

## ABSOLUTE RIESZ SUMMABILITY OF SERIES ASSOCIATED WITH LEGENDRE SERIES

By Prem Chandra and M.M. Sharma

### 1. Definitions and notations

Let  $\lambda = \lambda(w)$  be a continuous, differentiable and monotonic increasing function of  $w$  and let it tend to infinity with  $w$ . Suppose that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n$  be a given infinite series, then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n \in |R, \lambda(w), r| \quad (r > 0) \text{ if}$$

$$\int_l^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{(1)}(w)}{\{\lambda(w)\}^{\lambda+1}} \left| \sum_{n \leq w} \{\lambda(w) - \lambda(n)\}^{r-1} \lambda(n) u_n \right| dw < \infty$$

where  $l$  is a suitable finite number (Obrechhoff [3], [4])

and  $\lambda^{(1)}(w) = \frac{d}{dw}(\lambda(w))$ .

Let  $f$  be a Lebesgue-measurable function over the linear interval  $[-1, 1]$ . Then its Legendre series at  $x \in [-1, 1]$  is given by

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n P_n(x)$$

where

$$(1.1) \quad a_n = \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \int_{-1}^1 f(y) P_n(y) dy$$

and  $P_n(x)$  denotes the  $n$ -th Legendre polynomial.

Throughout the paper we take fixed  $x$  such that  $-1 < x < 1$ . We also write

$$f_1(t) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f(u) du.$$

### 2. Introduction

Recently Pal and Sharma [5] and Chandra and Sharma [1] have studied absolute Riesz summability of Legendre series of a function of bounded variation. In this paper, we study absolute Riesz summability factors for Legendre series

at an internal point of  $[-1, 1]$  under the local conditions. Precisely, we prove the following

THEOREM. Let  $h(t)$  be non-negative and non-decreasing with  $t \geq 0$  and let

$$(2.1) \quad \int_t^\pi \phi^{-1} h(\phi) d\phi = O\left\{\mu\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)\right\} \quad (t \rightarrow 0+),$$

where  $\mu$  is positive and non-decreasing. Then

$$(2.2) \quad \alpha(t) = \int_0^t |f(\pm \cos u)| du = O(h(t)\sqrt{t}) \quad (t \rightarrow 0+)$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad \beta(t) = \int_0^t |f(\cos(\theta \pm u))| du = O(t) \quad (t \rightarrow 0+)$$

imply  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n P_n(x) y(n) \in |R, \lambda(w), 1|$ , whenever

$$(2.4) \quad \{\lambda(n) y(n)\} \uparrow \text{ for } n \geq n_0$$

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{n \leq w} \lambda(n) y(n) / n = O\{\lambda^2(w) y(w) / w \lambda^{(1)}(w)\}$$

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{cases} \text{(i)} & \int_t^\infty \frac{\lambda^{(1)}(w)}{\lambda(w)} y(w) \log w dw < \infty; \\ \text{(ii)} & \int_t^\infty \frac{y(w) \mu(w)}{w} dw < \infty. \end{cases}$$

We remark that

$$f(t) \in BV[-1, 1] \implies f_1(t) \in BV[-1, 1]$$

and the latter implies that

$$\int_0^t |f(u)| du = O(t) \quad (t \rightarrow 0),$$

but the converse, in general, is not true (Compare with Chandra and Gupta [2]). Therefore, if

$$h(t) = \sqrt{t}$$

the hypotheses (2.2) and (2.3) are weaker than

$$f_1(t) \in BV[-1, 1].$$

**3. Lemmas**

We shall use the following lemmas in the proof:

LEMMA 1. ([6], p.197). Let  $0 < \theta < \pi$  and  $n=1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Then

$$|P_n(\cos \theta)| \leq 4 \sqrt{\frac{2}{n\pi \sin \theta}}.$$

LEMMA 2. Let  $n=1, 2, 3, \dots$  and  $c$  be a fixed positive number. Then, for  $c/n \leq \theta \leq \pi - c/n$ ,

$$P_n(\cos \theta) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{n\pi \sin \theta}} \cos\left\{\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)\theta - \pi/4\right\} + O\left\{\frac{n^{-3/2}}{(\sin \theta)^{3/2}}\right\}.$$

This follows from (8.21.18) of Szegő [7].

LEMMA 3. Let  $c$  be a fixed positive number and  $c/n \leq \theta \leq \pi - \frac{c}{n}$  and  $c/n \leq \phi \leq \pi - c/n$ . Then for  $n \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} &P_n(\cos \theta) P_n(\cos \phi) \sin \phi \\ &= \frac{1}{n\pi} \sqrt{\frac{\sin \phi}{\sin \theta}} \left\{ \sin\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)(\theta + \phi) + \cos\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)(\theta - \phi) \right\} \\ &\quad + O\left\{\frac{n^{-2}}{\sqrt{(\sin \phi)}}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

This follows from Lemma 2 after some simplification.

