

H⁷⁹Br 과 H⁸¹Br간의 진동→진동에너지 이동

李昌淳 · 金裕恒[†] · 愼亨揆*

인하대학교 이과대학 화학과

*미국 네바다대학교 화학과

(1984. 6. 22 접수)

Vibration-Vibration Energy Transfer between H⁷⁹Br and H⁸¹Br

Chang Soon Lee, Yoo Hang Kim[†] and Hyung Kyu Shin*

Department of Chemistry, Inha University, Incheon 160, Korea

*Department of Chemistry, University of Nevada, Reno, Nv. 89557, U.S.A.

(Received June 22, 1984)

요약. 공명 진동→진동 에너지 이동 과정, H⁷⁹Br($v=1$) + H⁸¹Br($v=0$) → H⁷⁹Br($v=0$) + H⁸¹Br($v=1$) + $\Delta E=0.38 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ 에 대해 long-lived 충돌모형을 적용하여 에너지 이동 확률을 200-800 K 범위에서 계산하였다. 계산된 확률은 온도가 상승함에 따라 감소하며 온도 의존성은 $P \propto T^{-1.8}$ 이었다. 또한 계산된 확률은 다른 이론들로부터 계산한 확률들 보다 알려져 있는 실험치와 더 잘 일치하였다.

ABSTRACT. The long-lived collision model has been applied to the resonant vibration-vibration energy exchange process H⁷⁹Br($v=1$) + H⁸¹Br($v=0$) → H⁷⁹Br($v=0$) + H⁸¹Br($v=1$) + $\Delta E=0.38 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. The energy exchange probabilities have been calculated over the temperature range from 200 to 800 K. They show negative temperature dependence ($P \propto T^{-1.8}$) and agree with the available experimental data better than those calculated from other theories.

1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years there has been much interest directed towards the vibration-to-vibration ($V \rightarrow V$) energy exchange processes between the hydrogen halide molecules differing only by isotopic substitutions¹⁻⁶. The reasons why these processes have attracted many workers are as follows. First, they have practical application in isotope separation schemes using chemical lasers⁷⁻¹⁰. Second, these $V \rightarrow V$ energy exchange rates are needed to model accurately chemical lasers and to understand their operational mechanism¹¹. Finally, and perhaps of most importance, the experimental measurements provide the theorists with an opportunity to

test various theories used to predict these $V \rightarrow V$ energy exchange probabilities.²

Recently, Horwitz and Leone measured the $V \rightarrow V$ energy exchange probability for the following process and obtained $P=0.098$ at 298 K²: H⁷⁹Br($v=1$) + H⁸¹Br($v=0$) → H⁷⁹Br($v=0$) + H⁸¹Br($v=1$) + $\Delta E=0.38 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. Since the energy mismatch ΔE is almost equal to zero, this process is an ideal case for testing various resonant $V \rightarrow V$ energy exchange theories and determining what kind of feature in the intermolecular interaction potential plays the most important role in bringing about the $V \rightarrow V$ energy exchange.

In a series of papers Shin recognized the importance of the strong hydrogen bond energy

and developed a collision model which considers restricted translational motion of the colliding partners as the relevant local mode to the near resonant $V \rightarrow V$ energy exchange between the hydrogen fluoride molecules¹²⁻¹⁴. This so-called loosely-held, non-rigid dimer collision model has recently been modified to take into account the relatively weak hydrogen bonding between the hydrogen chloride molecules and this modified version has been shown to be very satisfactory in explaining the $V \rightarrow V$ energy exchange between H^{35}Cl and H^{37}Cl molecules⁴.

In this paper we shall apply this theory to $\text{H}^{79}\text{Br} (v=1) + \text{H}^{81}\text{Br} (v=0) \rightarrow \text{H}^{79}\text{Br} (v=0) + \text{H}^{81}\text{Br} (v=1) + \Delta E$ process and determine the temperature dependence of the $V \rightarrow V$ energy exchange probability. Also, the results will be compared with those calculated from other resonant $V \rightarrow V$ energy transfer theories.

2. COLLISION MODEL AND ENERGY EXCHANGE PROBABILITY

Since the description of the collision model and the derivation of the $V \rightarrow V$ energy exchange probability are given in Ref. 4, we give here only those expressions that are essential for calculation.

