

Fixed Point Theorems for Commuting Mappings in Probabilistic Metric Spaces

By S. L. Singh & B. D. Pant

§ 1. Sehgal [20] initiated the study of fixed points of contraction mappings on probabilistic metric spaces (PM-spaces) (cf. also [21] and [22]). Ćirić [2] introduced the notion of 'generalized contraction' on a PM-space (see Remark 1 below). For fixed point theorems in PM-spaces refer to [5], [6], [7], [28] and references thereof. On the other hand Jungck [9] generalized the Banach contraction principle by introducing a contraction condition for a pair of commuting self-mappings on a metric space. He also pointed out the potential of commuting mappings for generalizing fixed point theorems in metric spaces (cf. also [10]). The essential part of Jungck's result [9] may be stated as follows:

A pair of commuting self-mappings P and T on a complete metric space (M, d) possesses a unique common fixed point, if T is continuous, $P(M) \subseteq T(M)$ and there exists a constant $h \in (0, 1)$ such that $d(px, py) \leq hd(Tx, Ty)$ for every $x, y \in M$.

This result has been extensively generalized by demanding the pair to satisfy general type of contractive or functional conditions (e. g. see [3], [11], [13], [14], [15], [16], [17], [18], [23], [24], [25], [26] and [32]). Further generalizations have also been suggested by considering contractive type and functional conditions for three self-mappings of a metric space—one of the mappings commuting with the other two— (see [4], [12], [27], [29], [30] and [31]).

In this paper we combine the ideas of Ćirić [2] and Singh and Singh [29], and introduce the notion of 'generalized contraction triplet' for three self-mappings on a PM-space (cf. Definition 1). In § 2 of this paper, three fixed point theorems are proved for such mappings. One of the results is a fixed point theorem for mappings from a product space $X \times X$ to a PM-space X . Finally, in § 3, we present convergence theorems for three sequences of mappings and their com-

mon fixed points.

A PM-space is an ordered pair (X, \mathcal{F}) consisting of a non-empty set X and a mapping \mathcal{F} from $X \times X$ to \mathcal{L} , the collection of all distribution functions. The value of \mathcal{F} at $(p, q) \in X \times X$ is represented by $F_{p, q}$. The functions $F_{p, q}$ are assumed to satisfy the following conditions :

- (i) $F_{u, v}(x) = 1$ for all $x > 0$, iff $u = v$:
- (ii) $(F_{u, v}(0) = 0$:
- (iii) $F_{u, v} = F_{v, u}$:
- (iv) if $F_{u, v}(x) = 1$ and $F_{v, w}(y) = 1$ then $F_{u, w}(x+y) = 1$.

A Menger space is a triplet (X, \mathcal{F}, t) , where (X, \mathcal{F}) is a PM-space and t -norm (or T -norm [19] or \mathcal{A} -norm [21]) t is such that (iv) is replaced by

$$(iv') F_{u, w}(x+y) \geq t \{F_{u, v}(x), F_{v, w}(y)\}$$

for all $x \geq 0$, $y \geq 0$. For topological preliminaries refer to Schweizer and Sklar [19], (see also [6]).

Throughout this paper (X, \mathcal{F}) stands for a PM-space and (M, d) for a metric space.

DEFINITION 1. Three mappings P, Q, T on a PM-space (X, \mathcal{F}) will be called a 'generalized contraction triplet' $(P, Q : T)$ iff there exists a constant $h \in (0, 1)$ such that for every u, v in X ,

(1) $F_{Pu, Qv}(hx) \geq \min \{F_{Tu, Tv}(x), F_{Pu, Tu}(x), F_{Qv, Tv}(x), F_{Pu, Tv}(2x), F_{Qv, Tu}(2x)\}$ holds for all $x > 0$.

