

ON CS-SEMIDEVELOPABLE SPACES

SUNG RYONG YOO

0. Instruction

In this paper cs-semidevelopable spaces are defined and shown to be the same as the semimetrizable spaces. Strongly cs-semidevelopable space are defined in a natural way and proved to coincide with an important class of semi-metric space, namely those in which "Cauchy sequence suffice". These space are shown to possess a few other interesting properties. Probably the most significant of these are that a space X is a cf-semistratifiable $w\mathcal{A}$ -space if and only if it is cs-semidevelopable and that the image of a cs-semidevelopable space under a continuous pseudo open is cs-semidevelopable.

1. Cs-semidevelopable spaces

DEFINITION 1.1. (D1). A development for a space X is a sequence

$$\mathcal{A} = \{g_n | n \in N\}$$

of open covers of X such that $\{st(x, g) | n \in N\}$ is a local base at x , for each $x \in X$. A space is developable if and only if there exists a development for the space,

DEFINITION 1.2. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{g_n | n \in N\}$ be a sequence of (not necessarily open) covers of space X ,

(D2). \mathcal{A} is a semidevelopment for X if and only if, for each $x \in X$, $\{st(x, g_n) | n \in N\}$ is a local system of neighborhoods at x .

(D3). A semidevelopment of X is a strong-semidevelopment if and only if for each $M \subset X$ and $x \in M$ there exists a descending sequence $\{G_n | n \in N\}$ such that $x \in G_n \in g_n$ and $G_n \cap M \neq \phi$.

(D4). A semidevelopment Δ for X is a point-finite semidevelopment if and only if for each $x \in X$ and for each positive integer n , x is contained in only a finite number of sets in g_n .

(D5). A semidevelopment Δ for X is a cs-semidevelopment if and only if for each convergent sequence $x_n \rightarrow x$ and for each open subset U containing $x \in X$, there is a positive integer k such that $x \in \text{st}(x, g_k) \subset U$ and $\langle x_n \rangle$ is eventually in $\text{st}(x, g_k)$.

A space is called semidevelopable if and only if there exists a semidevelopment for X . Similarly, X is called strongly (and/or point finite) semidevelopable if there exists a strong (and/or point-finite) semidevelopment for X .

Finally, a space X is called cs-semidevelopable if and only if there exists a cs-semidevelopment for X . Similarly that X is called strongly (and/or point-finite) cs-semidevelopable if and only if there exists a strong (and/or point-finite) cs-semidevelopment for X .

PROPOSITION 1.3. In order that a sequence $\Delta = \{g_n | n \in N\}$ of cover of a space X be a cs-semidevelopment it is necessary and sufficient that for each $M \subset X$ and $x \in M$ there exists a sequence $\{G_n | n \in N\}$ such that

$$x \in G_n \in g_n \text{ and } G_n \cap M \neq \phi$$

PROOF. Straightforward from Definition 1.2.

For late use, we note that every (point-finite and/or strongly) cs-semidevelopable space has a (point-finite and/or strong) cs-semidevelopment $\{g_n | n \in N\}$ having the property that $g_{n+1} \subset g_n$ for each positive integer $n \in N$. Hence, whenever the existence of a cs-semidevelopment is assumed in a theorem. We may assume that it has the property mentioned above cs-semidevelopments having this property shall be called refining cs-semidevelopments.

DEFINITION 1.4. A metric on a space X is a function d :

$X \times X \rightarrow R$ (real numbers) satisfying the following conditions:

For each $x, y, z \in X$ and $\phi \neq M \subset X$,

- (1) $d(x, x) = 0$
- (2) $d(x, y) > 0$ if $x \neq y$
- (3) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$
- (4) $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$
- (5) $x \in \bar{M}$ if and only if $d(x, M) = \inf \{d(x, m) | m \in M\} = 0$

DEFINITION 1.5. A semi-metric on a space X is a function $d: X \times X \rightarrow R$ satisfying conditions (1), (2), (3) and (5) above. By a (semi-) metric space we mean a space X together with a specific (semi-) metric on X . In this paper, whenever the (semi-) metric is not specified it will be assumed to be denoted by the letter " d "; the sphere about the point x of radius " ϵ " will be denoted by $S(x; \epsilon)$. Note that spheres need not be open that $x \in \text{Int } S(x; \epsilon)$ if $\epsilon > 0$.

It should be noted that in most of our theorem the T_0 property is assumed. This is usually done to insure that a cs-semidevelopable space satisfies (2) in the previous

definition which is satisfied in a semi-metric spaces.

