A Note on Generalized Inverses in Regular Near-ring

by Young In Kwon

Gyeongsang National University, Jinju, Korea

Introduction

The concepts of a regular near-ring was introduced in 1968 by J.C. Beidlemann [1] and several elementary properties of such near-rings were developed. Later St eve Ligh [4] was the first to give some structure theory for regular near-rings. He obtained some characterizations of regular near-rings and some results. In 1976, Steve Ligh and Yuzo Utumi [5] introduced the concepts of strongly regular near-rings and obtained the relating properties.

In this paper, we investigated the generalized inverses in regular near-rings.

Definitions

Throughout this paper, N will mean a right near-ring. An element a in N is regular if a=axa has a solution in N and any such solution x is called a generalized inverse of a. As the theory of rings [3] the element a in N will be called unit regular if N has an identity and a has an invertible generalized inverse. A reflexive inverse of a is a near-ring element x such that a=axa and x=xax. Every regular element possesses a reflexive inverse for a=axa implies that y=xax is a reflexive inverse of a. The near-ring N is regular (respectively unit regular) if each of its elements is regular (respectively unit regular). Finally N is strongly regular if for each a in N there is an element x in N such that $a=a^2x$. Equivalently, for each a there is an element x with $x=ax^2$.

Strongly regularity always implies regularity and, in the presence of an identity element, strongly regularity implies unit regularity which implies regularity. A near-ring N is called a near-field if and only if the set of nonzero elements forms a multiplicative group. The basic reference for near-ring concepts is [7]. This paper proceeds in the spirit of Bitzer's method of proof [2].

Main results

Here we collect some results of J.C. Beidleman and Steve Ligh.

Theorem 1 [1] A near-ring N is regular if and only if for each nonzero element a of N there exists an idempotent b such that Na=Nb.

Theorm 2 [1] If N is a regular near-ring with identity e and if N has no nonzero divisors of zero, then N is a near-field.

Proof. Let a be a non-zero element of N. Then there exists an element x of N such that axa = a. Since N contains no non-zero divisors of zero it follows that xa = e. Similarly, there exists an

element y such that yx=e, and therefore ax=(yx)(ax)=y(xa)x=yx=e. Hence N is a near-field.

Theorem 3 [1] Every near-ring with identity is isomorphic to a sup-near-ring of a regular near-ring.

Theorem 4 [4] A regular near-ring N is a near-field if and only if the nonzero idempotent of N is the identity.

Theorem 5. For a nonzero distributive regular element a of a near-ring N, the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) a has an unique generalized inverse,
- (ii) a is neither a right nor a left divisor of zero,
- (iii) N has an identity and a is an unit element.

Proof. (i) \rightarrow (ii)

If x is the unique generalized inverse of a and if ab=0 or ba=0, then a(x+b)a=a. By uniqueness, x+b=x, whence b=0.

$$(ii) \rightarrow (iii)$$

Suppose a is neither a right nor a left divisor of zero. Choose an x with a=axa. For any b in N we have a(b-xab)=0=(b-bax)a and therefore, xab=b=bax. Thus xa is a left identity and ax is a right identity for N. Hence e=ax=xa is the identity for N and a is clearly an unit element.

$$(iii) \rightarrow (i)$$

If N has the identity e and a is an unit element, then a=axa implies ax=e=xa, so x=a.

From the theorem 5, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 6. A nonzero near-ring N with identity is a near-field if and only if each nonzero distributive element of N has an unique generalized inverse.

Theorem 7. If a is a regular element of the distributive near-ring N, then the following statements are equivalent;

- (i) a has an unique reflexive inverse,
- (ii) there is an element x in N such that a=axa and both ax and xa are central idempotents.
- (iii) if a=aya, then ay=ya,
- (iv) if a=aya=axa, then ay=ax=xa=ya.

Proof. (i) \rightarrow (ii).

Let x be the unique element of N for which a=axa and x=xax. For any y in N the elements x+y-xay and x+y-yax are generalized inverses of a and hence,

$$x = (x+y-xay)a(x+y-xay) = x+yax-xayax$$
$$= (x+y-yax)a(x+y-yax) = x+xay-xayax.$$

Therefore, yax=xay for every y in N. Letting y be ax and xa successively, we have $ax=(ax)^2$ = $xa^2x=(xa)^2=xa$, since (ax)ax=(xa)ax implies $ax=xa^2x$ and (xa)ax=(xa)xa implies $xa^2x=xa$. (ii) \rightarrow (iii).

Choose an element x with a=axa and both ax and xa in the center.

Then ax=axax=a(xa)x=(ax)(xa)=(xa)(ax)=x(ax)a.

Hence, if a=aya, then ay=(ax)(ay)=(ay)(ax)=(aya)x=ax=xa=x(aya)=(ya)(xa)=ya.

 $(iii) \rightarrow (iv)$.

If a=aya=axa, then by (iii), ay=ya=y(axa)=(ya)(xa)=(ay)(ax)(aya)x=ax=xa.

 $(iv) \rightarrow (i)$.

If y and x are reflexive inverses of a, then y=yay=yax=xax=x.

If every idempotent of N is central, regularity implies strongly regularity. For $a=axa=(ax)a=a(ax)=a^2x$.

Lemma 8. A near-ring N is strongly regular if and only if N is regular and every idempotent of N is central.

Therefore the next result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 7 and Lemma 8.

Theorem 9. A near-ring N is strongly regular if and only if every element of N has an unique reflexive inverse.

References

- 1. J.C. Beidleman, A note on regular near-rings, J. Indian Math. Soc. 33 (1969), 207-210.
- 2. C.W. Bitzer, Inverses in rings with unity, Amer. Math. Monthly, 70 (1963), 315.
- 3. G. Ehrlich, Unit regular rings, Portugal Math., 27 (1968), 209-212.
- 4. S. Ligh, On regular near-rings, Math. Japonicae, 15 (1970), 7-13.
- 5. S. Ligh and Yuzo Utumi, Some generalizations of strongly regular near-rings, *Math. Japonicae* 21 (1976), 113-116.
- 6. Gordon Mason, Strongly regular near-rings, Proc. of the Edinburgh Math. Soc. 23 (1980), 27-35.
- 7. G. Pilz, Near-rings, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1977.