

Minimax Theorems for Set Functions

by Jae-Hak Lee

A useful concept is that of optimization depending on parameters; minimizing $F(\Omega, u)$ over all $\Omega \in \alpha$. The parameter u ranges over a space U . For example, u might be time, or it might be a random vector expressing uncertainty in the data defining the problem. Or, u might simply represent certain variables whose effect on the problem is of interest.

In the first section of this paper, we define a convex set function on $C \times U$, where C is a convex subfamily of a σ -algebra α , and U is a linear space. Also, we think of some properties of such functions. In section 2, we will modify minimax theorems of Ky Fan [3] to set functions in paired spaces.

1. Set Functions in a Paired Space

We begin with a definition of convex set function in a paired space, which will be used in parametric optimization problem.

Definition 1. Let C be a convex subfamily of a σ -algebra α and let U be a linear space. A set function F on $C \times U$ is said to be convex if and only if for any given $(\Omega, u), (\Lambda, v) \in C \times U$, $\lambda \in I$ and Morris-sequence associated with $(\lambda, \Omega, \Lambda)$, there exists a subsequence $\{\Gamma_{n_k}\}$ of $\{\Gamma_n\}$ satisfying

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} F(\Gamma_{n_k}, \lambda u + (1-\lambda)v) \leq \lambda F(\Omega, u) + (1-\lambda) F(\Lambda, v).$$

Definition 2. The epigraph of F , $[F: C \times U]$, is convex in $C \times U \times R$ if, for given $(\Omega, u, r), (\Lambda, v, s) \in [F: C \times U]$, $\lambda \in I$ and Morris-sequence $\{\Gamma_n\}$ associated with $(\lambda, \Omega, \Lambda)$, there exists a subsequence $\{\Gamma_{n_k}\}$ of $\{\Gamma_n\}$ and a sequence $\{t_k\}$ satisfying

$$t_k \rightarrow \lambda r + (1-\lambda)s \text{ and } F(\Gamma_{n_k}, \lambda u + (1-\lambda)v) \leq t_k$$

Remark: (i) It is elementary to verify that F is convex in $C \times U$ if and only if the epigraph of F , $[F: C \times U]$, is convex in $C \times U \times R$.

(ii) It is also easy to show that the convexity of F in a paired space $C \times U$ implies the convexity of F in C for each $u \in U$ and the convexity of F in U for each $\Omega \in C$.

The following theorem shows that the function $\Phi(u) = \inf_{\Omega \in C} F(\Omega, u)$, which is called the optimal-value function, is convex if F is convex in $C \times U$.

Theorem 1. Let C be a convex subfamily of α , and let U be a linear space. If F is a

convex set function in $C \times U$, then the optimal-value function $\Phi(u) = \inf_{\alpha \in C} F(\Omega, u)$ is convex on U .

Proof: For given $u, v \in U$, let $\Phi(u) = r$ and $\Phi(v) = s$. Then, $\inf_{\alpha \in C} F(\Omega, u) = r$ and $\inf_{\alpha \in C} F(\Omega, v) = s$ imply the existence of sequences $\{\Omega_n\}$, $\{\Lambda_n\}$ in C and sequences $\{r_n\}$, $\{s_m\}$ in R , such that $F(\Omega_n, u) = r_n$ with $r_n \rightarrow r$ and $F(\Lambda_n, V) = s_m$ with $s_m \rightarrow s$. For fixed n, m , consider (Ω_n, u, r_n) and (Λ_n, V, S_m) , which belong to $[F: C \times U]$. Let $\{\Gamma^{(n,m)}\}$ be a Morris-sequence associated with $(\lambda \Omega_n, \Lambda_n)$. Since F is convex, there exists a subsequence $\{\Gamma_k^{(n,m)}\}$ of $\{\Gamma^{(n,m)}\}$ and a sequence $\{t_k^{(n,m)}\}$ which converges to $\lambda r_n + (1-\lambda)s_m$ such that

$$F(\Gamma_k^{(n,m)}, \lambda u + (1-\lambda)v) \leq t_k^{(n,m)}$$

Therefore, for all k ,

$$\inf_{\alpha \in C} F(\Omega, \lambda u + (1-\lambda)v) \leq t_k^{(n,m)}$$

Since $t_k^{(n,m)} \rightarrow \lambda r_n + (1-\lambda)s_m$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\inf_{\alpha \in C} F(\Omega, \lambda u + (1-\lambda)v) \leq \lambda r_n + (1-\lambda)s_m.$$

The above inequality holds for every n and m . Therefore, by letting $n, m \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\inf_{\alpha \in C} F(\Omega, \lambda u + (1-\lambda)v) \leq \lambda r + (1-\lambda)s.$$

Hence,

$$\Phi(\lambda u + (1-\lambda)v) \leq \lambda r + (1-\lambda)s \leq \lambda \Phi(u) + (1-\lambda)\Phi(v).$$

Thus, we have shown that Φ is convex on U .

