

CONVERGENCES OF GAMES BETTER WITH TIME

By Baek In-Soo and Hong Duk-Hun

1. Introduction

L.H. Blake [1, 2] introduced the concept of games fairer with time and established a fundamental L_1 convergence theorem.

A. Mucci [3, 4] introduced the notion of martingales in the limit and proved an a.e. convergence for L_1 bounded martingales in the limit, these processes are a special case of games fairer with time.

Recently Blake [5] again defined new concept of weak submartingales in the limit and it was proved that a uniformly integrable weak submartingale in the limit has an L_1 limit.

The purpose of this paper is to introduce a notion of games better with time which is a generalization of both games fairer with time and weak submartingales in the limit. We also obtain L_1 convergence theorem and a.e. convergence on atomic set.

2. Convergence theorem

Throughout this paper, let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space and $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n=1}$ an increasing sequence of sub- σ -fields of \mathcal{F} and $(X_n)_{n=1}$ be a sequence of random variables adapted to $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n=1}$.

A stopping time is a random variable τ assuming positive integer values and the value $+\infty$, such that $\{\tau=n\} \in \mathcal{F}_n$ for each n .

DEFINITION [2]. $(X_n)_{n=1}$ is a *game fairer with time* if for every $\epsilon > 0$
 $P(|E[X_n | \mathcal{F}_m] - X_m| > -\epsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ with $n > m$.

DEFINITION [5]. $(X_n)_{n=1}$ is a *weak submartingale in the limit* if, for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exist M such that for $n > m > M$

$$P(E[X_n | \mathcal{F}_m] - X_m \geq 0) \geq 1 - \epsilon.$$

DEFINITION 1. $(X_n)_{n=1}$ is called a *game better with time* if for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exist M such that for any $n > m > M$

$$P(E[X_n | \mathcal{F}_m] - X_m \geq -\epsilon) \geq 1 - \epsilon.$$

We easily can find an example of a game better with time which is neither a game fairer with time nor a weak submartingale in the limit.

First we will show the L_1 convergence theorem.

THEOREM 2. *If $(X_n)_{n=1}$ is a uniformly integrable game better with time, then $(X_n)_{n=1}$ has an L_1 limit.*

Before the proof is presented, the following two lemmas are necessary.

We omit the proofs of the two lemmas.

LEMMA 3. *If a sequence $\{a_n\}_{n=1}$ of real numbers is bounded with the property that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a positive integer M such that whenever $p > q > M$ $a_p - a_q > -\varepsilon$, then the sequence $\{a_n\}_{n=1}$ has a limit.*

LEMMA 4. *If $(X_n)_{n=1}$ is a uniformly integrable game better with time, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a positive integer M such that whenever $p > q > M$*

$$\int_{[B_{p,q}(\frac{\varepsilon}{2})]^c} (|X_p| + |X_q|) < \frac{\varepsilon}{4},$$

where $B_{p,q}(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}) \equiv \{E[X_p | \mathcal{F}_q] - X_q \geq -\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\}$,

and $\{\int_A X_p\}_{p \geq n}$ is bounded for each $A \in \mathcal{F}_n$ for every n .

PROOF. Define a sequence of signed measures $\{\mu_n\}_{n=1}$ where μ_n is defined on \mathcal{F}_n by

$$\mu_n(A) = \int_A X_n dP, \quad A \in \mathcal{F}_n.$$

For each $A \in \mathcal{F}_n$, $\lim_{\substack{p \rightarrow \infty \\ p \geq n}} \mu_p(A)$ exists. Indeed, consider for any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\mu_p(A) - \mu_q(A) \geq \mu_p(A \cap [B_{p,q}(\frac{\varepsilon}{2})]^c) - \mu_q(A \cap [B_{p,q}(\frac{\varepsilon}{2})]^c) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

for all p, q for which $A \in \mathcal{F}_n$ with $p > q > n$. By Lemma 3 & 4, the sequence $\{\mu_p(A)\}$ of reals converges. Hence, let $\nu_n(A) \equiv \lim_{\substack{p \rightarrow \infty \\ p \geq n}} \mu_p(A)$ for every $A \in \mathcal{F}_n$.

Since $(X_n)_{n=1}$ is a uniformly integrable sequence

$$|\nu_n(A)| < \infty \text{ for all } A \in \mathcal{F}_n,$$

and so ν_n is a signed measure on \mathcal{F}_n by Vital-Hahn-Saks theorem.

