Kyungpook Math. J. Volume 21, Number 1 June, 1981.

ON GROUPS OF NEAT AND PURE-HIGH EXTENSIONS

By Asif Mashhood

It is not difficult to verify that for a torsion group B, Next $(B, A) = 0 = \text{Hext}_p(B, A)$, whenever A is a divisible group or an elementary p-group. A natural question arises what will be the nature of Next(B, A) and Hext $_p(B, A)$ for a torsion group B, if A changes? In this paper, we answer this question and prove that for a torsion free group A, Next(Q/Z, A) is reduced algebraically compact group, while Hext $_p(Q/Z, A)=0$. Furthermore, we investigate that if A_t is the torsion part of A, Next $(Q/Z, A_t)$ will be algebraically compact, whenever Next(Q/Z, A) is. The behaviour of Hext $_p(Q/Z, A_t)$ is exactly similar. We connect in this paper Next to Hom, with the remark that an analogous result is valid for Hext $_p$.

The exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow 0$ is called *neat exact* if A is a neat subgroup of G. The elements of the group Next(B, A) are the neat exact sequences. The above neat exact sequence yields for any group K the exact sequences.

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(K, A) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(K, G) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(K, B) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Next}(K, A)$$
$$\longrightarrow \operatorname{Next}(K, G) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Next}(K, B) \longrightarrow 0$$
$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(B, K) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(G, K) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(A, K) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Next}(B, K)$$
$$\longrightarrow \operatorname{Next}(G, K) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Next}(A, K) \longrightarrow 0$$

The exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow 0$ is a *purehigh extension* if and only if there exists a subgroup K of G such that A is maximal disjoint from K and (A+K)/K is pure in G/K. The elements of the group $\text{Hext}_p(B, A)$ are the pure-high exact sequences. In general we adopt the notations used in [1].

To start with, we prove that the group of neat extensions of A by B is cotorsion.

LEMMA 1. Next(B, A) is for all groups A and B a cotorsion group.

PROOF. For arbitrary groups A and B the factor group Ext(B, A)/Next(B, A) is reduced. The exact sequence

 $0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Next}(B, A) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}(B, A) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}(B, A) / \operatorname{Next}(B, A) \longrightarrow 0$ yields the exact sequence

Asif Mashhood

Hom $(Q, \operatorname{Ext}(B, A)/\operatorname{Next}(B, A)) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}(Q, \operatorname{Next}(B, A)) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}(Q, \operatorname{Ext}(B, A))$ The first group is 0 since Q is divisible and the factor group is reduced. Also $\operatorname{Ext}(B, A)$ is cotorsion for all groups A and B (see Theorem 54.6 of [1]). Hence the last group vanishes, and $\operatorname{Ext}(Q, \operatorname{Next}(B, A))=0$ Thus $\operatorname{Next}(B, A)$ is cotorsion.

A proof analogous to theorem 53.3 of [1] establishes that Next(C, A) = $\bigcap_{p \in P} PExt(C, A)$ that is, Next(C, A) is the Frattini subgroup of Ext(C, A). From theorem 52.2 of [1] we deduce the following lemma.

LEMMA 2. Let
$$\{G_i : i \in I\}$$
 be a family of groups, for any group H

$$Next(\bigoplus_{i \in I} G_i, H) \cong \prod_{i \in I} Next(G_i, H)$$

$$Next(H, \prod_{i \in I} G_i) \cong \prod_{i \in I} Next(H, G_i)$$

PROOF. Since Frattini subgroups of two isomorphic groups are isomorphic, and Frattini subgroup of a direct product is the direct product of the Frattini subgroups the isomorphism

$$\begin{split} & \operatorname{Ext}(\bigoplus_{i \in I} G_i, H) \cong \prod_{i \in I} \operatorname{Ext}(G_i, H) \\ \Longrightarrow & \bigcap_{p \in P} p(\operatorname{Ext}(\bigoplus_{i \in I} G_i, H)) \cong \bigcap_{p \in P} p(\prod_{i \in I} \operatorname{Ext}(G_i, H)) \\ \Longrightarrow & \bigcap_{p \in P} p(\operatorname{Ext}(\bigoplus_{i \in I} G_i, H) \cong \prod_{i \in I} \bigcap_{p \in P} p \operatorname{Ext}(G_i, H) \\ \Longrightarrow & \operatorname{Next}(\bigoplus_{i \in I} G_i, H) \cong \prod_{i \in I} \operatorname{Next}(G_i, H). \end{split}$$

The proof for second isomorphism runs dually.

