

Ultra Bifilters on an Ordered Set

by Moon-Lyong Ho

Suwon University, Suwon, Korea.

—Dedicated to Professor Han Shick Park on his 60th birthday—

I. Introduction

The usual topology on the set R of real numbers is closely related with the order structure on R . Thus it is natural that the theory of topological structures together with the order structures has been an important branch of researches in mathematics. In particular, there has been a growing interest in extensions of topological ordered spaces ([1], [2], [4]). In [4], Y.S. Park has introduced the concept of bifilters with which he has constructed the Wallman type compactification of a topological ordered space and in [3], S.S. Hong has constructed the zero-dimensional ordered compactification with maximal clopen bifilters. More recently, T.H. Choe and S.S. Hong have used the concept of open bifilters on Hausdorff convex ordered spaces to characterize their extensions ([1], [2]).

Our aims to write this paper are to introduce an ultra bifilters on an (partially or quasi-) ordered set and investigate its properties which will be needed for the final biconvergence structure on an ordered set (X, \leq) .

For the terminology not introduced in this paper, we refer to [4].

II. Ultra Bifilters

For any set X , $P(X)$ denotes the power set of X , $F(X)$ the set of all filters on X , and $[\mathcal{B}]$ the filter generated by $\mathcal{B} \subset P(X)$.

2.1. Notation Let (X, \leq) be an ordered set and $A \subset X$.

Then

- 1) $\uparrow A = \{x \in X \mid a \leq x \text{ for some } a \in A\}$,
- 2) $\downarrow A = \{x \in X \mid x \leq a \text{ for some } a \in A\}$.

In particular, for a singleton set $A = \{a\}$, let $\uparrow a$ ($\downarrow a$, resp.) denote $\uparrow A$ ($\downarrow A$, resp.).

2.2. Definition Let (X, \leq) be an ordered set and $A \subset X$.

Then A is said to be an *increasing set* (a *decreasing set*, resp.) if $A = \uparrow A$ ($A = \downarrow A$, resp.).

2.3. Remark 1) $\uparrow \phi = \phi$ and $\downarrow \phi = \phi$.

- 2) $A \subset \uparrow A$ and $A \subset \downarrow A$ for any $A \subset X$.

3) If A is an increasing (decreasing, resp.) set, then $X-A$ is a decreasing (increasing, resp.) set for any $A \subset X$.

4) For any family $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ of increasing (decreasing, resp.) sets, $\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$ and $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$ are both increasing (decreasing, resp.) sets.

5) $\uparrow(\uparrow A) = \uparrow A$ and $\downarrow(\downarrow A) = \downarrow A$.

The following definition is due to Park [4].

2.4. Definition A pair $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ of filters on an ordered set (X, \leq) is said to be a *bifilter* on (X, \leq) if it satisfies the following:

- 1) \mathcal{F} has a base consisting of increasing sets,
- 2) \mathcal{G} has a base consisting of decreasing sets,
- 3) for any $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and any $G \in \mathcal{G}$, $F \cap G \neq \emptyset$.

2.5. Example For each $x \in X$, $([\uparrow x], [\downarrow x])$ is a bifilter on (X, \leq) .

2.6. Notation For any ordered set (X, \leq) , let $\text{BiF}(X)$ denote the set of all bifilters on (X, \leq) .

Now we introduce the order relation on $\text{BiF}(X)$ as follows:

2.7. Definition For $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}), (\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}) \in \text{BiF}(X)$, $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ is said to be *contained* in $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ if $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{K}$. In case we write $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \subseteq (\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$.

It is obvious that the relation \subseteq on $\text{BiF}(X)$ is a partial order, i.e., $(\text{BiF}(X), \subseteq)$ is a poset. Furthermore $(\text{BiF}(X), \subseteq)$ is inductive, i.e., every non-empty chain has an upper bound. Hence by Zorn's lemma, $\text{BiF}(X)$ has a maximal element, for any $X \neq \emptyset$. The maximal bifilter is called an *ultra bifilter* on (X, \leq) .