DEFINITION 2. Let P, Q and T be mappings from X (resp. M) to itself. If there exists a point u_0 in X (resp. M) and a sequence $\{u_n\}$ in X (resp. M) such that

$$(2) Tu_{2n+1} = Pu_{2n}, Tu_{2n+2} = Qu_{2n+1} \text{ for } n=0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

then the space X (resp. M) will be called $(P, Q : T)$ -orbitally complete with respect to u_0 or simply $(P, Q : T(u_0))$ -orbitally complete if the closure of $\{Tu_n : n=1, 2, \dots\}$ is complete.

If $P=Q$ and T is an identity mapping on X (resp. M) then the space X (resp. M) will be called $P(u_0)$ -orbitally complete X (resp. M) is called P -orbitally complete if it is $P(u_0)$ -orbitally complete for every u_0 in X (resp. M), [1, 8].

DEFINITION 3. T will be called $(P, Q : T(u_0))$ -orbitally continuous if the restriction of T on the closure of $\{Tu_n : n=1, 2, \dots\}$ is continuous.

§ 2. We shall need the following lemma.

LEMMA [28]. Let $\{y_n\}$ be a sequence in a Menger space (X, \mathcal{F}, t) , where t is continuous and satisfies $t(x, x) \geq x$ for every $x \in [0, 1]$. If there exists on $h \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$F_{y_n, y_{n+1}}(hx) \geq F_{y_{n-1}, y_n}(x), \quad n=1, 2, \dots,$$

for all $x \geq 0$, then $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X .

THEOREM 1. Let (X, \mathcal{F}, t) be a Menger space, where t is continuous and satisfies $t(x, x) \geq x$ for every $x \in [0, 1]$, and $P, Q, T : X \rightarrow X$. Further, let $(P, Q : T)$ be a generalized contraction triplet and T commute with each of P and Q . If there exists a point u_0 in X such that X is $(P, Q : T(u_0))$ -orbitally complete and T is $(P, Q : T(u_0))$ -orbitally continuous, then P, Q and T have a unique common fixed point and $\{Tu_n\}$ converges to the fixed point.

PROOF. By (1)

$$F_{Tu_{2n+1}, Tu_{2n+2}}(hx) = F_{Pu_{2n}, Qu_{2n+1}}(hx) \geq \min \{F_{Tu_{2n}, Tu_{2n+1}}(x), F_{Tu_{2n+1}, Tu_{2n}}(x), \\ F_{Tu_{2n+2}, Tu_{2n+1}}(x), F_{Tu_{2n+1}, Tu_{2n+2}}(2x), F_{Tu_{2n+2}, Tu_{2n}}(2x)\},$$

giving

$$F_{Tu_{2n+1}, Tu_{2n+2}}(hx) \geq F_{Tu_{2n}, Tu_{2n+1}}(x),$$

since

$$F_{Tu_{2n+2}, Tu_{2n}}(2x) \geq \min \{F_{Tu_{2n+2}, Tu_{2n+1}}(x), F_{Tu_{2n+1}, Tu_{2n}}(x)\},$$

Similarly

$$F_{Tu_{2n+2}, Tu_{2n+3}}(hx) \geq F_{Tu_{2n+1}, Tu_{2n+2}}(x).$$

In general,

$$F_{Tu_{n+1}, Tu_{n+2}}(hx) \geq F_{Tu_n, Tu_{n+1}}(x).$$

so by the above lemma $\{Tu_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence, and converges to a point z in X .