2. Minimax Theorems of Ky Fan Type

Without the structure of lineality, Ky Fan [3] generalized the definition of convex function and established minimax theorems for functions defined on the product space $X \times Y$ of two arbitrary sets X and Y . In this section, we modify his results for convex set functions on the product space $\alpha \times \beta$, where α and β are σ -algebras. Note that the definition of convexity in set functions is different from that used by Ky Fan.

Theorem 2. Let α and β be σ -algebras, and let F be a set function $\alpha \times \beta$ such that for every $\Lambda \in \beta$, $F(\Omega, \Lambda)$ is w^* -l. s. c. on α . If F is convex on α and concave on β , then

$$\inf_{\alpha \in \alpha} \sup_{\Lambda \in \beta} F(\Omega, \Lambda) = \sup_{\Lambda \in \beta} \inf_{\alpha \in \alpha} F(\Omega, \Lambda). \tag{1}$$

Proof: For fixed $\Lambda \in \beta$, consider the w^* -l. s. c. extension \bar{F} on $\bar{\alpha} \times \beta$, i. e.,

$$F(f, \Lambda) = \lim_{V \in \mathcal{M}, \Omega \in V} \inf_{\Omega \in V} F(\Omega, \Lambda), \quad f \in \alpha$$

Then, as shown in [2], α is a compact subset of the L_∞ -space and F is convex on $\bar{\alpha}$ for each $\Lambda \in \beta$. Now, for fixed $f \in \bar{\alpha}$, we shall show that F is concave on β . Let $\lambda \in I$ and $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 \in \beta$ be given. For a given Morris-sequence $\{\Gamma_n\}$ associated with $(\lambda \Lambda_1, \Lambda_2)$, there exists a subsequence $\{\Gamma_{n_k}\}$ of $\{\Gamma_n\}$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf F(\Omega, \Gamma_{n_k}) \geq \lambda F(\Omega, \Lambda_1) + (1-\lambda) F(\Omega, \Lambda_2), \quad \Omega \in \alpha. \tag{2}$$

By the definition of \bar{F}

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda \bar{F}(f, \Lambda_1) + (1-\lambda) \bar{F}(f, \Lambda_2) \\ & \leq \lim_{V \in \mathcal{M}, \Omega \in V} \inf_{\Omega \in V} \{ \lambda F(\Omega, \Lambda_1) + (1-\lambda) F(\Omega, \Lambda_2) \}. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Using (2),

$$\lim_{V \in \mathcal{M}, \Omega \in V} \inf_{\Omega \in V} \{ \lambda F(\Omega, \Lambda_1) + (1-\lambda) F(\Omega, \Lambda_2) \}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \lim_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}} \inf_{\alpha \in \{\Omega_\alpha\}} \{ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf F(\Omega, \Gamma_{n_k}) \} \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf \{ \lim_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}} \inf_{\alpha \in \{\Omega_\alpha\}} F(\Omega, \Gamma_{n_k}) \} \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf \bar{F}(f, \Gamma_{n_k}). \end{aligned}$$

By (3) and (4),

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \inf \bar{F}(f, \Gamma_{n_k}) \geq \lambda \bar{F}(f, \Lambda_1) + (1 - \lambda) \bar{F}(f, \Lambda_2).$$

Hence, \bar{F} concave on β for each $f \in \bar{\alpha}$. Now, we can apply the same procedure as in Theorem 2 [3] to prove (1) without any difficulty.

Another minimax theorem which is free of w^* -lower semicontinuity is made possible by using almost periodic functions. A generalized definition of almost periodic function was introduced by Ky Fan.

We begin with a definition of almost periodic set function.

Definition 3. Let α and β be two σ -algebras. A set function F on $\alpha \times \beta$ is said to be right almost periodic if F is bounded on $\alpha \times \beta$ and if, for arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a finite covering $\beta = \bigcup_{k=1}^m \beta_k$ of β such that

$$| F(\Omega, \Lambda') - F(\Omega, \Lambda'') | < \epsilon$$

for all $\Omega \in \alpha$, whenever Λ', Λ'' belong to the same β_k . Left almost periodic set functions are defined similarly.

Lemma 3. (i) Every right almost periodic set function is also left almost periodic and vice versa. Thus we simply use the term almost periodic.

(ii) Let $X, Y \subset R$ and let α and β be σ -algebras. Let $F_1: \alpha \rightarrow X$ and $F_2: \beta \rightarrow Y$ be arbitrary set functions. If $u: X \times Y \rightarrow R$ is an almost periodic function, then the set function $G: \alpha \times \beta \rightarrow R$ defined by

$$G(\Omega, \Lambda) = u(F_1(\Omega), F_2(\Lambda))$$

is almost periodic.

