

Certain Class of Regular Univalent Functions

by Suk-Young Lee

Ewha Womans University, Seoul, Korea

— Dedicated to Professor Han Shick Park on his 60th birthday —

1. Introduction

The class S of functions $f(z)$ which are regular and univalent in the open unit disk $\Delta = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ each normalized by the conditions

$$f(0) = 0 \text{ and } f'(0) = 1 \tag{1.1}$$

as been studied intensively for about seventy years. A large and very successful portion of this work has dealt with subclasses of S characterized by some geometric property of $f[\Delta]$, the image of Δ under $f(z)$, which is expressible in analytic terms. The class of starlike functions in S is one of these [5]; $f(z)$ is starlike with respect to the origin if the segment $[0, f(z)]$ is in $f[\Delta]$ for every z in Δ and this condition is equivalent to requiring that

$$zf'(z)/f(z) \tag{1.2}$$

have a positive real part in Δ .

In this note the class of "disk-like" functions is introduced by placing restrictions on the behavior of the imaginary part of (1.2) and a representation formula for these functions is given in terms of Robertson's functions which are starlike in one direction [6].

2. The class of disk-like functions

In the definition which follows, the notation $g(t) \in \uparrow(a, b)$ means that $g(t)$ is strictly increasing on the interval $a < t < b$. Similarly $g(t) \in \downarrow(a, b)$ means that $g(t)$ is strictly decreasing in the interval $a < t < b$.

Definition 1. $f(z)$ is regular in Δ , satisfies (1.1) and $f(z) \neq 0$ for z in Δ unless $z = 0$. $f(z)$ is *disk-like* with respect to the origin in Δ , or $f(z) \in F$, if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

(i) There exists a constant $\rho = \rho(f(z)) > 0$ and two functions

$$\theta_k(r) = \theta_k(r; f(z)), k = 1, 2; \quad 0 < \theta_2(r) - \theta_1(r) < 2\pi;$$

such that for $\rho < r < 1$

$$|f(re^{i\theta})| \in \downarrow(\theta_1(r), \theta_2(r)) \text{ and } |f(re^{i\theta})| \in \uparrow(\theta_2(r), \theta_1(r) + 2\pi). \tag{2.1}$$

(ii) $f(z)$ is regular in $\bar{\Delta}$, the closure of Δ , and there exist real numbers θ_1 and θ_2 , $\theta_2 - \theta_1 < 2\pi$, such that

$$|f(e^{i\theta})| \in \downarrow (\theta_1, \theta_2) \text{ and } |f(e^{i\theta})| \in \uparrow (\theta_2, \theta_1 + 2\pi). \tag{2.2}$$

Functions in F are not necessarily univalent; F' will denote the class of univalent members of F , i.e., $F' = F \cap S$. $\theta_1(r)$ may be chosen so that $0 \leq \theta_1(r) < 2\pi$ and a similar choice can be made for θ_2 in (ii). Hereafter, unless otherwise implied, $f(z)$ in F will satisfy part (i) of the definition as this is no restriction on the development.

A subclass of F , the *circularly symmetric functions* was introduced earlier by Jenkins [3] and has appeared in the recent investigations of Krzyz and Reade [4]. Tammi [8] has obtained distortion theorems and coefficient bounds for functions defined in terms of restrictions on the quotient (1.2).

A geometric interpretation of the conditions of Definition 1 may be given. Let $f(z)$, $\rho, \theta_1(r)$ and $\theta_2(r)$ satisfy (i), let $|f(re^{i\theta_1(r)})| = R_1(r)$ and $|f(re^{i\theta_2(r)})| = R_2(r)$ and let C_r , the image of $|z| = r$ under $f(z)$, enclose a domain D_r . Then C_r is contained entirely in the annulus $R_2(r) \leq |w| \leq R_1(r)$ and C_r intersects every circle $|w| = R$, $R_2(r) < R < R_1(r)$, exactly twice (perhaps in the same point) for $\rho < r < 1$. If for $R_2(r) < R < R_1(r)$ we let $\Phi_1(r; R)$ and $\Phi_2(r; R)$ be the arguments, chosen to be unique by continuity, of the intersection of $|w| = R$ with the arcs $\{f(re^{i\theta}) \mid \theta_1(r) < \theta < \theta_2(r)\}$ and $\{f(re^{i\theta}) \mid \theta_2(r) < \theta < \theta_1(r) + 2\pi\}$, respectively, then D_r contains the arc $Re^{i\theta}$,

$\Phi_2(r; R) < \Phi < \Phi_1(r; R)$. It is clear that $f(z)$ is not univalent in Δ if there exist r and R such that $\Phi_1(r; R) - \Phi_2(r; R) \geq 2\pi$ for all choices of arguments. On the other hand $f(z)$ is univalent in Δ whenever $0 < \Phi_1(r; R) - \Phi_2(r; R) < 2\pi$ for all admissible r and R and appropriate $\Phi_1(r; R)$ and $\Phi_2(r; R)$, and conversely; hence $f(z) \in F'$, or $f(z) = z$, if and only if every circle centered at the origin meets $f[\Delta]$ in a single, non-overlapping arc or not at all. This gives rise to the following observation which we will use; $f(z)$ is univalent for $|z| \leq r$ if the plane can be cut from $f(re^{i\theta_2(r)})$ to ∞ by a curve which does not meet C_r in any point other than $f(re^{i\theta_2(r)})$.