The intermolecular interaction potential is assumed to be of Morse type which contains both the short-range repulsive and the long-range attractive parts,

$$U(r) = D \{ \exp\{(r_e - r)/a\} - 2 \exp\{(r_e - r)/2a\} \} \quad (1)$$

where r is the distance between Br of one molecule and H of the other molecule, r_e its equilibrium value, a the usual potential range parameter, and D the depth of the potential well representing the contribution of strong molecular attraction.

Then the perturbation energy which causes

$V \rightarrow V$ energy exchange after including the effect rotational motion of molecules can be expressed as

$$V[R(t), \theta_1, \theta_2, \chi_1, \chi_2] = \{ (2\pi)^{-2} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} F(t, \theta_1, \theta_2) d\theta_1 d\theta_2 \} \mathbf{a}_1 \mathbf{a}_2^+ \quad (2)$$

where R is the distance between the centers of mass of the two molecules, θ the angle between the molecular axis and the vector R , χ the vibrational amplitude, \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{a}^+ are the usual ladder operators¹⁵. The subscripts 1 and 2 refer to H^{79}Br and H^{81}Br molecule, respectively. The quantity F in Eq. (2) is given by

$$F = \left(\frac{\hbar}{2M_1\omega_1} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{\hbar}{2M_2\omega_2} \right)^{1/2} D\gamma_1\gamma_2 a^{-2} \left\{ \exp\{(r_e - r)/a\} \exp[-Q_1(\cos\theta_{1e} - \cos\theta_1)] \right. \\ \times \{ \exp[Q_2(\cos\theta_{2e} - \cos\theta_2)] - \frac{1}{2} \exp\{(r_e - r)/2a\} \exp[-\frac{1}{2}Q_1(\cos\theta_{1e} - \cos\theta_1)] \\ \left. \times \{ \exp[\frac{1}{2}Q_2(\cos\theta_{2e} - \cos\theta_2)] \} \cos\theta_1 \cos\theta_2 \right\} \quad (3)$$

where M and ω are the reduced mass and angular frequency, respectively; γ_1 and γ_2 are mass ratios defined as $\gamma_1 = m_{\text{Br}^{79}}/m_{\text{H}^{79}\text{Br}}$ and $\gamma_2 = m_{\text{Br}^{81}}/m_{\text{H}^{81}\text{Br}}$. The dimensionless parameters Q_1 and Q_2 are defined as $Q_1 = \gamma_1 d_1/a$ and $Q_2 = \gamma_2 d_2/a$. Here d is the equilibrium bond distance of HBr.

The $10 \rightarrow 01$ $V \rightarrow V$ transition probability obtained from the perturbed wave equation is¹⁶

$$P_{10}^{01}(E) = \frac{\sin^2 W}{1 + q^2} \quad (4)$$

where E is the collision energy, $W = (1 + q^2)^{1/2} \hbar^{-1} \int \bar{F}(t) dt$, $\bar{F}(t)$ the angle averaged quantity in the square bracket of Eq. (2), $q = \Delta E/2G$, and $G = \tau^{-1} \int \bar{F}(t) dt$, τ being the duration of collision.

Finally the thermal probability after consider-

ing collisions with non-zero impact parameter becomes

$$P_{10}^{01}(T) = \frac{1}{(kT)^2 \pi R^{*2}} \int_0^{R^*} \int_0^{D^*} 2\pi b E P_{10}^{01}(E, b) dE db \quad (5)$$

where R^* is the hard-sphere collision diameter, D^* modified hydrogen bond energy due to orientation averaging, and b the impact parameter.

3. CALCULATION

The molecular parameters required for calculation are ω and d . For H⁷⁹Br we take $\omega_e = 2649.67 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $\omega_e x_e = 45.21 \text{ cm}^{-1}$.¹⁷ Then ω for H⁷⁹Br becomes $\omega = \omega_e - 2\omega_e x_e = 2559.25 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, and that for H⁸¹Br is $2559.25 - 0.38 = 2558.87 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. For the equilibrium interatomic distance d , we take 1.414 \AA for both molecules¹⁷.