Proof: (i) See [3, p 47].

(ii) By (i), it is enough to show that G is right almost periodic. For any $\epsilon > 0$, let $\bigcup_{k=1}^m Y_k = Y$ be a finite covering of Y with

$$| u(x, y') - u(x, y'') | < \epsilon \text{ whenever } y', y'' \in Y_k$$

Let $\beta_k = \{ \Lambda \in \beta: F_2(\Lambda) \in Y_k \}$. Then $\bigcup_{k=1}^m \beta_k = \beta$.

Furthermore, for $\Lambda', \Lambda'' \in \beta_k$

$$| G(\Omega, \Lambda') - G(\Omega, \Lambda'') |$$

$$= | u(F_1(\Omega), F_2(\Lambda')) - u(F_1(\Omega), F_2(\Lambda'')) | < \epsilon,$$

because $F_1(\Lambda'), F_2(\Lambda'')$ belong to the same set Y_k . Since the boundedness of G is clear, G is right almost periodic.

Using the above lemma, many examples of almost periodic functions can be constructed easily. The next minimax theorem is free of w^* -lower semicontinuity, and is also a modification of Ky Fan's theorem for set functions.

Theorem 4. Let F be an almost periodic set function on the product set $\alpha \times \beta$, where α and β are σ -algebras. Then:

(i) The equality

$$\inf_{\Omega \in \alpha} \sup_{\Lambda \in \beta} F(\Omega, \Lambda) = \sup_{\Lambda \in \beta} \inf_{\Omega \in \alpha} F(\Omega, \Lambda) \quad (5)$$

holds if and only if the following condition is satisfied: For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any two finite sets $\{\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_n\} \subset \alpha$ and $\{\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_m\} \subset \beta$, there exist $\Omega_0 \in \alpha$, $\Lambda_0 \in \beta$ such that

$$|F(\Omega_0, \Lambda_k) - F(\Omega_i, \Lambda_0)| \leq \varepsilon, \quad (1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq m) \quad (6)$$

(ii) In particular, if F is convex on α and concave on β , then (6) holds.

Proof: We proof only that condition (6) implies (5). Everything else is the same as the proof in [3, pp.46-47]. Let $\{\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_n\} \subset \alpha$ and $\{\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_m\} \subset \beta$ be given. By the minimax theorem in [7, pp.153-155], there exist two sets $\{\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n\}$, $\{\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_m\}$ of nonnegative numbers with $\sum_{i=1}^n \zeta_i = 1$, $\sum_{k=1}^m \eta_k = 1$ such that

$$\max_{1 \leq k \leq m} \sum_{i=1}^n \zeta_i F(\Omega_i, \Lambda_k) \leq \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sum_{k=1}^m \eta_k F(\Omega_i, \Lambda_k). \quad (7)$$

Since $F(\cdot, \Lambda_1)$ is convex on α , for any given Morris-sequence $\{\Gamma_n^{1,1}\}$ associated with $\langle \lambda, \Omega_1, \Omega_2 \rangle$, $\lambda_1 \in I$, there exists a subsequence $\{\Gamma_{n_j}^{1,1}\}$ of $\{\Gamma_n^{1,1}\}$ such that

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} F(\Gamma_{n_j}^{1,1}, \Lambda_1) \leq \lambda_1 F(\Omega_1, \Lambda_1) + (1 - \lambda_1) F(\Omega_2, \Lambda_1).$$

Now, consider the Morris sequence $\{\Gamma_n^{1,2}\} = \{\Gamma_{n_j}^{1,2}\}$. Then the convexity of $F(\cdot, \Lambda_2)$ on α implies the existence of a subsequence $\{\Gamma_{n_j}^{1,2}\}$ of $\{\Gamma_n^{1,2}\}$, actually a subsequence of $\{\Gamma_n^{1,1}\}$, satisfying

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} F(\Gamma_{n_j}^{1,2}, \Lambda_2) \leq \lambda_1 F(\Omega_1, \Lambda_2) + (1 - \lambda_1) F(\Omega_2, \Lambda_2),$$

for $k=1, 2$. We continue this process m times, obtaining a subsequence $\{\Gamma_{n_j}^1\}$ of $\{\Gamma_n^{1,1}\}$, such that

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} F(\Gamma_{n_j}^1, \Lambda_k) \leq \lambda_1 F(\Omega_1, \Lambda_k) + (1 - \lambda_1) F(\Omega_2, \Lambda_k),$$

for $k=1, 2, \dots, m$. Therefore, for given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\Gamma_0^1 \in \alpha$ such that

$$F(\Gamma_0^1, \Lambda_k) - \varepsilon \leq \lambda_1 F(\Omega_1, \Lambda_k) + (1 - \lambda_1) F(\Omega_2, \Lambda_k), \quad k=1, \dots, m.$$

