

The Moment Problem and C^n -Scalar Operators

by

Ralph de Laubenfels

>Abstract<

We show that a bounded linear operator, T , on a Banach space, X , is C^n -scalar if the sequence $\{\frac{k!}{(k+n)!} \phi(T^{k+n}x)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is positive-definite, for sufficiently many ϕ in X^* , x in X . We use this to show that $(T_n f)(t) \equiv tf(t) + nJf(t)$, where $Jf(t) = \int_0^t f(s)ds$, is C^n -scalar on $L^p([0,1], \nu)$, for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, for a large class of measures, ν . Other corollaries include the spectral theorem for bounded symmetric operators on a Hilbert space.

Introduction

An operator is C^n -scalar if it has a functional calculus defined for any function with n continuous derivatives. Even in finite dimensions, this provides an interesting generalization of self-adjoint operators. The 2×2 matrix

$A \equiv \begin{bmatrix} a & 1 \\ 0 & a \end{bmatrix}$ is C^1 -scalar, with $f(A) \equiv \begin{bmatrix} f(a) & f'(a) \\ 0 & f(a) \end{bmatrix}$, defining an algebra homomorphism. A similar construction, along with the Jordan canonical form for $n \times n$ matrices, shows that any $n \times n$ matrix is C^{n-1} -scalar. (See [3]).

The *moment problem*, from classical analysis, asks the following question: given A , a subset of the complex plane, for which sequences $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ will there exist a positive measure μ such that $a_n = \int_A t^n d\mu(t)$, for all n ? Simple answers have been given for $A = \mathbb{R}$, $[0, \infty]$, $[0, 1]$, or the unit circle. (See [8]).

Connections between moment theory and the spectral theorem have been observed many times. For example, in [1] and [7], the spectral theorem is proved using moment theory; in [6], some solutions to the moment problem are proven using the spectral theorem.

In this paper, we use the moment problem to get sufficient conditions for an

operator, T , to be C^n -scalar on $[-\|T\|, \|T\|]$. (Proposition 7) The case $n=0$, on a Hilbert space, gives the spectral theorem (Corollary 11). A sufficient condition for T to be C^n -scalar is that the sequence $\{\frac{k!}{(k+n)!} \phi(T^{k+n}x)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ be positive-definite, for "sufficiently many" ϕ in X^* , x in X . The precise meaning of "sufficiently many" is contained in the definition of a "determining set" (definition 3).

When X is a Banach lattice, it is sufficient to have the sequence above be positive-definite whenever ϕ and x are positive. (Corollary 9). When X is a Hilbert space, with inner product " $\langle \rangle$ ", it is sufficient to have the real and imaginary parts of the sequence $\{\frac{k!}{(k+n)!} \langle x, T^{k+n}x \rangle\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ be positive-definite, for all x in H (Corollary 10). Thus, the proof of the spectral theorem (Corollary 11) merely involves showing that $\{\langle x, T^k x \rangle\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is positive-definite whenever T is symmetric.

We apply our results to an operator first considered by Kantorovitz ([4],[5]), $(T_n f)(t) \equiv t f(t) + n \int_0^t f(s) ds$. He showed that T_n is C^n -scalar on $L^p[0,1]$, for $1 \leq p < \infty$. We will extend this to $p = \infty$, and to a large class of measures. We will show that T_n is C^n -scalar on $L^p([0,1], \nu)$, for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, whenever Lebesgue measure is absolutely continuous with respect to ν , with a bounded Radon-Nikodym derivative, and ν is finite.

All operators are bounded and linear, on a Banach space, usually labelled " X ".

Definition 1. If D is a closed, bounded subset of the real line, then $C^n(D)$ is defined to be the set of all $f: D \rightarrow C$ with n continuous derivatives. We will use the norm

$$(1) \quad \|f\|_{n,\infty} \equiv \sup_{k \leq n} \|f^{(k)}\|_{\infty} \equiv \sup_{x \in D, k \leq n} \{|f^{(k)}(x)|\}$$

where $f^{(k)}$ is the k^{th} -derivative of f .

Definition 2. T is C^n -scalar on D if there exists $A: C^n(D) \rightarrow B(X)$, a continuous algebra homomorphism, such that $Af_0 = I$, $Af_1 = T$, where $f_0(t) \equiv 1$, $f_1(t) \equiv t$. Af is often written " $f(T)$ ".

