

θ -IRREDUCIBLE SPACES

By Dragan S. Janković and Paul E. Long

A topological space is called *irreducible* [1] if every pair of nonempty open subsets of the space has a nonempty intersection. Irreducible spaces are also known under the name of *D-spaces* [4], *hyperconnected* spaces [6] and *S-connected* spaces [7]. The purpose of this note is to introduce the class of θ -irreducible spaces containing the class of irreducible spaces and to investigate its properties.

Throughout, X and Y will denote spaces and $\text{cl } A$ (resp. $\text{int } A$) will denote the closure (resp. interior) of a subset A of a space. A subset A of a space X is said to be *regular-closed* (resp. *regular-open*) if $\text{cl } \text{int } A = A$ (resp. $\text{int } \text{cl } A = A$). The topology on X which has as its base the family of regular-open subsets of a space X is called the *semiregularization* topology. A topological property is called *semiregular* if it is shared by a space and its semiregularization. A point $x \in X$ is in the θ -closure [8] of a subset A of a space X ($x \in \text{cl}_\theta A$) if $\text{cl } U \cap A \neq \emptyset$ for each open set U containing x .

DEFINITION 1. A space X is θ -irreducible if every pair of nonempty regular-closed subsets of X has a nonempty intersection.

It is clear that irreducible spaces are θ -irreducible. Example 75 of [6] shows that there are θ -irreducible spaces which are Hausdorff and hence are not irreducible. We also point out that θ -irreducible spaces are not Urysohn.

Our first result is a restatement of the definition of θ -irreducibility.

THEOREM 1. A space X is θ -irreducible if and only if $\text{cl}_\theta \text{cl } U = X$ for each nonempty open subset U of X .

Recall that a space X is *almost-regular* [5] if for each $x \in X$ and each regular-closed subset F of X such that $x \notin F$ there exists disjoint open sets U and V such that $x \in U$ and $F \subset V$.

THEOREM 2. An almost-regular space is irreducible if and only if it is θ -irreducible.

It is known that a space X is irreducible if and only if it is connected and

extremally disconnected. We define a space X to be *extremally θ -disconnected* if $\text{cl}_\theta \text{cl } U$ is open for each open subset U of X and have the analogous characterization of θ -irreducibility.

THEOREM 3. *A space X is θ -irreducible if and only if X is connected and extremally θ -disconnected.*

THEOREM 4. *θ -irreducibility is semiregular.*

PROOF. This follows from the fact that a space and its semiregularization have the same regular-closed subsets.

The following lemma is easily established.

LEMMA 1. *Let A be a subset of a space X and B be a subset of A . Then $\text{cl}_\theta^A B \subset A \cap \text{cl}_\theta B$.*

A topological property P is called *contagious* [2] if a space X has P when a dense subset of X has P .

THEOREM 5. *θ -irreducibility is contagious.*

PROOF. Let D be a dense θ -irreducible subspace of a space X . Let V be a nonempty open subset of X . Then $V \cap D \neq \emptyset$ and since $V \cap D$ is open in D , by Theorem 1 it follows that $\text{cl}_\theta^D \text{cl}^D(V \cap D) = D$. Therefore $D = \text{cl}_\theta^D(D \cap \text{cl}(V \cap D))$ and consequently, $D = \text{cl}_\theta^D(D \cap \text{cl}V)$. By Lemma 1 it follows that $D \subset D \cap \text{cl}_\theta(D \cap \text{cl}V)$. Therefore $D \subset \text{cl}_\theta \text{cl}V$. This gives that $\text{cl}_\theta \text{cl}V = X$ since $\text{cl}_\theta \text{cl}V$ is closed and D is dense in X . By Theorem 1, X is θ -irreducible.

A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is θ -continuous [3] if for each $x \in X$ and each open set V containing $f(x)$ there exists an open set U containing x such that $f(\text{cl}U) \subset \text{cl}V$. Since the identity function from a space into its semiregularization as well as its inverse are both θ -continuous, the following result generalizes Theorem 4.

THEOREM 6. *θ -irreducibility is preserved under θ -continuous surjections.*

PROOF. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a θ -continuous surjection, let X be θ -irreducible, and let V be a nonempty open subset of Y . Since f is surjective there is an $x \in X$ such that $f(x) \in V$. The θ -continuity of f implies that there exists an open set U containing x such that $f(\text{cl}U) \subset \text{cl}V$. Since it is known that a function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is θ -continuous if and only if $f(\text{cl}_\theta A) \subset \text{cl}_\theta f(A)$ for each subset

A of X , $f(\text{cl}_\theta \text{cl } U) \subset \text{cl}_\theta f(\text{cl } U)$. Therefore $f(\text{cl}_\theta \text{cl } U) \subset \text{cl}_\theta \text{cl } V$ and since X is θ -irreducible, by Theorem 1 it follows that $Y = f(X) = f(\text{cl}_\theta \text{cl } U) \subset \text{cl}_\theta \text{cl } V$. This shows that Y is θ -irreducible.

LEMMA 2. Let $\{X_i : i \in I\}$ be family of spaces and let $A_i \subset X$ for each $i \in I$. Then $\text{cl}_\theta \prod \{A_i : i \in I\} = \prod \{\text{cl}_\theta A_i : i \in I\}$.