It is clear that $\nu_n \ll P$ for each n , and so there exists a sequence $(Y_n)_{n=1}$

which is a martingale and $\nu_n(A) = \int_A Y_n dP$ for all $A \in \mathcal{F}_n$. It is important to note that $(Y_n)_{n=1}$ is a uniformly integrable sequence. This follows exactly as in [6 : p590]. Hence, $(Y_n)_{n=1}$ converges in the L_1 norm.

The proof will be completed by showing that

$$\int |X_m - Y_m| \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } m \longrightarrow \infty.$$

To this end, write

$$\int |X_m - Y_m| = \int_{C_m} (X_m - Y_m) + \int_{C_m^c} c(Y_m - X_m),$$

and

$$\int X_m - \int Y_m = \int_{C_m} (X_m - Y_m) + \int_{C_m^c} c(X_m - Y_m),$$

where

$$C_m \equiv \{X_m - Y_m \geq 0\}.$$

Clearly

$$\int (X_m - Y_m) \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } m \longrightarrow \infty.$$

Hence the proof will be completed by showing that

$$\int_{C_m} (X_m - Y_m) \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } m \longrightarrow \infty.$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_{C_m} (X_m - Y_m) = \int_{C_m \cap B_{n,m}(\frac{\epsilon}{2})} (X_m - Y_m) + \int_{C_m \cap [B_{n,m}(\frac{\epsilon}{2})]^c} (X_m - Y_m) \\ &\leq \int_{C_m \cap B_{n,m}(\frac{\epsilon}{2})} (X_n - Y_n) + \int_{C_m \cap [B_{n,m}(\frac{\epsilon}{2})]^c} (X_m - Y_m) + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \\ &\leq \int_{C_n} (X_n - Y_n) + \int_{C_m \cap [B_{n,m}(\frac{\epsilon}{2})]^c} (X_m - Y_m) + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \text{ where } n > m. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Lemma 3 & 4 that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{C_m} (X_m - Y_m)$ exists.

Thus, we should show that this limit is zero.

Suppose not: that is, there exists some $\gamma > 0$ and M_γ such that for all $m > M_\gamma$

$$\int_{C_m} (X_m - Y_m) > \gamma.$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{C_m} X_m &\leq \int_{C_m \cap B_{m+k,m}(\frac{\gamma}{4})} X_{m+k} + \int_{C_m \cap [B_{m+k,m}(\frac{\gamma}{4})]^c} X_m + \frac{\gamma}{4} \\ &\leq \int_{C_m \cap B_{m+k,m}(\frac{\gamma}{4})} X_{m+k} - \int_{C_m \cap [B_{m+k,m}(\frac{\gamma}{4})]^c} X_m + \frac{\gamma}{4} + \frac{\gamma}{4} \\ &\leq \int_{C_m \cap B_{m+k,m}(\frac{\gamma}{4})} X_{m+k} - \int_{C_m \cap [B_{m+k,m}(\frac{\gamma}{4})]^c} X_{m+k} + \frac{\gamma}{4} + \frac{\gamma}{4} \\ &\leq \int_{C_m \cap B_{m+k,m}(\frac{\gamma}{4})} X_{m+k} + \int_{C_m \cap [B_{m+k,m}(\frac{\gamma}{4})]^c} X_{m+k} + \frac{\gamma}{4} + \frac{\gamma}{4} + \frac{\gamma}{4} = \int_{C_m} X_{m+k} + \frac{3}{4}\gamma \end{aligned}$$

for all $m > \max [M_\gamma, N]$, where M in Lemma 5 is replaced by N when we substitute $\frac{\gamma}{2}$ for ϵ .

Thus for all $k \geq 1$ and $m > \max [M, N]$

$$\gamma < \int_{C_n} (X_m - Y_m) \leq \dots \leq \int_{C_n} X_{m+k} - \int_{C_n} Y_m + \frac{3}{4}\gamma.$$

A contradiction arises as $k \rightarrow \infty$. So, $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{C_n} (X_m - Y_m) = 0$ and the theorem is proved.

Secondarily we obtain a.e. convergence theorem for games better with time on atomic set.

DEFINITION [7]. Let F be a family of real-valued measurable functions $f: \Omega \rightarrow R$ defined on a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) . Let g be a measurable function such that

- a) $g \leq f$ a.e. for all $f \in F$,
- b) if h is a measurable function such that $h \leq f$ a.e. for all $f \in F$, then $h \leq g$ a.e.

This function g , which is the greatest lower bound of the family F in the sense of a.e. inequality is denote by $\text{ess inf}(F)$.

The following two lemmas are necessary for proving the theorem.