We now prove that

$$Qz = Tz.$$

Let $U_{Qz}(\epsilon, \lambda)$ be a neighborhood of Qz . Since, by the continuity condition on T , $TTu_{2n} \rightarrow Tz$ and $TTu_{2n+1} \rightarrow Tz$, there exists on integer $K = K(\epsilon, \lambda)$ such that

$$(3) \quad n \geq K \text{ implies } F_{TTu_{2n}, Tz}(\frac{1-h}{2h}\epsilon) > 1-\lambda \text{ and } F_{TTu_{2n+1}, Tz}(\frac{1-h}{2h}\epsilon) > 1-\lambda.$$

By (1),

$$F_{TTu_{2n+1}, Qz}(\epsilon) = F_{PTu_{2n}, Qz}(\epsilon) \geq \min \{F_{TTu_{2n}, Tz}(\epsilon/h), F_{TTu_{2n+1}, TTu_{2n}}(\epsilon/h)\},$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \{F_{Qz, Tz}(\varepsilon/h), F_{TTu_{2n+1}, Tz}(2\varepsilon/h), F_{Qz, TTu_{2n}}(2\varepsilon/h)\} \geq \min \\ & \{F_{TTu_{2n}, Tz}(\varepsilon/h), F_{TTu_{2n+1}, Tz}(\varepsilon/2h), F_{TTu_{2n}, Tz}(\varepsilon/2h), \\ & F_{Qz, TTu_{2n+1}}(\frac{1+h}{2h}\varepsilon), F_{TTu_{2n+1}, Tz}(\frac{1-h}{2h}\varepsilon), \\ & F_{TTu_{2n+1}, Tz}(2\varepsilon/h), F_{Qz, TTu_{2n+1}}(\varepsilon/h), F_{TTu_{2n+1}, TTu_{2n}}(\varepsilon/h)\}, \end{aligned}$$

giving

$$\begin{aligned} F_{TTu_{2n+1}, Qz}(\varepsilon) & \geq \min \{F_{TTu_{2n}, Tz}(\varepsilon/2h), F_{TTu_{2n+1}, Tz}(\frac{1-h}{2h}\varepsilon), \\ & F_{TTu_{2n+1}, TTu_{2n}}(\varepsilon/h)\} \\ & \geq \min \{F_{TTu_{2n}, Tz}(\frac{\varepsilon}{2h}), F_{TTu_{2n+1}, Tz}(\frac{1-h}{2h}\varepsilon), \\ & F_{TTu_{2n+1}, Tz}(\frac{1-h}{2h}\varepsilon), F_{Tz, TTu_{2n}}(\frac{1-h}{2h}\varepsilon)\} \\ & = \min \{F_{TTu_{2n+1}, Tz}(\frac{1-h}{2h}\varepsilon), F_{Tz, TTu_{2n}}(\frac{1-h}{2h}\varepsilon)\} \end{aligned}$$

So by (3),

$$F_{TTu_{2n+1}, Qz}(\varepsilon) > 1 - \lambda \quad \text{for all } n \geq K.$$

Consequently $Tz = Qz$. Similarly $Tz = Pz$.

To prove $Tz = z$, let $U_{Tz}(\varepsilon, \lambda)$ be a neighbourhood of Tz . Since $\{Tu_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence, there exists an integer $K = K(\varepsilon, \lambda)$ such that

$$F_{Tu_{2n}, Tu_{2n+1}}(\frac{1-h}{2h}\varepsilon) > 1 - \lambda \quad \text{for all } n \geq K.$$

By (1),

$$\begin{aligned} F_{Tu_{2n+1}, Tz}(\varepsilon) & = F_{Pu_{2n}, Qz}(\varepsilon) \\ & \geq \min \{F_{Tu_{2n}, Tz}(\varepsilon/h), F_{Tu_{2n+1}, Tu_{2n}}(\varepsilon/h), 1, \\ & F_{Tu_{2n+1}, Tz}(2\varepsilon/h), F_{Tz, Tu_{2n}}(2\varepsilon/h)\} \\ & \geq \min \{F_{Tu_{2n}, Tu_{2n+1}}(\frac{1-h}{2h}\varepsilon), F_{Tu_{2n+1}, Tz}(\frac{1+h}{2h}\varepsilon), \\ & F_{Tu_{2n+1}, Tu_{2n}}(\varepsilon/h), F_{Tu_{2n+1}, Tz}(2\varepsilon/h), \\ & F_{Tz, Tu_{2n+1}}(\varepsilon/h), F_{Tu_{2n+1}, Tu_{2n}}(\varepsilon/h)\}, \end{aligned}$$