PROOF. Let $(x_i) \in \text{cl}_\theta \prod A_i$ and let $x_i \in U_i$, where U_i is open in X_i . Since $(x_i) \in U_i \times \prod_{j \neq i} X_j$ which is open in $\prod X_i$,

$$\phi \neq \text{cl}(U_i \times \prod_{j \neq i} X_j) \cap \prod A_i = (\text{cl } U_i \times \prod_{j \neq i} X_j) \cap \prod A_i = (\text{cl } U_i \cap A_i) \times \prod_{j \neq i} A_j.$$

Therefore $\text{cl } U_i \cap A_i \neq \phi$ and $x_i \in \text{cl}_\theta A_i$. This shows that $(x_i) \in \prod \text{cl}_\theta A_i$ and hence $\text{cl}_\theta \prod A_i \subset \prod \text{cl}_\theta A_i$. To establish the converse inclusion, let $(x_i) \in \prod \text{cl}_\theta A_i$. Then for each basic open set $U_{i_1} \times \cdots \times U_{i_n} \times \prod_{i \neq i_n} X_i$ containing (x_i) , each $\text{cl } U_{i_j} \cap A_{i_j} \neq \phi$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cl}(U_{i_1} \times \cdots \times U_{i_n} \times \prod_{i \neq i_n} X_i) \cap \prod A_i &= (\text{cl } U_{i_1} \times \cdots \times \text{cl } U_{i_n} \times \prod_{i \neq i_n} X_i) \cap \prod A_i \\ &= (\text{cl } U_{i_1} \cap A_{i_1}) \times \cdots \times (\text{cl } U_{i_n} \cap A_{i_n}) \times \prod_{i \neq i_n} A_i \neq \phi. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $(x_i) \in \text{cl}_\theta \prod A_i$ and $\prod \text{cl}_\theta A_i \subset \text{cl}_\theta \prod A_i$.

THEOREM 7. θ -irreducibility is productive.

PROOF. Let $\{X_i : i \in I\}$ be a family of spaces. Suppose that $\prod X_i$ is θ -irreducible. Since the projections are continuous, by Theorem 6 it follows that each X_i is θ -irreducible.

Conversely, assume that each X_i is θ -irreducible and let U be a nonempty open basic set in X_i . Then $U = U_{i_1} \times \cdots \times U_{i_n} \times \prod_{i \neq i_n} X_i$, and since $\text{cl } U = \text{cl } U_{i_1} \times \cdots \times \text{cl } U_{i_n} \times \prod_{i \neq i_n} X_i$, by Lemma 2 it follows that $\text{cl}_\theta \text{cl } U = \text{cl}_\theta \text{cl } U_{i_1} \times \cdots \times \text{cl}_\theta \text{cl } U_{i_n} \times \prod_{i \neq i_n} X_i$. Since X_i is θ -irreducible, $\text{cl}_\theta \text{cl } U_{i_j} = X_{i_j}$ by Theorem 1 and hence $\text{cl}_\theta \text{cl } U = \prod X_i$. Therefore $\prod X_i$ is θ -irreducible.

It is known that real valued continuous functions on irreducible spaces are constant. Our final result shows that this fact is also true in the case of θ -irreducible spaces. Recall that a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ has a θ -closed graph if the graph of f is a θ -closed subset of the product space $X \times Y$.

THEOREM 8. The following are equivalent for a space X .

- X is θ -irreducible.
- X is extremally θ -disconnected and for every space Y every θ -continuous

function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ with a θ -closed graph is constant.

(c) X is extremally θ -disconnected and for every Urysohn space Y every θ -continuous function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is constant.

(d) X is extremally θ -disconnected and every real valued continuous function on X is constant.

PROOF. Let X be a θ -irreducible and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a θ -continuous function with a θ -closed graph. Suppose that there exist $x, y \in X$ such that $f(x) \neq f(y)$. Since f has a θ -closed graph, there exist an open set U containing x and an open set V containing $f(y)$ such that $\text{cl}U \cap f^{-1}(\text{cl}V) = \emptyset$. The θ -continuity of f implies that there is an open set W containing y such that $\text{cl}W \subset f^{-1}(\text{cl}V)$. Therefore $\text{cl}U \cap \text{cl}W = \emptyset$ which contradicts the assumption that X is θ -irreducible. So f is constant. Since by Theorem 3, X is extremally θ -disconnected, (a) implies (b). From the fact that θ -continuous functions into Urysohn spaces have θ -closed graphs it follows that (b) implies (c). It is clear that (c) implies (d). To show that (d) implies (a), suppose that X is not θ -irreducible. Then there exists a nonempty set U of X such that $V = \text{cl}_\theta \text{cl}U \neq X$. Since X is extremally θ -disconnected, V is open. Therefore V is both open and closed. Let $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function defined by $f(x) = 0$ if $x \in V$ and $f(x) = 1$ if $x \in X - V$. Clearly f is continuous. This contradicts the assumption that every real valued continuous function on X is constant. Therefore X is θ -irreducible.

Faculty of Civil Engineering
University of Belgrade, Yugoslavia
and University of Arkansas

University of Arkansas
Fayetteville
Arkansas 72701,
U. S. A.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de mathématique*, Chap. 2, Hermann, Paris, 1961.
- [2] J. Chew, *Contagious properties*, Math. Mag., March 1974, 84-87.
- [3] S. V. Fomin, *Extensions of topological spaces*, Ann. of Math. (2) 44 (1943), 471-480.
- [4] N. Levine, *Dense topologies*, Amer. Math. Monthly 75 (1968), 847-852.
- [5] M. K. Singal and S. P. Arya, *On almost-regular spaces*, Glasnik Mat. Ser II, (4) 24 (1969), 89-99.
- [6] L. A. Steen and J. A. Seebach, Jr., *Counterexamples in topology*, Holt, Rinehart, and Winston, New York, 1970.
- [7] T. Thompson, *Characterizations of irreducible spaces*, Kyungpook Math J. 21 (2) (1981), 191-194.
- [8] N. V. Veličko, *H-closed topological spaces*, Mat. Sb. 70 (112) (1966), 98-112.