N = \mathbf{R}^n$ , and  $\mu^+(E) = \mu(E \cap P)$  and  $\mu^-(E) = -\mu(E \cap N)$  for every Borel set  $E$ .

To prove the absolute continuity of  $\mu^+$  suppose  $E$  is a Borel set and  $\epsilon = \mu^+(E) > 0$ . We may assume  $E \subset P$  (otherwise we can consider  $E \cap P$ ). Choose a compact set  $K \subset E$  (and so  $K \subset P$ ) with  $\mu^+(E \sim K) < \epsilon/4$ , and an open set  $V \supset E$  with  $|\mu|(V \sim E) < \epsilon/4$ . Such sets can be chosen by the regularity of  $\mu^+$  and  $|\mu|$ . Note that  $\mu^+(K) > 3\epsilon/4$ . Let  $G$  be an arbitrary open set such that  $E \subset G \subset V$ , and choose  $\varphi \in C_0(\mathbf{R}^n)$  such that  $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$ ,  $\varphi(x) = 1$  for  $x \in K$ , and  $\text{supp } \varphi \subset G$ . Then for  $k=1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$\int_G g \geq \int_G \varphi g \geq \int \varphi |f_k| \geq \left| \int \varphi f_k \right|.$$

Since  $\int \varphi f_k \rightarrow \int \varphi d\mu$ , it now follows that  $\int_G g \geq \left| \int \varphi d\mu \right|$ . On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int \varphi d\mu \right| &= \left| \int_K d\mu + \int_{G \sim K} \varphi d\mu \right| \geq |\mu(K)| - |\mu|(G \sim K) \\ &\geq \mu^+(K) - |\mu|(V \sim K) > \frac{3}{4}\epsilon - \frac{1}{4}\epsilon = \frac{\epsilon}{2} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get  $\int_G g > \epsilon/2$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E g &= \inf \left\{ \int_G g : E \subset G, G \text{ open} \right\} \\ &= \inf \left\{ \int_G g : E \subset G \subset V, G \text{ open} \right\} \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2} > 0, \end{aligned}$$

and this implies  $|E| > 0$ . We thus obtain the absolute continuity of  $\mu^+$ . Similary we see that  $\mu^-$  is also absolutely continuous.

*Proof of THEOREM 2.* Suppose first  $1 < p \leq \infty$ , and choose  $q$  such that  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ . From the hypothesis we see that each  $\delta_{j,h} f$  belongs to the ball of radius  $\|D_j f\|_p$  in the space  $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ , which is the dual space of  $L^q(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . By the weak-compactness of balls in dual spaces it then follows that there exists a function  $g \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$  with  $\|g\|_p \leq \|D_j f\|_p$  and a sequence  $\{h_k\}$  with  $h_k \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  such that

$$(4) \quad \int \delta_{j,h_k} f(x) \varphi(x) dx \rightarrow \int g(x) \varphi(x) dx$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  for every  $\varphi \in L^q(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . This is a fortiori true for  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . Then, for  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$  it is easy to see that

$$(5) \quad \int \delta_{j, h_k} f(x) \varphi(x) dx = - \int f(x) \delta_{j, h_k} \varphi(x) dx.$$

Since  $\varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ , Theorem 1 implies  $D_j \varphi \in L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . Observe that  $D_j \varphi$  has compact support. Hence  $D_j \varphi \in L^r(\mathbf{R}^n)$  for every  $r$  with  $1 \leq r \leq \infty$ . In particular,  $D_j \varphi \in L^q(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . Thus we get

$$|f(x) \delta_{j, h_k} \varphi(x)| \leq |f(x) D_j \varphi(x)| \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$$

for every  $k$ , and

$$f(x) \delta_{j, h_k} \varphi(x) \rightarrow f(x) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j}(x)$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . It now follows from the Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem that

$$(6) \quad \int f(x) \delta_{j, h_k} \varphi(x) dx \rightarrow \int f(x) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j}(x) dx$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . from (4), (5), and (6) we now get

$$\int g(x) \varphi(x) dx = - \int f(x) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_j}(x) dx$$

for every  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ , which indicates  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} = g \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$  and completes the proof for the case  $1 < p \leq \infty$ .

Suppose next  $p=1$ . Considering  $L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$  as a subspace of the space of all finite Borel measures on  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , which is the dual space of  $C_0(\mathbf{R}^n)$  consisting of all continuous functions vanishing at infinity, and applying the weak-compactness argument as above, we get a sequence  $\{h_k\}$  with  $h_k \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and a finite Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  with  $\|\mu\| \leq \|D_j f\|_1$  such that for every  $\varphi \in C_0(\mathbf{R}^n)$

$$\int \delta_{j, h_k} f(x) \varphi(x) dx \rightarrow \int \varphi(x) d\mu(x)$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . But,  $\delta_{j, h_k} f, D_j f \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$  and  $|\delta_{j, h_k} f| \leq D_j f$ . Hence it follows from Lemma 3 that  $\mu$  is absolutely continuous, that is, there exists a function  $g \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$  such that  $d\mu = g dx$ . We thus obtain, by the

same argument as above,  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} = g \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$  and  $\left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} \right\|_1 = \|\mu\| \leq \|D_j f\|_1$ , and finish the proof.

References

1. Vladimir G. Maz'ja, *Sobolev Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, 1985.
2. C. J. Neugebauer, *Differentiability almost everywhere*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **16**(1965), 1205-1210.
3. E. M. Stein, *On the differentiability of functions*, Studia Math. **23**(1964), 247-283.
4. ———, *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1970.
5. Richard L. Wheeden, *On hypersingular integrals and Lebesgue spaces of differentiable functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **134**(1968), 421-435.

Ajou University  
Suwon 440-749, Korea  
and  
Korea Institute of Technology  
Taejon 302-338, Korea