

## A GENERALIZATION OF PRIME IDEALS IN SEMIGROUPS

HYEKYUNG KIM

In [3], Murata and his coauthors defined  $f$ -prime ideals in rings and obtained analogous results of Van der Walt [4]. In this paper,  $f$ -prime ideals in semigroups are defined and obtained results similar to those in [3]. One found that the  $f$ -radical of an ideal  $A$  of a semigroup defined by the author is the intersection of all  $f$ -prime ideals containing  $A$ . Under the left regularity assumption, the radical of an ideal  $A$  turns out to be the  $f$ -radical of  $A$ . Moreover, the properties of primary ideals in semigroups [1] such as the uniqueness of decomposition theorem by Laske-Noether could be extended for  $f$ -primary ideals.

### 1. $f$ -prime ideals and the $f$ -radical of an ideal

Throughout,  $S$  will denote a semigroup and  $F$  will denote the set of all functions  $f$  from  $S$  into the set of all ideals in  $S$  such that, for each  $s$  in  $S$ ,

$$(1) s \in f(s),$$

$$(2) x \in f(s) \text{ implies } f(x) \subset f(s),$$

$$(3) x \in f(s) \cup A \text{ implies } f(x) \subset f(s) \cup A \text{ for each ideal } A \text{ of } S.$$

It is clear that the function  $f$  defined by  $f(s) = (s)$ , the principal ideal generated by  $s$ , is in  $F$ . For a fixed ideal  $B$  of  $S$ , the function defined by  $f(s) = (s) \cup B$  is also in  $F$ .

DEFINITION. A subset  $Q$  of  $S$  is called a  $p$ -system iff  $(a)(b) \cap Q \neq \emptyset$  for any  $a, b$  in  $Q$ .  $Q$  is said to be an  $sp$ -system iff  $(a)^2 \cap Q \neq \emptyset$  for each  $a$  in  $Q$ .

It is evident that every subsemigroup of  $S$  is a  $p$ -system and every  $p$ -system is an  $sp$ -system. Let  $S = \{a, b, c, d\}$  be the semigroup with the

following multiplication table:

|     | $a$ | $b$ | $c$ | $d$ |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $b$ | $a$ | $b$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $c$ | $a$ | $a$ | $c$ | $a$ |
| $d$ | $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $d$ |

As is easily seen,  $\{a, b\}$  is a  $p$ -system and  $\{b, c, d\}$  is an  $sp$ -system which is not a  $p$ -system.

DEFINITION. For  $f \in F$ , a subset  $Q$  of  $S$  is called an  $f$ -system [ $sf$ -system] iff it contains a  $p$ -system [ $sp$ -system]  $Q^*$  such that  $Q^* \cap f(q) \neq \emptyset$  for each  $q$  in  $Q$ . In each case,  $Q^*$  will be called a kernel of  $Q$ .

A proper ideal  $P$  in  $S$  is called  $f$ -prime [ $f$ -semiprime] iff its complement  $P^c$  is an  $f$ -system [ $sf$ -system].

It is clear that every  $f$ -prime ideal is  $f$ -semiprime.

A proper ideal  $P$  of  $S$  is completely prime iff  $xy \in P$  for some  $x, y$  in  $S$  implies  $x \in P$  or  $y \in P$ . A proper ideal  $P$  of  $S$  is prime if  $XY \subset P$  where  $X$  and  $Y$  are ideals of  $S$  implies  $X \subset P$  or  $Y \subset P$ .

In a commutative semigroup with identity, every prime ideal is completely prime. Every completely prime ideal in  $S$  is  $f$ -prime, but the converse is not true.

EXAMPLE (1) Let  $N$  be the semigroup of positive integers with the usual product. Consider a function  $f$  from  $N$  into the set of all ideals in  $N$  which is defined by  $f(n) = 3N \cup nN$ . It is clear that  $f$  is contained in  $F$ . Let  $P = 4N$  and  $Q^* = 3N - 6N$ . Then  $Q^* \subset P^c$  and for any  $q_1, q_2$  in  $Q^*$ ,  $(q_1)(q_2) \cap Q^* \neq \emptyset$  which proves that  $Q^*$  is a  $p$ -system. Since  $f(q) \cap Q^* \neq \emptyset$  for any  $q \in P^c$ , the ideal  $P$  is  $f$ -prime. But  $P$  is not prime. In this case, every prime ideal is  $f$ -prime.