giving

$$F_{Tu_{2n+1}, Tz}(\varepsilon) \geq F_{Tu_{2n}, Tu_{2n+1}}(\frac{1-h}{2h}\varepsilon).$$

so

$$F_{Tu_{2n+1}, Tz}(\varepsilon) > 1 - \lambda \quad \text{for all } n \geq K.$$

so,

$$z = Tz, \text{ since } Tu_{2n+1} \rightarrow z.$$

To prove the uniqueness of z as a common fixed point of P, Q and T , let y be another common fixed point.

For some $x > 0$, we have by (1),

$$F_{y, z}(x) > F_{y, z}(x/h).$$

Thus

$$F_{y,z}(x) \geq F_{y,z}(x/h^n) \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

proving $y=z$.

REMARK 1. If $P=Q$ and T is an identity mapping in (1), then generalized contraction (on a PM-space) introduced by Ćirić [2] is obtained. Hence, in this case Ćirić's result [Th. 1, 2] is obtained as a corollary to the above theorem.

COROLLARY. Let (M, d) be a metric space, and P, Q and T be mappings from M to M such that $PT=TP$ and $TQ=QT$. further, let M be $(P, Q : T(u_0))$ -orbitally complete and T be $(P, Q : T(u_0))$ -orbitally continuous. If there exists a constant $h \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$d(pu, Qv) \leq h \max \{d(Tu, Tv), d(Pu, Tu), d(Qv, Tv), \\ \frac{1}{2} d(Pu, Tv), \frac{1}{2} d(Qv, Tu)\}$$

for all $u, v, \in M$, then P, Q and T have a unique common fixed point and $\{Tu_n\}$ converges to the fixed point.

PROOF. It may be completed following Ćirić [2, Cor. 1. 1].

THEOREM 2. Let (X, \mathcal{F}, t) be a complete Menger space, where t is continuous and satisfies $t(x, x) \geq x$ for every $x \in [0, 1]$, and $P, Q, T: X \rightarrow X$. Further, let $(P, Q : T)$ be a generalized contraction triplet, $PT=TP, QT=TQ$ and $P(X) \cup Q(X) \subseteq T(X)$. If T is continuous, then P, Q and T have a unique common fixed point.

PROOF. We take u_0 in x and construct a sequence $\{u_n\}$ in x in the following way :

$$Tu_{2n+1} = Pu_{2n}, Tu_{2n+2} = Qu_{2n+1}, n=0, 1, 2, \dots$$

This can be done since $P(X) \cup Q(X) \subseteq T(X)$. Now the proof of Theorem 1 works.

Now we apply Theorem 2 to establish the following result.

THEOREM 3. Let (X, \mathcal{F}, t) be a complete Menger space, where t is continuous and satisfies $t(x, x) \geq x$ for every $x \in [0, 1]$, and P, Q, T three mappings from the product space $X \otimes X$ to X such that

$$P(X \otimes \{v\}) \cup Q(X \otimes \{v\}) \subseteq T(X \otimes \{v\}), P(T(u, v), v) = T(P(u, v), v)$$

and

$$Q(T(u, v), v) = T(Q(u, v), v)$$

for all u, v in X . Suppose that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & F_{P(u, v), Q(u', v')}(hx) \\
 (3.1) \quad & \geq \min \{F_{P(u, v), T(u', v')}(x), F_{P(u, v), T(u, v)}(x), \\
 & F_{Q(u', v'), T(u', v')}(x), F_{P(u, v), T(u', v')}(2x), \\
 & F_{Q(u', v'), T(u, v)}(2x), F_{v, v'}(x)\}
 \end{aligned}$$

for all u, v, u', v' in X , for all $x > 0$ and for some constant $h \in (0, 1)$. If T is continuous, then there exists exactly one point b in X such that