LEMMA 5. If $(X_n)_{n=1}$ is a game better with time, A is an atom of \mathcal{F} , $A_n = \text{ess inf} \{B | B \in \mathcal{F}_n, A \subset B\}$ and $\limsup X = a > b = \liminf X$ on A , where $a, b \in R \cup \{\infty, -\infty\}$, then for every $t \in N$ there exist m such that $t < m$ and $A_m \subseteq A_t$.

PROOF. Assume for every $k \geq t$ $A_k = A_{k+1}$, put $P(A) = \alpha$ and $a - b = \beta$, We first prove the lemma in the case of $\beta < \infty$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ such that $0 < \epsilon < \min\{\alpha, \frac{1}{2}\beta\}$. By definition of a game better with time, there exists M such that $t \leq M$ and $P(E[X_n | \mathcal{F}_m] - X_m > -\epsilon) \geq 1 - \epsilon$ for every n, m with $n > m > M$. Since $P(A) > \epsilon$ and $E[X_n | \mathcal{F}_m] - X_n$ is constant on A_t for any $n > m > M > t$ we have $E[X_n | \mathcal{F}_m] - X_m > -\epsilon$ on A .

On the other hand, there exist $n_1, n_2 > M$ such that $n_1 < n_2$ and $X_{n_1} - X_{n_2} > \frac{3}{4}\beta$ on A . Then $\epsilon < \frac{3}{4}\beta < X_{n_1} - X_{n_2} < \epsilon$ on A . It is contradiction.

In the case of $\beta = \infty$ we can easily prove the lemma.

LEMMA 6. If $(X_n)_{n=1}$ is a game better with time, A is an atom on (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) and $A_n = \text{ess inf} \{B | B \in \mathcal{F}_n, A \subset B\}$ then for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exist $M \in N$ such that

$\inf_{m \geq t} E[X_m | \mathcal{F}_t] - X_t \geq -\epsilon$ on A_t for every $t \geq M$.

PROOF. It is sufficient to prove the lemma for sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$. Take ϵ such that $P(A) > \epsilon > 0$. Then there exist M such that $P(E[X_n | \mathcal{F}_m] - X_m > -\epsilon) > 1 - \epsilon$ for every m, n such that $n \geq m \geq M$. Since for every $m \geq t \geq M$ $E[X_m | \mathcal{F}_t] - X_t$ is constant on A_t and $P(A_t) > \epsilon$, $E[X_m | \mathcal{F}_t] - X_t \geq -\epsilon$ on A_t for all $m \geq t$. Therefore $\inf_{m \geq t} E[X_m | \mathcal{F}_t] - X_t \geq -\epsilon$ on A_t .

THEOREM 7. Let $(X_n)_{n=1}$ be a game better with time such that $\int_{(\tau < \infty)} X_\tau^+ < \infty$ for all stopping time τ and A is an atom of the probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n$ exists and $> -\infty$ a. e. on A .

PROOF. Every random variable is constant a.e. on every atom. So we can put $X_n = a_n$ a.e. ($n=1, 2, \dots$) on the atom A where $P(A) > 0$ and a_n are real constants. Put $A_n = \text{ess inf } \{B | B \in \mathcal{F}_n, A \subset B\}$. Clearly, $A_n \in \mathcal{F}_n$, $A_n \supset A_{n+1}$, $A_n \supset A$ and A_n is atom of \mathcal{F}_n for all n . Suppose that $\lim X_n$ does not exist on A . Then $\limsup X_n = a > b = \liminf X_n$ on A for some a, b . We first prove the theorem in the case of $a - b$ is finite. Then by above lemmas given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an integer n_1 such that

$$|a_{n_1} - a| < \frac{\beta}{4}, \inf_{m \geq n_1} E[X_m | \mathcal{F}_{n_1}] - X_{n_1} > -\frac{\epsilon}{2} \text{ on } A_{n_1}$$

and we can find an integer n_2 such that

$$|a_{n_2} - b| < \frac{\beta}{4}, A_{n_2} \subseteq A_{n_1} \text{ and } \inf_{m \geq n_2} E[X_m | \mathcal{F}_{n_2}] - X_{n_2} > -\frac{\epsilon}{2^2}.$$

Continuing this process by induction, we can take integers $n_{2k-1}, n_{2k}, (k=2, 3, \dots)$ such that

$$n_{2k-1} < n_{2k}, |a_{n_{2k-1}} - a| < \frac{\beta}{4}, A_{n_{2k-2}} \supseteq A_{n_{2k-1}},$$

$$\inf_{m \geq n_{2k-1}} E[X_m | \mathcal{F}_{n_{2k-1}}] - X_{n_{2k-1}} \geq -\frac{\epsilon}{2^{2k-1}} \text{ on } A_{n_{2k-1}},$$

$$|a_{n_{2k}} - b| < \frac{\beta}{4}, A_{n_{2k-1}} \supseteq A_{n_{2k}}, \text{ and } \inf_{m \geq n_{2k}} E[X_m | \mathcal{F}_{n_{2k}}] - X_{n_{2k}} \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2^{2k}} \text{ on } A_{n_{2k}}.$$

