

## S-CLOSEDNESS IN BITOPOLOGICAL SPACES

By A. S. Mashhour, F. H. Khedr, I. A. Hasanein, and A. A. Allam

### 1. Introduction

Let  $S$  be a subset of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ .  $S$  is said to be *semi-open* [3], if for some open set  $U$ ,  $U \subset S \subset \text{cl}U$ .  $S$  is an  $\alpha$ -set [5] (resp. preopen [4]), if  $S \subset \text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(S)))$  (resp.  $S \subset \text{int}(\text{cl}(S))$ ). Obviously, every  $\alpha$ -set is semi-open and preopen. The family of all semi-open sets (resp.  $\alpha$ -sets, preopen sets) in  $(X, \tau)$  is denoted by  $SO(\tau)$  (resp.  $\alpha(\tau)$ ,  $PO(\tau)$ ). A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called  $S$ -closed [10] if for every semi-open cover  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$  of  $X$  there exists a finite subset  $\nabla_0$  of  $\nabla$  such that  $X = \bigcup \{\text{cl}(U_\alpha) : \alpha \in \nabla_0\}$ . A subset  $S$  of a space  $X$  is regular open resp. regular closed) if  $\text{int}(\text{cl}(S)) = S$  (resp.  $\text{cl}(\text{int}(S)) = S$ ). Let  $S$  be a subset of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . The closure and the interior of  $S$  with respect to  $\tau_i$  are denoted by  $\tau_i\text{-cl}(S)$  and  $\tau_i\text{-int}(S)$ , respectively,  $i=1, 2$ . However,  $\tau_i\text{-cl}(S)$  (resp.  $\tau_i\text{-int}(S)$ ) will be denoted by  $\text{cl}(S)$  (resp.  $\text{int}(S)$ ) for the simplicity if the meaning is explicit.

The following results are established by T. Noiri.

**THEOREM A.** [7] *An open set  $G$  of a topological space  $X$  is  $S$ -closed iff it is  $S$ -closed relative to  $X$ .*

**THEOREM B.** [8] *An  $\alpha$ -set  $A$  of a topological space  $X$  is an  $S$ -closed subspace iff it is  $S$ -closed relative to  $X$ .*

**THEOREM C.** [8] *Let  $A$  and  $X_0$  be open sets of a space  $X$  such that  $A \subset X_0$ . Then  $A$  is an  $S$ -closed subspace of  $X_0$  iff  $A$  is an  $S$ -closed subspace of  $X$ .*

In this paper, we introduce and study  $S$ -closedness in bitopological spaces. Also, we generalize the above results and introduce the generalization forms in bitopological spaces.

Throughout the paper,  $\nabla$  will stand for an index set and  $\nabla_0$ , a finite subset of  $\nabla$ .

### 2. $S$ -closed bitopological spaces

**DEFINITION 2.1.** A family  $\mathcal{F}$  of subsets of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$

is called  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -semi-open, if  $\mathcal{F} \subset \text{SO}(\tau_1) \cup \text{USO}(\tau_2)$ . If, in addition,  $\mathcal{F}$  contains at least one nonempty member of  $\text{SO}(\tau_1)$  and at least one nonempty member of  $\text{SO}(\tau_2)$ , it is called a *pairwise semi-open family*.

A  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -semi-open cover, a pairwise semi-open cover, a  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -regular closed cover and a pairwise regular closed cover are defined similarly.

**DEFINITION 2.2.** A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be *pairwise S-closed* if for every pairwise semi-open cover  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$  of  $X$ , we have  $X \subset \bigcup \{\text{cl}(U_\alpha) : \alpha \in \nabla_0\}$ . If for every  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -semi-open cover  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$ ,  $X \subset \bigcup \{\text{cl}(U_\alpha) : \alpha \in \nabla_0\}$ , then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is called *M-pairwise S-closed*.

**DEFINITION 2.3.** [1] In a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$   $\tau_1$  is *regular with respect to  $\tau_2$* , if for every point  $x \in X$  and each  $\tau_1$ -closed set  $F$  such that  $x \notin F$  there exist a  $\tau_1$ -open set  $U$  and a  $\tau_2$ -open set  $V$  such that  $x \in U$ ,  $F \subset V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . The space is *pairwise regular* if  $\tau_1$  is regular with respect to  $\tau_2$  and  $\tau_2$  is regular with respect to  $\tau_1$ .

