

NONEMBEDDABILITY AND NONIMMERSIBILITY OF A PRODUCT LENS SPACE

MOO YOUNG SOHN

1. Introduction

In [1], M. F. Atiyah introduced a method to solve non-immersion and nonembedding problems of a smooth manifold M by using Adams operators on the Grothendieck ring $\widetilde{KO}(M)$, and he applied his method to the case of the real projective space $RP(n)$. Later, H. Suzuki [8] estimated a lower bound of i, j such that $RP(n) \times RP(m)$ can be immersed in R^{n+m+i} and embedded in R^{n+m+j} , and M. Yasuo [9] considered the case of product lens space $\prod_{i \in I} L^{2n_i+1}(p^{m_i})$. In this paper, making use of the method initiated by [1], [8], [9], we estimate an upper bound of the number of linearly independent tangent vector fields over a product lens space $L^{2n+1}(p) \times L^{2m+1}(q)$, where p, q are any odd prime numbers, and a lower bound of k, l such that $L^{2n+1}(p) \times L^{2m+1}(q)$ can be immersed in $R^{2(n+m+1)+k}$ and embedded in $R^{2(n+m+1)+l}$. In what follows, M will mean a smooth closed manifold. Immersion and embedding will mean C^∞ -differentiable ones.

2. γ -operator over the Grothendieck ring

Let F denote either the real field \mathbf{R} or the complex field \mathbf{C} , and let $\text{Vect}_F(M)$ denote the set of equivalence classes of F -vector bundles over M . The Whitney sum of vector bundles makes $\text{Vect}_F(M)$ a semi-group and the Grothendieck group $K_F(M)$ is the associated abelian group. The tensor product of vector bundles defines a commutative ring structure in $K_F(M)$. As usual, we use the notation $KO(M)$ and $K(M)$ for $K_{\mathbf{R}}(M)$ and $K_{\mathbf{C}}(M)$ respectively. The trivial bundle of dimension n will simply be denoted by n . Let x_0 be a base point of M , then clearly $KO(x_0) = \mathbf{Z}$ (the ring of integers).

Received October 30, 1986.

We define $\widetilde{KO}(M) = \text{Ker}\{i^* : KO(M) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}\}$, where i^* is the homomorphism induced by the natural inclusion $\{x_0\} \rightarrow M$, then clearly $KO(M) \approx \mathbf{Z} \oplus \widetilde{KO}(M)$.

For $x \in \text{Vect}_R(M)$, the vector bundle $\lambda^i(x)$ is defined by the exterior power operation $\bigwedge^i(x)$, $i=0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$.

We get the following formal properties of the operation λ^i .

- (1) $\lambda^0(x) = 1$ (2) $\lambda^1(x) = x$
- (3) $\lambda^i(x+y) = \sum_{j=0}^i \lambda^j(x)\lambda^{i-j}(y)$ (4) $\lambda^i(x) = 0$ for $i > \dim x$.

We define $\lambda_t(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda^i(x)t^i$, where t is an indeterminate. Let $A(M)$ denote the multiplicative group of formal power series in t with coefficient in $KO(M)$ and with constant term 1. Then (1) and (3) assert that λ_t defines a homomorphism $\text{Vect}_R(M) \rightarrow A(M)$. Hence we get a homomorphism $\lambda_t : KO(M) \rightarrow A(M)$ and operators $\lambda^i : KO(M) \rightarrow KO(M)$ with $\lambda_t(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda^i(x)t^i$. The γ -operation in $KO(M)$, $\gamma_t : KO(M) \rightarrow A(M)$, is defined by the requirement that $\gamma_t(x) = \lambda_{t/1-t}(x)$ and $\gamma_t(x) = \sum_{i \geq 0} \gamma^i(x)t^i$ for $x \in KO(M)$.

Now let $\tau(M)$ denote the tangent bundle over M and put $\tilde{\tau}(M) = \tau(M) - n \in \widetilde{KO}(M)$, then the operations γ^i give us an information of the structure of tangent bundle over M as follows.

THEOREM 2.1 ([8]). *If $\gamma^i(\tilde{\tau}(M)) \neq 0$ for an i , $0 \leq i \leq n$, then the number of linearly independent tangent vector fields over M does not exceed $n-i$.*

The following Atiyah criterion for an immersion and an embedding will be used for our main result.