In addition to these we also need collisional parameters a , D , and R^* . The range parameter a is usually in the range of $0.2 - 0.3 \text{ \AA}$.^{1, 8-20} Since $a = 0.25 \text{ \AA}$ gave the most satisfactory results in the case of H³⁵Cl + H³⁷Cl system, we use the same value in this study too. The hydrogen bond energy for HBr is not known. However, from the similarities in the heats of vaporization of HCl and HBr, we may assume that HBr and HCl have about the same hydrogen bond energy²¹. Since the hydrogen bond energy for HCl is 2.1 kcal/mol ²², we take 2.0 kcal/mol as that for HBr. The hard sphere collision diameter R^* is estimated to be between $3.4 - 3.5 \text{ \AA}$.^{23, 24} and we shall take 3.5 \AA . The integration in eq. (4) was performed numerically, and the calculation of the $V \rightarrow V$ energy exchange probabilities was carried out for the temperature range from 200 to 800 K where the long-lived collision theory is expected to be valid.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The $V \rightarrow V$ energy exchange probabilities obtained theoretically in this work are shown as the solid curve in Fig. 1. First, the probability at 300 K is 0.06 and this compares very favorably with the experimental value 0.098. The calculated probabilities are not very sensitive to the change in either a or D . The variation of a from 0.22 to 0.28 \AA with D fixed at 2.0 kcal/mol causes the calculated probability change from 0.04 to 0.08 , and the change in the calculated probability corresponding to the variation of D from 1.7 to 2.3 kcal/mol with a fixed at 2.5 \AA ranges from 0.05 to 0.07 . Second, the calculated probabilities decrease with increasing temperature, *i. e.*, they exhibit




Fig. 1. $V-V$ Energy Exchange Probability for H⁷⁹Br($v=1$) + H⁸¹Br($v=0$) \rightarrow H⁷⁹Br($v=0$) + H⁸¹Br($v=1$): — this work, - - P_M , - · - P_{SB} , ··· P_{rep} , ○ exp. point from Ref. 2.

the so-called negative temperature dependence. The scarcity of the experimental data precludes an unequivocal verification of this trend. However, this behavior is typical, at least at low temperature, of the systems such as hydrogen fluorides^{6,12~14} and hydrogen chlorides⁴ in which the long-range attractive force plays a vital role, and we think this is true for HBr too. Third, the $\ln P$ vs. $\ln T$ plot gives a straight line with a slope of -1.8 over the temperature range $200\sim 800\text{K}$. This slope is nearly the same as that found experimentally³, -1.6 , for the very similar process $\text{H}^{35}\text{Cl}(v=1) + \text{H}^{37}\text{Cl}(v=0) \rightarrow \text{H}^{35}\text{Cl}(v=0) + \text{H}^{37}\text{Cl}(v=1)$.

There are other theories which can be applied to the resonant $V \rightarrow V$ energy exchange processes. In one theory only the experimentally repulsive part of the intermolecular potential is taken into consideration²⁵. In this theory, the resonant $V \rightarrow V$ energy exchange probability is given by the following equation²⁶,

$$P = \frac{m_{\text{H}} m_{\text{Br}}}{m_{\text{H}}^{79} m_{\text{Br}}^{81} m_{\text{H}}^{81} m_{\text{Br}}^{79}} \frac{2\mu kT}{\omega_1 \omega_2 a^2} \quad (6)$$

where μ is the reduced mass of the collision system. The probabilities calculated using this equation is shown in *Fig. 1* as the dotted line (P_{rep}). The probability at 300K is only 2.2×10^{-4} and, furthermore, the curve shows a positive temperature dependence which is unlikely for this kind of system.

Mahan also recognized the importance of the long-range attractive potential and he developed the resonant $V \rightarrow V$ energy exchange theory based on dipole-dipole interaction²⁷. His theory gives

$$P = \frac{2\sqrt{2}C}{\hbar R^2} \left(\frac{\pi\mu}{8kT} \right)^{1/2} \quad (7)$$

where $C = (1/3)(\mu_1)_{10}(\mu_2)_{01}$. Here, $(\mu_1)_{10}$ is the $1 \rightarrow 0$ vibrational dipole matrix element for H^{79}Br . From the integrated infrared absorption

coefficient for HBr $0 \rightarrow 1$ transition ($34.8\text{cm}^{-2}\text{atm}^{-1}$ at 300K)²⁸, C is calculated to be $4.45 \times 10^{-40}\text{erg cm}^3$. The calculated probability curve using Mahan's equation is also shown in *Fig. 1* and labelled as P_M . The probability at 300K is 0.024 and it shows a very weak negative temperature dependence ($P \propto T^{-1/2}$).

