

## A Necessary Condition for the Extension of the Erdos-Rényi Law of Large Numbers

By Choi, Yong Kab

Gyeongsang National University, Korea

For a sequence  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  of independent, identically distributed random variables (i.i.d. r.v.s) with  $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$ , Kolmogorov-Marcinkiewicz Strong Law of Large Numbers [1, 2] asserts that if  $E(|X_1|^r) < \infty$ , then  $n^{-1/r}(S_n - nC_r) \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0$  for  $0 < r < 2$ , where  $C_r = 0$  if  $0 < r < 1$  and  $C_r = E(X_1)$  if  $1 \leq r < 2$ . From this point of view, for the above sequence  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  with m.g.f.  $f(t) < \infty$  for all  $t > 0$ , Y.K. Choi [3] studied the maximum  $D_r(N, K)$  of the  $N - K + 1$  averages of the form  $K^{-1/r}(S_{n+k} - S_n)$  for  $0 \leq n \leq N - K$ , where  $S_0 = 0$  and  $0 < r \leq 1$ , who proved that, for a wide range of positive numbers  $a$ ,  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} D_r(N, [(C(a) \log N)^{(2-r)/r}]) = a$  with probability 1 (w.p.1), where  $C(a)$  is a known constant depending on  $a$  and the distribution of  $X_1$ . This is an extension of the Erdős-Rényi new law of large numbers. At first, J. Steinebach (1978) proved that the existence of m.g.f.  $f(t)$  is a necessary condition for the Erdős-Rényi law of large numbers in the case  $r = 1$ . The purpose of this paper is to show that  $\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} D_r(N, [(C(a) \log N)^{(2-r)/r}]) = \infty$  for  $0 < r \leq 1$ , if the m.g.f. does not exist for all  $t > 0$ .

For  $r = 1$ , P. Erdős and A. Rényi (1970) developed their original "A new law of large numbers" as follows.

**Theorem 1.** (Erdős-Rényi) Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be a sequence of nondegenerate i.i.d. r.v.s on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$  with m.g.f.  $f(t) < \infty$  for  $t \in [0, t_1)$ ,  $0 < t_1 < \infty$ . For a positive number  $a$  and for a known constant  $C(a)$  depending on  $a$  and the distribution of  $X_1$ , let  $\inf_t f(t) \exp(-ta) = \exp(-1/C(a))$ . Then  $C(a) > 0$  and

$$(1) \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} D_1(N, [C(a) \log N]) = a \quad \text{w.p.1,}$$

where  $[x]$  denotes the integral part of  $x$ .

**Proof.** See [4].

The following Theorem 2 states the extension of Erdős-Rényi law of large numbers for  $0 < r \leq 1$ .

**Theorem 2.** [3] Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be a sequence of nondegenerate i.i.d. r.v.s on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$  with  $f(t) < \infty$  for  $t \in [0, t_1)$  and  $0 < r \leq 1$ . Then for every  $a > 0$  and  $C(a) > 0$  such that  $\inf_t f(t) \exp(-ta) = \exp(-1/C(a))$ , we have

$$(2) \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} D_r(N, [(C(a) \log N)^{(2-r)/r}]) = a \quad \text{w.p.1.}$$

Since the existence of m.g.f. yields an exponential convergence rate for the large deviation probabilities  $P(n^{-1}S_n \geq a)$ , the existence of m.g.f. is sufficient for proving (1) and (2). But, it is a question whether the existence of m.g.f. is also necessary to retain assertions (1) and (2) by exponential large deviation probabilities. From Petrov and Sirokova (1973) we get a positive answer

as follows.

**Theorem 3.** [5] *Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be a sequence of i.i.d. r.v.s with*

$$P(K_N^{-1/r} S_{K_N} \geq a) \leq A \rho^{K_N}, \quad K_N = 1, 2, \dots; \quad 0 < r \leq 1,$$

*for some constants  $a, A$  and  $0 < \rho < 1$ . Then there exists some  $t_1 > 0$  such that  $f(t) < \infty$  for  $t \in [0, t_1]$ .*

**Proof.** See [5], and it follows from the fact that

$$P(K_N^{-1/r} S_{K_N} \geq a) \leq P(K_N^{-1} S_{K_N} \geq a) \quad \text{for } 0 < r \leq 1.$$

**Corollary 4.** *Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be a sequence of i.i.d. r.v.s with  $f(t) = \infty$  for all  $t > 0$ . Then for constants  $a$  and  $\rho$  ( $0 < \rho < 1$ ),*

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} P(K_N^{-1/r} S_{K_N} \geq a) / \rho^{K_N} = \infty.$$

This Corollary 4 is essential to prove the following Theorem 5 for  $r = 1$ .

