

Representation of Bounded Operators by Hellingers Integral*

by

Ismat Beg

> **Abstract** <

Bounded linear operators defined from a space with vector norm into (LF)-complete vector lattice are represented by Hellinger integration.

1. The Space $F(\tau, X)$

Suppose T is a non-empty set, τ an algebra of subsets of T . We shall denote with $A(\tau, X)$ the set of additive bounded functions defined on τ with values in complete vector lattice X . Let μ be a real valued positive additive function defined on τ . A function F in $A(\tau, X)$ is said to be μ -simple function if for all $A \in \tau$,

$$F(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(A \cap A_i) x_i \dots\dots\dots(1)$$

where $x_i \in X$ and $\{A_i\} \ i=1, 2, \dots, n$ is a τ -partition of T . $S(\tau, X)$ denotes the family of μ -simple functions.

With order relation: $F_1 \leq F_2$ where $F_1(A) \leq F_2(A)$ for all $A \in \tau$, the space $A(\tau, X)$ becomes a complete vector lattice, and $\|F\| = |F(T)|$ is a vector seminorm on this space. Define $F(\tau, X) = \{F: F \in A(\tau, X) \text{ and there exists a sequence } (F_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, F_n \in S(\tau, X), \text{ such that } (\theta)\text{-lim} \|F_n - F\| = 0\}$.

Let Y be a complete vector lattice and m a positive additive function defined on τ with values in $(X, Y)^r$ -the space of regular operators defined on X with values in Y . If $F \in S(\tau, X)$, (given in form (1)), then

$$\|F\| = \sum_{i=1}^n m(A_i) (|X_i|)$$

is a vector seminorm on $S(\tau, X)$. For functions in $S(\tau, X)$ we define an integration of Hellinger Type:

* Mathematics subject classifications(1980): Primary 47A67; Secondary 47B38, 47B55, 46B99, 28B99.

$$\int_T \frac{dmdF}{d\mu} = \sum_{i=1}^n m(A_i) (x_i) \dots\dots\dots(2)$$

where F is given in the form (1). It can be easily proved that

$$\left| \int_T \frac{dmdF}{d\mu} \right| \leq \|F\| \dots\dots\dots(3)$$

For more details, we refer to R. Cristescu (1) and F. Riesz and B.S. Nagy (3).

Remark (a) We can prove using Theorem 6.3 of Kawai (2) that in a (LF) -vector lattice with (θ) -continuous or regular topology, any sequence $(X_n)_{n \in N}$ of elements of X is (θ) -convergent, if

$$(\theta)\text{-}\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (X_k - X_{n_k}) = 0$$

for every subsequence $(x_{n_k})_{k \in N}$ of $(x_n)_{n \in N}$.

2. Bounded Operators on the Space $F(\tau, X)$

In what follows X will be a σ -regular complete vector lattice whereas Y will be a σ -regular (LF) -complete vector lattice.

Proposition 1. If a function m has property

$$m(A) \leq \mu(A) W, \dots\dots\dots(4)$$

for any $A \in \tau$ and $0 \leq W \in (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})^r$ -the space of (θ) -continuous regular operators, then integration (2) holds for any $F \in F(\tau, X)$ and retains its property of linearity and positivity.

Proof. Let $F \in F(\tau, X)$ then there exists a sequence $(F_n)_{n \in N}$, $F_n \in S(\tau, X)$ such that $(\theta)\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|F_n - F\| = 0$.

It follows that, $(\theta)\text{-}\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|F_k - F_{n_k}\| = 0$ for any subsequence $(F_{n_k})_{k \in N}$ of $(F_n)_{n \in N}$. Using inequality (4), it implies $(\theta)\text{-}\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|F_k - F_{n_k}\| = 0$ for any subsequence $(F_{n_k})_{k \in N}$ of $(F_n)_{n \in N}$.

So, $\left| \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(A_i^k) (x_i^k) \right| \xrightarrow{\theta} 0$ in X implies $\sum_{i=1}^n m(A_i^k) (|x_i^k|) \xrightarrow{\theta} 0$ in Y .

Using inequality (3), we have

$$(\theta)\text{-}\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_T \frac{dm}{d\mu} d(F_k - F_{n_k}) \right| \leq (\theta)\text{-}\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|F_k - F_{n_k}\| = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$(\theta)\text{-}\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_{\tau} \frac{dm dF_k}{d\mu} - \int_{\tau} \frac{dm dF_{n_k}}{d\mu} \right| = 0.$$

Remark (a) of Section 1, implies that the sequence $\left\{ \int_{\tau} \frac{dm dF_n}{d\mu} \right\}_{n \in N}$ is (θ) -convergent, since Y is σ -regular (LF)-complete vector lattice. Now put

$$(\theta)\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\tau} \frac{dm dF_n}{d\mu} = \int_{\tau} \frac{dm dF}{d\mu}, \dots\dots\dots(5)$$

Inequalities (3) and (4) imply that, the limit in (5) is independent of the choice of the sequence $(F_n)_{n \in N}$. Obviously integral (5) is a positive linear operator defined on $F(\tau, X)$.