$$P(b, b) = Q(b, b) = T(b, b) = b.$$

PROOF. Let $v = v'$ in (3.1). Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_{P(u, v), Q(u', v)}(hx) & \geq \min \{F_{P(u, v), T(u', v)}(x), \\
 & F_{P(u, v), T(u, v)}(x), F_{Q(u', v), T(u', v)}(x), \\
 & F_{P(u, v), T(u', v)}(2x), F_{Q(u', v), T(u, v)}(2x)\}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore for a fixed v in X , Theorem 2 yields that there exists a unique $u(v)$ in X such that

$$(3.2) \quad P(u(v), v) = Q(u(v), v) = T(u(v), v) = u(v).$$

Therefore for any v, v' in X we have by (3.1),

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_{u(v), u(v')}(hx) & = F_{P(u(v), v), Q(u(v'), v')}(hx) \\
 & \geq \min \{F_{u(v), u(v')}(x), F_{u(v), u(v)}(x), \\
 & F_{u(v'), u(v')}(x), F_{u(v), u(v')}(2x), \\
 & F_{u(v'), u(v)}(2x), F_{v, v'}(x)\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

giving

$$F_{u(v), u(v')}(hx) \geq F_{v, v'}(x).$$

Since this is true for all $x > 0$, $u(\cdot)$ is a contraction on the complete Menger space X , so there exists a unique b in X such that $u(b) = b$. Hence, by (3.2),

$$P(b, b) = Q(b, b) = T(b, b) = b.$$

§ 3. Let P and P_n ($n=1, 2, \dots$) be mappings on a PM-space. If $P_n \rightarrow P$ uniformly on X then Cirić [2], Istrăţescu [6] and others (see also references of [2] and [6]) have investigated the conditions under which the sequence of fixed points of P_n ($n=1, 2, \dots$) converges to the fixed point of P . Similar investigations have been made by Istrăţescu and Săcuiu [7] in the case of two sequences of mappings on a PM-space. Istrăţescu [6, page 342] has also proved a convergence theorem, if $\{P_n\}$ is pointwise convergent to P . This section offers (uniform and pointwise) convergence theorems for three sequences of mappings.

THEOREM 4. Let (X, \mathcal{F}, t) be a Menger space, where t is continuous and

satisfies $t(x, x) \geq x$ for every $x \in [0, 1]$. Let P_n, Q_n and T_n be self-mappings of X with a common fixed point $Z_n, n=1, 2, \dots$. Let $(P, Q : T)$ be a generalized contraction triplet on X with z as their common fixed point. If the sequences $\{P_n\}, \{Q_n\}$ and $\{T_n\}$ converge uniformly to P, Q and T respectively on $\{z_n : n=1, 2, \dots\}$, then $z_n \rightarrow z$.

PROOF. For any n ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_{z_n, z}(\epsilon) &= F_{P_n z_n, Qz} \left(\frac{1-h}{2} \epsilon + \frac{1+h}{2} \epsilon \right) \\
 &\geq \min \left\{ F_{P_n z_n, Pz_n} \left(\frac{1-h}{2} \epsilon \right), F_{Pz_n, Qz} \left(\frac{1+h}{2} \epsilon \right) \right\} \\
 (4.1) \quad &\geq \min \left\{ F_{P_n z_n, Pz_n} \left(\frac{1-h}{4} \epsilon \right), F_{Pz_n, Qz} \left(\frac{1+h}{2} \epsilon \right) \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By (1),

