

FUNCTIONS IN THE BANACH ALGEBRA $S(\nu)$

K. S. CHANG*, G. W. JOHNSON AND D. L. SKOUG

1. Introduction and Preliminaries.

Let ν be a positive integer and let $C_0^\nu[0, t]$ denote ν -dimensional Wiener space, that is the space of \mathbf{R}^ν -valued continuous functions $\bar{X} = (x_1, \dots, x_\nu)$ on $[0, t]$ such that $\bar{X}(0) = \vec{0}$. In case $\nu=1$ we suppress the ν and simply write $x \in C_0[0, t]$. In [2] Cameron and Storvick introduced a Banach algebra $S(\nu)$ of (equivalence classes of) functions on Wiener space which are a type of stochastic Fourier transform of finite Borel measures. They showed [2, Theorem 5.1] that the analytic Feynman integral exists for all elements of $S(\nu)$. In this paper we establish a general theorem insuring that various functions $f : C_0^\nu[0, t] \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ belong to $S(\nu)$. We then give several corollaries which contain, with the exception of some results in [6, 16, 19] on quadratic potentials, all of the results that we know of to date which insure that various functions of interest in connection with the Feynman integral and quantum mechanics are in $S(\nu)$ for some ν . The results of this paper combined with the results in [6, 16, 19] show that $S(\nu)$ contains a broad class of functions.

Next we give the definition of $S(\nu)$. Let m^ν denote ν -dimensional Wiener measure. A subset A of $C_0^\nu[0, t]$ is said to be scale-invariant measurable [14] provided ρA is Wiener measurable for every $\rho > 0$, and a scale invariant measurable set N is said to be scale-invariant null provided $m^\nu(\rho N) = 0$ for every $\rho > 0$. A property that holds except on a scale-invariant null set is said to hold scale-invariant almost everywhere (s-a. e.). Let $M(L_2^\nu[0, t])$ denote the collection of complex-valued countably additive measures on $\beta(L_2^\nu[0, t])$, the Borel class of $L_2^\nu[0, t]$.

Received Feb. 17, 1987.

*Research supported in part by a grant from the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation.

$M(L_2^v)$ is a Banach algebra under the total variation norm where convolution is taken as the multiplication.

Let σ be in $M(L_2^v)$. Consider the function $\tilde{\sigma}$ defined for s-a. e.

$\vec{X}=(x_1, \dots, x_v)$ in $C_2^v[0, t]$ by the formula

$$(1.1) \quad \tilde{\sigma}(\vec{X}) = \int_{L_2^v[0, t]} \exp \left\{ i \sum_{j=1}^v \int_0^t v_j(s) \tilde{d}x_j(s) \right\} d\sigma(\vec{V})$$

where $\vec{V}=(v_1, \dots, v_n)$ and where $\int_0^t v_j(s) \tilde{d}x_j(s)$ denotes the

Paley-Wiener-Zygmund stochastic integral [6, or 16]. The elements of $S(v)$ consist of equivalence classes $[\tilde{\sigma}]$ of functions which are s-a. e. equal to $\tilde{\sigma}$ for some σ in $M(L_2^v[0, t])$. One often uses loose terminology and refers to the elements of $S(v)$ as functions. Cameron and Storvick [2] show that the correspondence $\sigma \rightarrow [\tilde{\sigma}]$ is injective, carries convolution into pointwise multiplication and that $S(v)$ is a Banach algebra with norm $||[\tilde{\sigma}]|| = ||\sigma||$.

2. The General Theorem.

In this section we establish the theorem discussed in the introduction.

THEOREM 1. *Let λ be a finite Borel measure on $[0, t]^n$. For $j=1, 2, \dots, n$ let $\phi_j : [0, t] \rightarrow L_2[0, t]$ be Borel measurable. Let $\theta : [0, t]^n \times \mathbf{R}^{nv} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be such that for all $\vec{r}=(r_1, \dots, r_n) \in [0, t]^n$,*

$$(2.1) \quad \theta(\vec{r} ; \vec{U}_1, \dots, \vec{U}_n) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^{nv}} \exp \left\{ i \sum_{j=1}^n \langle \vec{U}_j, \vec{V}_j \rangle \right\} d\sigma_{\vec{r}}(\vec{V}_1, \dots, \vec{V}_n) \\ = \hat{\sigma}_{\vec{r}}((\vec{U}_1, \dots, \vec{U}_n))$$

where $\sigma_{\vec{r}} \in M(\mathbf{R}^{nv})$, the measure algebra of \mathbf{R}^{nv} , $\vec{U}_j=(u_{j1}, \dots, u_{jv}) \in \mathbf{R}^v$,