(2) Let  $T = \{(x, y) \mid 0 \leq x \leq 1, 0 \leq y \leq 1, x + y \leq 1\}$  be a triangle semigroup under  $(x, y)(x', y') = (xx', xy' + y)$ . Consider a function  $f$  from  $T$  into the set of all ideals in  $T$  defined by  $f((x, y)) = ((x, y)) \cup ((\frac{1}{2}, 0))$ . Then  $f \in F$ . Since  $(1, 0)$  is a unit and  $(x, y)T \subset T(x, y)$ ,  $((x, y)) =$

$T(x, y)$ . Let  $P = T\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}\right)$ . Take  $Q^* = \{(x, 0) \mid 0 < x \leq 1\} \subseteq P^c$ , it is clearly that  $Q^*$  is a  $p$ -system. Since  $f(a) \cap Q^* \neq \phi$  for any  $a \in P^c$ ,  $P$  is  $f$ -prime but not prime. For,  $\left(\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)\right) = T\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \notin P$  and  $\left(\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}\right)\right) = T\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \notin P$ . Since  $(x, y) T \subset T(x, y)$ ,  $T\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) T\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \subset TT\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \subset T\left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{3}{4}\right) \subset P$ .

**PROPOSITION 1.1.** *For any  $f$ -prime [ $f$ -semiprime] ideal  $P$  of  $S$ ,  $f(a_1) f(a_2) \subset P$  implies  $a_1 \in P$  or  $a_2 \in P$  [ $f(a)^2 \subset P$  implies  $a \in P$ ].*

*Proof.* Suppose  $a_i \in P^c (i=1, 2)$ . Since  $P^c$  is an  $f$ -system, there exists a  $p$ -system  $Q^* \subset P^c$  such that  $f(a_i) \cap Q^* \neq \phi (i=1, 2)$ . Let  $x_1 \in f(a_1) \cap Q^*$  and  $x_2 \in f(a_2) \cap Q^*$ . Then  $(x_1)(x_2) \cap Q^* \neq \phi$  and hence  $f(x_1)f(x_2) \cap Q^* \neq \phi$  which is a contradiction. The proof of the other half could be done similarly.

It is clear that the union of prime ideals in  $S$  is prime. However, the (finite) union of  $f$ -prime ideals in  $S$  need not be  $f$ -prime. In Example (1), let  $P_1 = 3N$  and  $P_2 = 4N \cup 6N$ . Then  $f(2)f(2) \subset P_1 \cup P_2 = 3N \cup 4N$  and  $2 \notin P_1 \cup P_2$ . Then by Proposition 1.1,  $P_1 \cup P_2$  is not  $f$ -prime.

Let  $A$  be any ideal of  $S$ . Then the ideal  $\bigcup_{a \in A} f(a)$  is denoted by  $f(A)$ . Clearly  $A \subset f(A)$  and  $f(A) \subset f(B)$  if  $A \subset B$ . Moreover,  $f(a) = f((a))$  since  $x \in (a) \subset f(a)$  implies  $\bigcup_{x \in (a)} f(x) \subset f(a)$ . In general,  $f(A) \neq A$ . But if  $f(a) = (a)$ , then  $f(A) = A$ .

**PROPOSITION 1.2.** *Let  $P$  be an  $f$ -prime [ $f$ -semiprime] ideal of  $S$ . T. A. E.*

- (i)  $f(a) f(b) \subset P$  implies  $a \in P$  or  $b \in P$  [ $f(a)^2 \subset P$  implies  $a \in P$ ]
- (ii)  $f(A) f(B) \subset P$  implies  $f(A) \subset P$  or  $f(B) \subset P$ , for any ideals  $A, B$  of  $S$  [ $f(A)^2 \subset P$  implies  $f(A) \subset P$ ].

