

SOME ASPECT OF RINGS OF QUOTIENTS

BY SUK GEUN HWANG

1. Introduction

Let R be an associative ring with an identity element 1, and ${}_R\mathcal{M}$ denote the category of all left R -modules and R -homomorphisms. For a given torsion radical σ on ${}_R\mathcal{M}$, the ring of quotients $Q_\sigma(R)$ of R w. r. t. σ is defined by a direct limit

$$Q_\sigma(R) = \varinjlim \text{Hom}_R(U, R/\sigma(R)), \quad U \in \mathcal{I}_\sigma,$$

where \mathcal{I}_σ is the associated (Gabriel) filter of σ ([1], [3], [4]).

The purpose of this article is to give a generalization of such a construction of rings of quotients and to study the structure of rings of quotients by means of the σ -injectivity and some other properties.

2. Some preliminaries

Let σ be a torsion radical [3] on ${}_R\mathcal{M}$, and \mathcal{I}_σ be its associated filter. A left R -module M is called σ -torsion (σ -torsion free) if $\sigma(M) = M$ (resp. $\sigma(M) = 0$). A submodule N of M is called a σ -submodule, written by $N \triangleleft M$, if M/N is σ -torsion. A left R -module E is called σ -injective if, whenever $N \triangleleft M$ for a left R -module M , every homomorphism $f : N \rightarrow E$ can be extended to a homomorphism $g : M \rightarrow E$. Moreover, if the existence of such a g is unique, E is called to be *faithfully σ -injective*. For every σ -torsion free module M , there exists a unique (upto isomorphism) faithfully σ -injective module $E_\sigma(M)$ containing M as a σ -submodule. Such a module $E_\sigma(M)$ is called the *σ -injective hull* of M [4]. Throughout this note R will denote a ring with identity 1 and all modules are assumed to be left R -modules. We also assume that σ is a torsion radical and \mathcal{I}_σ is its associated filter such that ${}_R R$ is σ -torsion free.

3. The ring of quotients

Before entering our main discussions, we prepare some lemmas such as

LEMMA 1. *Let N , M and K be R -modules such that $N \triangleleft M$ and $M \triangleleft K$.*

Then $N \triangleleft M$ if $N \triangleleft K$.

The proof of this lemma is trivial, and is omitted.

LEMMA 2. Let M be a faithfully σ -injective module. Then, for every $U \in \mathcal{J}_\sigma$, $(0 : U)_M = 0$, where $(0 : U)_M = \{x \in M \mid Ux = 0\}$.

Proof. Let $U \in \mathcal{J}_\sigma$, and $x \in (0 : U)_M$. Then, for the the homomorphism $u \mapsto ux : U \rightarrow M$, $r \mapsto rx : R \rightarrow M$ is evidently an extension of it. But, since M is faithfully σ -injective, this homomorphism must be the zero homomorphism of R into M .

Since the ring of quotients $Q_\sigma(R)$ of R w. r. t. σ is faithfully σ -injective such that $R \triangleleft Q_\sigma(R)$ [1], $Q_\sigma(R)$ may be identified with $E_\sigma(R)$, the σ -injective hull of R , not only as left R -modules but also as rings.

THEOREM 3. Let $U \in \mathcal{J}_\sigma$ and M be a submodule of $E_\sigma(R)$. Then $(M : U)_{E_\sigma(R)} = \{q \in E_\sigma(R) \mid Uq \subset M\}$ is isomorphic to $\text{Hom}_R(U, M)$ canonically.

Proof. Define $\phi : (M : U)_{E_\sigma(R)} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(U, M)$ by $\phi(q)(u) = uq$ for all $u \in U$. Suppose that $\phi(q) = 0$. Then $Uq = 0$ so that $q = 0$ by Lemma 2. Therefore ϕ is a monomorphism.

Now let $f : U \rightarrow M$ be any homomorphism. Since $U \triangleleft R$, there is a (unique) $g \in \text{Hom}_R(R, E_\sigma(R))$ which coincides with f on U . Let $g(1) = q \in E_\sigma(R)$. Then $\phi(q) = f$ showing that ϕ is an epimorphism.

COROLLARY. Let $U \in \mathcal{J}_\sigma$ and $f \in \text{Hom}_R(U, R)$. Then there is a unique $q \in E_\sigma(R)$ such that $f(u) = uq$ for all $u \in U$.

Here, we give a more general form of rings of quotients of R w. r. t. σ than that of Goldman, O [1].

DEFINITION. An overring Q of R will be called a ring of quotients of R w. r. t. σ if ${}_R R$ is a large σ -submodule of ${}_R Q$.