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_{Pz_n, Qz} \left(\frac{1+h}{2} \epsilon \right) &= F_{Pz_n, Qz} \left(\frac{1+h}{2h} \epsilon \right), F \\
 &\geq \min \left\{ F_{Tz_n, Tz} \left(\frac{1+h}{2h} \epsilon \right), F_{Pz_n, Tz_n} \left(\frac{1+h}{2h} \epsilon \right), \right. \\
 &\quad \left. F_{Qz, Tz} \left(\frac{1+h}{2h} \epsilon \right), F_{Pz_n, Tz} \left(\frac{1+h}{h} \epsilon \right), \right. \\
 &\quad \left. F_{Qz, Tz_n} \left(\frac{1+h}{h} \epsilon \right) \right\},
 \end{aligned}$$

giving

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_{Pz_n, Qz} \left(\frac{1+h}{2} \epsilon \right) &\geq \min \left\{ F_{Tz_n, Tz} \left(\frac{1+h}{2h} \epsilon \right), F_{Pz_n, Tz_n} \left(\frac{1+h}{2h} \epsilon \right) \right\}, \\
 &\quad \text{since } Qz = Tz = z \\
 &\geq \min \left\{ F_{Tz_n, T_n z_n} \left(\frac{1-h}{2h} \epsilon \right), F_{T_n z_n, Tz} \left(\frac{1+2h}{2h} \epsilon \right), \right. \\
 &\quad \left. F_{Pz_n, P_n z_n} \left(\frac{1+h}{4h} \epsilon \right), F_{P_n z_n, Tz_n} \left(\frac{1+h}{4h} \epsilon \right) \right\} \\
 (4.2) \quad &\geq \min \left\{ F_{Tz_n, T_n z_n} \left(\frac{1-h}{4} \epsilon \right), F_{z_n, z} \left(\frac{1+2h}{2h} \epsilon \right), \right. \\
 &\quad \left. F_{Pz_n, P_n z_n} \left(\frac{1-h}{4} \epsilon \right) \right\}, \\
 &\quad \text{since } P_n z_n = T_n z_n = z_n.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{P_n\}$ and $\{T_n\}$ converge uniformly to P and T , for $\epsilon, \lambda > 0$ there exists an integer $K=K(\epsilon, \lambda)$ such that

$$F_{P_n z_n, Pz_n} \left(\frac{1-h}{4} \epsilon \right) > 1 - \lambda$$

and

$$F_{T_n z_n, Tz_n} \left(\frac{1-h}{4} \epsilon \right) > 1 - \lambda$$

for all $n \geq K$, so from (4.1) and (4.2) we have for all $n \geq K$

$$F_{z_n, z}(\varepsilon) > 1 - \lambda, \text{ since } F_{z_n, z}(\varepsilon) \leq F_{z_n, z}\left(\frac{1+2h}{2h}\varepsilon\right)$$

Thus $z_n \rightarrow z$.

THEOREM 5. Let (X, \mathcal{F}, t) be a Menger space, where t is continuous and satisfies $t(x, x) \geq x$ for every $x \in [0, 1]$. Let a triplet $(P_n, Q_n; T_n)$ of self-mappings on X be a generalized contraction with (the same) generalized contraction constant h and z_n as their common fixed point for each $n=1, 2, \dots$. If $\{P_n\}$, $\{Q_n\}$ and $\{T_n\}$ converge respectively pointwise to self-mappings P, Q and T of X with z as their common fixed point, then $z_n \rightarrow z$.

PROOF. For any n ,

$$\begin{aligned} F_{z_n, z}(\varepsilon) &= F_{P_n z_n, Q_n z} \left(\frac{1+h}{2}\varepsilon + \frac{1-h}{2}\varepsilon \right) \\ &\geq \min \left\{ F_{P_n z_n, Q_n z} \left(\frac{1+h}{2}\varepsilon \right), F_{Q_n z, Q_n z} \left(\frac{1-h}{2}\varepsilon \right) \right\} \\ (5.1) \quad &\geq \min \left\{ F_{P_n z_n, Q_n z} \left(\frac{1+h}{2}\varepsilon \right), F_{Q_n z, Q_n z} \left(\frac{1-h}{4}\varepsilon \right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Since $(P_n, Q_n; T_n)$ is a generalized contraction triplet, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{P_n z_n, Q_n z} \left(\frac{1+h}{2}\varepsilon \right) &= F_{P_n z_n, Q_n z} \left(h \frac{1+h}{2h}\varepsilon \right) \\ &\geq \min \left\{ F_{T_n z_n, T_n z} \left(\frac{1+h}{2h}\varepsilon \right), F_{P_n z_n, T_n z_n} \left(\frac{1+h}{2h}\varepsilon \right), \right. \\ &\quad \left. F_{Q_n z, T_n z} \left(\frac{1+h}{2h}\varepsilon \right), F_{P_n z_n, T_n z} \left(\frac{1+h}{h}\varepsilon \right), F_{Q_n z, T_n z_n} \left(\frac{1+h}{h}\varepsilon \right) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