**EXAMPLE 2.1.** Let  $X$  be an infinite set,  $\tau_1$ =the discrete topology and  $\tau_2 = \{\emptyset, U \subset X : p \notin U \text{ for a fixed point } p \in X\}$ . Then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is not pairwise S-closed for, let  $\{\{x\} : x \in X, x \neq p\} \subset \tau_2$  and  $\{\{p\}\} \subset \tau_1$ . Then  $\{\{x\} : x \in X, x \neq p\} \cup \{\{p\}\}$  is pairwise semi-open cover and there is no finite subfamily the closures of whose members cover  $X$ . Since  $\tau_2\text{-cl}\{x\} = \{x\}$ , for every  $x \in X$  and  $\tau_1\text{-cl}\{p\} = \{p\}$ .

**EXAMPLE 2.2.** Let  $X$  be an infinite set,  $\tau_1$ =the discrete topology and  $\tau_2 = \{\emptyset, U \subset X : p \in U, \text{ for a fixed point } p \in X\}$ . Then  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise S-closed but not pairwise compact for,  $\{\{x\} : x \in X\}$  is a pairwise open cover which has no finite subcover.

EXAMPLE 2.2 shows that a pairwise S-closed space may not be pairwise compact. The following theorem proves that a pairwise S-closed pairwise regular space is pairwise compact.

**THEOREM 2.1.** A pairwise S-closed pairwise regular space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise compact.

**PROOF.** Let  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$  be a pairwise open cover of  $X$ . Since  $X$  is pairwise regular, then for every  $\tau_i$ -open set  $U_\alpha$  and  $x \in U_\alpha$  there exists a  $\tau_i$ -open set  $W_\alpha$

such that  $x \in W_\alpha \subset \tau_j\text{-cl}W_\alpha \subset U_\alpha$ , for every  $\alpha \in \nabla$ ;  $i, j=1, 2$ ;  $i \neq j$ . Then  $\{W_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$  is pairwise semi-open cover of  $X$ . Since  $X$  is pairwise S-closed, then  $X \subset \cup \{\text{cl}(W_\alpha) : \alpha \in \nabla_0\} \subset \cup \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla_0\}$ . This shows that  $X$  is pairwise compact.

In [2], Kim proves that every pairwise compact pairwise regular space is pairwise normal. Now, from Theorem 2.1, we can state the following corollary.

**COROLLARY 2.1.** *A pairwise S-closed pairwise regular space is pairwise normal.*

**THEOREM 2.2.** *A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise S-closed iff every pairwise regular closed cover of  $X$  has a finite subcover.*

**PROOF.** Follows from the fact that every regular closed set is semi-open.

**THEOREM 2.3.** *A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is M-pairwise S-closed iff it is pairwise S-closed,  $(X, \tau_1)$  is S-closed and  $(X, \tau_2)$  is S-closed.*

**PROOF.** *Necessity.* Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be any one of the following three types of covers for  $X$  (i) pairwise semi-open (ii)  $\tau_1$ -semi-open (iii)  $\tau_2$ -semi-open. In each case,  $\mathcal{U}$  is a  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -semi-open cover of  $X$ . Then,  $X \subset \cup \{\text{cl}(U_\alpha) : \alpha \in \nabla_0\}$ . Hence,  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is pairwise S-closed,  $(X, \tau_1)$  is S-closed.

*Sufficiency.* Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$  be a  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -semi-open cover of  $X$ . Then  $\mathcal{U}$  is pairwise semi-open or  $\tau_1$ -semi-open or  $\tau_2$ -semi-open. In each case,  $X \subset \cup \{\text{cl}(U_\alpha) : \alpha \in \nabla_0\}$  and hence  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is M-pairwise S-closed.

**COROLLARY 2.2.** *A bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is M-pairwise S-closed iff every  $\tau_1\tau_2$ -regular closed cover of  $X$  has a finite subcover.*

### 3. Remarks on S-closed subspaces

Let  $A$  be a subset of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . The relative topology induced on  $\tau$  by  $A$  is denoted by  $\tau/A$  and  $(A, \tau/A)$  indicates the subspace of  $(X, \tau)$ .