Now we discuss the behaviour of Next(Q/Z, A) and Hext_p(Q/Z, A), when A^* is a torsion free group

THEOREM 1. Let D be the divisible hull of any torsion free group A, then for any monomorphism g of A into $D \oplus \prod_{b \in P} (A/bA)$

Next(
$$Q/Z$$
, A) \cong Hom(Q/Z , $(D \oplus \prod_{p \in P} (A/pA))/gA$)

Hence Next(Q/Z, A) is a reduced algebraically compact group

PROOF. Since D is the divisible hull of A the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{j} D \longrightarrow D/A \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact. Define a monomorphism g of A into $D \bigoplus_{p \in P} \prod(A/pA)$ such that $g(a) = (f(a), [a+pA]), a \in A$. (then by lemma 4 of [2] or by [4]) the sequence

On Groups Neat and Pure-High Extensions

$$0 \longrightarrow A^{\underline{g}} \to D \oplus \prod_{p \in P} (A/pA) \longrightarrow (D \oplus \prod_{p \in P} (A/pA))/gA) \longrightarrow 0$$

is neat exact and yields the exact sequence

$$\operatorname{Hom}(Q/Z, D \oplus \prod_{p \in P} (A/pA)) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(Q/Z, (D \oplus \prod_{p \in P} (A/pA))/gA)$$
$$\longrightarrow \operatorname{Next}(Q/Z, A) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Next}(Q/Z, (D \oplus \prod_{p \in P} (A/pA)))$$

Now, Hom $(Q/Z, D \bigoplus_{p \in P} \prod (A/pA)) = \text{Hom}(Q/Z, D) \oplus \text{Hom}(Q/Z, \prod_{p \in P} (A/Ap))$ The first summand is O, since Q/Z is torsion and D, the divisible hull of a torsion free group, is torsion free. Furthermore, $\text{Hom}(Q/Z, \prod_{p \in P} (A/pA)) \cong \prod_{p \in P} \text{Hom}(Q/Z, A/pA) = \prod_{p \in P} \text{Hom}(Q/Z, Z(p)) = 0$ since Q/Z is divisible and Z(p), the cyclic group of order p, is reduced. Also the last group

$$\operatorname{Next}(Q/Z, D \bigoplus_{p \in P} (A/pA)) = \operatorname{Next}(Q/Z, D) \oplus \operatorname{Next}(Q/Z, \prod_{p \in P} (A/pA))$$

The first summand is 0, since D is a divisible group. Also

 $\operatorname{Next}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} (\mathbb{A}/p\mathbb{A})) \cong \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \operatorname{Next}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{A}/p\mathbb{A}) = \prod_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \operatorname{Next}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}(p)) = 0$

since Z(p) is an elementary p-group (see [4]) Thus

Next(Q/Z, A) \cong Hom(Q/Z, $(D \oplus \prod_{p \in P} (A/pA))/gA$)

Since Q/Z is a torsion group, $\operatorname{Hom}(Q/Z, (D \oplus \prod_{p \in P} (A/pA))/gA)$, and hence-Next(Q/Z, A) is reduced algebraically compact group (see Theorem 46.1 of [1])

Concerning Hext_p we prove that the pure-high extensions of a torsion freegroup by Q/Z, split, and hence a torsion free group is a H_p^t -group. (see [4]).

THEOREM 2. For a torsion free group A, $\text{Hext}_{p}(\mathbb{Q}/Z, A)=0$.

PROOF. Hext_p(Q/Z, A) = $\bigcap_{p \in P} p$ Pext(Q/Z, A), (see theorem 7 of [2]) and Pext(Q/Z, A) is reduced (see lemma 55.3 of [1]). On the other hand Ext(Q/Z, A) is algebraically compact, and its first Ulm subgroup Pext(Q/Z, A) must be divisible(see exercise 7 Page 162 of [1]). Hence Pext(Q/Z, A) and therefore, Hext_p(Q/Z, A)=0

Now we study the nature of the Frattini subgroups of Ext(Q/Z, A) and Pext(Q/Z, A) when A is a torsion group.