DEFINITION 1.6. Let (X, d) be a semi-metric space. A sequence $\{x_n | n \in N\}$ in X is a Cauchy sequence if and only if for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists an integer N_0 such that $d(x_m, x_n) < \epsilon$ whenever $m, n > N_0$.

Note that because of the lack of the triangle inequality not all convergent sequences in a semi-metric space are necessarily Cauchy sequences.

2. Theorems for Cs-semidevelopable spaces

THEOREM 2.1. A space X is semi-metrizable if and only if it is a cs-semidevelopable space.

PROOF. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{g_n | n \in N\}$ be a refining cs-semidevelopement for the cs-semidevelopable space where, without loss of generality, $g_1 = \{X\}$. For $x, y \in X$, let $n(x, y)$ be the smallest integer n such that there is n_0 element of g_n containing both x and y . If n_0 such integer exists let $n(x, y) = \infty$

Define $d: X \times X \rightarrow R$ as follows. For $x, y \in X$, let $d(x, y) = 2^{-n(x, y)}$, where $2^{-\infty} = 0$. Then clearly, for every $x, y \in X$, $d(x, x) = 0$ and $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$. Also if $x \neq y$, then, since X satisfies (D5) in the previous Definition 1.2., there is an open set U containing one of the points, say x but not the other. Then there is an integer n such that $x \in st(x, g_n) \subset U$. Then $y \in U$ implies $y \in st(x, g_n)$ which implies $y \in st(x, g_i)$ for each $i \geq n$.

It follows that $n(x, y) \leq n$ and hence $d(x, y) \geq 2^{-n} > 0$.

New note that $S(x; 2^{-n}) = st(x, g_n)$ for each $x \in X$ and each integer n . For $y \in S(x; 2^{-n})$ if and only if $d(x, y) < 2^{-n}$ if and only if $n(x, y) > n$ if and only if there exists $G \in g_n$ such that $x, y \in G$ if and only if $y \in st(x, g_n)$. Now

let $M \subset X$. Then $x \in \bar{M}$ if and only if $st(x, g_n) \cap M \neq \emptyset$ for each integer n if and only if $S(x:2^{-n}) \cap M \neq \emptyset$ for each integer n if and only if $d(x, M) = 0$. Hence, d is a semi-metric on X .

Conversely, assume that d is a semi-metric on X .

For each positive integer n , let g_n be the collection of all sets of diameter less than $1/n$. Then for each n , $S(x:1/n) = st(x, g_n)$. For let $y \in S(x:1/n)$. Then $G = \{x, y\} \in g_n$ implies $y \in st(x, g_n)$. On the other hand, let $y \in st(x, g_n)$. Then there is $G \in g_n$ such that $x, y \in G$, and therefore, $d(x, y) \leq \text{diam } G < 1/n$ thus, $y \in S(x:1/n)$.

Now let U be an open set containing the point x . Then there is an integer n such that $x \in \text{Int } S(x:1/n) \subset S(x:1/n) \subset S(x_n:1/n) \subset U$. Therefore, $x \in \text{Int } st(x, g_n) \subset st(x, g_n) \subset st(x_n, g_n) \subset U$ and $\langle x_n \rangle$ is eventually in $st(x, g)$. Hence $\{g_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a cs -semidevelopment for X .

COROLLARY 2.2. Every cs -semidevelopable space is T_1 .

PROOF. Since every cs -semidevelopable space implies T_0 semi-developable and moreover T_0 semidevelopable spaces succeed T_1 -space.

THEOREM 2.3. In a cs -semidevelopable space the following conditions are equivalent:

(1) For each $M \subset X$ and each $x \in \bar{M}$, there exists a descending sequence of sets $\{G_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of arbitrarily small diameters such that for each n , $x \in G_n$ and $x \in G_n \cap U \neq \emptyset$.

(2) For each $M \subset X$ and each $x \in \bar{M}$, there exists a Cauchy sequence in M converging to x .

(3) Every convergent sequence has a Cauchy Subsequence.

PROOF. Let d be a semi-metric on X since every cs-semidevelopable space implies a semi-metric space.

(1) implies (3). Let $S = \{x_n | n \in N\}$ be a sequence in X converging to the point $x \in X$. It $x_n = x$ for infinitely many n , then clearly we can define a Cauchy subsequence of S .

