

## SOME RESULTS ON FLSTER THEORY OF BCK-ALGEBRAS

Jung Wan NAM and Young Bae JUN

### 1. Introduction and preliminaries

K. Iseki [2] has introduced the notion of a BCK-algebra which is an algebraic formulation of a propositional calculus. In his various papers, Iseki studied the structure of these algebras(see[3], [4], [5]). Also E. Y. Deeba [1] introduced the notion of filters, and studied their basic properties. In this paper, we obtain an equivalent condition and property of F-ascending chain condition, and also some properties of irreducible filters in a BCK-algebra.

In [1], Deeba has defined a filter as follows :

**Definition.** A non-empty set  $F$  of a BCK-algebra  $X$  is called a filter of  $X$  if

- (1)  $x \in F$  and  $x \leq y$  imply that  $y \in F$ ,
- (2)  $x \in F$  and  $y \in F$  imply that  $glb\{x, y\} \in F$ .

Let  $x$  be a bounded implicative BCK-algebra and  $F$  a filter of  $X$ . Define a relation  $\sim$  on  $X$  as follows :  $x \sim y$  if and only if  $I^*(x*y) \in F$ ,  $I^*(y*x) \in F$ . Then  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on  $X$ , and so  $X$  can be partitioned in to equivalence classes. The class containing  $x \in X$  will be denoted by  $Fx$ . It is clear that  $x \sim y$  and only if  $Fx = Fy$ . Denote the set of all such equivalence classes by  $X/F$ . Define a binary operation  $\circ$  in  $X/F$  as follow :  $Fx \circ Fy = F_{x*y}$ . Further we write  $Fx \leq Fy$  if and only if  $x*y \sim 0$ . Then  $(X/F; \circ, F_0)$  is a BCK-algebra.

## 2. Main results

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow X'$  be a homomorphism of BCK-algebras. If  $F'$  is a filter of  $X'$ , then  $f^{-1}(F')$  is a filter of  $X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in f^{-1}(F')$  and assume that  $x \leq y$ . Then  $f(x) \in F'$  and  $f(x) \leq f(y)$ . Since  $F'$  is a filter of  $X'$ , we have  $f(y) \in F'$ . It follows that  $f^{-1}(f(y)) \in f^{-1}(F')$ , and hence  $y \in f^{-1}(F')$ . Next, let  $x, y \in f^{-1}(F')$ . Then we have  $f(x), f(y) \in F'$ . Since  $F'$  is a filter and  $f$  is isotone, we have  $f(\text{glb}\{x, y\}) = \text{glb}\{f(x), f(y)\} \in F'$ . It follows that  $\text{glb}\{x, y\} \in f^{-1}(F')$ . This completes the proof.

**Theorem 2.2** Let  $f : X \rightarrow X'$  be an epimorphism of BCK-algebras. If  $F'$  and  $G'$  are distinct filters of  $X'$ , then  $f^{-1}(F')$  and  $f^{-1}(G')$  are also distinct filters of  $X$ .

*Proof.* It follows from Lemma 2.1 that  $f^{-1}(F')$  and  $f^{-1}(G')$  are filters of  $X$ . Note that  $f(f^{-1}(F')) = F'$  if  $f$  is surjective. Suppose that  $f^{-1}(F') = f^{-1}(G')$ . Then we obtain  $F' = f(f^{-1}(F')) = f(f^{-1}(G')) = G'$ , a contradiction. Hence  $f^{-1}(F')$  and  $f^{-1}(G')$  are distinct filters of  $X$ .

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $X$  be a BCK-algebra. We shall say that  $X$  satisfies the  $F$ -maximal condition if every non-empty set of filters of  $X$  has a maximal element.

**Definition 2.4.** A BCK-algebra is said to satisfy the  $F$ -ascending chain condition if each ascending chain of filters  $F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \dots \subset F_k \subset \dots$  terminates after a finite number of steps.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $X$  be a BCK-algebra. Then the followings are equivalent :

- (1)  $X$  satisfies the  $F$ -maximal condition.
- (2)  $X$  satisfies the  $F$ -ascending chain condition.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $X$  satisfies the  $F$ -maximal condition, and let  $F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \dots$  be an ascending sequence of filters of  $X$ . Then the set  $\{F_i : i = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  of filters has a maximal element  $F_n$ . Hence we have  $F_i = F_n$  for all  $i \geq n$ , that is,  $X$  satisfies the  $F$ -ascending

chain condition. Conversely, assume that  $X$  satisfies the  $F$ -ascending chain condition. Let  $\nabla$  be a non-empty set of filters of  $X$  and suppose that  $\nabla$  has no maximal element. Take  $F_1$  in  $\nabla$ . Since  $F_1$  is not maximal, there exists a filter  $F_2$  in  $\nabla$  such that  $F_1 \subset F_2$ . Repeating this argument we obtain an infinite ascending sequence  $F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \dots$  of filters, a contradiction. Therefore  $X$  satisfies the  $F$ -maximal condition.

**Theorem 2.6.** Let  $X$  be a bounded implicative BCK-algebra. If  $X$  satisfies the  $F$ -ascending chain condition, then every quotient algebra of  $X$  by filter satisfies the same  $F$ -ascending chain condition.