(2.2) for every $B \in \beta(\mathbf{R}^{nv})$, $\sigma_{\vec{r}}(B)$ is a Borel measurable function of \vec{r} , and

$$(2.3) \quad ||\sigma_{\vec{r}}|| \in L_1([0, t]^n, \beta([0, t]^n), \lambda).$$

Then the function $f : C_0^v[0, t] \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ defined by the formula

$$(2.4) \quad f(\vec{X}) = \int_{[0, t]^n} \theta \left(\vec{r} ; \left(\int_0^t \phi_1(r_1)(s) \tilde{d}x_j(s) \right)_{j=1}^v, \dots, \left(\int_0^t \phi_n(r_n)(s) \tilde{d}x_j(s) \right)_{j=1}^v \right) d\lambda(\vec{r})$$

belongs to the Banach algebra $S(v)$.

Proof. To show that f is in $S(v)$ we need to find a measure σ in

$M(L_2^v[0, t])$ such that $\bar{\sigma}(\bar{X}) = f(\bar{X})$ for s-a. e. $\bar{X} = (x_1, \dots, x_v)$ in $C_0^v[0, t]$ where $\bar{\sigma}$ is given by (1. 1).

First using [13, Proposition 2] it is quite easy to see that θ is a Borel measurable function of $(\vec{r} ; \vec{U}_1, \dots, \vec{U}_n)$. It then follows that the integrand on the right hand side of (2. 4) is a Borel measurable function of \vec{r} since it is composed of Borel measurable functions.

Next we use the Borel measure λ and the family of Borel measures $\{\sigma_{\vec{r}} : \vec{r} \in [0, t]^n\}$ to construct a Borel measure μ on $[0, t]^n \times \mathbf{R}^{nv}$ by letting

$$(2. 5) \quad \mu(E) = \int_{[0, t]^n} \sigma_{\vec{r}}(E^{\vec{r}}) d\lambda(\vec{r})$$

for $E \in \beta([0, t]^n \times \mathbf{R}^{nv})$. Using the Unsymmetric Fubini Theorem [13] we see that μ is an element of $M([0, t]^n \times \mathbf{R}^{nv})$.

Now for $j=1, 2, \dots, v$ let $\Phi_j : [0, t]^n \times \mathbf{R}^{nv} \rightarrow L_2^v[0, t]$ be defined by the formula

$$(2. 6) \quad \Phi_j(s) \equiv \Phi_j(\vec{r} ; \vec{V}_1, \dots, \vec{V}_n)(s) = \sum_{k=1}^n v_{kj} \phi_k(r_k)(s)$$

where $\vec{V}_m = (v_{m1}, \dots, v_{mv}) \in \mathbf{R}^v$ for $m=1, \dots, n$. Let $\Phi : [0, t]^n \times \mathbf{R}^{nv} \rightarrow L_2^v[0, t]$ be defined by the formula

$$\Phi(s) = \Phi(\vec{r} ; \vec{V}_1, \dots, \vec{V}_n)(s) = (\Phi_1(s), \dots, \Phi_v(s)).$$

Clearly Φ is Borel measurable.

Finally define σ in $M(L_2^v[0, t])$ by $\sigma \equiv \mu \circ \Phi^{-1}$. We need to show that $\bar{\sigma}(\bar{X}) = f(\bar{X})$ for s-a. e. $\bar{X} \in C_0^v[0, t]$. That is to say, for fixed $\rho > 0$, we need to show that $\bar{\sigma}(\rho \bar{X}) = f(\rho \bar{X})$ for a. e. $\bar{X} \in C_0^v[0, t]$. But using the Change of Variables Theorem [11, p. 163] and the Unsymmetric Fubini Theorem [13] it follows that for a. e. \bar{X} in $C_0^v[0, t]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\sigma}(\rho \bar{X}) &= \int_{L_2^v[0, t]} \exp \left\{ i \rho \sum_{j=1}^v \int_0^t u_j(s) \bar{d}x_j(s) \right\} d\sigma(u_1, \dots, u_v) \\ &= \int_{L_2^v[0, t]} \exp \left\{ i \rho \sum_{j=1}^v u_j(s) \bar{d}x_j(s) \right\} d(\mu \circ \Phi^{-1})(u_1, \dots, u_v) \\ &= \int_{[0, t]^n \times \mathbf{R}^{nv}} \exp \left\{ i \rho \sum_{j=1}^v \int_0^t \Phi_j(s) \bar{d}x_j(s) \right\} d\mu(\vec{r} ; \vec{V}_1, \dots, \vec{V}_n) \\ &= \int_{[0, t]^n} \left[\int_{\mathbf{R}^{nv}} \exp \left\{ i \rho \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^v v_{kj} \int_0^t \phi_k(r_k)(s) \bar{d}x_j(s) \right\} \right. \\ &\quad \left. d\sigma_{\vec{r}}(\vec{V}_1, \dots, \vec{V}_n) \right] d\lambda(\vec{r}) \\ &= \int_{[0, t]^n} \left[\int_{\mathbf{R}^{nv}} \exp \left\{ i \rho \sum_{k=1}^n \langle \int_0^t \phi_k(r_k)(s) \bar{d}x_j(s) \rangle_{j=1, \dots, v}, (v_{k1}, \dots, v_{kv}) \right\rangle \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & d\sigma_{\vec{r}}(\vec{V}_1, \dots, \vec{V}_n) \Big] d\lambda(\vec{r}) \\
 &= \int_{[0, t]^n} \theta(\vec{r} ; \left(\rho \int_0^t \phi_1(r_1)(s) \vec{d}x_j(s) \right)_{j=1}^n, \dots, \\
 & \quad \left(\rho \int_0^t \phi_n(r_n)(s) \vec{d}x_j(s) \right)_{j=1}^n) d\lambda(\vec{r}) \\
 &= f(\rho \vec{X}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus f is in $S(\nu)$ which completes the proof of Theorem 1.