Otherwise let $M = \{x_n | n \in N\} \setminus \{x\}$. Then $x \in \bar{M}$ implies, by (1), that there is a descending sequence of sets $\{G_n | n \in N\}$ of arbitrarily small diameters such that for each n , $x \in G_n$ and $G_n \cap M \neq \phi$. We now define a subsequence of $\{x_n | n \in N\}$ inductively. Choose $x_{n_1} \in G_1 \cap M$. Suppose x_{n_i} has been chosen for each $i = 1, 2, k-1$, such that $x_{n_i} \in G_i \cap M$ and $n_i > n_{i-1}$. Now observe that $G_k \cap M$ is infinite.

For suppose not: say $G_k \cap M = \{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$. Then there exists $n_0 > K$ such that $\text{diam } G_{n_0} < \min\{d(x, a_i) | i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$. Clearly $a_i \in G_{n_0}$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. But then $M \cap G_{n_0} \subset M \cap G_k = \{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$

implies $M \subset G_{n_0} = \phi$, which is a contradiction.

Hence we can choose $x_{n_k} \in G_k \cap M$ such that $n_k > n_{k-1}$. Thus we have defined a subsequence $\{x_{n_k} | k \in N\}$ of S which is Cauchy. For let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Then there is an integer N_0 such that $\text{diam } G_{N_0} < \epsilon$. For $i, j \geq N_0$, We then have $x_{n_i} \in G_i \subset G_{N_0}$ and $x_{n_j} \in G_j \subset G_{N_0}$.

Thus $d(x_{n_i}, x_{n_j}) \leq \text{diam } G_{N_0} < \epsilon$.

(3) implies (2): Assume $M \subset X$ and $x \in \bar{M}$. Since X is first countable there is a sequence $\{x_n | n \in N\}$ in M which converges to x .

By (3), this sequence has a Cauchy subsequence $\{x_{n_k} | k \in N\}$.

Then $\{x_{n_k} | k \in N\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in M converging

to x .

(2) implies (1): Let $M \subset X$ and assume $x \in \bar{M}$. Then, by (2), there is a Cauchy sequence $\{x_n | n \in N\}$ in M which converges to x . For each n , let $G_n = \{x_i | i \geq n\} \cup \{x\}$. Then $\{G_n | n \in N\}$ is a descending sequence of sets of arbitrarily small diameters such that for each n , $x \in G_n$ and $G_n \cap M \neq \phi$.

DEFINITION 2.4. A space X is strongly semi-metrizable if and only if a semi-metric satisfying any one of the conditions of the previous theorem can be realized on X .

Such a semi-metric is called a strong semi-metric.

THEOREM 2.5. A space X is strongly semi-metrizable if and only if it is a strongly cs-semidevelopable space.

PROOF. Let d be a strong semi-metric for X then, by Theorem 2.3 d satisfying condition (1). Now consider the cs-semidevelopment defined in Theorem 2.1.

By the definition of \mathcal{A}_d and the fact that d satisfies the condition (1), it follows immediately that \mathcal{A}_d is a strong cs-semidevelopment. Conversely, let $\mathcal{A} = \{g_n | n \in N\}$ be a refining strong cs-semidevelopment for X . Let d_n be the semi-metric on X as defined in Theorem 2.1. Observe that with this semi-metric, $\text{diam } G \leq 2^{-n}$ for each $G \in g_n$ and $n \in N$. Thus it follows the definition of a strong semi-development that d_n satisfies condition (1) of the previous theorem and hence all of the conditions.

DEFINITION 2.6. A space X is a $w\mathcal{A}$ -space if and only if there is a sequence $\{g_n | n \in N\}$ of open cover of X such that, for each $x \in X$, if $x_n \in \text{st}(x, g_n)$ for $n \in N$ then the

sequence $\langle x_n \rangle$ has a cluster point. Such a sequence of open covers is called a $w\mathcal{A}$ -sequence for X .

THEOREM 2.7. A space X is a cf-semistratifiable $w\mathcal{A}$ -space if and only if it is cs-semidevelopable.

PROOF. Let F be a cf-semistratification for a space X , and let $\mathcal{A} = \{g_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a $w\mathcal{A}$ -sequence for the space X . We can take a $st(x, g_n)$ such that $st(x, g_n) \subset A_\alpha \subset F(k, U)$, Where A_α is an element of any filterbase in X .

Since from definition of filterbase, g_{n+1} is an open refinement of g_n for all n . Thus $\{st(x, g_n) | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a local system of neighborhood at x , therefore $\{g_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a semidevelopment for X and moreover, there is a convergent sequence $\langle x_n \rangle$ in the space X since X is a $w\mathcal{A}$ -space, there is a positive $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x \in st(x, g_n)$ and $x_n \in st(x, g_n) \subset U$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Hence the semidevelopable space implies a cs-semidevelopable space as desired.