THEOREM 2.2 ([1]). *If M is immersed in the $(n+k)$ -dimensional euclidean space R^{n+k} , then we have $\gamma^i(-\tilde{\tau}(M)) = 0$ for $i > k$. If M is embedded in R^{n+l} , then we have $\gamma^i(-\tilde{\tau}(M)) = 0$ for $i \geq l$.*

The γ -dimension and γ -codimension of an n -dimensional manifold M are defined as follows;

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Dim}_\gamma(M) &= \sup \{i \in \mathbf{N} \mid \gamma^i(\tau(M) - n) \neq 0\}, \\ \text{Cod}_\gamma(M) &= \sup \{i \in \mathbf{N} \mid \gamma^i(n - \tau(M)) \neq 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let p, q be any odd prime numbers. $L^{2n+1}(p) = S^{2n+1}/Z_p$, $L^{2m+1}(q) = S^{2m+1}/Z_q$ the standard lens spaces, and let

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_1 &: L^{2n+1}(p) \times L^{2m+1}(q) \rightarrow L^{2n+1}(p), \\ \Pi_2 &: L^{2n+1}(p) \times L^{2m+1}(q) \rightarrow L^{2m+1}(q), \\ \Pi_\wedge &: L^{2n+1}(p) \times L^{2m+1}(q) \rightarrow L^{2n+1}(p) \wedge L^{2m+1}(q)\end{aligned}$$

be canonical projections,

The following is easily obtained by using cohomology properties of the Grothendieck ring. where $L^{2n+1}(\) \wedge L^{2m+1}(q)$ is the smash product.

THEOREM 2.3. (i) *The induced homomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_1^* &: \widetilde{KO}(L^{2n+1}(p)) \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}(L^{2n+1}(p) \times L^{2m+1}(q)), \\ \Pi_2^* &: \widetilde{KO}(L^{2m+1}(q)) \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}(L^{2n+1}(p) \times L^{2m+1}(q)), \\ \Pi_\wedge^* &: \widetilde{KO}(L^{2n+1}(p) \wedge L^{2m+1}(q)) \rightarrow \widetilde{KO}(L^{2n+1}(p) \times L^{2m+1}(q))\end{aligned}$$

are injective and we have a direct sum decomposition

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{KO}(L^{2n+1}(p) \times L^{2m+1}(q)) &= \Pi_1^*(\widetilde{KO}(L^{2n+1}(p))) \\ &\oplus \Pi_2^*(\widetilde{KO}(L^{2m+1}(q))) \oplus \Pi_\wedge^*(\widetilde{KO}(L^{2n+1}(p) \wedge L^{2m+1}(q))).\end{aligned}$$

(ii) *If $u \in \widetilde{KO}(L^{2n+1}(p))$ and $v \in \widetilde{KO}(L^{2m+1}(q))$, then*

$$\Pi_1^*(u) \Pi_2^*(v) \in \Pi_\wedge^*(\widetilde{KO}(L^{2n+1}(p) \wedge L^{2m+1}(q))).$$

3. Applications to a product product lens space

Throughout this section, let p and q be any odd prime numbers, τ the tangent bundle over $L^{2n+1}(p) \times L^{2m+1}(q)$, and $\tilde{\tau} = \tau - 2(n+m+1)$. Let ξ, η be the canonical complex line bundles over $L^{2n+1}(p)$ and $L^{2m+1}(q)$ respectively and let $a = \xi - 1_C$, $b = \eta - 1_C$, where 1_C denote the complex trivial bundle. Then we have the following relations (cf. [3])

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(L^{2n+1}(p)) - (2n+1) &= (n+1)\text{re}(a), \\ \tau(L^{2m+1}(q)) - (2m+1) &= (m+1)\text{re}(b), \\ \gamma_t(\text{re}(a)) &= 1 + \text{re}(a)t - \text{re}(a)t^2, \\ \gamma_t(\text{re}(b)) &= 1 + \text{re}(b)t - \text{re}(b)t^2,\end{aligned}$$

where re denote the realification of a vector bundle.

THEOREM 3.1. $\text{Dim}_7(L^{2n+1}(p) \times L^{2m+1}(q))$

$$\begin{aligned}&= 2 \sup \{k \mid \binom{n+1}{k} (\Pi_1^* \text{re}(a))^k + \binom{m+1}{k} (\Pi_2^* \text{re}(b))^k \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{i+j=k \\ 1 \leq i \leq n+1 \\ 1 \leq j \leq m+1}} \binom{n+1}{i} \binom{m+1}{j} (\Pi_1^* \text{re}(a))^i (\Pi_2^* \text{re}(b))^j \neq 0\},\end{aligned}$$

where $\binom{n}{i}$ is the binomial coefficient.