If  $(A, \tau/A)$  is a subspace of  $(X, \tau)$ , then by  $\text{cl}_A(\ )$  we means the closure with respect to  $\tau/A$ .

**LEMMA 3.1.** [6] *If  $A$  is a preopen set in  $X$ , then  $\text{cl}(V) \cap A \subset \text{cl}(V \cap A)$ , for every semi-open set  $V$  in  $X$ .*

**LEMMA 3.2.** [6] *If  $A$  and  $B$  are subsets of  $X$  such that  $A \subset B$  and  $A$  preopen in  $X$ , then  $A$  is preopen in  $B$ .*

**LEMMA 3.3.** [6] *If  $B$  is a preopen set in  $X$ , then  $X \cap B$  is semi-open in  $B$ ,*

for every semi-open set  $V$  in  $X$ .

As generalization of Theorems  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $C$  we have the following results.

**THEOREM 3.1.** *A preopen set  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is an  $S$ -closed subspace iff it is  $S$ -closed relative to  $X$ .*

**PROOF.** *Necessity.* Let  $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$  be a cover of  $A$  by semi-open sets of  $X$ . Since  $A$  is preopen in  $X$ , by Lemma 3.3  $V_\alpha \cap A$  is semi-open in  $A$  for each  $\alpha \in \nabla$ . Since  $A$  is  $S$ -closed, then  $A = \bigcup \{cl_A(V_\alpha \cap A) : \alpha \in \nabla_0\}$ . Therefore, we have  $A \subset \bigcup \{cl(V_\alpha) : \alpha \in \nabla_0\}$ . This shows that  $A$  is  $S$ -closed relative to  $X$ .

*Sufficiency.* Let  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$  be a cover of  $A$  by semi-open sets of the subspace  $A$ . For each  $\alpha \in \nabla$ , there exists a semi-open set  $V_\alpha$  in  $X$  such that  $V_\alpha \cap A = U_\alpha$  [9, Theorem 3.2]. Since  $A \subset \bigcup \{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$  and  $A$  is  $S$ -closed relative to  $X$ , then  $A \subset \bigcup \{cl V_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla_0\}$ . Since  $A$  is preopen in  $X$  and by making use of Lemma 3.1, we obtain  $cl(V_\alpha \cap A) \subset cl_A(U_\alpha)$  and hence  $A = \bigcup \{cl_A(U_\alpha) : \alpha \in \nabla_0\}$ . This shows that  $A$  is an  $S$ -closed subspace of  $X$ .

**COROLLARY 3.1.** *An  $\alpha$ -set  $A$  of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is an  $S$ -closed subspace iff it is  $S$ -closed relative to  $X$ .*

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be subsets of  $X$  such that  $A \subset B$  and  $B$  preopen in  $X$ . Then  $A$  is  $S$ -closed relative to the subspace  $B$  iff  $A$  is  $S$ -closed relative to  $X$ .*

**PROOF.** *Necessity.* Let  $\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$  be a cover of  $A$  by semi-open sets of  $X$ . By Lemma 3.3,  $V_\alpha \cap B$  is semi-open in  $B$  for each  $\alpha \in \nabla$  and  $A \subset \bigcup \{V_\alpha \cap B : \alpha \in \nabla\}$ . Since  $A$  is  $S$ -closed relative to  $B$ , then,  $A \subset \bigcup \{cl_B(V_\alpha \cap B) : \alpha \in \nabla_0\}$ . Therefore, we have  $A \subset \bigcup \{cl(V_\alpha) : \alpha \in \nabla_0\}$ . This shows that  $A$  is  $S$ -closed relative to  $X$ .

*Sufficiency.* Let  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$  be a cover of  $A$  by semi-open sets of the subspace  $B$ . For each  $\alpha \in \nabla$ , there exists a semi-open set  $V_\alpha$  in  $X$  such that  $U_\alpha = V_\alpha \cap B$  [9, Theorem 3.2]. Since  $A \subset \bigcup \{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$  and  $A$  is  $S$ -closed relative to  $X$ , then  $A \subset \bigcup \{cl V_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla_0\}$ . By making use of Lemma 3.1, we obtain  $cl(V_\alpha) \cap B \subset cl_B(U_\alpha)$  and hence  $A \subset \bigcup \{cl_B U_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla_0\}$ . This shows that  $A$  is  $S$ -closed relative to  $B$ .

