

A characterization of Banach spaces with the weak Radon-Nikodym property

by Sung Jin Cho

Pusan National Institute of Technology, Pusan, Korea

1. Introduction

In 1974 Huff, Davis, Phelps [2] proved the following theorem.

Theorem(Huff-Davis-Phelps) : A Banach space containing a bounded nondentable set is a Banach space without the Radon-Nikodym property.

In this paper, we will extend this result and obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 3.4 : A Banach space containing a bounded non-weak-norm-one dentable set is a Banach space without the weak Radon-Nikodym property.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper X is a Banach space with dual X^* . The closed unit ball in X will be denoted by B_X . $([0, 1], \Sigma, \mu)$ denote the Lebesgue measure space on $[0, 1]$.

Definition 2.1 : Let $T : L_1(\mu) \rightarrow X$ be a bounded linear operator. The operator T is said to be a Dunford-Pettis operator if T takes weakly compact sets into norm compact sets.

Definition 2.2 : Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a finite measure space and $T : L_1(\mu) \rightarrow X$ be a bounded linear operator. T is said to be Pettis representable if there exists a Pettis integrable function $g : \Omega \rightarrow X$ such that

$$x^*T(f) = \int_{\Omega} f x^*g \, d\mu$$

for every $f \in L_1(\mu)$ and for all $x^* \in X^*$. g is called the Pettis kernel of T . The following theorem is due to [5].

Theorem 2.3(Stegall) : Let $T : L_1[0, 1] \rightarrow X$ be Pettis representable. Then T is a Dunford-Pettis operator.

Definition 2.4 : Let Σ_0 be a sub σ -algebra of Σ and $f \in P(\mu, X)$. Suppose $g \in P(\mu, X)$ is such that g is weakly measurable with respect to Σ_0 and $(P) - \int_A g d\mu = (P) - \int_A f d\mu$ for all $A \in \Sigma_0$, then g is the Pettis conditional expectation of f with respect to Σ_0 , denoted $g = (P) - E(f | \Sigma_0)$. Also if $f, g \in L_1(\mu, X)$, with g strongly measurable with respect to Σ_0 and $\int_A g d\mu = \int_A f d\mu$ for all $A \in \Sigma_0$, then g is called the(Bochner) conditional expectation of f with respect to Σ_0 , usually denoted $g = E(f | \Sigma_0)$.

Definition 2.5 : For $g \in P(\mu, X)$ we define its Pettis norm

$$\|g\| = \sup\{ \int |x^*g| d\mu : x^* \in X^*, \|x^*\| \leq 1 \}.$$

A martingale (g_n) in $P(\mu, X)$ is called Pettis Cauchy if (g_n) is a Cauchy sequence for the Pettis norm.

The following theorem due to several authors allows the potential to use martingales to study Dunford-pettis operators on $L_1[0, 1]$ in much the same way that martingales are used to study representable operators on $L_1[0, 1]$.

Theorem 2.6 : An operator $T : L_1[0, 1] \rightarrow X$ is a Dunford-Pettis operator if and only if the martingale (ξ_n, Σ_n) associated with T is Cauchy in the Pettis norm ; i. e.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int |x^*\xi_n - x^*\xi_m| d\mu = 0, \quad x^* \in X^*.$$

Definition 2.7 : A subset D of a Banach space is not dentable if there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that, for each $x \in D$, $x \in \overline{\text{co}(D \setminus B_\epsilon(x))}$ where $B_\epsilon(x) = \{y : \|y - x\| < \epsilon\}$ and $\overline{\text{co}(D \setminus B_\epsilon(x))}$ is the closed convex hull of $(D \setminus B_\epsilon(x))$.

3. Weak-norm-one dentable sets and the weak Radon-Nikodym property

In this section, we get a characterization of Banach spaces with the weak Radon-Nikodym property.

Definition 3.1 : A subset D of a Banach space X is not weak-norm-one dentable if there is an $\epsilon > 0$ such that for each finite subset F of D , there is $x^*_F \in X^*$ with $\|x^*_F\| = 1$ with the property that $x \in F \Rightarrow x \in \overline{\text{co}(D \cap \{y \in X : |x^*_F(x - y)| \geq \epsilon\})}$. Naturally a non-weak-norm-one dentable set is not dentable, i.e., dentability implies weak-norm-one dentability.

The following Lemma is needed to our main theorem.

Lemma 3.2 : Let X be a Banach space containing a bounded non-weak-norm-one dentable set D . Choose $\epsilon > 0$ to satisfy the criterion of Definition 3.1. Then there exists a sequence of finite partitions π_n of $[0, 1]$ into half-open intervals and a sequence of (f_n) of finitely valued functions on $[0, 1]$ such that

(a) Each f_n has the form $f_n = \sum_{E \in \pi_n} x_E \chi_E$ where $x_E \in D$ for all $E \in \pi_n$.

(b) $\|f_n - f_{n+1}\| \geq \epsilon$ for all n .

(c) $\| \int_E (f_m - f_n) d\mu \| < \epsilon |\mu(E)|^{2^n}$ for all $E \in \pi_n$ and all $m \geq n$.