Proof. Let τ_1, τ_2 be the tangent bundles over $L^{2n+1}(p)$, $L^{2m+1}(q)$ respectively, then

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\tau} &= \Pi_1^* \tau_1 + \Pi_2^* \tau_2 - 2(n+m+1) \\ &= \Pi_1^*(\tau_1 - (2n+1)) + \Pi_2^*(\tau_2 - (2m+1)) \\ &= (n+1) \Pi_1^*(\text{re}(a)) + (m+1) \Pi_2^*(\text{re}(b)).\end{aligned}$$

By using the property $\gamma_t(x+y) = \gamma_t(x)\gamma_t(y)$ and the naturality of the operator γ_t , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_t(\tilde{\tau}) &= [\Pi_1^* \{\gamma_t(\text{re}(a))\}]^{n+1} [\Pi_2^* \{\gamma_t(\text{re}(b))\}]^{m+1} \\ &= \{1 + \Pi_1^* \text{re}(a)t - \Pi_1^* \text{re}(a)t^2\}^{n+1} \{1 + \Pi_2^* \text{re}(b)t - \Pi_2^* \text{re}(b)t^2\}^{m+1} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq n+1 \\ 0 \leq j \leq m+1}} \binom{n+1}{i} \binom{m+1}{j} (\Pi_1^* \text{re}(a))^i (\Pi_2^* \text{re}(b))^j (t-t^2)^{i+j}.\end{aligned}$$

If we set

$$\begin{aligned}A_k &= \binom{n+1}{k} (\Pi_1^* \text{re}(a))^k + \binom{m+1}{k} (\Pi_2^* \text{re}(b))^k \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{i+j=k \\ 1 \leq i \leq n+1 \\ 1 \leq j \leq m+1}} \binom{n+1}{i} \binom{m+1}{j} (\Pi_1^* \text{re}(a))^i (\Pi_2^* \text{re}(b))^j,\end{aligned}$$

then, by taking the coefficient of t^i , we have

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma^0(\tilde{\tau}) &= 1, & \gamma^1(\tilde{\tau}) &= A_1, \\ \gamma^2(\tilde{\tau}) &= A_2 - A_1, & \gamma^3(\tilde{\tau}) &= A_3 - 2A_2, \\ \gamma^4(\tilde{\tau}) &= A_4 - 3A_3 + A_2, & \gamma^5(\tilde{\tau}) &= A_5 - 4A_4 + 3A_3, \\ \gamma^6(\tilde{\tau}) &= A_6 - 5A_5 + 6A_4 - A_3, & \gamma^7(\tilde{\tau}) &= A_7 - 6A_6 + 10A_5 - 4A_4, \\ \gamma^8(\tilde{\tau}) &= A_8 - 7A_7 + 15A_6 - 10A_5 + A_4,\end{aligned}$$

etc.

Therefore

$$\text{Dim}_\tau(L^{2n+1}(p) \times L^{2m+1}(q)) = 2 \sup \{k \mid A_k \neq 0\}.$$

COROLLARY 3.2 ([9]). $\text{Dim}_\tau(L^{2n+1}(p))$

$$= 2 \sup \{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid i \leq n+1, \binom{n+1}{i} (\text{re}(a))^i \neq 0\}.$$

THEOREM 3.3. *If $\gamma^k(\tilde{\tau}_1) \neq 0$ or $\gamma^k(\tilde{\tau}_2) \neq 0$ then $\gamma^k(\tilde{\tau}) \neq 0$, where $\tilde{\tau}_1 = \tau(L^{2n+1}(p)) - (2n+1) \in KO(L^{2n+1}(p))$ and $\tilde{\tau}_2 = \tau(L^{2m+1}(q)) - (2m+1) \in KO(L^{2m+1}(q))$.*

Proof. Since $\gamma^k(\bar{\tau}) = \gamma^k(\bar{\tau}_1) + \gamma^k(\bar{\tau}_2) + \text{terms of the form}$
 $\binom{n+1}{i} \binom{m+1}{j} \{\prod_1^* \text{re}(a)\}^i \{\prod_2^* \text{re}(b)\}^j$ and

$$\prod_1^* \text{re}(a) \in \prod_1^* KO(L^{2n+1}(p)), \quad \prod_2^* \text{re}(b) \in \prod_2^* KO(L^{2m+1}(q)),$$

$$\{\prod_1^* \text{re}(a)\}^i \{\prod_2^* \text{re}(b)\}^j \in \prod_{\wedge}^* KO(L^{2n+1}(p) \wedge L^{2m+1}(q)),$$

this theorem comes from theorem (2.3).