**4. Proof of the theorem**

The series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n P_n(x) y(n) \in |R, \lambda(w), 1|$  if

$$\int_l^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{(1)}(w)}{(\lambda(w))^2} \left| \sum_{n \leq w} \lambda(n) a_n P_n(x) y(n) \right| dw < \infty,$$

where  $l$  is some positive constant, and, by (1.1),

$$\begin{aligned} a_n P_n(x) &= \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \int_0^{\pi} f(\cos \phi) P_n(\cos \theta) P_n(\cos \phi) \sin \phi d\phi \\ &= \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \left( \int_0^{c/w} + \int_{c/w}^{\theta - c/w} + \int_{\theta - c/w}^{\theta + c/w} + \int_{\theta + c/w}^{\pi - c/w} + \int_{\pi - c/w}^{\pi} \right) \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^5 I_r(n), \text{ say,} \end{aligned}$$

where constant  $c \geq 1$ .

Thus, for the proof of the theorem, it is enough to show that

$$(4.1) \quad \int_1^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{(1)}(w)}{(\lambda(w))^2} \left| \sum_{n \leq w} \lambda(n) y(n) I_r(n) \right| dw < \infty$$

for  $r=1, 2, 3, 4$ , and  $5$ .

By Lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(n) &= O(1) \int_0^{c/w} \phi^{1/2} |f(\cos \phi)| d\phi \\ &= O(w^{-1} h(c/w)) \quad (\text{by (2.2)}) \\ &= O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \end{aligned}$$

for  $n \leq w$ . By using the transformation

$$u = \pi - \phi$$

and proceeding as above, it may be shown that

$$I_5(n) = O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right),$$

for  $n \leq w$ . Now, we consider  $I_3(n)$ .

By Lemma 3,

$$\begin{aligned} I_3(n) &= \left(\frac{2n+1}{2n\pi}\right) \int_{\theta-c/w}^{\theta+c/w} f(\cos \phi) \left(\frac{\sin \phi}{\sin \theta}\right)^{1/2} \left[ \sin\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)(\theta + \phi) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \cos\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)(\theta - \phi) \right] d\phi \\ &\quad + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \int_{\theta-c/w}^{\theta+c/w} \phi^{-1/2} |f(\cos \phi)| d\phi \\ &= O(1) \int_{\theta-c/w}^{\theta+c/w} |f(\cos \phi)| d\phi + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \\ &= O(1) \int_{-c/w}^{c/w} |f(\cos(\theta - u))| du + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \\ &= O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \end{aligned}$$

by (2,3), for  $n \leq w$ . Thus

$$I_r(n) = O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \quad (r=1, 3, 5).$$

Now, using (2.5) and (2.6) (ii), (4.1) for  $r=1, 3, 5$  holds.

Since the proof of (4.1) for  $r=4$  is similar to that for  $r=2$ , therefore we prove (4.1) for  $r=2$  only.

By Lemma 3,

$$\begin{aligned} I_2(n) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{c/w}^{\theta-c/w} f(\cos \phi) \left( \frac{\sin \phi}{\sin \theta} \right)^{1/2} \left[ \sin\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) (\theta + \phi) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \cos\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) (\theta - \phi) \right] d\phi + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \int_{c/w}^{\theta-c/w} |f(\cos \phi)| \phi^{-1/2} d\phi \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} I_{2,1}(n) + I_{2,2}(n), \text{ say.} \end{aligned}$$

Now, integrating by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{c/w}^{\theta-c/w} |f(\cos \phi)| \phi^{-1/2} d\phi &= [\phi^{-1/2} \alpha(\phi)]_{c/w}^{\theta-c/w} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{c/w}^{\theta-c/w} \phi^{-3/2} \alpha(\phi) d\phi \\ &= O(1) + O(1) \int_{c/w}^{\theta-c/w} \frac{h(\phi)}{\phi} d\phi \\ &\qquad\qquad\qquad \text{(by (2.2))} \\ &= O(1) + O(\mu(w/c)) \\ &\qquad\qquad\qquad \text{(by (2.1))} \\ &= O(\mu(w)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$I_{2,2}(n) = O\left\{ \frac{\mu(w)}{n} \right\}$$

and hence (4.1) with  $I_{2,2}(n)$  in place of  $I_2(n)$  holds whenever we use (2.5) and (2.6) (ii). Finally, to complete the proof of the theorem it remains to show that (4.1) holds with  $I_{2,1}(n)$  for  $I_2(n)$ .

