

On the Maximal Ideal In $\text{Hom}_\wedge(M, M)$

Doo Ho Kim

Kang Won National University, Choon Chun, Korea.

1. Introduction.

The present note is concerned with the maximal ideal of $\text{Hom}_\wedge(M, M)$, where M is an indecomposable left A -module with finite length. Let A be a ring with unit element, and let M be a left A -module. If it is impossible to write $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$, where M_1, M_2 are nonzero left A -submodules of the left A -module M . Then M is called indecomposable. A finite strictly descending chain of left A -submodules of the left A -module M

$$M = M_0 \supset M_1 \supset M_2 \supset \cdots \supset M_r = 0$$

is a Jordan-Hölder series in which each M_i is a maximal left A -submodule of M_{i-1} , $i=1, 2, 3, \dots, r$.

And then r is called the finite length of M .

The other terminologies and notations are based on Sze-Tsen Hu [2].

Definition 1. A left A -module M is called *left Noetherian* iff every nonempty collection of left A -submodules of M has a maximal element.

Definition 2. A left A -module M is called *left Artinian* iff every nonempty collection of left A -submodules of M has a minimal element.

2. Proof of Theorems.

Lemma 1. Let M be a left A -module and N a left A -submodule of M . Then M is left Artinian if and only if N and M/N are left Artinian.

Proof. (Necessity) Any left A -submodule of N is a left A -submodule of M , so N is left Artinian.

Let $f: M \rightarrow M/N$ be the canonical projection.

Let $M'_1 \supseteq M'_2 \supseteq \cdots$ be a descending sequence of left A -submodules of M/N .

Then we get a descending sequence of $M_1 \supseteq M_2 \supseteq \cdots$ of left A -submodules of M by letting $M_i = f^{-1}(M'_i)$.

Hence there exists an integer k such that $M_i = M_k$ for $i \geq k$.

Therefore $M'_i = M'_k$ for all $i \geq k$ and so M/N is Noetherian. (Sufficiency).

Suppose N and M/N are left Artinian.

Let $M_1 \supseteq M_2 \supseteq \cdots$ be a descending sequence of left A -submodules of M , and for all i let

$$M_i' = f(M_i).$$

Since $M_1 \cap N \supseteq M_2 \cap N \supseteq \dots$ and $M_1' \supseteq M_2' \supseteq \dots$ are descending sequences of A -modules, by hypotheses there exists an integer i_0 such that $M_i \cap N = M_{i_0} \cap N$, $M_i' = M_{i_0}'$ for all $i \geq i_0$.

Now we consider that if $N_1, N_2 (N_1 \subseteq N_2)$ are left A -submodules of M such that $N_1 \cap N = N_2 \cap N$ and $f(N_1) = f(N_2)$, then $N_1 = N_2$.

If $x \in N_2$, then there exists $y \in N_1$ such that $x - y \in \text{Ker}(f) = N$, thus $x - y \in N_2 \cap N = N_1 \cap N \subseteq N_1$; hence $x \in y + N_1 \subseteq N_1$. From $M_i \supseteq M_{i+1} \supseteq \dots$, it follows that $M_i = M_{i+1} = \dots$ hence M is an Artinian left A -module.

Theorem 1. *If the left A -module M is written as $M = M_1 + M_2 + \dots + M_n$, where each M_i is Artinian left A -submodules of M , then M is Artinian.*

Proof. It is enough to show the case where $n=2$: $M = M_1 + M_2$.

Then $M/M_1 = (M_1 + M_2)/M_1 \cong M_2/(M_1 \cap M_2)$.

Since M_2 is Artinian, $M_2/(M_1 \cap M_2)$ is Artinian; thus M/M_1 is Artinian. Since M_1 is Artinian, from Lemma 1 M is Artinian.

Lemma 2. *Let M be a left A -module. Let $f \in \text{Hom}_A(M, M)$ and i be any positive integer, then*

- (1) *If $\text{Im}(f) = \text{Im}(f \circ f)$, then $\text{Im}(f) + \text{Ker}(f) = M$*
- (2) *If $\text{Ker}(f) = \text{Ker}(f \circ f)$, then $\text{Im}(f) \cap \text{Ker}(f) = 0$*
- (3) *If M be left Artinian, then for every sufficiently large integer i , $M = \text{Im}(f^i) + \text{Ker}(f^i)$*
- (4) *If M is left Noetherian for all sufficiently large integer i , then $\text{Im}(f^i) \cap \text{Ker}(f^i) = 0$*

Proof. (1). Let $\text{Im}(f) = \text{Im}(f \circ f)$.