*Proof.* Obviously (ii) implies (i). Let  $a, b$  in  $P^c$ , then  $f(a) \cap P^c \neq \phi$  and  $f(b) \cap P^c \neq \phi$ . Since  $f(a) = f((a))$ ,  $f((a)) \cap P^c \neq \phi$  and  $f((b)) \cap P^c \neq \phi$ . Thus  $f((a))f((b)) \cap P^c \neq \phi$  implies  $f(a)f(b) \cap P^c \neq \phi$ . The proof of the other half is similar.

**DEFINITION.** A subset  $A$  of  $S$  is called *semiprime* iff for  $a \in S$ ,  $a^2 \in A$

implies  $a \in A$ .

**COROLLARY 1.3.** *If  $f(a) = (a)$  for each  $a$  in  $S$ , then prime and  $f$ -prime are synonyms. Moreover, under the same condition, semiprime and  $f$ -semiprime are synonyms whenever  $S$  is commutative.*

**DEFINITION.** Let  $A$  be an ideal of  $S$ . Then  $r_f(A) = \{x \mid Q \cap A \neq \phi \text{ for each } f\text{-system } Q \text{ containing } x\}$ ,  $r_{sf}(A) = \{x \mid Q \cap A \neq \phi \text{ for each } sf\text{-system } Q \text{ containing } x\}$  will be called the  $f$ -radical and  $sf$ -radical of  $A$  respectively.

**THEOREM 1.4.** *Let  $A$  be an ideal of  $S$ . Then  $r_f(A)$  [ $r_{sf}(A)$ ] is the intersection of all  $f$ -prime [ $f$ -semiprime] ideals of  $S$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $C$  be the intersection of all  $f$ -prime ideals containing  $A$ . It is clear that  $r_f(A) \subset C$ . Conversely, if  $x \notin r_f(A)$ , then there exists an  $f$ -system  $Q$  such that  $x \in Q$  and  $Q \cap A = \phi$ . Let  $P$  be the union of all ideals  $B$  such that  $A \subset B$  and  $B \cap Q = \phi$  and let  $Q^*$  be a kernel of  $Q$ . Then  $Q^* \subset P^c$ . For any element  $a$  in  $P^c$ ,  $A \subset f(a) \cup P$  and  $P$  is maximal with respect to the properties  $A \subset P$  and  $P \cap Q = \phi$ . Since  $P \subsetneq f(a) \cup P$ ,  $(f(a) \cup P) \cap Q \neq \phi$ . Thus  $f(a) \cap Q \neq \phi$  and there exists  $q$  in  $Q$  such that  $q \in f(a)$ . By a property of  $f$ ,  $f(q) \subset f(a)$ . Since  $Q$  is an  $f$ -system,  $f(q) \cap Q^* \neq \phi$ . It follows that  $f(a) \cap Q^* \neq \phi$  and  $P^c$  is an  $f$ -system with the kernel  $Q^*$ . Hence  $P$  is  $f$ -prime and  $x \notin P$ , i. e.,  $C \subset r_f(A)$ .

For any ideal  $A$  of  $S$ , we denote

$$\bar{A} = \{x \in S \mid f(x)^n \subset A \text{ for some positive integer } n\}$$

$$A' = \{x \in S \mid x^n \in A \text{ for some positive integer } n\}.$$

Let  $x \in \bar{A}$ . Then  $f(x)^n \subset A \subset r_f(A)$  for some  $n$ . Hence  $x \in r_f(A)$  by Proposition 1.1. Thus  $\bar{A} \subset r_f(A)$ . Let  $x \in S$  and  $x^n \notin A$  for all  $n$ . Then  $\{x, x^2, \dots, x^n, \dots\}$  is an  $f$ -system of  $S$  and  $\{x, x^2, \dots\} \cap A = \phi$ . Hence  $x \notin r_f(A)$  and  $r_f(A) \subset A'$ . Therefore,  $\bar{A} \subset r_f(A) \subset A'$ .