It is noted that if F_X is a vector space with a vector seminorm (with values in X) and U a linear operator, which maps F_X into complete vector lattice Y , for which there exists a positive operator $W \in (X, Y)_\theta^+$ such that

$$|U(F)| \leq (W |||F|||), \dots\dots\dots(6)$$

then U is bounded.

Proposition 2. Let m be a positive additive function on τ with values in the space $(X, Y)'$, satisfying the condition $m(A) \leq \mu(A)W$ for each $A \in \tau$ and $0 \leq W \in (X, Y)_\theta^+$, then the formula

$$U(F) = \int_{\tau} \frac{dm dF}{d\mu}, \dots\dots\dots(7)$$

defines a bounded positive linear operator on $F(\tau, X)$ with values in Y .

Proof. First of all suppose that F is μ -simple function given by

$$F(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(A \cap A_i) x_i \text{ for any } A \in \tau.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |U(F)| &= \left| \int_{\tau} \frac{dm dF}{d\mu} \right| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^n m(A_i)(x_i) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(A_i) W(x_i) \right| \leq W \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(A_i)(x_i) \right| = W(|||F|||). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$|U(F)| \leq W(\|F\|). \quad \dots\dots\dots(8)$$

On the other hand, from proposition (1) it is clear that for any $F \in \mathcal{F}(\tau, X)$, (which is not μ -simple) there exists a sequence of μ -simple functions $(F_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$(\theta)\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|F_n - F\| = 0. \quad \dots\dots\dots(9)$$

Therefore,

$$|U(F)| = \int_{\tau} \frac{dm \, dF}{d\mu} = (\theta)\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\tau} \frac{dm \, dF_n}{d\mu},$$

and

$$|U(F)| \leq ((\theta)\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} W(\|F_n\|)).$$

Since W is (θ) -continuous, therefore,

$$|U(F)| \leq W((\theta)\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|F_n\|)$$

and

$$|U(F)| \leq W(\|F\|).$$

It follows that U is a bounded operator.

Since Hellinger Integral is positive and linear, thus U is a bounded, positive linear operator.

Proposition 3. If U is a bounded, positive linear operator (in sense of (6)) on $\mathcal{F}(\tau, X)$ with values in Y then there exists a positive additive function m defined on τ with values in $(X, Y)'$, satisfying inequality (4) and

$$U(F) = \int_{\tau} \frac{dm \, dF}{d\mu} \text{ for all } F \in \mathcal{F}(\tau, X).$$

Proof. For every $A \in \tau$ and $x \in X$, we can define an operator F_A^x on τ with values in X , by $F_A^x(A_i) = \mu(A \cap A_i)(x)$ for all $A_i \in \tau$. Obviously, F_A^x is μ -simple.

Operator $m(A)$ defined on X with values in Y by $m(A)(x) = U(F_A^x)$ is a positive linear operator and m (as mapping: $A \rightarrow m(A)$, of τ into $(X, Y)'$) is positive, set additive and satisfies the inequality (4).

Case I. Suppose $F \in \mathcal{F}(\tau, X)$ is μ -simple (that is given by equality (1)), then

$$\begin{aligned}
 U(F(A)) &= U\left[\sum_{i=1}^n \mu(A \cap A_i) x_i\right] \\
 &= U\left[\sum_{i=1}^n F_{A_i}^{x_i}(A)\right] \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n U(F_{A_i}^{x_i}(A)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$U(F) = \sum_{i=1}^n U(F_{A_i}^{x_i}) = \sum_{i=1}^n m(A_i)(x_i) = \int_{\mathcal{T}} \frac{dm dF}{d\mu}$$

Case II. Suppose $F \in \mathcal{F}(\tau, X)$ is not μ -simple. Then there exists a sequence $(F_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of μ -simple functions such that

$$(\theta)\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|F_n - F\| = 0$$

and

$$|U(F_n) - U(F)| = |U(F_n - F)| \leq W(\|F_n - F\|) \xrightarrow{\theta} 0.$$

Hence

$$U(F) = (\theta)\text{-}\lim_n U(F_n) \dots\dots\dots (10)$$

From (10) and proposition (1), we arrive at

$$U(F) = \int_{\mathcal{T}} \frac{dm dF}{d\mu} \dots\dots\dots (11)$$

Proposition (1), (2) and (3) imply the following theorem.

Theorem 4. If X is σ -regular complete vector lattice and Y σ -regular (LF) -complete vector lattice then general form of a bounded positive linear operator (in sense of (6)) defined on $\mathcal{F}(\tau, X)$ with values in Y is given by

$$U(F) = \int_{\mathcal{T}} \frac{dm dF}{d\mu}$$

where m is a positive, additive function defined on τ with values in $(X, Y)'$ satisfying inequality (4).

References

1. Cristescu, R. Integrales de Hellinger dans les espaces lineaires ordonnes. Rev. Roum. math. *pures et appl.*, **16**(1971), 483~486.
2. Kawai, I. Locally Convex Lattices. *Jour. Math. Soc. Japan*, **9**(1957), 281~314.
3. Riesz, F. and Nagy, B.S. Functional Analysis. Frederick Ungar Publishing Co., New York(1965).

Department of Mathematics
Quaid-i-Azam University
Islamabad, PAKISTAN