giving

$$\begin{aligned} F_{P_n z_n, Q_n z} \left(\frac{1+h}{2}\varepsilon \right) &\geq \min \left\{ F_{T_n z_n, T_n z} \left(\frac{1+h}{2h}\varepsilon \right), F_{Q_n z, T_n z} \left(\frac{1+h}{2h}\varepsilon \right) \right\}, \\ &\text{since } P_n z_n = T_n z_n = z_n \\ &\geq \min \left\{ F_{z_n, z} \left(\frac{1+2h}{2h}\varepsilon \right), F_{T_z, T_n z} \left(\frac{1-h}{2h}\varepsilon \right), \right. \\ &\quad \left. F_{Q_n z, Q_n z} \left(\frac{1+h}{4h}\varepsilon \right), F_{T_z, T_n z} \left(\frac{1+h}{4h}\varepsilon \right) \right\} \\ (5.2) \quad &\geq \min \left\{ F_{z_n, z} \left(\frac{1+2h}{2h}\varepsilon \right), F_{T_z, T_n z} \left(\frac{1-h}{4}\varepsilon \right), \right. \\ &\quad \left. F_{Q_n z, Q_n z} \left(\frac{1-h}{4}\varepsilon \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since Q and T are pointwise limits of $\{Q_n\}$ and $\{T_n\}$, for positive ε , λ corresponding to a point z , there exists an integer $K=K(\varepsilon, \lambda)$ such that

$$F_{T_n z, T_z} \left(\frac{1-h}{4}\varepsilon \right) > 1 - \lambda$$

and

$$F_{Qn, z, z} \left(\frac{1-h}{4} \varepsilon \right) > 1 - \lambda$$

for all $n \geq K$.

so from (5.1) and (5.2) we have for all $n \geq K$,

$$F_{z_n, z}(\varepsilon) > 1 - \lambda, \text{ since } F_{z_n, z} \left(\frac{1+2h}{2h} \varepsilon \right) \geq F_{z_n, z}(\varepsilon).$$

Hence $z_n \rightarrow z$.

REMARK 2. In Theorem 4, if $P=Q$ and T be an identity mapping on X then we obtain Ćirić's result [Theorem 2,2] under a slightly different condition. In fact, in such a situation, Theorem 4 presents a slightly improved version of Ćirić's result [*op. cit.*].

References

- [1] Lj. B. Ćirić, *Fixed and periodic points of almost contractive operators*, Math. Balkanica 3 (1973), 33-34.
- [2] _____, *On fixed points of generalized contractions on probabilistic metric spaces*, Publ. Inst. (Beograd) (N. S.) 18 (32) (1975), 71-78.
- [3] K. M. Das and K. Vishwanatha Naik, *Common fixed point theorems for commuting maps on a metric space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 77 (1979), 369-373.
- [4] Brian Fisher, *Mappings with a common fixed point*, Math. Sem. Notes Kobe Univ. 7 (1979), 81-84.
- [5] Olga Hadžić, *Some theorems on the fixed points in probabilistic metric and random normed spaces*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B (5) 18 (1981).
- [6] Vasile. I. Istrătescu, *Fixed point Theory*, D. Riedel Publishing Co., Holland, 1981.
- [7] V. I. Istrătescu and I. Săcăuiu, *Fixed point theorems on Probabilistic metric spaces*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. 9 (18) (1973), 1375-1380.
- [8] D. S. Jaggi, *Fixed point theorems for orbitally continuous functions*, Mat. Vesnik 1 (14) (25) (1977), 129-135.
- [9] Gerald Jungck, *Commuting mappings and fixed points*, Amer. Math. Monthly