2.8. Proposition For any $x \in X$, $([\uparrow x], [\downarrow x])$ is an ultra bifilter on (X, \leq) .

Proof. Suppose that there is a bifilter $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ such that $([\uparrow x], [\downarrow x]) \subseteq (\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$. Let $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and $G \in \mathcal{G}$, then there exists $A = \uparrow A \in \mathcal{F}$ and $B = \downarrow B \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $A \subset F$ and $B \subset G$. Since $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \in \text{BiF}(X)$, there exists $A \cap \uparrow x \in \mathcal{F}$ and $B \cap \downarrow x \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $(A \cap \uparrow x) \cap (B \cap \downarrow x) \neq \emptyset$. Tak any element $t \in (A \cap \uparrow x) \cap (B \cap \downarrow x)$. Since $t \in \uparrow x$ and $t \in \downarrow x$, $x \leq t$ and $t \leq x$. Thus $y \in \uparrow x$, i.e. $x \leq y$ imply $t \leq y$ since $t \leq x$. Since $t \in A$, $y \in A$. Hence $\uparrow x \subset A \subset F$. i.e., $F \in [\uparrow x]$. Similarly if $y \in \downarrow x$, then $y \in B$. Hence $\downarrow x \subset B \subset G$. i.e., $G \in [\downarrow x]$. Thus $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \subseteq ([\uparrow x], [\downarrow x])$. This complete the proof.

2.9. Remark 1) Every bifilter on (X, \leq) is contained in an ultra bifilter.

2) For $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}), (\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}) \in \text{BiF}(X)$, $(\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{G} \cap \mathcal{K})$ is again a bifilter on (X, \leq) .

2.10. Theorem Let $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ be a bifilter on (X, \leq) .

Then the followings are equivalent:

1) $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ is an ultra bifilter.

2) For any increasing set U , $U \in \mathcal{F}$ if and only if $U \cap F \cap G \neq \emptyset$ for any $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and $G \in \mathcal{G}$; and for any decreasing set V , $V \in \mathcal{G}$ if and only if $V \cap F \cap G \neq \emptyset$ for any $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and $G \in \mathcal{G}$.

Proof. 1) \implies 2) If U is an increasing set with $U \in \mathcal{F}$, $U \cap F \in \mathcal{F}$ for any $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and hen $U \cap F \cap G \neq \emptyset$ for any $G \in \mathcal{G}$. Similarly, for any decreasing set V if $V \in \mathcal{G}$, then $V \cap F \cap G \neq \emptyset$ if

any $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and $G \in \mathcal{L}$. Conversely, assume that U is an increasing set such that $U \cap F \cap G \neq \phi$ for any $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and $G \in \mathcal{L}$. Let the family $\{B_i | i \in I\}$ of increasing sets indexed by a class I be a base for \mathcal{F} . Then $U \cap B_i \neq \phi$ for each $i \in I$, by hypothesis. Thus the family $\mathcal{B}_0 = \{U \cap B_i | i \in I\}$ of the increasing sets generates a filter \mathcal{F}_0 . Since $(U \cap B_i) \cap G \neq \phi$ for each $i \in I$ and $G \in \mathcal{L}$, $(\mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{L})$ is a bifilter on (X, \leq) . Clearly, $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}_0$ and hence $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}) \subseteq (\mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{L})$. Since $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L})$ is an ultra bifilter $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}) = (\mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{L})$, so that $U \in \mathcal{F}$. Similarly, if V is a decreasing set such that $V \cap F \cap G \neq \phi$ for any $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and $G \in \mathcal{L}$, then $V \in \mathcal{L}$.

2) \implies 1) Suppose that there is a bifilter $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ such that $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}) \subseteq (\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$. Let $A \in \mathcal{H}$, then there exists an increasing set U such that $U \subset A$. Suppose $U \notin \mathcal{F}$. By the condition 2), there exists $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and $G \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $U \cap F \cap G = \phi$. But $U \cap F \in \mathcal{H}$ and $G \in \mathcal{K}$ since $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{K}$. Hence $(U \cap F) \cap G \neq \phi$, which is a contradiction to $U \cap F \cap G = \phi$. Hence $U \in \mathcal{F}$. Thus $A \in \mathcal{F}$. Similarly, if $B \in \mathcal{K}$, then $B \in \mathcal{L}$. This completes the proof.

References

1. T.H. Cheo and S.S. Hong, *Extensions of topological ordered spaces*, Kyungpock Math. J. 22 (1982), 151~160.
2. T.H. Choe and S.S. Hong, *Extensions of topological ordered spaces II*, Kyungpock Math. J. 23(1983), 147~154.
3. S.S. Hong, *O-dimensional compact ordered spaces*, Kyungpock Math. J. 20(1980), 158~167.
4. Y.S. Park, *Ordered topological spaces and topological semilattices*, Doct. Diss., McMaster Univ., Hamilton, 1977.