T is C^n -scalar on $[a,b]$, a bounded interval, if and only if there exists $M < \infty$ such that $\|p(T)\| \leq M \|p\|_{n,\infty}$, for all polynomials p , because the polynomials are dense in $C^n([a,b])$.

Definition 3. $\alpha \subseteq X \times X^*$ is a determining set if there exists $M < \infty$ such that whenever T is in $B(X, X^{**})$, with $|(Tx)(\phi)| \leq \|x\| \|\phi\|$, for all (x, ϕ) in α then $\|T\| \leq M$.

Theorem 4. Suppose there exists $M < \infty$ such that for all (x, ϕ) in α , a determining set, there exists $\mu_{\phi, x}$, a complex-valued measure, such that $\|\mu_{\phi, x}\|$, the total variation of $\mu_{\phi, x}$, is less than $M \|\mu_{\phi, x}\| [a, b]$, and for all k

$$\frac{k!}{(k+n)!} \phi(T^{k+n}x) = \int_a^b t^k d\mu_{\phi, x}(t).$$

Then T is C^n -scalar on $[a, b]$.

Proof. If p is a polynomial, then

$$\phi(p(T)x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{p^{(i)}(0)}{i!} \phi(T^i x) + \int_a^b p^{(n)}(t) d\mu_{\phi, x}(t),$$

for all (x, ϕ) in α , so that

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi(p(T)x)| &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{|p^{(i)}(0)|}{i!} |\phi(T^i x)| + \|p^{(n)}\|_{\infty} \|\mu_{\phi, x}\| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{|p^{(i)}(0)|}{i!} \|\phi\| \|T^i\| \|x\| + \|p^{(n)}\|_{\infty} (M \|\mu_{\phi, x}\| [a, b]) \\ &\leq \|p\|_{n, \infty} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\|\phi\| \|T^i\| \|x\|}{i!} + \frac{M}{n!} |\phi(T^n x)| \right) \\ &\leq \|p\|_{n, \infty} \|\phi\| \|x\| \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\|T^i\|}{i!} + \frac{M \|T^n\|}{n!} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since α is a determining set, there exists K such that $\|p(T)\| \leq K \|p\|_{n, \infty}$. Since p was an arbitrary polynomial, T is C^n -scalar on $[a, b]$.

Definition 5. A sequence $\{a_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is *positive definite* if $\sum \alpha_j a_{k+j} \geq 0$, for all finite sequences $\{\alpha_k\}$ of complex numbers.

There exists a positive measure μ such that $a_n = \int_R t^n d\mu(t)$, for all n , if and only if $\{a_n\}$ is positive-definite. (See [8]).

We will also need the following elementary lemma.

Lemma 6. Suppose $a_n = \int_R t^n d\mu(t)$, where μ is positive and there exists M, r so that $|a_n| \leq Mr^n$, for all n . Then μ is unique and supported on $[-r, r]$.

Proposition 7. Suppose the real and imaginary parts of the sequence $\left\{ \frac{k!}{(k+n)!} \phi(T^{k+n}x) \right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ are positive-definite, for all (x, ϕ) in α , a determining set. Then T is C^n -scalar on $[-\|T\|, \|T\|]$.

Proof. For all (x, ϕ) in α , since $|\frac{k!}{(k+n)!} \phi(T^{k+n}x)| \leq \|\phi\| \|x\| \|T^n\| (\|T\|)^k$, for all k , there exists unique positive measures $m_{\phi, x}$ and $v_{\phi, x}$ such that

$$\frac{k!}{(k+n)!} \phi(T^{k+n}x) = \int_{-\|T\|}^{\|T\|} t^k d(m_{\phi, x} + i v_{\phi, x})(t).$$

Let $\mu_{\phi, x} \equiv m_{\phi, x} + i v_{\phi, x}$. Since $\|\mu_{\phi, x}\| \leq 2\|m_{\phi, x}\|$, the result follows from Theorem 4.

Corollary 8. Suppose X is reflexive, and the real and imaginary parts of $\{\phi(T^k x)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ are positive-definite, for all (x, ϕ) in α , a determining set. Then T is a spectral operator of scalar type.

Proof. When X is reflexive, T is a spectral operator of scalar type if and only if T is C^0 -scalar. (See [2]).