- 83 (1976), 261–263.
- [10] ———, *Periodic and fixed points and commuting mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 76 (1979), 333–338.
- [11] S. Kasahara, *On some recent results on fixed points*, Math. Sem. Notes Kobe Univ. 6 (1978), 373–382.
- [12] M. S. Khan, *Common fixed point theorems for a family of mappings*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 12 (1981), 305–311.
- [13] S. Park, *Fixed points of f -contractive maps*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 8 (1978), 743–750.
- [14] ———, *An extension of a fixed point theorem of Kasahara*, Math. Sem. Notes Kobe Univ. 7 (1979), 85–89.
- [15] ———, *On general contractive type conditions*, J. Korean Math. Soc 17 (1980), 131–139.
- [16] S. Park and J. S. Bae, *Extension of a fixed point theorem of Meir and Keeler*, Arkiv Mat. 19 (1981), 223–228.
- [17] S. Park and B. E. Rhoades, *Extension of some fixed point theorems of Hegedus and Kasahara*, Math. Sem. Notes Kobe Univ. 9 (1981), 113–118.
- [18] S. Ranganathan, *A fixed point theorem for commuting mappings*, Math. Sem. Notes Kobe Univ. 6 (1978), 351–357.
- [19] B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, *Statistical metric spaces*, Pacific J. Math. 10 (1960), 313–334.
- [20] V. M. Sehgal, *Some fixed point theorems in functional analysis and probability*, Ph. D. Dissertation, Wayne, state Univ., 1966.
- [21] V. M. Sehgal and A. T. Bharucha-Reid, *Fixed points of contraction mappings on probabilistic metric spaces*, Math. Systems Theory 6 (1972), 97–102.
- [22] H. Sherwood, *Complete probabilistic metric spaces*, Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete 20 (1971), 117–128.
- [23] S. L. Singh, *On common fixed points of commuting mappings*, Math. Sem. Notes Kobe Univ. 5 (1977), 131–134.
- [24] ———, *Application of common fixed point theorem*, Math. Sem. Notes Kobe Univ. 6 (1978), 37–40.
- [25] ———, *A note on the convergence of a pair of sequences of mappings*, Arch. Math. (Brno), 15 (1979), 47–52.

- [26] S. L. Singh and V. K. Gupta, *On common fixed points of mappings*, J. Natur. Sci. Math. 19 (2) (1979), 239–245.
- [27] S. L. Singh and C. Kulshrestha, *Convergence of sequences of mappings and their common fixed points*, Math. Edu. (Siwan) 15 (1981), A, 55–60.
- [28] S. L. Singh and B. D. Pant, *Common fixed point theorems in probabilistic metric spaces*, (communicated).
- [29] S. L. Singh and S. P. Singh, *A fixed point theorem*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 11 (1980), 1584–1586.
- [30] B. M. L. Tiwari and S. L. Singh, *Common fixed points of mappings in complete metric spaces*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. India, Sect. A 51 (1981), 41–44.
- [31] Cheh-Chin Yeh, *On common fixed point theorems of continuous mappings*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 10 (1979), 415–420.
- [32] Chen Chih Yeh, *On common fixed points of continuous mappings*, Math Sem. Notes Kobe Univ. 6 (1978), 115–121.

Department of Mathematics,
L. M. S. Government College,
Rishikesh, Dehradun 249 201
India

Department of Mathematics
Government College
Gopeshwar, Chamoli 246 401
India