Then we have

$$\int_{A_{n_{2k-1}} - A_{n_{2k}}} X_{n_{2k}} = \int_{A_{n_{2k-1}}} X_{n_{2k}} - \int_{A_{n_{2k}}} X_{n_{2k}} = \int_{A_{n_{2k-1}}} X_{n_{2k}} - P(A_{n_{2k}}) a_{n_{2k}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \int_{A_{n_{2k-1}}} E[X_{n_{2k}} | \mathcal{F}_{n_{2k-1}}] - P(A_{n_{2k}}) a_{n_{2k}} \\
 &\geq \int_{A_{n_{2k-1}}} \left(X_{n_{2k-1}} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{2k-1}} \right) - P(A_{n_{2k}}) a_{n_{2k}} \\
 &\geq P(A_{n_{2k-1}}) a_{n_{2k-1}} - P(A_{n_{2k}}) a_{n_{2k}} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{2k-1}} \\
 &\geq (a_{n_{2k-1}} - a_{n_{2k}}) P(A_{n_{2k}}) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{2k-1}} \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{2} \beta P(A) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{2k-1}} \geq \frac{1}{2} \beta P(A) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}
 \end{aligned}$$

Define $\tau = n_i$ on $A_{n_i} - A_{n_{i-1}}$ ($i = 2, 3, \dots$) and $\tau = \infty$ elsewhere. Then τ is a stopping time. Take ε such that $0 < \varepsilon < \beta P(A)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{(\tau < \infty)} X_{\tau}^+ &= \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} \int_{A_{n_i} - A_{n_{i-1}}} X_{n_i}^+ \geq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{A_{n_{2k-1}} - A_{n_{2k}}} X_{n_{2k}} \\
 &\geq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \beta P(A) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{2k-1}} \right\} \geq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2} \beta P(A) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right) = \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

In second case of $a - b = \beta = \infty$, we can take a_{n_i} ($i = 1, 2, \dots$) such that $a_{n_{2k-1}} - a_{n_{2k}} \geq 1$ ($k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$) and others are the same to the first case. Then we also can have $\int_{(\tau < \infty)} X_{\tau}^+ = \infty$. This contradicts the assumption and we proved that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n$ exists a.e. on A . Now suppose $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n = -\infty$ on A . Then there exists an integer n_1 such that $a_{n_1} < 0$ and $\inf_{m \geq n_1} E[X_m | \mathcal{F}_{n_1}] - X_{n_1} \geq -\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ on A_{n_1} , and we find an integer n_2 such that $n_1 < n_2$

$$A_{n_1} \supseteq A_{n_2}, \quad a_{n_2} < \frac{1}{P(A)} [a_{n_1} P(A_{n_1}) - P(A_{n_2})]$$

and $\inf_{m \geq n_2} E[X_m | \mathcal{F}_{n_2}] - X_{n_2} > -\frac{\varepsilon}{4}$

on A_{n_2} . By induction we can take a sequence $\{n_k\}$ such that

$$n_{k-1} < n_k, \quad A_{n_{k-1}} \supseteq A_{n_k}, \quad a_{n_k} < \frac{1}{P(A)} [a_{n_{k-1}} P(A_{n_{k-1}}) - P(A_{n_k})]$$

and $\inf_{m \geq n_k} E[X_m | \mathcal{F}_{n_k}] - X_{n_k} > -\frac{\varepsilon}{2^k}$ on A_{n_k} . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{A_{n_{k-1}} - A_{n_k}} X_{n_k} &= \int_{A_{n_{k-1}}} X_{n_k} - \int_{A_{n_k}} X_{n_k} \geq \int_{A_{n_{k-1}}} E[X_{n_k} | \mathcal{F}_{n_{k-1}}] - P(A_{n_k}) a_{n_k} \\
 &\geq \int_{A_{n_{k-1}}} \left(X_{n_{k-1}} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{k-1}} \right) - P(A_{n_k}) a_{n_k}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq P(A_{n_{k-1}})a_{n_{k-1}} - P(A_{n_k})a_{n_k} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{k-1}} \\ &\geq P(A_{n_{k-1}}) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{k-1}} \geq P(A) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{k-1}} \geq P(A) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Define $\tau = n_1$ on $A_{n_{i-1}} - A_{n_i}$ and $\tau = \infty$ otherwise. Then τ is a stopping time. Take ε such that $0 < \varepsilon < P(A)$

$$\int_{(\tau < \infty)} X_{\tau}^{+} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{A_{n_{i-1}} - A_{n_i}} X_{n_i}^{+} \geq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(P(A) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{i-1}} \right) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(P(A) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right) = \infty$$

This contradicts our assumption.