Two examples of determining sets are given in the following two corollaries of Proposition 7.

A complex Banach lattice, X , may be formed by taking a real Banach lattice, Y , and letting $X \equiv \{x + iy | x, y \text{ are in } Y\}$, with the norm on X having the property that $\|x + iy\| \geq \max(\|x\|, \|y\|)$, for all x, y in Y , and X^+ the positive cone of X , be Y^+ .

Corollary 9. Suppose X is a Banach lattice, and the real and imaginary parts of $\{\frac{k!}{(k+n)!} \phi(T^{k+n}x)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ are positive-definite, for all positive ϕ, x . Then T is C^n -scalar on $[-\|T\|, \|T\|]$.

Proof. We need to show that $(X^*)^+ \times X^+$ is a determining set (See definition 3). So suppose T is in $B(X, X^{**})$, with $|(Tx)(\phi)| \leq \|x\| \|\phi\|$, for all positive x in X , positive ϕ in X^* . If ϕ is in X^* , then there exist $\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3, \phi_4$, in $(X^*)^+$, such that ϕ_1 is orthogonal to ϕ_2 , ϕ_3 is orthogonal to ϕ_4 , and

$$\phi = (\phi_1 - \phi_2) + i(\phi_3 - \phi_4).$$

$|(Tx)\phi| \leq \sum_{i=1}^4 |Tx(\phi_i)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^4 \|x\| \|\phi_i\| \leq 4\|x\| \|\phi\|$, if $x \in X^+$, so that $\|Tx\| \leq 4\|x\|$, for all positive x .

Repeating the argument above gives $\|T\| \leq 16$, so that $(X^*)^+ \times X^+$ is a determining set, as desired.

Corollary 10. Let H be a Hilbert space, with inner product " $\langle \rangle$ ". If the real

and imaginary parts of $\{\frac{k!}{(k+n)!} \langle x, T^{k+n}x \rangle\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ are positive-definite, for all x in H , then T is C^n -scalar on $[-\|T\|, \|T\|]$.

Proof. We must show that $\{(x, x) | x \text{ is in } H\} \subseteq H \times H$ is a determining set (See definition 3).

First, Suppose T is symmetric, and $|\langle x, Tx \rangle| \leq \|x\|^2$, for all x in H . A straightforward calculation gives $\langle x, Ty, \rangle = 1/4 [\langle x+y, T(x+y) \rangle - \langle x-y, T(x-y) \rangle + i(\langle x+iy, T(x+iy) \rangle - \langle x-iy, T(x-iy) \rangle)]$ so that

$$|\langle x, Ty \rangle| \leq 1/4 [\|x+y\|^2 + \|x-y\|^2 + \|x+iy\|^2 + \|x-iy\|^2] = \|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2.$$

Thus $\|T\| = \sup_{\|x\|, \|y\| \leq 1} |\langle x, Ty \rangle| \leq 2.$

Now suppose T is an arbitrary bounded operator, such that $|\langle x, Tx \rangle| \leq \|x\|^2$, for all x in H . Let $R \equiv \frac{1}{2}(T+T^*)$, $S \equiv \frac{i}{2}(T^*-T)$. Then R and S are symmetric, and $T = R+iS$.

By what we've already shown, since $|\langle x, Rx \rangle|$ and $|\langle x, Sx \rangle|$ are $\leq \|x\|^2$, for all x , $\|R\|$ and $\|S\|$ are both ≤ 2 . Thus $\|T\| \leq 4$.

This concludes the proof.

One consequence is the well-known spectral theorem.

Corollary 11. A bounded symmetric operator on a Hilbert space is a spectral operator of scalar type.

Proof. For any x ,

$$\sum_{k,j} \alpha_k \bar{\alpha}_j \langle x, T^{k+j}x \rangle = \langle \sum \alpha_k T^k x, \sum \alpha_j T^j x \rangle = \|\sum \alpha_k T^k x\|^2 \geq 0,$$

because T is symmetric; that is, $\{\langle x, T^k x \rangle\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is positive-definite. The result follows from Corollary 8.