Proof : To construct (f_n) and (π_n) , choose \bar{x} arbitrarily in D and set $f_1 = \bar{x} \chi_{[0, 1]}$ and $\pi_1 = \{[0, 1]\}$. Suppose π_n and $f_n = \sum_{E \in \pi_n} x_E \chi_E$ have been defined with $x_E \in D$ for all $E \in \pi_n$ and with each $E \in \pi_n$ a half-open interval. Choose k and $0 = c_0 < c_1 < \dots < c_k = 1$ (depending on n) so that $\pi_n = \{[c_{j-1}, c_j]\} : 1 \leq j \leq k$. Fix j , set $E (= E_j) = [c_{j-1}, c_j)$ and let x_E be the value of f_n on E . Now by assumption we may choose elements x_1, \dots, x_m in D and positive numbers $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ with $|x^*(x_1) - x^*(x_E)| \geq \epsilon$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$ and for some $x^* \in X^*$ with $\|x^*\| =$

1 and $\|x_E - \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i x_i\| < \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}$. Set $d_0 = c_{j-1}$ and define d_1, \dots, d_m successively by $d_i - d_{i-1} = \lambda_i (c_j - c_{j-1})$. It follows that $c_{j-1} = d_0 < d_1 < \dots < d_m = c_j$. Now $\pi_{n+1} = \{[d_{i-1}, d_i] : 1 \leq i \leq m\}$. Again fixing j , define f_{n+1} on E by $f_{n+1} \chi_E = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i \chi_{[d_{i-1}, d_i]}$. Do this for every $E \in \pi_n$ and note that $\|f_n - f_{n+1}\| \geq \epsilon$. Indeed, for each $E \in \pi_n$ and for all $x^* \in X^*$ with $\|x^*\| \leq 1$, $\int_E |x^* f_n(t) - x^* f_{n+1}(t)| d\mu(t) = \sum_{i=1}^m \int_E |x^*(x_E) - x^*(x_i)| \chi_{[d_{i-1}, d_i]}(t) d\mu(t) = \sum_{i=1}^m |x^*(x_E) - x^*(x_i)| \mu(E \cap [d_{i-1}, d_i])$.

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_n - f_{n+1}\| &= \sup_{\|x^*\| \leq 1} \int_{\{0,1\}} |x^* f_n - x^* f_{n+1}| d\mu = \sup_{\|x^*\| \leq 1} \sum_{i=1}^k \int_E |x^* f_n - x^* f_{n+1}| d\mu \\ &= \sup_{\|x^*\| \leq 1} \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^m |x^*(x_E) - x^*(x_i)| \mu(E_j \cap [d_{i-1}, d_i]) \\ &\geq \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^m |x^*_F(x_E) - x^*_F(x_i)| \mu(E_j \cap [d_{i-1}, d_i]) \text{ where } F = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\} \geq \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^m \epsilon \mu(E_j \cap [d_{i-1}, d_i]) = \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This establishes statement (b). Furthermore note that

$$\|\int_E (f_n - f_{n+1}) d\mu\| = \|x_E - \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i x_i\| \mu(E) < \frac{\mu(E)}{2^{n+1}}$$

This establishes statement (c) and completes the proof.

We need the following Theorem to our main theorem.

Theorem 3.3 : *Let X be a Banach space containing a bounded non-weak-norm-one dentable set D . Then there is a non-Pettis Cauchy martingale with values in D .*

Proof : By the above Lemma(c), we see that $F(E) = \lim_n \int_E f_n d\mu$ exists for all

$$E \in \bigcup_n \pi_n. \text{ Write } g_n = \sum_{E \in \pi_n} \frac{F(E)}{\mu(E)} \chi_E(0/0).$$

If Σ_n be the σ -algebra generated by π_n , then (g_n, Σ_n) is a martingale in $L_1([0, 1], X)$. Moreover since D is bounded (g_n, Σ_n) is a uniformly bounded martingale. Now for each $x^* \in X^*$ with $\|x^*\| \leq 1$, $\int_{\{0,1\}} |x^* f_n - x^* g_n| d\mu = \sum_{E \in \pi_n} |x^*(x_E) \mu(E) - x^* F(E)| \leq \sum_{E \in \pi_n} \|x_E \mu(E) - F(E)\| = \lim_m \sum_{E \in \pi_n} \|\int (f_n - f_m) d\mu\| \leq \sum_{E \in \pi_n} \frac{\mu(E)}{2^n} = \frac{1}{2^n}$.

Thus $\|f_n - g_n\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ i.e. $(f_n - g_n)$ is a Pettis Cauchy sequence in $L_1([0, 1], X)$. Glancing at (b), we see that (f_n) is not Pettis Cauchy.

Thus (g_n) is not Pettis Cauchy and completes the proof.

The following theorem is the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 3.4 : *A Banach space X containing a bounded non-weak-norm-one dentable set is a Banach space without the weak Radon-Nikodym property.*

Proof : Let (g_n) be the martingale in the above theorem, and let $T : L_1[0, 1] \rightarrow X$ be the associated bounded linear operator. Then by the theorem 2.6. T is not Dunford-Pettis and therefore T is not Pettis representable by the theorem 2.3. Hence X does not have the weak Radon-Nikodym property. This completes the proof.

References

1. J. Bourgain, *Martingales in conjugate Banach spaces* (unpublished).
2. J. Diestel and J.J. Uhl, Jr., *Vector Measures*, Math surveys 15, *Amer. Math. Soc.* Providence (1977).
3. N. Dunford and J.T. Schwartz, *Linear Operators, Part I*, Interscience, New York, 1958.
4. L.H. Riddle, Weak Radon-Nikodym sets in dual Banach spaces, *PH.D. Thesis, University of Illinois*, 1982.
5. J.J. Uhl, Jr., *Pettis Representability* (unpublished).
6. Walter Schachermayer, *Operators from L_1 to Banach spaces and Subsets of L_∞* (reprint).