Sharma and Brau²⁹ also recognized the importance of the long-range dipole-dipole attraction and arrived at a slightly different formula.

$$P = \frac{4C^2\mu}{\hbar^2 R^{*4} kT} \quad (8)$$

The results obtained from this equation are also plotted in *Fig. 1* and labelled as P_{SB} . The calculated probabilities are too low (8×10^{-4} at 300K) to explain the large experimental value and they show rather weak T^{-1} temperature dependence. Even inclusion of higher-order multipole interactions does not affect the probability very much³⁰. A slightly different approach taken by Stephenson *et al.*³¹ gives the same results as Sharma and Brau's results.

In summary, the "long-lived" collision model which characterizes the hydrogen bonding energy as the dominant long-range attractive term has been applied to the resonant $V \rightarrow V$ energy transfer process $\text{H}^{79}\text{Br}(v=1) + \text{H}^{81}\text{Br}(v=0) \rightarrow \text{H}^{79}\text{Br}(v=0) + \text{H}^{81}\text{Br}(v=1) + 0.38\text{ cm}^{-1}$. The calculated $V \rightarrow V$ energy exchange probabilities show negative temperature dependence ($P \propto T^{-1.8}$) over the temperature range studied. The agreement with the available experimental data is better than other resonant $V \rightarrow V$ energy transfer theories.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors wish to express their sincere gratitude to Ministry of Education for the financial support of this research.

REFERENCES

1. R. L. Wilkins and M. A. Kwok, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **73**, 3198 (1980).
2. A. B. Horwitz and S. R. Leone, *ibid.*, **69**, 5319 (1978).
3. A. B. Horwitz and S. R. Leone, *ibid.*, **70**, 4916 (1979).
4. H. K. Shin and Y. H. Kim, *ibid.*, **73**, 3186 (1980).
5. H. K. Shin, *ibid.*, **75**, 220 (1981).
6. C. S. Lee and Y. H. Kim, *J. Kor. Chem. Soc.*, **28**, 26 (1984).
7. D. Arnold, K. Kaufmann and J. Wolfrum, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **34**, 1597 (1975).
8. M. Kneba and J. Wolfrum, *J. Phys. Chem.*, **83**, 69 (1979).
9. C. B. Moore, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, **2**, 103 (1969).
10. C. D. Cantrell, S. M. Freund and J. L. Lyman in "Laser Chemistry," Vol. 3b, edited by M. L. Stitch, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1978.
11. C. D. Badcock, W. C. Hwang, J. F. Kalsch and R. F. Kamada, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, **32**, 363 (1978).
12. H. K. Shin, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **63**, 2901 (1975).
13. H. K. Shin and Y. H. Kim, *ibid.*, **64**, 3634 (1976).
14. H. K. Shin, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, **98**, 5765 (1976).
15. A. Messiah, "Quantum Mechanics," (translated by G. M. Temmer), P. 434, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1965.
16. H. K. Shin, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **71**, 3006 (1979).
17. G. Herzberg, "Spectra of Diatomic Molecules," Van Nostrand Reinhold Co., New York, 1950.
18. J. G. Parker, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **41**, 1600 (1964).
19. S. W. Benson and G. C. Berend, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **44**, 1600 (1966).
20. J. B. Calvert and R. C. Amme, *ibid.*, **45**, 4710 (1966).
21. J. T. Yardley, "Introduction to Molecular Energy Transfer," P. 124, Academic Press, New York, 1980.
22. D. H. Rank, P. Sitaram, W. B. Glickman and T. A. Wiggins, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **39**, 2673 (1963).
23. H. Shin, *J. Phys. Chem.*, **75**, 1087 (1971).
24. J. F. Bott, Aerospace Report SAMSO-TR-74-287, 1976.
25. D. Rapp and T. Kassel, *Chem. Rev.* **69**, 61 (1969).
26. Ref. 21, P. 132.
27. B. H. Mahan, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **46**, 98 (1967).
28. M. R. Atwood, H. Vu and B. Voder, *J. Phys. (Paris)*, **33**, 495 (1972).
29. R. D. Sharma and C. A. Brau, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **50**, 924 (1969).
30. R. D. Sharma, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, **24**, 267 (1974).
31. J. C. Stephenson, R. E. Wood and C. B. Moore, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **48**, 4790 (1968).