THEOREM 3. Let G_t be the torsion part of G, then Next(Q/Z, G) \cong Next(Q/Z, G_t) \oplus Next(Q/Z, G/G_t).

Hence Next(Q/Z, G_i) is algebraically compact, whenever Next(Q/Z, G) is.

PROOF. Since the torsion part G_t of the group G is a neat subgroup of G, the sequence, (with the notation $G/G_t=F$)

 $0 \longrightarrow G_t \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 0$

is neat exact and yields the exact sequence

Hom $(Q/Z, F) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Next}(Q/Z, G_t) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Next}(Q/Z, G) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Next}(Q/Z, F) \longrightarrow 0$ The first group is 0, since Q/Z is torsion and $F = G/G_t$ is torsion free. If α is a monomorphism of F into $D \bigoplus_{\substack{p \in P \\ p \in P}} \prod_{(F/pF)} (F/pF)$, where D is the divisible hull of F, (as defined in theorem 1) then (by theorem 1 and example 2 Page 43 of [1]), we have

Next(Q/Z, F)
$$\cong$$
 Hom(Q/Z, $(D \oplus \prod_{p \in P} (F/pF))/\alpha F)$
 \cong Hom($\oplus_{p \in P} Z(p^{\infty}), (D \oplus \prod_{p \in P} (F/pF))/\alpha F)$
 $\cong \prod_{p \in P} (\text{Hom}(Z(p^{\infty}), (D \oplus \prod_{p \in P} (F/pF))/\alpha F))$

But because of theorem 44.3 of [1] these products are torsion free, hence Next(Q/Z, F) stays torsion free. Furthermore, (by lemma 1) $Next(Q/Z, G_t)$ is cotorsion, hence the sequence

 $0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Next}(Q/Z, G_t) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Next}(Q/Z, G) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Next}(Q/Z, F) \longrightarrow 0$

splits and

 $\operatorname{Next}(Q/Z, G) \cong \operatorname{Next}(Q/Z, G_t) \oplus \operatorname{Next}(Q/Z, G/G_t).$

Since every direct summand is a pure subgroup it follows that the splitting sequence is pure exact. Also a direct summand of an algebraically compact group is algebraically compact it follows $Next(Q/Z, G_t)$ is algebraically compact whenever Next(Q/Z, G) is.

In case of pure-high extensions this theorem takes the form

THEOREM 4. If G_t is the torsion part of G, then Hext_b(Q/Z, G) \cong Hext_b(Q/Z, G_t)

PROOF. The proof is much the same as that of theorem 3. To have more insight in the Frattini subgroups of Ext and Pext we discuss a usefull exact sequence, which is contained in

THEOREM 5. If D is the divisible part of G then the exact sequence $O \longrightarrow D$ $\longrightarrow G \longrightarrow G/D \longrightarrow O$ yields the exact sequence

On Groups Neat and Pure-High Extensions

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Next}(G/D, \bigoplus_{p \in P} Z(p)) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Next}(G, \bigoplus_{p \in P} Z(p))$$
$$\longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(D, \prod_{p \in P} Z(p) / \bigoplus_{p \in P} Z(p)) \longrightarrow O$$

where Z(p) stands for cyclic group of order p.

PROOF. Theorem 44.4 of [1] implies that the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow D \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow G/D \longrightarrow 0$$
 induces the exact sequence
 $0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(G/D, \prod_{p \in P} Z(p)) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(G, \prod_{p \in P} Z(p)) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(D, \prod_{p \in P} Z(p))$

But, $\operatorname{Hom}(D, \prod_{p \in P} Z(p)) \cong \prod_{p \in P} \operatorname{Hom}(D, Z(p)) = 0$, since D is divisible and Z(p) is reduced. We obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(G/D, \prod_{p \in P} Z(p)) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(G, \prod_{p \in P} Z(p)) \longrightarrow 0$$

