

A NOTE ON SHARMA'S FORMULA

By R. K. Sharma

1. Sharma has proved the formula [1, p.133, equ.(5)]

$$F \left[\begin{matrix} -n, \beta+f_1+f_2+n; \frac{1}{2}f_1, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}f_1; \frac{1}{2}f_2, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}f_2; 1, 1 \\ \frac{1}{2}(\beta+f_1+f_2), \frac{1}{2}(1+\beta+f_1+f_2); 1+f_1; 1+f_2; \end{matrix} \right] = \frac{(\beta)_n}{(\beta+f_1+f_2)_n}. \quad (1)$$

In (1), the following notation due to Burchnall and Chaundy [3] has been used to represent the hypergeometric series of higher order and of two variables.

$$F \left[\begin{matrix} (a_p); (b_q); (c_r); x, y \\ (d_s); (e_h); (f_k); \end{matrix} \right] = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{[(a_p)]_{m+n} [(b_q)]_m [(c_r)]_n x^m y^n}{[(d_s)]_{m+n} [(e_h)]_m [(f_k)]_n m! n!} \quad (2)$$

where (a_p) and $[(a_p)]_{m+n}$ will mean the sequence and the product $(a_1)_{m+n} \cdots (a_p)_{m+n}$ respectively.

In the investigation we use the formula due to Whipple [4, p.244. eq.(III.11)]

$${}_4F_3 \left[\begin{matrix} \alpha, 1 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha, \beta, -n; -1 \\ -\frac{1}{2}\alpha, 1 + \alpha - \beta, 1 + \alpha + n \end{matrix} \right] = \frac{(1+\alpha)_n}{(1+\alpha-\beta)_n}, \quad (3)$$

and Dougall [4, p.244. eq. (III.13)]

$${}_5F_4 \left[\begin{matrix} \alpha, 1 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha, \beta, \gamma, -n; 1 \\ -\frac{1}{2}\alpha, 1 + \alpha - \beta, 1 + \alpha - \gamma, 1 + \alpha + n; \end{matrix} \right] = \frac{(1+\alpha)_n (1+\alpha-\beta-\gamma)_n}{(1+\alpha-\beta)_n (1+\alpha-\gamma)_n}. \quad (4)$$

2. The first formula to be proved is

$$F \left[\begin{matrix} -n, \beta+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+n; \frac{1}{2}\alpha_1, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_1; \frac{1}{2}\alpha_2, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_2; 1, 1 \\ \frac{1}{2}(\beta+\alpha_1+\alpha_2), \frac{1}{2}(1+\beta+\alpha_1+\alpha_2); 1+\alpha_1-\gamma_1; 1+\alpha_2-\gamma_2; \end{matrix} \right] = \frac{(\beta)_n}{(\beta+\alpha_1+\alpha_2)_n} F \left[\begin{matrix} -n; \alpha_1, \gamma_1; \alpha_2, \gamma_2; -1, -1 \\ 1-\beta-n; 1+\alpha_1-\gamma_1; 1+\alpha_2-\beta_2; \end{matrix} \right] \quad (5)$$

PROOF. To prove (5), we start with the left side of (5)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & F \left[\begin{matrix} -n, \beta + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + n; \frac{1}{2}\alpha_1, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_1; \frac{1}{2}\alpha_2, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_2; 1, 1 \\ \frac{1}{2}(\beta + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2), \frac{1}{2}(1 + \beta + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2); 1 + \alpha_1 - \gamma_1; 1 + \alpha_2 - \gamma_2; \end{matrix} \right] \\
 &= \sum_{p=0}^{p+q} \sum_{q=0}^{\leq n} \frac{(-n)_{p+q} (\beta + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + n)_{p+q} \left(\frac{1}{2}\alpha_1\right)_p \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_1\right)_p \left(\frac{1}{2}\alpha_2\right)_q \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_2\right)_q}{\left(\frac{1}{2}\beta + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_1 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_2\right)_{p+q} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\beta + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_1 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_2\right)_{p+q} (1 + \alpha_1 - \gamma_1)_p} \\
 & \hspace{15em} \overline{(1 + \alpha_2 - \gamma_2)_q p! q!} \quad \text{by(2)} \\
 &= \sum_{p=0}^{p+q} \sum_{q=0}^{\leq n} \frac{(-n)_{p+q} (\beta + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + n)_{p+q} \left(\frac{1}{2}\alpha_1\right)_p \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_1\right)_p \left(\frac{1}{2}\alpha_2\right)_q}{\left(\frac{1}{2}\beta + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_1 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_2\right)_{p+q} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\beta + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_1 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_2\right)_{p+q}} \\
 & \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_2\right)_q}{(1 + \alpha_1)_p (1 + \alpha_2)_q p! q!} \sum_{r=0}^p \frac{(\alpha_1)_r \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_1\right)_r (\gamma_1)_r (-p)_r (-1)^r}{\left(\frac{1}{2}\alpha_1\right)_r (1 + \alpha_1 - \gamma_1)_r (1 + \alpha_1 + p)_r r!} \\
 & \sum_{s=0}^q \frac{(\alpha_2)_s \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_2\right)_s (\gamma_2)_s (-q)_s (-1)^s}{\left(\frac{1}{2}\alpha_2\right)_s (1 + \alpha_2 - \gamma_2)_s (1 + \alpha_2 + q)_s s!} \quad \text{by (3)} \\
 &= \sum_{r=0}^{r+s} \sum_{s=0}^{\leq n} \frac{(-n)_{r+s} (\beta + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + n)_{r+s} (\alpha_1)_r (\gamma_1)_r (\alpha_2)_s (\gamma_2)_s}{(\beta + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2)_{2r+2s} (1 + \alpha_1 - \gamma_1)_r (1 + \alpha_2 - \gamma_2)_s r! s!} \\
 & F \left[\begin{matrix} -n + r + s, \beta + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + n + r + s; \frac{1}{2}\alpha_1 + r, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_1 + r; \frac{1}{2}\alpha_2 + s, \\ \frac{1}{2}(\beta + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2r + 2s), \frac{1}{2}(1 + \beta + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2r + 2s); 1 + \alpha_1 + 2r; \\ \hspace{15em} \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_2 + s; 1, 1 \\ 1 + \alpha_2 + 2s; \end{matrix} \right] \\
 &= \frac{(\beta)_n}{(\beta + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2)_n} F \left[\begin{matrix} -n; \alpha_1, \gamma_1; \alpha_2, \gamma_2; -1, -1 \\ 1 + \beta - n; 1 + \alpha_1 - \gamma_1; 1 + \alpha_2 - \gamma_2; \end{matrix} \right] \quad \text{by (1)}
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of (5). If we take $\alpha_2=0$ in (5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & {}_4F_3 \left[\begin{matrix} -n, \beta + \alpha + n, \frac{1}{2}\alpha, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\alpha; 1 \\ \frac{1}{2}(\beta + \alpha), \frac{1}{2}(1 + \beta + \alpha), 1 + \alpha - \gamma; \end{matrix} \right] = \frac{(\beta)_n}{(\beta + \alpha)_n} \\
 & {}_3F_2 \left[\begin{matrix} -n, \alpha, \gamma; -1 \\ 1 - \beta - n, 1 + \alpha - \gamma; \end{matrix} \right] \quad (6)
 \end{aligned}$$

