

THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN $\text{DIM}_A(E)$ AND $\text{DIM}_B(E)$

CHAN-BONG PARK

Introduction

Let B be a finite integral extension of a commutative ring A with identity and E a finite B -module. The purpose of this note is to study the relationship between $\text{dim}_A(E)$ and $\text{dim}_B(E)$ where dim means the Krull's one. The ring and dim used here will be commutative with identity and Krull's one respectively.

1. Preliminaries

Let B be integral over A . If \mathfrak{b} is an ideal of B and $\mathcal{A} = A \cap \mathfrak{b}$, then B/\mathfrak{b} is integral over A/\mathcal{A} .

In fact for any $x \in B$, we have, say $x^n + a_1x^{n-1} + \dots + a_n = 0$ with $a_i \in A$ if and only if $\bar{x}^n + \bar{a}_1\bar{x}^{n-1} + \dots + \bar{a}_n = 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{b}}$ so that B/\mathfrak{b} is integral over A/\mathcal{A} .

Moreover let A, B be as before. Then the pair A, B satisfies incomparability and going-up [2, p. 29].

If the rings A, B satisfy going-up and incomparability then $\text{dim}(B)$ equals $\text{dim}(A)$ [2, p. 31] and $\text{dim}(A/\mathcal{A})$ therefore equals $\text{dim}(B/\mathfrak{b})$.

Let $\text{spec}(A)$ be the set of all prime ideals of a ring A . For each subset S of A , let $V(S)$ denote the set of all prime ideals of A which contains S . Let E be an A -module.

The support of E is defined to be the set $\text{supp}(E)$ of prime ideals \mathfrak{p} of A such that $E_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0$ and $\text{ann}(E)$ to be the set of all $a \in A$ such that $aE = 0$.

PROPOSITION. *Let A be a ring and E an A -module. The following results hold:*

- i) $E = \sum E_i \implies \text{supp}(E) = \cup \text{supp}(E_i)$
- ii) *If E is finitely generated, then $\text{supp}(E) = V(\text{ann}E)$.*
(and therefore a closed subset of $\text{spec}(A)$).

Proof. i) $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{supp}(E) \implies E_{\mathfrak{p}} = (\sum E_i)_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0$ implies that $(E_i)_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0$ for at

least one i . Hence $\mathfrak{p} \in \cup \text{supp}(E_i)$. Reverse inclusion is obvious.

ii) Let $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ be generators of E and $E_i = Ax_i$, Then $A/\mathcal{O}_i = E_i$ where $\mathcal{O}_i = \text{ann}(x_i)$. Therefore $\text{supp}(E_i) = V(\mathcal{O}_i)$. By i) $\text{supp}(E) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n (\text{supp}(E_i)) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n V(\mathcal{O}_i) = V(\cup \mathcal{O}_i) = V(\text{ann}E)$.

2. Main theorem

THEOREM. *Let A, B, E be as in the introduction. Then $\dim_A(E)$ equals $\dim_B(E)$.*

Proof. $\dim_B(E) = \sup \{ \dim B/\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{spec}(B), E_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0 \}$.

Let $n = \dim_B(E)$ and $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{spec}(B)$ be such that $E_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0$ and $\dim B/\mathfrak{p} = n$. Put $P = \mathfrak{p} \cap A$, then B/\mathfrak{p} is an integral extension of A/P , hence $\dim B/\mathfrak{p} = \dim A/P$.

Moreover $E_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a localization of $EP = (A - P)^{-1}E$, therefore $E_P \neq 0$, so $\dim_A E \geq n = \dim_B E$.

To prove the converse let $P \in \text{spec}(A)$ be such that $\dim(A/P) = \dim_A(E)$ and $E_P \neq 0$. We have to prove that there exists $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{spec}(B)$ lying over P such that $E_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0$. Replacing A, B, E by A_P, B_P, E_P , we may suppose that (A, P) is a local ring and $E \neq 0$. Then the prime ideals of B lying over P are exactly the maximal ideals of B , and since $\text{supp}_B(E)$ is a closed subset by proposition there exists a maximal ideal \mathfrak{p} such that $E_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0$.

COROLLARY. *Let A, B, E be as in theorem, \mathcal{O}_B the category of finite B -modules and $\dim: \mathcal{O}_B \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ to the Krull dimension. Then the followings are satisfied:*

- i) $\dim_A(B/\mathcal{M}) = 0$ where \mathcal{M} is a maximal ideal of B .
- ii) if $0 \rightarrow E' \rightarrow E \rightarrow E'' \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence of \mathcal{O}_B then $\dim_B(E) = \max(\dim_A(E'), \dim_A(E''))$.
- iii) if (A, \mathcal{M}) is a local ring and $0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow E \rightarrow E/mE \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence of \mathcal{O}_B where $m \in \mathcal{M}$ then $\dim_A(E) = 1 + \dim_A(E/mE)$.

Proof. i), ii) are clear by theorem and for Proof of iii) see [3].

References

1. Atiyah, M.F. Macdonald, I.G., *Introduction to commutative algebra* (Addison-Wesley 1969)
2. Kaplansky, I. *Commutative rings* (Univ. of Chicago 1974)
3. Park, C.B. *A remark on the Krull dimension*, J. Korean Math Soc. (1981).

Won Kwang University
Iri City 510, Korea