Now

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n \leq w} \lambda(n) y(n) I_{2,1}(n) \\ &= \int_{c/w}^{\theta-c/w} f(\cos \phi) \left( \frac{\sin \phi}{\sin \theta} \right)^{1/2} d\phi \left( \sum_{n \leq w} \lambda(n) y(n) \cdot \left\{ \sin\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) (\theta + \phi) + \cos\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) (\theta - \phi) \right\} \right) \\ &= O\left\{ \lambda(w) y(w) \int_{c/w}^{\theta-c/w} \frac{|f(\cos \phi)|}{\theta - \phi} d\phi \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and integrating by parts

$$\int_{c/w}^{\theta-c/w} \frac{|f(\cos \phi)|}{\theta-\phi} d\phi = \int_{c/w}^{\theta-c/w} \frac{|f(\cos(\theta-u))|}{u} du$$

$$= O(\log w),$$

by (2.3). Therefore

$$\sum_{n \leq w} \lambda(n) y(n) I_{2,1}(n) = O[\lambda(w) y(w) \log w].$$

Hence, in view of (2.6) (i), (4.1) with  $I_{2,1}(n)$  for  $I_2(n)$  holds.

This completes the proof of the theorem.

### 5. Corollaries

We deduce from the theorem the following corollaries:

COROLLARY 1. Let  $\Delta \geq 1$  and let

$$(5.1) \text{ (i) } \alpha(t) = O(\sqrt{t})(t \rightarrow 0+); \text{ (ii) } \beta(t) = O(t) (t \rightarrow 0).$$

Then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n P_n(x)}{[\log(n+1)]^{1+\varepsilon+\Delta}} \in |R, \exp\{(\log w)^\Delta\}, 1| \quad (\varepsilon > 0).$$

PROOF. Let  $h(t) = 1$  and  $\lambda(w) = \exp\{(\log w)^\Delta\}$  ( $\Delta \geq 1$ ) in the theorem. Then

$$\mu_n = \log n$$

and the conditions (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6) are satisfied for

$$y_n = [\log(n+1)]^{-1-\varepsilon-\Delta} \quad (\varepsilon > 0).$$

Thus the proof of Corollary 1 follows.

COROLLARY 2. Let  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  and let (5.1) hold. Then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n P_n(x)}{n^\alpha (\log(n+1))^{2+\varepsilon}} \in |R, \exp(w^\alpha), 1|.$$

PROOF. By taking  $h(t) = 1$ ,  $\lambda(w) = \exp(w^\alpha)$  ( $0 < \alpha < 1$ ) and  $y(n) = (\log(n+1))^{-2-\varepsilon} n^{-\alpha}$  in the theorem, the proof of the corollary follows.

COROLLARY 3. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and let

$$(5.2) \text{ (i) } \alpha(t) = O\left(\frac{\sqrt{t}}{(\log \frac{1}{t})^{1+\varepsilon}}\right) (t \rightarrow 0); \text{ (ii) } \beta(t) = O(t) (t \rightarrow 0).$$

Then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n P_n(x)}{\{\log(n+1)\}^{1+\varepsilon}} \in |R, \log w, 1|.$$

PROOF. The proof follows on letting  $h(t) = \frac{1}{(\log 1/t)^{1+\varepsilon}}$ ,  $y(n) = \frac{1}{\{\log(n+1)\}^{1+\varepsilon}}$  and  $\lambda(w) = (\log w)^{1+\varepsilon}$  for  $\varepsilon > 0$  in the theorem and appealing the second theorem of consistency for the absolute Riesz summability.

School of Studies in Mathematics,  
Vikram University,  
UJJAIN-456010, India.

Department of Mathematics,  
Madhav Science College,  
UJJAIN-456010, India.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Chandra, P. and Sharma, M.M., *On the absolute Riesz summability of Legendre series*, Indian J. Pure and Applied Math., 10(2) (1979), 191—203.
- [2] Chandra, P. and Gupta, R.R., *Absolute summability by Riesz means*, Indian J. Pure and Applied Math. (to appear)
- [3] Obrechhoff, N., *Sur la sommation absolue des séries de Dirichlet*, Comptes Rendus, 186(1928), 215—217.
- [4] ———, *Über die absolute summierung der Dirichletschen Reihn*, Math. Zeit., 30(1929), 375—380.
- [5] Pal, R.S., and Sharma, M.M., *On the absolute Riesz summability of Legendre series*, The Vikram Univ. J., 21(1977), 79—89.
- [6] Sansone, G., *Orthogonal functions*, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1959.
- [7] Szegö, G., *Orthogonal polynomials*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publications, 1967.