COROLLARY 8. *Let $(X_n)_{n=1}$ be a game fairer with time such that $\int_{(\tau < \infty)} |X_{\tau}| < \infty$ for every stopping time τ and an atom A of probability space. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n$ exists and is finite a.e. on A .*

PROOF. By the similar method, we can easily prove it.

THEOREM 9. *Let $(X_n)_{n=1}$ be a game better with time such that $\sup_n \int |X_n| < \infty$ and let A be an atom of the probability space. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n$ exists and is finite on A .*

PROOF. Suppose that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n$ does not exist on A . Then $\limsup X_n = a > b = \liminf X_n$ on A for some $a, b \in R$ and clearly $a - b = \beta < \infty$. So we can take the same n_k as in the first case of previous theorem. Then we have for every k with $n_{2k} \leq m$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{A_{n_{2k-1}} - A_{n_{2k}}} X_m &= \int_{A_{n_{2k-1}} - A_{n_{2k}}} E[X_m | \mathcal{F}_{n_{2k}}] \geq \int_{A_{n_{2k-1}} - A_{n_{2k}}} \left(X_{n_{2k}} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{2k}} \right) \\ &\geq \int_{A_{n_{2k-1}} - A_{n_{2k}}} X_{n_{2k}} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{2k}} \geq \int_{A_{n_{2k-1}}} X_{n_{2k}} - \int_{A_{n_{2k}}} X_{n_{2k}} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{2k}} \\ &= \int_{A_{n_{2k-1}}} E[X_{n_{2k}} | \mathcal{F}_{n_{2k-1}}] - P(A_{n_{2k}})a_{n_{2k}} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{2k}} \\ &\geq \int_{A_{n_{2k-1}}} \left(X_{n_{2k-1}} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{2k-1}} \right) - P(A_{n_{2k}})a_{n_{2k}} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{2k}} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \beta P(A) - \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2^{2k-1}} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{2k}} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \beta P(A) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{2k-2}} \text{ for } n_{2k} \leq m \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} |X_m| \geq \int_{A_{n_1} \cdot A_{n_2}} |X_m| + \dots + \int_{A_{n_{2k-1}} \cdot A_{n_{2k}}} |X_m| \\ \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} \beta P(A) - \varepsilon \right) + \dots + \left(\frac{1}{2} \beta P(A) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{2k-2}} \right) \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} \beta P(A) - \varepsilon \right) \cdot k$$

Take ε such that $\frac{1}{2} \beta P(A) > \varepsilon > 0$. Then as $k \rightarrow \infty$, $\lim \int_{\Omega} |X_m| = \infty$. This completes the theorem.

Now we consider the following example. It shows that if A is not an atomic set, the a.e. convergence is not assured. To show this we shall construct a counter example. Let $\Omega = [0, 1]$ and P be a Lebesgue measure on Ω . Define $X_n(x) = 1$ if $x \in [k2^{-\nu}, (k+1)2^{-\nu}]$ and $X_n(x) = 0$ otherwise, where $n = k + 2^{\nu}$, $0 \leq k < 2^{\nu}$. Put $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ and $\mathcal{F} = \sigma(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_n)$. Then \mathcal{F} contains no atomic set and $(X_n)_{n=1}$ is a game better with time which does not converge a.e.

Kyungpook University.

REFERENCES

- [1] L.H. Blake, *A generalization of martingales and two convergence theorems*, Pacific J. Math. 35(1970), 279–283.
- [2] _____, *A note concerning the L_1 convergence of a class of games which become fairer with time*, Glasgow Mathematical Journal, 13(1972), 39–41.
- [3] A. Mucci, *Limits for martingales like sequences*, Pacific J. Math., 48(1973) 197–202.
- [4] _____, *Another martingale convergence theorem*, Pacific J. Math., 64(1976), 539–541.
- [5] L.H. Blake, *Weak submartingales in the limit*, J. London Math Soc. (2) 19(1979), 573–575.
- [6] _____, *A note concerning first order games which become fairer with time*, J. London Math. Soc., 9(1975) 589–592.
- [7] J. Neveu, *Discrete-Parameter Martingales*, 1975.