**THEOREM 1.5.** *Let  $A$  be an ideal of a left regular semigroup  $S$ . Then  $r_f(A) = A'$  for any  $f \in F$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $x \notin r_f(A)$ . It is well known that  $S$  is left regular iff every left ideal of  $S$  is semiprime [5]. Hence  $A$  is semiprime. It follows that for each positive integer  $n$ ,  $x^n \in A$  implies  $x \in A$ . Therefore  $x \notin A$  implies  $x^n \notin A$  for each  $n$ . Hence  $x \notin A'$ .

Let  $Q^*$  be a  $p$ -system such that  $Q^* \cap A = \phi$ . Let  $C$  be the collection of all  $p$ -systems which contain  $Q^*$  and do not meet  $A$ . Since  $Q^* \in C$ ,  $C$  is nonempty. It is clear that the union of a chain in  $C$  is in  $C$ , and hence  $C$  has a maximal element  $M^*$ . Let  $M = \{x \in S \mid f(x) \cap M^* \neq \phi\} \cap A^c$ . Then  $M$  is an  $f$ -system with the kernel  $M^*$  and  $M \cap A = \phi$ . As is seen in the proof of Theorem 1.4, there exists an  $f$ -prime ideal  $P$  such that  $A \subset P$  and  $P \cap M = \phi$ . Since  $P^c$  is an  $f$ -system with the kernel  $M^*$ ,  $P^c = M$ .

DEFINITION. An  $f$ -prime ideal  $P$  is called a minimal  $f$ -prime ideal belonging to an ideal  $A$  iff  $P$  contains  $A$  and there exists a kernel  $Q^*$  for the  $f$ -system  $P^c$  such that  $Q^*$  is a maximal  $p$ -system which does not meet  $A$ .

It is clear that any  $f$ -prime ideal  $P$  containing  $A$  contains a minimal  $f$ -prime ideal belonging to  $A$  and the  $f$ -radical of an ideal  $A$  coincides with the intersection of all minimal  $f$ -prime ideals belonging to  $A$ .

In general, an arbitrary intersection of  $f$ -prime ideals of  $S$  may not be  $f$ -prime. However, an arbitrary intersection of  $f$ -semiprime ideals of  $S$  is  $f$ -semiprime. It follows that an arbitrary intersection of  $f$ -prime ideals of  $S$  is  $f$ -semiprime, and an ideal  $A$  in  $S$  is  $f$ -semiprime iff  $r_f(A) = A$ .

### 2. $f$ -primary ideals

DEFINITION. An element  $a$  is (*right*)  $f$ -related to an ideal  $A$  of  $S$  iff for each  $b \in f(a)$ , there exists an element  $c \notin A$  such that  $cb \in A$ . An ideal  $B$  is (*right*)  $f$ -related to an ideal  $A$  of  $S$  iff every element of  $B$  is  $f$ -related to  $A$ .

LEMMA 2.1. Let  $A$  be an ideal of  $S$  and let  $K$  be the set of all elements of  $S$  which are not  $f$ -related to  $A$ . Then  $K$  is an  $f$ -system.

*Proof.* Let  $q$  be an element of  $K$ . Then there exists  $b$  in  $f(q)$  such that  $cb \notin A$  for every element  $c \notin A$ . Let  $K^*$  be the set of all such  $b$ . Then  $K^*$  is a  $p$ -system and  $f(q) \cap K^* \neq \phi$ . Hence  $K$  is an  $f$ -system with the kernel  $K^*$ .

In Example (1), let  $A = 4N$  and  $f(a) = aN \cup 3N$  for any  $a \in S$ . Then  $3 \in f(a)$  and  $3(4n+i) \notin A$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . It follows that for any  $c \notin A$ ,  $3c \notin A$ . Hence  $A$  is not  $f$ -related to  $A$ . However, each element of a proper ideal  $A$  is  $f$ -related to  $A$  if  $f$  is defined to be  $f(a) = (a)$  for each

$a$  in  $S$ .

For the rest of this section, we assume that

( $\alpha$ ) Every ideal  $A$  of  $S$  is  $f$ -related to  $A$

PROPOSITION 2.2. *The  $f$ -radical  $r_f(A)$  of an ideal of  $S$  is  $f$ -related to  $A$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $K$  be the set of all elements of  $S$  which are not  $f$ -related to  $A$ . Suppose  $x \in r_f(A)$  and  $x$  is not  $f$ -related to  $A$ . Then by Lemma 2.1,  $K$  is an  $f$ -system containing  $x$ . It follows that  $K \cap A \neq \phi$ , which contradicts the assumption ( $\alpha$ ).