**Theorem 5.** [6] *Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be a sequence of i.i.d. r.v.s with  $f(t) = \infty$  for all  $t > 0$ . Then have*

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} D_1(N, [C(a) \log N]) = \infty \quad \text{w.p.1}$$

*for every positive constant  $C(a)$ .*

Now we are ready to state and prove the main Theorem 6.

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be a sequence of i.i.d. r.v.s with  $f(t) = \infty$  for all  $t > 0$ . Then we have*

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} D_r(N, [(C(a) \log N)^{1/q}]) = \infty \quad \text{w.p.1}$$

*for every positive constant  $C(a)$  depending on  $a$  and the distribution of  $X_1$ , where  $q = r/(2-r)$  and  $0 < r \leq 1$ .*

**Proof.** For arbitrary  $a$  and  $0 < \rho < 1$ , Corollary 5 implies the existence of a subsequence  $\{K_{N_j}\}_{j=1,2,\dots}$  of integers such that

$$P(K_{N_j}^{-1/r} S_{K_{N_j}} \geq a) \geq \rho^{K_{N_j}}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots.$$

Let  $K_N = [(C(a) \log N)^{1/q}]$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} P(D_r(N_j, K_{N_j}) < a) &\leq P\left\{\max_{i=1, \dots, \lfloor N_j/K_{N_j} \rfloor} \{(S_{iK_{N_j}} - S_{(i-1)K_{N_j}}) K_{N_j}^{-1/r} < a\}\right\} \\ &\leq \{1 - P(S_{K_{N_j}} \cdot K_{N_j}^{-1/r} \geq a)\}^{\lfloor N_j/K_{N_j} \rfloor} \\ &\leq \{1 - \rho^{K_{N_j}}\}^{\lfloor N_j/K_{N_j} \rfloor} \leq \exp(-\rho^{K_{N_j}} \lfloor N_j/K_{N_j} \rfloor). \end{aligned}$$

If  $1 > \rho = \exp(-1/C_1(a))$ , where  $C_1(a) > C(a)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^{K_{N_j} q} &\geq \rho^{C(a) \log N_j} = N_j^{-C(a)/C_1(a)} = N_j^{-(1-2\delta)} \\ &\geq \rho^{(C(a) \log N_j)^{1/q}}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\rho^{K_{N_j} q} \geq \rho^{K_{N_j}} \geq \rho^{(C(a) \log N_j)^{1/q}}.$$

Hence we can take a suitable  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$(3) \quad \rho^{K_{N_j}} \geq N_j^{-(1-2\delta)}.$$

For all sufficiently large  $j$ , say  $j \geq j_0$ ,

$$(4) \quad \lfloor N_j/K_{N_j} \rfloor = \lfloor N_j / [(C(a) \log N_j)^{1/q}] \rfloor \geq N_j^{1-\delta},$$

because there is  $N_{j_0}$  such that  $C(a) \cdot \log N_j \leq N_j^{q\delta}$  for all  $N_j \geq N_{j_0}$ , if  $q\delta \rightarrow 0$  ( $0 < q\delta < 1/2$ ).

From (3) and (4), we have

$$P(D_r(N_j, K_{N_j}) < a) \leq \exp(-N_j^{\delta}) \text{ for all } j \geq j_0.$$

By the integral test,

$$\sum_{j=j_0}^{\infty} P(D_r(N_j, K_{N_j}) < a) < \infty.$$

Thus, by the Borel-Cantelli lemma,

$$\liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} D_r(N_j, K_{N_j}) \geq a \quad \text{w.p.1.}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} D_r(N, K_N) \geq a \quad \text{w.p.1.}$$

Since  $a$  is arbitrary, the proof is complete.

**Acknowledgement.** The author would like to express his thanks to Professor J. Steinebach of Carleton University and Professor S.A. Book of California State College, for their assistance in proving and establishing Theorem 6.

### References

1. M. Loève, *Probability Theory*, 3rd ed., Van Nostrand, Princeton, N.J., 1963.
2. R.G. Laha, V.K. Rohatgi, *Probability Theory*, John Wiley & Sons, 1979.
3. Y.K. Choi, On the extension of the Erdős-Rényi new law of large numbers, *Journal of Gyeongsang National University* (to appear).
4. P. Erdős and A. Rényi, On a new law of large numbers, *J. Analyse Math.* **23**, 1970.
5. J. Steinebach, *Large Deviation Probabilities and some related topics*, Carleton Mathematical Lectures Note, No. 28, 1980.
6. J. Steinebach, On a necessary condition for the Erdős-Rényi Law of Large Numbers, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **68**, No. 1, 1978.
7. S.A. Book, An extension of the Erdős-Rényi new law of large numbers, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **48**, 1975.