**COROLLARY 3.2.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be preopen subsets of  $X$  such that  $A \subset B$ . Then  $A$  is an  $S$ -closed subspace of  $B$  iff  $A$  is an  $S$ -closed subspace of  $X$ .*

PROOF. Follows from Lemma 3.2, Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2.

#### 4. S-closed subspaces in bitopological spaces

DEFINITION 4.1. A subset  $S$  of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is *pairwise S-closed relative to  $X$*  if for every pairwise semi-open (in  $X$ ) cover  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$  of  $S$ , we have  $S \subset \cup \{\text{cl}(U_\alpha) : \alpha \in \nabla_0\}$ .

THEOREM 4.1. A  $\tau_i$ -regular open subset of a pairwise S-closed space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $\tau_j$ -S-closed,  $(i, j=1, 2, i \neq j)$ .

PROOF. Let  $K$  be a proper  $\tau_i$ -regular open subset of  $X$  and  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$  be a  $\tau_j$ -semi-open cover of  $K$ . Then  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\} \cup X \setminus K$  is a pairwise semi-open cover of  $X$ . Then  $X = \cup \{\text{cl}(U_\alpha) : \alpha \in \nabla_0\} \cup (X \setminus K)$ . This implies  $K \subset \cup \{\text{cl}(U_\alpha) : \alpha \in \nabla_0\}$ . Therefore,  $K$  is  $\tau_j$ -S-closed.

THEOREM 4.2. Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two subsets of  $X$  such that  $A$  is pairwise S-closed relative to  $X$  and  $B$   $\tau_i$ -regular open. Then  $A \cap B$  is  $\tau_j$ -S-closed relative to  $X$ ,  $(i, j=1, 2, i \neq j)$ .

PROOF. Let  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$  be a  $\tau_j$ -semi-open cover of  $A \cap B$ . Since  $X \setminus B$  is  $\tau_i$ -regular closed then  $X \setminus B$  is  $\tau_i$ -semi-open and  $A \subset [\cup \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}] \cup (X \setminus B)$ . Since  $A$  is pairwise S-closed relative to  $X$ , then  $A \subset [\cup \{\text{cl}(U_\alpha) : \alpha \in \nabla_0\}] \cup X \setminus B$ . Therefore,  $A \cap B \subset \cup \{\text{cl}(U_\alpha) : \alpha \in \nabla_0\}$  and hence the theorem.

Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be a bitopological space,  $A \subset X$ , we say that  $A$  is pairwise open (resp. preopen, semi-open, etc.) if  $A$  is open (resp. preopen, semi-open, etc.) with respect to both  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$ .

From the generalizations of Theorems A, B and C we have the following results in bitopological spaces.

THEOREM 4.3. Let  $A$  be a pairwise preopen set in the bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Then  $A$  is a pairwise S-closed subspace iff  $A$  is pairwise S-closed relative to  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

PROOF. *Necessity.* Let  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$  be a pairwise semi-open (in  $X$ ) cover of  $A$ . Since  $1$  is pairwise preopen, then by Lemma 3.2  $\{A \cap U_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$  is a pairwise semi-open (in  $A$ ) cover of  $A$ . Since  $(A, \tau_1/A, \tau_2/A)$  is pairwise S-closed, then  $A \subset \cup \{\text{cl}_A(U_\alpha \cap A) : \alpha \in \nabla_0\}$ . Therefore, we have  $A \subset \cup \{\text{cl}(U_\alpha) : \alpha \in \nabla_0\}$ .

Hence  $A$  is pairwise  $S$ -closed relative to  $X$ .