We remark that we could have given an alternative proof of Theorem 1 using some recent results [7] concerning the Fresnel class $\mathcal{F}(H)$ of Fourier–Stieltjes transforms of bounded Borel measures on a separable infinite–dimensional Hilbert space H and the fact that for proper choice of H , $\mathcal{F}(H)$ and $S(1)$ are isometrically isomorphic [12]. However, under our present assumptions and state of knowledge, the proof involves certain measure theoretic technicalities which makes it more complicated than the proof that we have given above.

3. Various Corollaries of Theorem 1.

Our first corollary is an easy consequence of the fact that $S(\nu)$ is a Banach algebra. This result is relevant to quantum mechanics where exponential functions play a prominent role.

COROLLARY 1. *Let f be as in Theorem 1 and let h be an entire function on \mathbf{C} . Then $h(f(\vec{X}))$ is in $S(\nu)$. In particular $\exp \{f(\vec{X})\}$ is in $S(\nu)$.*

COROLLARY 2. *Let $\theta : [0, t]^n \times \mathbf{R}^{nv} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be given by (2.1). Let (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) be any fixed point in $[0, t]^n$. Let*

$$(3.1) \quad f_1(\vec{X}) = \theta(s_1, \dots, s_n ; \vec{X}(s_1), \dots, \vec{X}(s_n)).$$

Then f_1 belongs to $S(\nu)$.

Proof. Apply Theorem 1 with $\phi_j(r_j)(s) = \chi_{[0, r_j]}(s)$ for $j=1, \dots, n$, and with λ having unit mass concentrated at the point (s_1, \dots, s_n) (or let λ be any probability measure on $[0, t]^n$ and choose $\phi_j(r_j)(s) = \chi_{[0, s_j]}(s)$ for $j=1, 2, \dots, n$).

REMARK 1. Various results in [17], for example Proposition 3.1, and Corollaries 3.3 and 3.5, now follow easily from Corollary 2 above by letting $n=1$ and $s_1=t$. The resulting functions arise in connection with the Schroedinger equation with potential $\theta = \theta(t, \vec{U})$.

REMARK 2. Let $\phi : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ and define $f_2 : C_0[0, t] \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ by the formula

$$(3.2) \quad f_2(x) = \phi(x(t)).$$

Functions of the form (3.2) are of interest in quantum mechanics where ϕ is the initial state of the quantum system. By Corollary 2 above we see that if $\phi = \hat{\sigma}$ with $\sigma \in M(\mathbf{R})$ then f_2 is in $S(1)$. It is interesting to ask if conditions insuring that a function is in $S(1)$ are necessary as well as sufficient. For a certain class of functions, including f_2 , the authors [8] have recently shown that the answer is *yes*. In particular, if f_2 is given by (3.2), then f_2 is in $S(1)$ if and only if $\phi = \hat{\sigma}$ with $\sigma \in M(\mathbf{R})$.

COROLLARY 3. Let λ be a finite Borel measure on $[0, t]^n$ and let

$$(3.3) \quad f_3(\bar{X}) = \int_{[0, t]^n} \theta(r_1, \dots, r_n; \bar{X}(r_1), \dots, \bar{X}(r_n)) d\lambda(r_1, \dots, r_n)$$

where θ is given by (2.1). Then f_3 belongs to $S(v)$.