Let  $K$  be the set of all elements of  $S$  which are not  $f$ -related to  $A$ . Then  $K$  is an  $f$ -system and  $K \cap A = \phi$  by Lemma 2.1 and the assumption ( $\alpha$ ). Let  $P$  be the union of all ideals which are  $f$ -related to  $A$  and do not meet  $K$ . As the proof of Theorem 1.4,  $P$  becomes  $f$ -prime. This unique maximal ideal  $P$  will be called the maximal  $f$ -prime ideal belonging to  $A$ . By the assumption ( $\alpha$ ),  $P$  contains  $A$ . Since an element  $x$  is  $f$ -related to an ideal  $A$  iff  $f(x)$  is  $f$ -related to  $A$ , every element  $f$ -related to  $A$  is contained in  $P$ .

For ideals  $A$  and  $B$  of  $S$  and  $x \in S$ , we adopt the notation  $A : x = \{y \in S \mid f(y)f(x) \subset A\}$  and  $A : B = \bigcap \{A : x \mid x \in B\}$

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Let  $A$  be an ideal of  $S$  and  $b \in S$ . If  $A : b \neq \phi$ , then  $A : b$  is an ideal containing  $A$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in A : b$  and  $s \in S$ . Then  $x \in f(x)$  and  $xs \in f(x)$ . It follows that  $f(xs) \subset f(x)$  and  $f(xs)f(b) \subset f(x)f(b) \subset A$ . Thus  $xs \in A : b$ . Similarly,  $sx \in A : b$ . Let  $a \in A$  and  $x \in A : b$ . Then  $xa \in A : b \cap A$ , and  $f(xa)f(b) \subset A$ . For any  $a' \in A$ ,  $f(a') \subset f(xa) \cup A$  since  $a' \in f(xa) \cup A$ . Then  $f(a')f(b) \subset (f(xa) \cup A)f(b) = f(xa)f(b) \cup Af(b) \subset A$ , and hence  $a' \in A : b$ .

Let  $P$  be the maximal  $f$ -prime ideal belonging to an ideal  $A$  of  $S$  and let

$$A_p = \begin{cases} \bigcup_{s \notin P} (A : s) & \text{if } P \neq S \\ A & \text{if } P = S. \end{cases}$$

If  $f(a) = (a)$ , for any  $a$  of  $S$ , then  $A_p \neq \phi$  since  $A \subset A : s$  for any

$s \in A$ . In Example (1), let  $A = 4N$  and  $P = 2N$ . Then for any  $s \in S$ ,  $9N \subset f(x)f(s)$ . It follows that  $A : s = \{x \in S \mid f(x)f(s) \subset 4N\} = \phi$ , and hence  $A_p = \phi$  whenever  $P \neq S$ .

For the rest of this section, we will also assume that

( $\beta$ ) For any ideals  $A$  and  $B$  with  $B \not\subset r_f(A)$ ,  $A : B \neq \phi$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.4.** *Let  $P$  be the maximal  $f$ -prime ideal belonging to an ideal  $A$  of  $S$ . Then  $A = A_p$ .*

*Proof.* By the assumption ( $\beta$ ),  $A_p \neq \phi$ . For any element  $x$  in  $A_p$ , there exists  $s \in P^c$  such that  $f(x)f(s) \subset A$ . Since  $s$  is not  $f$ -related to  $A$ , there exists  $s' \in f(s)$  such that  $cs' \in A$  implies  $c \in A$ . Then  $xs' \in A$ , and hence  $x \in A$ . Therefore  $A = A_p$ .

**DEFINITION.** Let  $K$  be an  $f$ -system in  $S$ . A kernel  $K^*$  of  $K$  is said to be dense in  $K$  iff  $K^* \cap A \neq \phi$  for any ideal  $A$  in  $S$  with  $K \cap A \neq \phi$ .