*Sufficiency.* Let  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$  be a pairwise semi-open (in  $A$ ) cover of  $A$ . For each  $\tau_i/A$ -semi-open set  $U_\alpha$ , there exists a  $\tau_i$ -semi-open set  $V_\alpha$  such that  $V_\alpha \cap A = U_\alpha$  [9 Theorem 3.2],  $i=1, 2$ . Then  $A \subset \bigcup \{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$ . Since  $A$  is pairwise  $S$ -closed relative to  $X$ , then  $A \subset \bigcup \{\text{cl}V_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$ . By Lemma 3.1,  $\text{cl}(V_\alpha) \cap A \subset \text{cl}_A(U_\alpha)$  and hence  $A = \bigcup \{\text{cl}_A(U_\alpha) : \alpha \in \nabla\}$ . Then  $(A, \tau_1/A, \tau_2/A)$  is pairwise  $S$ -closed.

**COROLLARY 4.1.** *Let  $A$  be a pairwise  $\alpha$ -set of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Then,  $A$  is a pairwise  $S$ -closed subspace of  $X$  iff  $A$  is pairwise  $S$ -closed relative to  $X$ .*

**THEOREM 4.4.** *Let  $B$  be a pairwise preopen subset of the bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , and  $A \subset B$ . Then  $A$  is pairwise  $S$ -closed relative to  $B$  iff  $A$  is pairwise  $S$ -closed relative to  $X$ .*

**PROOF.** *Necessity.* Let  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$  be a pairwise semi-open (in  $X$ ) cover of  $A$ . By Lemma 3.3  $\{B \cap U_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$  is a pairwise semi-open (in  $B$ ) cover of  $A$ . Since  $A$  is pairwise  $S$ -closed relative to  $B$ , then  $A \subset \bigcup \{\text{cl}_B(U_\alpha \cap B) : \alpha \in \nabla\}$ . Therefore,  $A \subset \bigcup \{\text{cl}(U_\alpha) : \alpha \in \nabla\}$ . Hence,  $A$  is pairwise  $S$ -closed relative to  $B$ .

*Sufficiency.* Let  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$  be a pairwise semi-open (in  $B$ ) cover of  $A$ . For each  $\tau_i/B$ -semi-open set  $U$ , there exists a  $\tau_i$ -semi-open set  $V_\alpha$  such that  $U_\alpha = V_\alpha \cap B$ ,  $\alpha \in \nabla$  and  $i=1, 2$ . Since  $A \subset \bigcup \{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$  and  $A$  is pairwise  $S$ -closed relative to  $X$ , then  $A \subset \bigcup \{\text{cl}(V_\alpha) : \alpha \in \nabla\}$ . By Lemma 3.1,  $\text{cl}(V_\alpha) \cap B \subset \text{cl}_B(U_\alpha)$  and therefore,  $A \subset \bigcup \{\text{cl}_B(U_\alpha) : \alpha \in \nabla\}$ . Hence,  $A$  is pairwise  $S$ -closed relative to  $B$ .

**COROLLARY 4.2.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be pairwise preopen subsets of a bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  such that  $A \subset B$ . Then  $A$  is a pairwise  $S$ -closed subspace of  $B$  iff  $A$  is a pairwise  $S$ -closed subspace of  $X$ .*

Assiut University  
Egypt

## REFERENCES

- [1] J.C. Kelly, *Bitopological spaces*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 13(1963), 71—89.
- [2] Y.W. Kim, *Bitopological functions*, Ph. D. Dissertation, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta.
- [3] N. Levine, *Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces*, Amer. Math. Month. 70(1963), 36—41.
- [4] A.S. Mashhour, M.E. Abd El-Monsef, S.N. El-Deeb, *On precontinuous and weak precontinuous mappings*, Proc. of the Math. and Physical Soc. of Egypt, 51(1981).
- [5] O. Niastad, *On some classes of nearly open sets*, Pacific J. Math., 15(1965), 961—970.
- [6] T. Noiri, A.S. Mashhour, I.A. Hasanein and S.N. El-Deeb, *A note on S-closed subspaces*, (submitted).
- [7] T. Noiri, *On S-closed spaces*, Ann. Soc. Sci. Bruxelles, 91(1977), 189—194.
- [8] \_\_\_\_\_, *On S-closed subspaces*, Atti Acad. Naz. Lincei, Rend. cl. Sci. fis. mat. nat., (1978), 157—162.
- [9] V. Piptone and G. Busso, *Spazi semiconnessi e spazi semiaperti*, Rend. Circ. at. Palermo (2) 24 (1975) 273—285.
- [10] T. Thompson, *S-closed spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc, 60(1976) 335—338.