Evidently R itself is a ring of quotients of R w. r. t. σ . Since $Q_\sigma(R) = E_\sigma(R)$ is an essential extension of R and $R \triangleleft Q_\sigma(R)$, $Q_\sigma(R)$ is also a ring of quotients of R w. r. t. σ .

THEOREM 4. If Q is a ring of quotients of R w. r. t. σ , then there is a unique monomorphism ${}_R Q \rightarrow {}_R E_\sigma(R)$ whose restriction to R is id_R , the identity homomorphism of R . Therefore any ring of quotients of R w. r. t. σ may be regarded as an R -submodule of $E_\sigma(R)$.

Proof. For id_R , considered as a homomorphism $R \rightarrow E_\sigma(R)$, there exists a unique homomorphism $h : Q \rightarrow E_\sigma(R)$ such that $h(r) = r$ for all $r \in R$. For the submodule $\ker h$ of Q , we have that $\ker h \cap R = \ker id_R = 0$ so that

$\ker h=0$ since R is large in Q .

COROLLARY 1. *Let Q be a ring of quotients of R w. r. t. σ and let $U \in \mathcal{J}_\sigma$. Then, for each $q \in Q$, $(U : q)_R \in \mathcal{J}_\sigma$, where $(U : q)_R = \{r \in Q \mid rq \in U\}$.*

Proof. Clearly $(U : q)_R$ is a left ideal of R . Since $R \triangleleft E_\sigma(R)$ and $U < R$, we know that $U \triangleleft E_\sigma(R)$ [4]. For each $q \in Q$, $q \in E_\sigma(R)$ by Theorem 4. Let $r \in R$, then $rq \in E_\sigma(R)$. Thus there is a $B \in \mathcal{J}_\sigma$ such that $Brq \subset U$, i. e. $Br \subset (U : q)_R$

COROLLARY 2. *Any ring of quotients of R w. r. t. σ comes to be a subring of $E_\sigma(R)$.*

Proof. Use Lemma 2, Theorem 4 and its Corollary 1.

Let Q be any overring of R such that ${}_R Q$ is a submodule of $E_\sigma(R)$. Then $R \triangleleft Q$ by Lemma 1. Moreover, for any nonzero $q \in Q$, Rq is a nonzero submodule of $E_\sigma(R)$ so that $Rq \cap R \neq 0$ since $E_\sigma(R)$ is an essential extension of R . But this says that Q itself is an essential extension of R .

Thus we have

THEOREM 5. *An overring Q of R is a ring of quotients of R w. r. t. σ iff ${}_R Q$ is a submodule of $E_\sigma(R)$*

Then, when does a ring of quotients Q of R w. r. t. σ come to be isomorphic to $E_\sigma(R)$? An answer to this question is given here in

THEOREM 6. *An overring Q of R isomorphic to the ring $E_\sigma(R)$ under an isomorphism whose restriction to R is id_R iff*

(1) Q is a ring of quotients of R

and

(2) For every $U \in \mathcal{J}_\sigma$ and every $f \in \text{Hom}_R(U, R)$, there exists a unique $q \in Q$ such that $f(u) = uq$ for all $u \in U$.

Proof. Suppose that $Q \approx E_\sigma(R)$. Then (1) is clear and (2) follows from the Corollary of Theorem 3.

For the converse, assume that Q is an overring of R for which (1) and (2) hold. Then, by (1) and Corollary 2 of Theorem 4, Q is a subring of $E_\sigma(R)$. Let $x \in E_\sigma(R)$ and let $U = (R : x)_R = \{r \in R \mid rx \in R\}$, then $U \in \mathcal{J}_\sigma$. Let $f \in \text{Hom}_R(U, R)$ be the homomorphism $u \longmapsto ux$, then, by (2), there is a unique $q \in Q$ such that $ux = f(u) = uq$ for all $u \in U$. Therefore, $x = q$ so that $x \in Q$.

COROLLARY. *R is isomorphic to $E_\sigma(R)$ as rings iff for every $U \in \mathcal{J}_\sigma$ and every $f \in \text{Hom}_R(U, R)$, there is a unique $r \in R$ such that $f(u) = ru$ for all $u \in U$.*

References

1. Goldman, O., *Rings and modules of quotients*, J. Algebra **13** (1969), 10-47.
2. Lambek, J., *On Utumi's ring of quotients*, Can. J. Math. **15** (1963), 363-370.
3. ———, *Torsion theories, additive semantics and rings of quotients—Lecture notes in Math.* 177—, Springer Verlag, 1971.
4. Stenström, B., *Rings and modules of quotients—Lecture notes in Math.* 237—, Springer Verlag, 1971.

Kyungpook University