Next we compute the γ -codimension of $L^{2n+1}(p) \times L^{2m+1}(q)$.

THEOREM 3.4. $\text{Cod}_\gamma(L^{2n+1}(p) \times L^{2m+1}(q))$

$$= 2 \sup \{k \mid \binom{n+k}{k} (\prod_1^* \text{re}(a))^k + \binom{m+k}{k} (\prod_2^* \text{re}(b))^k$$

$$+ \sum_{\substack{i+j=k \\ i, j \geq 1}} \binom{n+i}{i} \binom{m+j}{j} (\prod_1^* \text{re}(a))^i (\prod_2^* \text{re}(b))^j \neq 0\}.$$

Proof. From the first part of the proof of theorem (3.1), we have
 $-\bar{\tau} = -(n+1) \prod_1^* \text{re}(a) - (m+1) \prod_2^* \text{re}(b)$. Hence

$$\gamma_t(-\bar{\tau}) = \{1 + \prod_1^* \text{re}(a)t - \prod_1^* \text{re}(a)t^2\}^{-(n+1)} \{1 + \prod_2^* \text{re}(b)t$$

$$- \prod_2^* \text{re}(b)t^2\}^{-(m+1)}$$

$$= \sum_{i+j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{i+j} \left\{ \binom{n+i}{i} \binom{m+j}{j} (\prod_1^* \text{re}(a))^i (\prod_2^* \text{re}(b))^j (t-t^2)^{i+j} \right\}.$$

If we also set

$$B_k = \binom{n+k}{k} (\prod_1^* \text{re}(a))^k + \binom{m+k}{k} (\prod_2^* \text{re}(b))^k$$

$$+ \sum_{\substack{i+j=k \\ i, j \geq 1}} \binom{n+i}{i} \binom{m+j}{j} (\prod_1^* \text{re}(a))^i (\prod_2^* \text{re}(b))^j,$$

then, by taking the coefficient of t^i , we have

$$\gamma^0(-\bar{\tau}) = 1, \quad \gamma^1(-\bar{\tau}) = -B_1, \quad \gamma^2(-\bar{\tau}) = B_2 + B_1,$$

$$\gamma^3(-\bar{\tau}) = -B_3 - 2B_2, \quad \gamma^4(-\bar{\tau}) = B_4 + \binom{3}{1} B_3 + B_2,$$

$$\gamma^5(-\bar{\tau}) = -B_5 - \binom{4}{1} B_4 - \binom{3}{2} B_3,$$

$$\gamma^6(-\bar{\tau}) = B_6 + \binom{5}{1} B_5 + \binom{4}{2} B_4 - B_3,$$

$$\gamma^7(-\bar{\tau}) = -B_7 - \binom{6}{1} B_6 - \binom{5}{2} B_5 - \binom{4}{3} B_4,$$

$$\gamma^8(-\bar{\tau}) = B_8 + \binom{7}{1} B_7 + \binom{6}{2} B_6 + \binom{5}{3} B_5 + B_4,$$

etc.

Therefore

$$\text{Cod}_\gamma(L^{2n+1}(\mathfrak{p}) \times L^{2m+1}(\mathfrak{q})) = 2 \sup \{k \mid B_k \neq 0\}.$$

COROLLARY 3.5([9]). $\text{Cod}_\gamma(L^{2n+1}(\mathfrak{p}))$

$$= 2 \sup \{i \in N \mid \binom{n+i}{i} (\text{re}(a))^i \neq 0\}.$$

THEOREM 3.6. *If $\gamma^k(-\tilde{\tau}_1) \neq 0$ or $\gamma^k(-\tilde{\tau}_2) \neq 0$ then $\gamma^k(-\tilde{\tau}) \neq 0$.*

Proof. Since $\gamma^k(-\tilde{\tau}) = \gamma^k(-\tilde{\tau}_1) + \gamma^k(-\tilde{\tau}_2) + \text{terms of the form}$
 $\binom{n+1}{i} \binom{m+j}{j} \{\prod_1^* \text{re}(a)\}^i \{\prod_2^* \text{re}(b)\}^j$ and

$$\prod_1^* \text{re}(a) \in \prod_1^* \widetilde{KO}(L^{2n+1}(\mathfrak{p})), \quad \prod_2^* \text{re}(b) \in \prod_2^* \widetilde{KO}(L^{2m+1}(\mathfrak{q})),$$

$$\{\prod_1^* \text{re}(a)\}^i \{\prod_2^* \text{re}(b)\}^j \in \prod_\wedge^* \widetilde{KO}(L^{2n+1}(\mathfrak{p}) \wedge L^{2m+1}(\mathfrak{q})),$$

this theorem comes from theorem (2.3).