Proof. Apply Theorem 1 with $\phi_j(r_j)(s) = \chi_{[0, r_j]}(s)$ for $j=1, \dots, n$.

REMARK 3. Note that Corollary 3 above contains the main result of [15], namely Theorem 1 on page 319. For simply choose $n=1$, $v=1$, and λ to be Lebesgue measure on $[0, t]$. Then

$$(3.4) \quad f_4(x) = \int_0^t \theta(r; x(r)) dr$$

and $\exp\{f_4(x)\}$ are both clearly in $S(1)$.

In a recent expository essay [18], Nelson calls attention to some functions on Wiener space which were discussed in the book of Feynman and Hibbs [10, section 3-13] and in Feynman's original paper [9, section 13]. These functions have the form

$$f_5(x) = \exp \left\{ \int_0^t \int_0^t W(r_1, r_2; x(r_1), x(r_2)) dr_1 dr_2 \right\}$$

Feynman obtained such functions by integrating out the oscillator coordinates in a system involving a harmonic oscillator interacting with a particle moving in a potential. Further functions like (3.5) but involving multiple integrals of more dimensions than two arise when more particles are involved. Our next corollary involves such functions.

COROLLARY 4. Let λ be a finite Borel measure on $[0, t]^n$ and let

$$(3.6) \quad f_6(\bar{X}) = \exp \left\{ \int_0^t \dots \int_0^t \theta(r_1, \dots, r_n; \bar{X}(r_1), \dots, \bar{X}(r_n)) d\lambda(r_1, \dots, r_n) \right\}$$

with $\theta : [0, t]^n \times \mathbf{R}^{nv} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ given by (2.1). Then f_6 belongs to $S(v)$

REMARK 4. When Colollary 4 above is combined with the main results of [19, Theorems 3.1 and 4.1] involving quadratic potentials depending on n time parameters, one sees that a rather large class of functions involving n time parameters belong to $S(v)$ [19, Corollary 3.4].

In our next corollary we see that a Riemann sum approximation, $R_m(\bar{X})$, for $\int_0^t \cdots \int_0^t \theta(r_1, \dots, r_n; \bar{X}(r_1), \dots, X(r_n)) dr_1, \dots, dr_n$ is in $S(v)$.

COROLLARY 5. Let $\theta : [0, t]^n \times \mathbf{R}^{nv} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be given by (2.1) and let $R_m(\bar{X}) = \sum_{j_1=1}^m \sum_{j_2=1}^m \cdots \sum_{j_n=1}^m (t/m)^n \theta\left(\frac{j_1 t}{m}, \frac{j_2 t}{m}, \dots, \frac{j_n t}{m}; \bar{X}\left(\frac{j_1 t}{m}\right), \dots, \bar{X}\left(\frac{j_n t}{m}\right)\right)$ for $m=1, 2, \dots$. Then R_m is in $S(v)$ for $m=1, 2, \dots$.

Proof. For each $m=1, 2, \dots$, apply Theorem 1 with $\phi_j(r_j)(s) = \chi_{[0, r_j]}(s)$ and with λ a discrete measure with mass $(t/m)^n$ at each of the m^n points $\left(\frac{j_1 t}{m}, \frac{j_2 t}{m}, \dots, \frac{j_n t}{m}\right)$ in $(0, t]^n$.

Next we will show that functions of the form (3.1) (and (3.3)), but involving independent increments $\bar{X}(s_1), \bar{X}(s_2) - \bar{X}(s_1), \dots, \bar{X}(s_n) - \bar{X}(s_{n-1})$ are in $S(v)$. To do this we first need to adjust equation (2.4) accordingly.

COROLLARY 6. Let $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n, \lambda$ and θ be as in Theorem 1. Then the function $f_7 : C_0^0[0, t] \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ defined by the formula

$$f_7(\bar{X}) = \int_{[0, t]^n} \theta\left(r; \left(\int_0^t \phi_1(r_1)(s) d\bar{x}_j(s)\right)_{j=1}^v, \left(\int_0^t [\phi_2(r_2)(s) - \phi_1(r_1)(s)] d\bar{x}_j(s)\right)_{j=1}^v, \dots, \left(\int_0^t [\phi_n(r_n)(s) - \phi_{n-1}(r_{n-1})(s)] d\bar{x}_j(s)\right)_{j=1}^v\right) d\lambda(\vec{r})$$

is in the Banach algebra $S(v)$.