*Proof.* Let  $F$  be a filter of  $X$  and  $F'_1 \subset F'_2 \subset F'_3 \subset \dots$  an ascending sequence of filters of  $X/F$ . Since  $p : X \rightarrow X/F$  is the canonical epimorphism,  $\{p^{-1}(F'_i)\}$  is an ascending sequence of filters of  $X$ . Since  $X$  satisfies the  $F$ -ascending chain condition, there is a natural number  $m$  such that  $p^{-1}(F'_m) = p^{-1}(F'_i)$  for all  $i \geq m$ . The fact that  $p$  is the canonical epimorphism implies  $F'_i = F'_m$  for all  $i \geq m$ . Therefore  $X/F$  satisfies the  $F$ -ascending chain condition.

**Definition 2.7.** A filter  $F$  of a BCK-algebra  $X$  is said to be irreducible if  $F = G \cap H$  implies  $F = G$  or  $F = H$  for filters  $G, H$

**Proposition 2.8.** If  $F$  is a filter in a BCK-algebra  $X$ , and  $x$  is not contained in  $F$ , then there is an irreducible filter  $G$  such that  $F \subset G$  and  $x \notin G$

*Proof.* See [8, p. 6].

**Proposition 2.9.** Let  $F$  be a filter in a BCK-algebra  $X$ . If, for any  $x, y$  of  $X-F$ , there is an element  $z$  of  $X-F$  satisfying  $x \leq z$  and  $y \leq z$ , then  $F$  is irreducible.

*Proof.* See [8, p. 6].

**Theorem 2.10.** Let  $X$  be a BCK-algebra satisfying the  $F$ -ascending chain condition. Then every filter in  $X$  can be written as the intersection of a finite number of irreducible filters.

*Proof.* Let  $\nabla$  be the set of all filters of  $X$ , which cannot be written as the intersection of a finite number of irreducible filters. If the theorem is false then  $\nabla$  is not empty. Since  $X$  satisfies the F-ascending chain condition,  $\nabla$  has a maximal element, say  $F$ . Then  $F$  is not irreducible. Thus we have  $F = G \cap H$  for filters  $G$  and  $H$  in  $X$  such that  $F \subsetneq G$  and  $F \subsetneq H$ . However then  $G$  and  $H$  are not in  $\nabla$ . Hence  $G$  and  $H$  can both be written as the intersection of a finite number of irreducible filters, and the same is true for  $F$ , which is a contradiction. This completes the proof.

**Lemma 2.11** [1]. If  $F_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in I$ , is a totally ordered family of filters of a BCK-algebra  $X$  ordered by inclusion, then both  $\cup F_\alpha$  and  $\cap F_\alpha$  are filters of  $X$ .

**Theorem 2.12.** Let  $A$  be an ideal of a BCK-algebra  $X$ . If  $F$  is a filter containing  $A$ , then  $F$  contains a filter which contains  $A$  and has no smaller filter containing  $A$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\nabla_A$  be the set of all filters which contain  $A$  and are contained in  $F$ . The  $F \in \nabla_A$ , and so  $\nabla_A$  is not empty. We write  $F' \leq F''$  if  $F' \subset F''$  for all  $F', F'' \in \nabla_A$ . This gives a partial order on  $\nabla_A$ . We claim that  $\nabla_A$  is an inductive system. For this purpose, let  $\nabla_{A'}$  be a non-empty totally ordered subset of  $\nabla_A$ . By Lemma 2.11 the intersection of all filters of  $\nabla_{A'}$  is a filter, say  $G$ . This certainly contains  $A$  and is contained in  $F$ . Consequently  $G \in \nabla_A$ . Since  $G \subset F'$  for every  $F' \in \nabla_{A'}$ , we have  $F' \leq G$  for every  $F'$  in  $\nabla_{A'}$ . Thus  $G$  is an upper bound for  $\nabla_{A'}$ . Hence  $\nabla_A$  is an inductive system. Then by Zorn's Lemma  $\nabla_A$  has a maximal element, say  $F^*$ , and hence  $A \subset F^* \subset F$ . Suppose that  $F^{**}$  is a filter with  $A \subset F^{**} \subset F^*$ . Then  $F^{**} \in \nabla_A$  and  $F^* \leq F^{**}$ , and so  $F^* = F^{**}$  by the maximality of  $F^*$ . This completes the proof.

## References

1. E. Y. Deeba, Filter theory of BCK-algebras, Math. Japonica 25(1980), 631-639.
2. K. Iséki, An algebra related with a propositional calculus, Proc. Japan Acad., 42(1966), 26-29.

3. K. Iséki, Some properties of BCK-algebras, Math. Seminar Notes, 2(1976), 193-201.
4. \_\_\_\_\_, Remarks on BCK-algebras, Math. Seminar Notes, 3(1975), 45-54.
5. \_\_\_\_\_, On ideals in BCK-algebras, Math. Seminar Notes, 3(1975), 1-12.
6. K. Iséki and S. Tanaka, Ideal theory of BCK-algebras, Math Japonica, 21 (1976), 351-366.
7. \_\_\_\_\_, An introduction to the theory of BCK-algebras, Math. Japonica 23(1978), 1-26.
8. Y. B. Jun, Some remarks on BCK-algebras, J. of Gyeongsang Nat. Univ., 27(1) (1988), 1-7.

Gyeongsang National University  
Jinju 660-701, Korea