Returning now to the definition, we see that for $\rho < r < 1$, (2.1) can be written as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left| f(re^{i\theta}) \right| \begin{cases} < 0 & \text{for } \theta_1(r) < \theta < \theta_2(r) \\ > 0 & \text{for } \theta_2(r) < \theta < \theta_1(r) + 2\pi, \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

or as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log \left| f(re^{i\theta}) \right| \begin{cases} < 0 & \text{for } \theta_1(r) < \theta < \theta_2(r), \\ > 0 & \text{for } \theta_2(r) < \theta < \theta_1(r) + 2\pi. \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

Because

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(z) \right\} = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{d}{dz} \log f(z) \cdot \frac{dz}{d\theta} \right\} = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ i \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} = -\operatorname{Im} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\}$$

for $z = re^{i\theta}$, (2.2) is equivalent to

$$\operatorname{Im} \left\{ \frac{re^{i\theta} f'(re^{i\theta})}{f(re^{i\theta})} \right\} \begin{cases} > 0 & \text{if } \theta_1(r) < \theta < \theta_2(r) \\ < 0 & \text{if } \theta_2(r) < \theta < \theta_1(r) + 2\pi. \end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$

The last form upon normalization relates to functions starlike in one direction.

Letting

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad z \in \Delta \tag{2.6}$$

then

$$-\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 = a_2 z - (2a_3 - a_2^2) z^2 + \dots \tag{2.7}$$

Consequently $a_2 \neq 0$, otherwise (2.7) has a multiple zero which is a contradiction of (2.5). Therefore it is no restriction to assume that a_2 is real and positive. The above discussion yields the following conclusions.

Theorem 1. $f(z)$ has the form (2.6) and $a_2 > 0$. $f(z)$ is in F if and only if

$$a_2^{-1} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right\}$$

is starlike in the direction of the real axis.

It should be noticed that if $a_2 \neq 0$, then $f(z)$ is not odd; this is consistent with the geometrical interpretation given above. Consequently the identity function $f(z) = z$ is not in F . A modification of Definition 1 to admit simple monotonic rather than strictly monotonic functions in (2.1) and (2.2) admits the identity function into F , in which case (2.7) is identically zero.

Making use of the fact that $g(z)$ regular in Δ and normalized by (1.1) is convex in one direction if and only if $zg'(z)$ is starlike in one direction [6] we can write the last theorem in other form.

Corollary. $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k$, $a_2 > 0$, is in F if and only if $f(z) = ze^{a_2 z^2}$ and $g(z)$ is convex in the direction of the imaginary axis.

This can be obtained directly from Theorem 1 or by observing that for $z = re^{i\theta}$, $\rho < r < 1$, (2.4) equivalent to

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log \left(\frac{f(z)}{z} \right) < 0 \text{ for } \theta_1(r) < \theta < \theta_2(r), \tag{2.8}$$

$$> 0 \text{ for } \theta_2(r) < \theta < \theta_1(r) + 2\pi.$$

ence,

$$\log \left(\frac{f(z)}{z} \right) = a_2 z + \left(a_3 - \frac{a_2^2}{2} \right) z^2 + \dots$$

convex in one direction.

3. A Univalent Subclass of F

For a fixed ϕ , $-\pi < \phi \leq \pi$, we say that $f(z)$ regular in Δ , or in $\bar{\Delta}$, and normalized by (1.1) is in \mathcal{F}_ϕ if and only if $f(z)$ is starlike in the direction with inclination ϕ . That is, the line $z = te^{i\phi}$, t real, intersects C_r , the image of $|z| = r$ under $f(z)$, for r near or equal to 1 exactly once. Evidently $f(z) \in \mathcal{F}_\phi$ whenever $e^{-i\phi} f(ze^{i\phi})$ is starlike in the direction of the real axis, and conversely. Consequently, there exist functions $\tau_1(r; f(z))$ and $\tau_2(r; f(z))$ such that for $\sigma < r < 1$, for $r = 1$, and suitable choice of arguments, $\phi < \arg \{ f(re^{i\phi}) \} < \phi + \pi$ whenever

$$\tau_1(r; f(z)) < \theta < \tau_2(r; f(z))$$

whenever

$$\phi + \pi < \arg \{ f(re^{i\phi}) \} < \phi + 2\pi$$

$$\tau_2(r; f(z)) < \theta < \tau_1(r; f(z)) + 2\pi.$$

Clearly σ depends on $f(z)$, $\sigma = \sigma(f(z))$, and in the case $r = 1$ it is assumed that $f(z)$ is holomorphic in $\bar{\Delta}$.

Using these ideas we may restate Theorem 1 in the following useful form.

Theorem 2. $f(z)$ has series representation (2.6) and $a_2 \neq 0$. $f(z)$ is in F if and only if

$$a_2^{-1} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right\} \in \mathcal{F}_\phi$$

for $\phi = \text{Arg}\{a_2^{-1}\}$.