If  $f(a) = (a)$  for any  $a$  in  $S$ , then every kernel  $K^*$  of an  $f$ -system  $K$  is dense in  $K$ . However, in Example (1), since  $P = 4N$  is  $f$ -prime,  $P^c$  is an  $f$ -system with the kernel  $K^* = 3N - 6N$ . Then  $K^* \cap 6N = \phi$  while  $P^c \cap 6N \neq \phi$ , and hence  $K^*$  is not dense in  $P^c$ .

**DEFINITION.** An ideal  $A$  of  $S$  is (right)  $f$ -primary iff  $f(a)f(b) \subset A$  implies  $a \in A$  or  $b \in r_f(A)$ .

Every  $f$ -prime ideal must be  $f$ -primary by Proposition 1.1.

**PROPOSITION 2.5.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be ideals of  $S$ . Then*

- (1)  $A \subset B$  implies  $r_f(A) \subset r_f(B)$
- (2)  $r_f(r_f(A)) = r_f(A)$
- (3)  $r_f(AB) = r_f(A \cap B) = r_f(A) \cap r_f(B)$  if every  $f$ -system in  $S$  has a dense kernel.

*Proof.* Clearly (1) and (2) hold. Now  $r_f(AB) \subset r_f(A \cap B) \subset r_f(A) \cap r_f(B)$  by (1). Let  $x \in r_f(A) \cap r_f(B)$  and let  $K$  be any  $f$ -system containing  $x$ . Then  $K \cap A \neq \phi$  and  $K \cap B \neq \phi$ . Since  $K$  has the dense kernel  $K^*$ ,  $K^* \cap A \neq \phi$  and  $K^* \cap B \neq \phi$ . Let  $a \in K^* \cap A$ ,  $b \in K^* \cap B$ . Then  $(a)(b) \cap K^* \neq \phi$ . Since  $(a)(b) \subset AB$ ,  $AB \cap K^* \neq \phi$  and hence  $AB \cap K \neq \phi$ , which means  $x \in r_f(AB)$ .

**COROLLARY 2.6.** *Assume that every  $f$ -system in  $S$  has a dense kernel.*

Let  $Q$  and  $T$  be  $f$ -primary ideals such that  $r_f(Q) = r_f(T)$ . Then  $Q \cap T$  is an  $f$ -primary ideal and  $r_f(Q \cap T) = r_f(Q) = r_f(T)$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.7.** *An ideal  $A$  is  $f$ -primary iff  $A : B = A$  for every ideal  $B \not\subset r_f(A)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $A$  is  $f$ -primary and  $B$  is an ideal such that  $B \not\subset r_f(A)$ . By the assumption  $(\beta)$ ,  $A : B \neq \phi$  implies  $A \subset A : B$ . Let  $b \in B$  and  $b \notin r_f(A)$ . For each element  $x \in A : B$ ,  $x \in A$  since  $A$  is  $f$ -primary. Hence  $A : B \subset A$  implies  $A : B = A$ . Conversely, suppose  $f(a)f(b) \subset A$  and  $b \notin r_f(A)$ . Then  $f(b) \not\subset r_f(A)$ . Hence  $A : f(b) = A$  implies  $f(a)f(b') \subset f(a)f(b) \subset A$ , for every  $b' \in f(b)$ . Therefore  $a \in \bigcap \{A : b' \mid b' \in f(a)\} = A : f(b) = A$ .

**DEFINITION.** If an ideal  $A$  can be written as  $A = A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \dots \cap A_n$ , where  $A_i$  is an  $f$ -primary ideal for each  $i$ , it is called an  $f$ -primary decomposition of  $A$ . Every  $A_i$  is called an  $f$ -primary component of  $A$ .

A decomposition is called irredundant iff  $\bigcap_{i \neq j} A_j \not\subset A_i$  for each  $i$ .

An irredundant  $f$ -primary decomposition is said to be reduced iff  $r_f(A_i) \neq r_f(A_j)$  ( $i \neq j$ ).

If an ideal  $A$  of  $S$  has an  $f$ -primary decomposition and if every  $f$ -system in  $S$  has a dense kernel, then  $A$  has a reduced  $f$ -primary decomposition by Corollary 2.6.