Remark. Proposition 7 and Corollaries 8-11 can all be modified to conclude that the operators are C^n -scalar on $[0, \|T\|]$, by adding the hypothesis that $\sum_{k,j} \alpha_k \alpha_j a_{k+j} \geq 0$, for all finite sequences $\{\alpha_j\}$, where $a_k \equiv \frac{k!}{(k+n)!} \phi(T^{k+n}x)$. (See [8].)

Example. For all future discussion, let

$$(2) \quad (T_n f)(t) \equiv t f(t) + n(Jf)(t), \text{ where } (Jf)(t) \equiv \int_0^t f(s) ds.$$

The behavior of T_n becomes more transparent when one notes that $(T_n^k f)$ equals $(t^k J^n f)^{(k)}$, for all k , so that $g(T_n)$ may be defined by $g(T_n)f \equiv (g J^n f)^{(k)}$, for any g with n continuous derivatives.

Theorem 12. Suppose Lebesgue measure is absolutely continuous with respect to ν , with a bounded Radon-Nikodym derivative, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and ν is finite. Then T_n , on $L^p([0, 1], \nu)$, is C^n -scalar on $[0, 1]$.

Proof. Let m be Lebesgue measure. We're given that $\|\frac{dm}{d\nu}\|_\infty$ and $\nu[0, 1]$ are finite. Note that this implies that $Jf(t) \equiv \int_0^t f(s) ds$ is a bounded operator on $L^p([0, 1], \nu)$, by the following calculation (for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$).

$$\begin{aligned} \|Jf\|_p^p &= \int_0^1 \left| \int_0^t f(s) ds \right|^p d\nu(t) \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |f(s)|^p ds d\nu(t), \text{ by Jensen's inequality,} \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |f(s)|^p d\nu(t) \frac{dm}{d\nu}(s) d\nu(s) \\ &= \int_0^1 \nu[0, 1] |f(s)|^p \frac{dm}{d\nu}(s) d\nu(s) \\ &\leq \nu[0, 1] \|\frac{dm}{d\nu}\|_\infty \|f\|_p^p. \end{aligned}$$

Let $Kg(t) \equiv \int_0^t g(s) ds$. For $p > 1$, let q be such that $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{p} = 1$; if $p = 1$, let $q = \infty$. To apply Corollary 9, we calculate as follows, for any positive f in L^p , g in L^q .

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{k!}{(k+n)!} \int_0^1 g(T_n^{k+n} f) d\nu &= \frac{k!}{(k+n)!} \int_0^1 g \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} (t^{k+n-i})^{(i)} J^i f \right) d\nu \\ &= \int_0^1 g \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} \frac{k!}{(k+n-i)!} t^{k+n-i} J^i f \right) d\nu \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} \int_0^1 t^k K^{n-i}(g(J^i f)) d\nu \end{aligned}$$

(integrating by parts $(n-i)$ times in the i th term)

$$= \int_0^1 t^k \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} K^{n-i}(g(J^i f)) \right) d\nu$$

Since $(\sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} K^{n-i}(g(J^i f)dv))$ is positive, for all (f, g) in $(L^p)^+ \times (L^q)^+$, a determining set, T_n is C^n -scalar on $[0, 1]$, by Corollary 9.

Corollary 13. Suppose g is bounded and measurable on $[0, 1]$ and bounded below by a positive number. Then T_n , on $L^p([0, 1], g dt)$, is C^n -scalar on $[0, 1]$, when $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

References

1. N.I. Akhiezer and I.M. Glazman, *Theory of Linear Operators on a Hilbert Space*, (Ungar, New York, 1961).
2. H.R. Dowson, *Spectral Theory of Linear Operators*, Academic Press (1978).
3. N. Dunford and T. Schwartz, *Linear Operators, Part III*, Wiley-Interscience(1971)
4. S. Kantorovitz, "The C^1 -Classification of Certain Operators in L_p ", Transactions AMS, 132 (1968), 323~333.
5. S. Kantorovitz, "Characterization of C^n -Operators", Indiana Univ. Math J., 25(1976), #1, 119~133.
6. M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, Part II*, (Academic Press, 1975).
7. H. Schaefer, "A Generalized Moment Problem", Math. Annalen 146(1962), 326~330.
8. J.A. Shohat and J.D. Tamarkin, *The Problem of Moments*, AMS Math Surveys, Number 1 (1943).

Department of Mathematical Sciences
University of Tulsa.
Tulsa, Oklahoma, (918) 592—6000, U.S.A.