(6) is equivalent to the well-known formula

$$\begin{aligned}
 {}_2F_1[\alpha, \gamma; 1+\alpha-\gamma; x] &= (1+x)^{-\alpha} \\
 {}_2F_1\left[\frac{1}{2}\alpha, \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\alpha; 1+\alpha-\gamma; \frac{4x}{(1+x)^2}\right] & \tag{7}
 \end{aligned}$$

3. The second formula to be proved is

$$\begin{aligned}
 F \left[\begin{matrix} -n, \beta+\alpha_1+\alpha_2+n; \frac{1}{2}\alpha_1, \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\alpha_1, 1+\alpha_1-\rho_1-\delta_1; \frac{1}{2}\alpha_2, \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\alpha_2, \\ \frac{1}{2}(\beta+\alpha_1+\alpha_2), \frac{1}{2}(1+\beta+\alpha_1+\alpha_2); 1+\alpha_1-\rho_1, 1+\alpha_1-\delta_1; 1+\alpha_2-\rho_2, 1+\alpha_2 \\ 1+\alpha_2-\rho_2-\delta_2; 1, 1 \end{matrix} \right] &= \frac{(\beta)_n}{(\beta+\alpha_1+\alpha_2)_n} \\
 F \left[\begin{matrix} -n; \alpha_1, \rho_1, \delta_1; \alpha_2, \rho_2, \delta_2; 1, 1 \\ 1-\beta-n; 1+\alpha_1-\rho_1, 1+\alpha_1-\delta_1; 1+\alpha_2-\rho_2, 1+\alpha_2-\delta_2 \end{matrix} \right] & \tag{8}
 \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. (8) can be proved in the same way as (5) by using (4) instead of (3). On putting $\alpha_2=0$ in (8), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 {}_5F_4 \left[\begin{matrix} -n, \beta+\alpha+n, \frac{1}{2}\alpha, \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\alpha, 1+\alpha-\rho-\delta; 1 \\ \frac{1}{2}(\beta+\alpha), \frac{1}{2}(1+\beta+\alpha), 1+\alpha-\rho, 1+\alpha-\delta; \end{matrix} \right] \\
 = \frac{(\beta)_n}{(\beta+\alpha)_n} {}_4F_3 \left[\begin{matrix} -n, \alpha, \rho, \delta; 1 \\ 1-\beta-n, 1+\alpha-\rho, 1+\alpha-\delta; \end{matrix} \right] & \tag{9}
 \end{aligned}$$

(9) is equivalent to the formula due to Whipple [2, p.90 eq.(1)]

$$\begin{aligned}
 {}_3F_2 \left[\begin{matrix} \alpha, \rho, \delta; x \\ 1+\alpha-\rho, 1+\alpha-\delta; \end{matrix} \right] &= (1-x)^{-\alpha} \\
 {}_3F_2 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}\alpha, \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\alpha, 1+\alpha-\rho-\delta; \frac{-4x}{(1-x)^2} \\ 1+\alpha-\rho, 1+\alpha-\delta; \end{matrix} \right] & \tag{10}
 \end{aligned}$$

Department of Mathematics,
 Adeyemi College of Education,
 (University of Ife)
 ONDO
 Nigeria.

REFERENCES

- [1] B.L. Sharma, *Sum of a double series*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. Vol.52, pp.136-138, 1975.
- [2] E.D. Rainville, *Special functions*, The Macmillan Company, New York. 1960.
- [3] J.L. Burchnall and T.W. Chaundy, *Expansions of Appell's double hypergeometric functions*, Quart. J.Math. Oxford 11, pp.249-270, 1940.
- [4] L.J. Slater, *Generalized hypergeometric functions*, Cambridge University Press. 1966.