In the rest of this section, we assume the following:

$(\gamma)$   $A : A = S$  for any  $f$ -primary ideal  $A$ .

In Example (1), let  $A = 4N$ . Since  $9N \subset f(x)f(a) \not\subset A$  for  $a \in A$  and  $x \in S$ ,  $A : A = \phi$ . Thus the assumption  $(\gamma)$  is essential. However,  $(\gamma)$  holds if  $f(a) = (a)$  for every  $a$  in  $S$ .

**THEOREM 2.8.** *Let  $A = A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \dots \cap A_n = A'_1 \cap A'_2 \cap \dots \cap A'_m$  be two reduced  $f$ -primary decompositions of  $A$ . Then  $n = m$  and it is possible to renumber the  $f$ -primary components in such a way that  $r_f(A_i) = r_f(A'_i)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n = m$ .*

*Proof.* Using Proposition 2.5, Proposition 2.7 and Corollary 2.6, the proof follows as in Theorem 3.7 of [3].

### 3. $f$ -primary semigroups

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** *Let  $A$  be an ideal of a semigroup  $S$  with identity 1.*



If  $r_f(A) = S - H(1)$ , then  $A$  is  $f$ -primary. Where  $H(1)$  is the maximal subgroup containing 1.

*Proof.* Let  $f(x)f(y) \subset A$  and  $x \notin A$ . Suppose  $y \notin r_f(A)$ . Then  $f(y) \not\subset r_f(A) = S - H(1)$ , and hence  $f(y) = S$ . Then  $f(x)f(y) = f(x)S = f(x) \subset A$  and  $x \in A$  which is a contradiction. Thus  $A$  is  $f$ -primary.

PROPOSITION 3.2. Let  $S$  be a semigroup with identity 1 and let every  $f$ -system in  $S$  has a dense kernel. Then for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $M^n$  is  $f$ -primary, where  $M = S - H(1)$ .

*Proof.* By Proposition 2.5 (3),  $r_f(M^n) = r_f(M) \cap \dots \cap r_f(M) = M \cap \dots \cap M$ . Hence  $M^n$  is  $f$ -primary by Proposition 3.1.

DEFINITION. A semigroup  $S$  is called  $f$ -primary iff every ideal of  $S$  is  $f$ -primary.

THEOREM 3.3. Let  $S$  be a semigroup with identity 1. If  $S$  has no  $f$ -prime ideal except  $S - H(1)$ , then  $S$  is an  $f$ -primary semigroup. The converse is not true as is shown in [2].

*Proof.* Let  $A$  be a proper (nonzero) ideal. Then  $r_f(A) = S - H(1)$ . By Proposition 3.1,  $A$  is  $f$ -primary.

THEOREM 3.4. Let  $S$  be a left regular semigroup. If the set of all  $f$ -prime ideals of  $S$  is linearly ordered, then  $S$  is  $f$ -primary.

*Proof.* Let  $A$  be an ideal of  $S$  and let  $f(x)f(y) \subset A$ . If  $x \notin A$ ,  $x^n \notin A$  for each positive integer  $n$  by the left regularity of  $S$ . Then  $x \notin r_f(A)$  by Theorem 1.5. Since  $f$ -prime ideals are linearly ordered,  $r_f(A)$  is  $f$ -prime. Now, since  $f(x)f(y) \subset r_f(A)$ ,  $y \in r_f(A)$  by Proposition 1.1.

## References

1. A. Anjaneyulu, *primary ideals in semigroups*, Semigroup Forum Vol. **20** (1980) 129-144.
2. M. Satyanarayana, *Commutative primary semigroups*, Czechoslovak Math. Vol. **22** (1972) 509-516.
3. Murata K., Kurata Y., and Marubayashi H., *A generalization of prime ideals in rings*, Osaka J. Math. **6**(1969) 291-301.
4. A.P.J. Van der Walt, *Contributions to ideal theory in general rings*, Proc.

- Kon. Ned. Akad. Wetensch. **A67**(1964) 68-77.
5. Clifford and Preston, *Algebraic theory of semigroups I. II*, American Mathematical Society.

Kyungpook National University  
Daegu 635, Korea