Conversely, let $\{H_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be an open covers of X , and let $\widehat{\mathcal{A}} = \{A_\alpha | \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$ be a convergent filter base for X . For

each positive integer n , let $g_n = \{G | G = (\bigcap_{i=1}^n H_i) \cap (\bigcap_{i=1}^n A_{\alpha_i})$,

$H_i \in \widehat{H}_i, A_{\alpha_i} \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}\}$,

then $\{g_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a cs-semidevelopment for X . To show that $\{g_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a $w\mathcal{A}$ -sequence with a cf-semistratification for X . We can choose a neighborhood $U(x)$ of x such that $x \in st(x, g_n) \subset U(x)$. Since $\{g_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a semidevelopment for X , and choose a sequence $\langle x_n \rangle$ such that for all n , $x_n \in st(x, g_n)$, then $x_n \in U(x)$ this implies that $\langle x_n \rangle$ converges to x since g_{n+1} is an open refinement of g_n for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence there is $A_\alpha \in g_n$ such that $x_n \in A_\alpha$

$\subset st(x, g_i)$. Suppose the filter base $\mathcal{U} = \{A_\alpha | \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$ converging to x has a cluster point p such that $x \neq p$. Then clearly there is a positive integer k such that for a neighborhood V of p , $V(p) \cap st(x, g_i) = \emptyset$. Now for $n \geq k$, $A_\alpha \subset st(x, g_n) \subseteq st(x, g_i)$ for all $\alpha \geq \beta$, $\beta \in \mathcal{A}$ and so $A_\alpha \cap V(p) = \emptyset$ for all $\alpha \geq \beta$. This contradicts the fact p is a cluster point of \mathcal{U} . Thus $\{g_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a cf-semistratifiable $w\mathcal{I}$ -space.

COROLLARY 2.8. Let X be a regular $w\mathcal{I}$ -space. Then X is an α -space if and only if X is a cs-semidevelopable space.

3. Mapping

Charles C. Alexander introduced the concept of pseudo map.

DEFINITION 3.1. Let X and Y be topological spaces. Then a surjective map from X onto Y is pseudo-open if and only if for each $y \in Y$ and each open neighborhood U of $f^{-1}(y)$ in X , $y \in \text{Int } f(U)$.

THEOREM 3.2. The image of a cs-semidevelopable space under a continuous pseudo-open map is cs-semidevelopable.

PROOF. Let f be a continuous pseudo-open map from a cs-semidevelopable space X onto a space Y and $\mathcal{A} = \{g_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ a cs-semidevelopment for X . For each open V_n containing a point of Y and for all n , we can put

$$f^{-1}(V_n) = st(x, g_n).$$

Since \mathcal{A} is a cs-semidevelopment for X and f is continuous, let U be any open set in X including $f^{-1}(V_n)$, then

there is an convergent sequence $\langle x_n \rangle$ converging a point x belonging to $f^{-1}(y)$ in \widehat{U} , where $\langle y_n \rangle$ converges to y in Y . On the other hand. by Definition 1.2. there exists a $n_0 \in N$ such that $st(x, g_n)$ is contained in for all $n > n_0$ and $\langle x_n \rangle$ is eventually in $st(x, g_{n_0})$. That is, $y \in f(st(x, g_n)) \subset \text{Int}f(st(x, g_{n_0}))$ and therefore g_n is contained in $\text{Int} f(st(x, g_{n_0}))$ for all $n > n_0$.

References

1. Charles C. Alexander, Semi-developable spaces and Quotient images of metric spaces, Pac. J. Math. 37. No. 2, 277-293(1971).
2. R.H. Bing, Metrization of topological spaces, Canadian J. Math., 3 (1951), 175-186.
3. J.G. Ceder. Some generalizations of metric. Pak J. Math., 11 (1961) 105-125.
4. R.W. Heath, A regular semi-metric space for which there is no semi-metric under which all spheres are open, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 12 (1961), 810-811.
5. R.E. Hodel. Moore spaces and $w\Delta$ -spaces, Pac. J. Math., 38 (1971), 641-652.
6. J.L. Kelley, General Topology, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1955.
7. D.J. Lutzer, Semimetrizable and semistratifiable spaces, Gen. Top Appl., (1971) 42-48.
8. H.W. Martin, Metrizable spaces, Can. J. Math., 49, 759-763 (1972).

Korea Maritime University