Proof. The proof of this corollary parallels the proof of Theorem 1 above provided we replace equation (2.6) with

$$(2.6)' \quad \Phi_j(s) \equiv \Phi_j(\vec{r}, \vec{V}_1, \dots, \vec{V}_n) = \sum_{k=1}^n v_{kj} [\phi_k(r_k)(s) - \phi_{k-1}(r_{k-1})(s)]$$

where $\phi_0(r_0)(s)$ is identically zero.

COROLLARY 7. Let θ and λ be as in Theorem 1 and let

$$f_8(\bar{X}) = \int_{[0, t]^n} \theta(\vec{r}, \bar{X}(r_1), \bar{X}(r_2) - \bar{X}(r_1), \dots, \bar{X}(r_n) - \bar{X}(r_{n-1})) d\lambda(\vec{r})$$

Then f_8 belongs to $S(v)$.

Proof. In Corollary 6 choose $\phi_j(r_j)(s) = \chi_{[0, r_j]}(s)$ for $j=1, \dots, n$.

COROLLARY 8. Let $0 < s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_n \leq t$ be a fixed partition of $[0, t]$. Let $\vec{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$ and let

$$f_9(\vec{X}) = \theta(\vec{s} \vec{X}(s_1), \vec{X}(s_2) - \vec{X}(s_1), \dots, \vec{X}(s_n) - \vec{X}(s_{n-1}))$$

where θ is given by (2.1). Then f_9 is in $S(v)$.

Proof. In Corollary 7 let λ have unit mass at the point $\vec{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_n) \in [0, t]^n$.

References

1. S. Albeverio and R. Høegh-Krohn, *Mathematical Theory of Feynman Path Integrals*, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Berlin **523** (1976).
2. R.H. Cameron and D.A. Storvick, *Some Banach algebras of analytic Feynman integrable functionals, in Analytic Functions*, Kozubnik, 1979, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Berlin, **798**(1980), 18-67.
3. R.H. Cameron and D.A. Storvick, *A new translation theorem for the analytic Feynman integral*, Rev. Roumaine Math., Pure Appl. **27**(1982), 937-944.
4. R.H. Cameron and D.A. Storvick, *A simple definition of the Feynman integral, with applications*, Memoirs of the Amer. Math. Soc. No. **288**, 46(1983), 1-46.
5. K.S. Chang, G.W. Johnson, and D.L. Skoug, *Necessary and sufficient conditions for the Fresnel integrability of certain classes of functions*, J. Korean Math. Soc., **21**(1984), 21-29.
6. K.S. Chang, G.W. Johnson, and D.L. Skoug, *The Feynman integral of quadratic potentials depending on two time variables*, Pacific J. Math., **122**(1986), 11-33.
7. K.S. Chang, G.W. Johnson, and D.L. Skoug, *Functions in the Fresnel class*, to appear in Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.
8. K.S. Chang, G.W. Johnson, and D.L. Skoug, *Necessary and sufficient conditions for membership in the Banach algebra S for certain classes of functions*, to appear in Supper in Supplemento ai Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Proceedings of a Conference on Functional Integration held in Sherbrooke, Canada, July, 1986.
9. R.J. Feynman, *Space-time approach to non-relativistic quantum mechanics*, Rev. Mod. Phys., **20**(1948), 367-387.
10. R.P. Feynman and A.R. Hibbs, *Quantum Mechanics and Path Integrals*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1965.
11. P. Halmos, *Measure Theory*, Van-Nostrand, Princeton, New Jersey, 1950.

12. G.W. Johnson, *The equivalence of two approaches to the Feynman integral*, J. Math. Phys., 23(1982), 2090-2096.
13. G.W. Johnson, *An unsymmetric Fubini theorem*, Amer. Math. Monthly, 91(1984), 131-133.
14. G.W. Johnson and D.L. Skoug, *Scale-invariant measurability in Wiener space*, Pacific J. of Math., 83(1979), 157-176.
15. G.W. Johnson and D.L. Skoug, *Notes on the Feynman integral, I*, Pacific J. of Math., 93(1981), 313-324.
16. G.W. Johnson and D.L. Skoug, *Notes on the Feynman integral, II*, J. of Functional Analysis, 41(1981), 277-289.
17. G.W. Johnson and D.L. Skoug, *Notes on the Feynman integral, III: The Schroedinger equation*, Pacific J. of Math., 105(1983), 321-358.
18. E. Nelson, *The use of the Wiener process in quantum theory*, preprint intended for inclusion in Vol. III of the Collected Work of Norbert Wiener, edited by P. Masani, M.I.T. Press.
19. C. Park and D.L. Skoug, *The Feynman integral of quadratic potentials depending on n time parameters*, submitted for publication to Nagoya Math. J.

Yonsei University
Seoul 120, Korea
and
University of Nebraska
Lincoln, NE 68588
U. S. A.