For any $x \in M$, there exists $y \in M$ such that $f(x) = (f \circ f)(y)$, thus $f(x - f(y)) = 0$, hence $x - f(y) \in \text{Ker}(f)$ and $x = (x - f(y)) + f(y) \in \text{Ker}(f) + \text{Im}(f)$ therefore $M = \text{Im}(f) + \text{Ker}(f)$

(2). Let $\text{Ker}(f) = \text{Ker}(f \circ f)$, and $x \in \text{Im}(f) \cap \text{Ker}(f)$, then $f(x) = 0$ and there exists $y \in M$ such that $x = f(y)$

hence $(f \circ f)(y) = 0$.

And so $y \in \text{Ker}(f \circ f) = \text{Ker}(f)$ implies that $x = f(y) = 0$

(3). If M is left Artinian, for all sufficiently large integer i

$$\text{Im}(f^i) = \text{Im}(f^{2i})$$

Letting f^i instead of f in (1), we have

$$M = \text{Im}(f^i) + \text{Ker}(f^i).$$

(4). Let M be left Noetherian. For all sufficiently large integer i

$$\text{Ker}(f^i) = \text{Ker}(f^{2i})$$

Letting f^i instead of f in (2), $\text{Im}(f^i) \cap \text{Ker}(f^i) = 0$

Lemma 3. *If M is a left Artinian A -module, then every monomorphism $f \in \text{Hom}_A(M, M)$ is an epimorphism.*

If M is a left Noetherian A -module, then every epimorphism $f \in \text{Hom}_A(M, M)$ is a monomorphism.

Proof. Let f be a monomorphism and let M be Artinian.

Then there is an integer i such that $Im(f^i)=M$, since $Ker(f^i)=Ker(f)=0$. From $M \supseteq Im(f) \supseteq Im(f \circ f) \supseteq \dots \supseteq Im(f^i)=M$, that is $M=Im(f)$.

Therefore f is an epimorphism.

Let f be an epimorphism and let M be Noetherian, then there exists an integer i such that $Ker(f^i)=0$, since $Im(f^i)=Im(f)^i=M$.

From $0 \subseteq Ker(f) \subseteq Ker(f \circ f) \subseteq \dots \subseteq Ker(f^i)=0$

That is $Ker(f)=0$. Hence f is a monomorphism.

Theorem 2. *Let M be a non-zero indecomposable A -module of finite length, and let I be the set of the noninvertible elements of the ring $Hom_{\wedge}(M, M)$, then I is the maximal two-sided ideal of $Hom_{\wedge}(M, M)$.*

Proof. M has finite length, so M is Artinian and Noetherian.

Let $f \in I$, then from Lemma 3, f is not a monomorphism and not an epimorphism.

From Lemma 2 there exists a sufficiently large integer i such that $M=Im(f^i)+Ker(f^i)$ and $Im(f^i) \cap Ker(f^i)=0$ that is $M=Im(f^i) \oplus Ker(f^i)$. But M is indecomposable,

$$Im(f^i)=0 \text{ or } Ker(f^i)=0$$

If $Ker(f^i)=0$, then $Ker(f)=0$ and so f is monomorphism.

It is impossible, hence

$$Im(f^i)=0, \text{ that is } f^i=0.$$

For any $f, g \in I$, $f+g \in I$. If there exists h such that

$$h \circ (f+g) = 1_M, \text{ then } h \circ f + h \circ g = 1_M, \text{ and } h \circ f \in I, h \circ g \in I.$$

Thus there exists a sufficiently large integer i such that

$$(h \circ f)^i = 0, (h \circ g)^i = 0.$$

Then $1_M = 1_M^{2i} = (h \circ f + h \circ g)^{2i} = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{(since } (h \circ f) \circ (h \circ g) = (1 - h \circ g) \circ (h \circ g) = h \circ g - (h \circ g)^2 \\ & = (h \circ g) \circ (1 - h \circ g) = (h \circ g) \circ (h \circ f), \text{ and } (h \circ g)^i = (h \circ f)^i = 0) \end{aligned}$$

For any $f \in I$, $g \in Hom_{\wedge}(M, M)$.

$f \circ g \in I$, $g \circ f \in I$. (otherwise $h \circ (g \circ f) = 1$, $(h \circ g) \circ f = 1$, hence f is an epimorphism, impossible)

Thus I is the two-sided ideal. If J is the ideal containing I properly, then J has an invertible element of $Hom_{\wedge}(M, M)$, and so $J=Hom_{\wedge}(M, M)$. Therefore I is maximal.

References

1. Auslander and Buchsbaum; *Groups, Rings, Modules*, Harper and Row, New York 1974, pp. 320-350
2. Sze-Tsen Hu; *Introduction to Homological Algebra*; Holden-Day, San Francisco 1968, pp. 153-163.
3. D.G. Northcott; *An Introduction to Homological Algebra*; Cambridge 1966, pp.144-154
4. Birkoff and MacLane; *Algebra*; Macmillan, New York 1968, pp. 338-344