Choose $\alpha = \text{Arg } a_2$, where Arg denotes the principal argument, then

$$f_0(z) = e^{i\alpha} f(ze^{-i\alpha}) = z + |a_2|z^2 + \dots$$

is in F , since membership in F is preserved under rotation. Therefore writing

$$|a_2|^{-1} \left\{ \frac{zf'_0(z)}{f_0(z)} - 1 \right\} = g(z),$$

where $g(z)$ is starlike in the direction of the real axis, yields, upon substitution, the relation

$$a_2^{-1} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right\} = e^{i\alpha} g(ze^{i\alpha}).$$

The last function is in $\mathcal{F}_{-\alpha}$ and $-\alpha = \phi$.

Definition 2. $f(z)$ and $g(z)$, both in \mathcal{F}_ϕ , are similar if and only if

$$\tau_k(r; f(z)) = \tau_k(r; g(z)), \quad k=1, 2$$

for $r=1$ when $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ are regular in $\bar{\Delta}$ and for $\sigma < r < 1$,

$$\sigma = \sigma(f(z); g(z)),$$

otherwise.

Let $\tau_k(r) = \tau_k(r; f(z)) = \tau_k(r; g(z))$, $k=1, 2$, then a geometric interpretation of similarity is that for r near or equal to 1, $f(re^{i\tau_1(r)})$ and $g(re^{i\tau_1(r)})$ both lie on one ray of the line $w = te^{i\phi}$, t real, whereas $f(re^{i\tau_2(r)})$ and $g(re^{i\tau_2(r)})$ both lie on the complementary ray. \mathcal{F}_0 is the class of functions starlike in the direction of the real axis and any two typically-real functions, all of which are in \mathcal{F}_0 , are similar.

Theorem 3. If

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad a_2 \neq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad g(z) = a_2^{-1} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right\}$$

are similar and in \mathcal{F}_ϕ , $\phi = \text{Arg}\{a_2^{-1}\}$, then $f(z)$ is univalent in Δ .

To give a proof let $\tau_k(r)$, $k=1, 2$, and σ be as in Definition 2 and the above paragraph. Suppose furthermore that $f(re^{i\tau_1(r)})$ and $g(re^{i\tau_1(r)})$ fall on the ray $w = te^{i\phi}$, $t > 0$, for $\sigma < r < 1$. Then for $z = re^{i\phi}$, $\sigma < r < 1$ and appropriate choice of arguments

$$\phi < \arg\{g(z)\} < \phi + \pi \quad \text{for} \quad \tau_1(r) < \theta < \tau_2(r)$$

and

$$\phi + \pi < \arg\{g(z)\} < \phi + 2\pi \quad \text{for} \quad \tau_2(r) < \theta < \tau_1(r) + 2\pi$$

or

$$0 < \arg\{e^{-i\phi}g(z)\} < \pi \quad \text{when} \quad \tau_1(r) < \theta < \tau_2(r)$$

and

$$\pi < \arg\{e^{-i\phi}g(z)\} < 2\pi \quad \text{when} \quad \tau_2(r) < \theta < \tau_1(r) + 2\pi.$$

This is equivalent to

$$\text{Im} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > 0, \quad \tau_1(r) < \theta < \tau_2(r)$$

$$< 0, \quad \tau_2(r) < \theta < \tau_1(r) + 2\pi.$$

Consequently, $|f(re^{i\phi})|$ is strictly decreasing for $\tau_1(r) < \theta < \tau_2(r)$ and for a fixed r , $\rho < r < 1$, hence

$(re^{i\phi})$ cuts every circle $|w|=R$, $|f(re^{i\alpha_2(r)})| < R < |f(re^{i\alpha_1(r)})|$ exactly once at a point $Re^{i\mu}$, $\mu < \phi + \pi$ because $f(z) \in \mathcal{F}_\phi$. We see in the same way that every semicircle $Re^{i\mu}$, $\mu < \phi + \pi$ is intercepted only once. Therefore $f(z)$ is univalent on $|z|=r$ and is, for that reason [5], univalent for $|z| \leq r$. A similar argument covers the remaining cases.

References

1. A. Goodman, *Univalent Functions and Nonanalytic Curves*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 8(1957), 598~601.
2. J.A. Jenkins, *Univalent Functions and Conformal Mapping*, Springer, Berlin, 1958.
3. J.A. Jenkins, *On Circularly Symmetric Functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 6(1955), 620~624.
4. J. Krzyz and M.O. Reade, *Koebe Domains for Certain Classes of Analytic Functions*, J. d'Analyse Math., 18(1967), 185~195.
5. Z. Nehari, *Conformal Mapping*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1952.
6. M.S. Robertson, *Analytic Functions Starlike in one Direction*, Amer. J. Math., 58(1936), 465~472.
7. M.S. Robertson, *On the Theory of Univalent Functions*, Ann. of Math. 37, (1936), 374~408.
8. O. Tammi, *On Certain Combinations of the Coefficients of Schlicht Functions*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fennicae Ser. A.I. Math.-Phys., 140, (1952), 1~13.