The order of $\text{re}(a)^i$ in $\widetilde{KO}(L^{2n+1}(\mathfrak{p}))$ was computed by Kawaguchi-Sugawara.

THEOREM 3.7([5]). *For $1 \leq i \leq \left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$, the element $(\text{re}(a))^i \in \widetilde{KO}(L^{2n+1}(\mathfrak{p}))$ is of order $p^{1 + \left[\frac{n-2i}{p-1}\right]}$ and $(\text{re}(a))^{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right] \pm 1} = 0$, where $[y]$ is the integral part of a real number y .*

For the next theorem, we set

$$k(n, p) = \max \left\{ k \mid k \leq \left[\frac{n}{2}\right], V_p \binom{n+1}{k} < 1 + \left[\frac{n-2k}{p-1}\right] \right\},$$

where $V_p(m)$ denote the p -adic valuation of m .

Let $\text{Span}(M)$ denote the maximal number of linearly independent tangent vector fields over M .

THEOREM 3.8. $\text{Span}(L^{2n+1}(\mathfrak{p}) \times L^{2m+1}(\mathfrak{q})) \leq 2(n+m+1) - 2 \max \{k(n, p), k(m, q)\}$.

Proof. Let $k_0 = 2 \max \{k(n, p), k(m, q)\}$. From the definition of $k(n, p)$, we have $0 \leq k_0 \leq 2(n+m+1)$. By theorem (3.3), (3.7) and corollary (3.2), we obtain $\gamma^{k_0}(\tau) \neq 0$. Applying theorem (2.1), we have $\text{Span}(L^{2n+1}(\mathfrak{p}) \times L^{2m+1}(\mathfrak{q})) \leq 2(n+m+1) - k_0$.

For the next theorem, we set

$$l(n, p) = \max \left\{ k \mid k \leq \left[\frac{n}{2}\right], V_p \binom{n+k}{k} < 1 + \left[\frac{n-2k}{p-1}\right] \right\}.$$

As geometrical interpretation of theorem (3.6), we have non-embeddability and nonimmersibility of $L^{2n+1}(p) \times L^{2m+1}(q)$ into Euclidean spaces.

THEOREM 3.9. (i) $L^{2n+1}(p) \times L^{2m+1}(q)$ cannot be immersible in $\mathbb{R}^{2(n+m+1)+2 \max\{l(n,p), l(m,q)\}}$.

(ii) $L^{2n+1}(p) \times L^{2m+1}(q)$ cannot be embeddable in $\mathbb{R}^{2(n+m+1)+2 \max\{l(n,p), l(m,q)\}}$.

Proof. Let $l_0 = 2 \max\{l(n,p), l(m,q)\}$. Using the definition of $l(n,p)$ and theorem (3.6), (3.7) corollary (3.5), we have $\gamma^{l_0}(-\tilde{\tau}) \neq 0$. Applying Atiyah Criterion theorem (2.2), we can get the desired results.

References

1. M.F. Atiyah, *Immersions and embeddings of manifolds*, Topology **1**(1962), 125-132.
2. _____, *K-theory*, Benjamin Inc. New York (1967).
3. D. Husemoller, *Fibre bundle*, McGraw-Hill New York (1966).
4. T. Kambe, *The structure of K_A -rings of the lens space and their applications*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **18**(1966), 135-146.
5. T. Kawaguchi and M. Sugawara, *K- and KO-rings of the lens space $L^n(p)$ for odd prime p* , Hiroshima Math. J. **1**(1971), 273-286.
6. T. Kobayashi and M. Sugawara, *K_A -rings of lens space $L^n(4)$* , Hiroshima Math. J. **1**(1971), 253-271.
7. J. Milnor and J. Stasheff, *Characteristic classes*, Annals of Math. Studies **76**, Princeton Univ. Press, Jersey (1974).
8. H. Suzuki, *Operations in KO-theory and products of real projective spaces*, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kyushu Univ. Ser. A **18**(1964), 140-153.
9. M. Yasuo, *γ -dimension and products of lens spaces*, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kyushu Univ. Ser. A **31**(1977), 113-126.

Kyungpook University
Taegu 635, Korea