From theorem 9.2 and exercise 9.14 of [5] we know that $\bigoplus_{p \in P} Z(p)$ coincides with the maximal torsion subgroup of $\prod_{p \in P} Z(p)$ and the factor group $\prod_{p \in P} Z(p) / \bigoplus_{p \in P} Z(p)$ is divisible. It follows from theorem 44.5. of [1] that the sequence

$$\begin{array}{c} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(G/D, \prod_{p \in P} Z(p))/\bigoplus_{p \in P} Z(p)) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(G, \prod_{p \in P} Z(p))/\bigoplus_{p \in P} Z(p)) \\ \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(D, \prod_{p \in P} Z(p)/\bigoplus_{p \in P} Z(p)) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

is exact. Also the neat exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{p \in P} Z(p) \longrightarrow \prod_{p \in P} Z(p) \longrightarrow \prod_{p \in P} Z(p) / \bigoplus_{p \in P} Z(p) / \bigoplus_{p \in P} Z(p) \longrightarrow 0$$

yields the exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{Hom}(G/D, \prod_{p \in P} Z(p)) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(G/D, \prod_{p \in P} Z(p)/ \bigoplus_{p \in P} Z(p) \\ \longrightarrow \operatorname{Next}(G/D, \bigoplus_{p \in P} Z(p) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Next}(G/D, \prod_{p \in P} Z(p)) \end{array}$$

and

(

$$\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{Hom}(G, \prod_{p \in P} Z(p)) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(G, \prod_{p \in P} Z(p)) / \bigoplus_{p \in P} Z(p)) \\ \longrightarrow \operatorname{Next}(G, \bigoplus_{p \in P} Z(p)) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Next}(G, \prod_{p \in P} Z(p)) \end{array}$$

Since, Next(G/D, $\prod_{p \in P} Z(p)$) $\cong \prod_{p \in P} Next(G/D, Z(p)) = 0$ Also, Next(G, $\prod_{p \in P} Z(p)$) = 0 because Z(p) is an elementary *p*-group. The short exact sequences discussed above yields the following commutive diagram.

Asif Mashhood

Since, $\operatorname{Next}(G, \bigoplus_{p \in P} Z(p))$ and $\operatorname{Hom}(D, \prod_{p \in P} Z(p)/\bigoplus_{p \in P} Z(p))$ being epimorphic images of $\operatorname{Hom}(G, \prod_{p \in P} Z(p)/\bigoplus_{p \in P} Z(p))$ with kernals $\operatorname{Im} g_2$ and $\operatorname{Im} f_2$. Also we have $\operatorname{Im} g_2 = \operatorname{Im} g_2 f_1 = \operatorname{Im} f_2 g_1 \subseteq \operatorname{Im} f_2$, the third row can be extended to \longrightarrow $\operatorname{Hom}(D, \prod_{p \in P} Z(p)/\bigoplus_{p \in P} Z(p))$. Now the three column and first two rows in the commutative diagram are exact, it follows by 3×3 lemma that the third row is exact. We obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Next}(G/D, \bigoplus_{p \in P} Z(p)) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Next}(G, \bigoplus_{p \in P} Z(p))$$
$$\longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(D, \prod_{p \in P} Z(p) / \bigoplus_{p \in P} Z(p)) \longrightarrow 0$$

as desired.

is those classifier division in

An analogous theorem for pure-high extensions which can be proved on the same lines is as follows:

THEOREM 6. If D is the divisible part of G, the exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow D \longrightarrow G$ $\longrightarrow G/D \longrightarrow 0$ yields the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hext}_{p}(G/D, \bigoplus_{p \in P} Z(p)) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hext}_{p}(G, \bigoplus_{p \in P} Z(p))$$
$$\longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(D, \prod_{p \in P} Z(p)/\bigoplus_{p \in P} Z(p)) \longrightarrow 0$$

Department of Mathematics Aligarh Muslim University Aligarh-202001, (U.P.) India

REFERENCES

- [1] Fuchs, L., Infinite abelian groups volume [, Academic Press, New York and London (1970).
- [2] Harrison, D.K., Irwin, J.M., Peercy, C.L., and Walker, E.A., High extensions of abelian groups, Acta. Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar., 14(1963), 319-330.
- [3] Honda, K., Realism in the theory of abelian groups I, Comm. Math. University St. Pauli, 5(1956), 37-75.
- [4] Mashhood, A., On pure-high subgroups of abelian groups, Kyungpook Mathematical Journal vol. 18 (1978), 11-15.
- [5] Rotman, J.J., The theory of groups: An introduction, Allyn and Bacon, Inc. Boston, Mass. (1965).