Next, consider a Morris-sequence $\{\Gamma_n^{2,1}\}$ associated with $\langle \lambda_2, \Gamma_0^1, \Omega_3 \rangle$, $\lambda_2 \in I$. Since $F(\cdot, \Lambda_1)$ is convex on α , there exists a subsequence $\{\Gamma_{n_j}^{2,1}\}$ such that

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} F(\Gamma_{n_j}^{2,1}, \Lambda_1) \leq \lambda_2 F(\Gamma_0^1, \Lambda_1) + (1 - \lambda_2) F(\Omega_3, \Lambda_1).$$

Use a similar argument to obtain a subsequence $\{\Gamma_{n_j}^2\}$ of $\{\Gamma_n^{2,1}\}$, such that

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} F(\Gamma_{n_j}^2, \Lambda_k) \leq \lambda_2 F(\Gamma_0^1, \Lambda_k) + (1 - \lambda_2) F(\Omega_3, \Lambda_k),$$

for $k=1, 2, \dots, m$. Hence for any given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\Gamma_0^2 \in \alpha$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} F(\Gamma_0^2, \Lambda_k) - \varepsilon &\leq \lambda_2 F(\Gamma_0^1, \Lambda_k) + (1 - \lambda_2) F(\Omega_3, \Lambda_k) \\ &\leq \lambda_2 \lambda_1 F(\Omega_1, \Lambda_k) + \lambda_2 (1 - \lambda_1) F(\Omega_2, \Lambda_k) \\ &\quad + (1 - \lambda_2) F(\Omega_3, \Lambda_k) + \lambda_2 \varepsilon, \quad k=1, 2, \dots, m. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\lambda_2 \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 (1 - \lambda_1) + (1 - \lambda_2) = 1$ and $\lambda_2 \lambda_1$, $\lambda_2 (1 - \lambda_1)$, $1 - \lambda_2$ are all nonnegative. Continuing this process for all the Ω_i 's ($i=1, \dots, n$), we have the following result.

For any given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $\Omega_0 \in \alpha$ such that

$$F(\Omega_0, \Lambda_k) \leq \zeta_1 F(\Omega_1, \Lambda_k) + \dots + \zeta_n F(\Omega_n, \Lambda_k) + (n-1)\varepsilon, \quad k=1, \dots, m. \quad (8)$$

Since the λ_i 's, $i=1, \dots, n-1$, are arbitrary real numbers in I , appropriate values can be chosen so that the coefficients of $F(\Omega_i, \Lambda_k)$ are ζ_i 's, $i=1, \dots, n$.

In the same manner, the concavity of $F(\Omega, \cdot)$ on β for each $\Omega \in \alpha$ implies the following: for any given $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\Lambda_0 \in \beta$ such that

$$F(\Omega_i, \Lambda_0) \geq \eta_1 F(\Omega_i, \Lambda_1) + \dots + \eta_m F(\Omega_i, \Lambda_m) + (m-1)\varepsilon, \quad i=1, \dots, n. \quad (9)$$

Combine (7), (8) and (9) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F(\Omega_\sigma, \Lambda_\kappa) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \zeta_i F(\Omega_i, \Lambda_\kappa) + (n-1)\epsilon \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^m \eta_k F(\Omega_i, \Lambda_k) + (n-1)\epsilon \\ &\leq F(\Omega_i, \Lambda_\sigma) + (m+n-2)\epsilon. \quad (1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq m) \end{aligned}$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, the proof is complete.

References

1. J.H. Chou, W.S. Hsia and T.Y. Lee, "On Multiple Objective Programming Problems with Set Functions," *J. of Math. Anal. and Appl.* V. 105, 383-394, 1985.
2. ———, ——— and ———, "Epigraphs of Convex Set Functions," *J. of Math. Anal. and Appl.* (to appear).
3. Ky Fan, "Minimax Theorems," *Proc. of Nat'l Acad. of Sci.*, V. 39, 42-47, 1953.
4. W.S. Hsia and T.Y. Lee, "Convex Programming with Set Functions," *Rocky Mountain J. of Math.*, V. 117 No. 3, 1987.
5. ——— and ———, "Lagrangian Function and Duality Theory in Multiobjective Programming with Set Functions," *J. of Optimization Theory and Appl.*, V. 57 No. 2, 1988. (to appear)
6. J.H. Lee, Convex Analysis of Set Functions, "Ph. D. Dissertation, University of Alabama, 1987.
7. J. von Neumann and O. Morgenstern, *Theory of Games and Economic Behavior*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 153-155. 1944.
8. R.T. Rockafellar, *Convex Analysis*, Princeton Univ. Press, N.J., 1970.