

Conditioned Galton-Watson Process on the Event $\{n+k \leq T\}$

by Oh Jai Pil

In this paper, we study the conditioned limit laws on the events $\{n+k \leq T < \omega\}$ in Galton-Watson process.

The notations and terminologies used in this paper follow those of [1].

We have conditioned the Galton-Watson process Z_n on the event $\{n < T < \omega\}$, where T is the extinction time. It is meaningful to condition on $\{n+k < T < \omega\}$, $k \geq 0$; namely, the event that the process not extinct at time $n+k$ but does eventually die out, and to condition on $\{n+k=T\}$, $k \geq 0$; namely, the event that the process is extinct at time $n+k$ and $\{Z_{n+k-1}=0\}$ for all n, k .

As in [1] and [3], we have the following. When $p_1=0$ and $q=0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(Z_n=j | n+k < T < \omega) = \frac{\pi_j [q^j - f_k'(0)]}{\gamma^k \mathcal{P}(q)} = b_j(k) \geq 0 \quad (1)$$

and (with $m=1$) we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(Z_n=j | n+k=T) = \frac{\pi_j [f_k'(0) - f_{k-1}'(0)]}{\sum_i \pi_i [f_i'(0) - f_{i-1}'(0)]} = \theta_j(k) \geq 0 \quad (2)$$

where $\pi_j = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_n(1, j)}{P_n(1, 1)}$, $j \geq 1$.

We have the following proposition.

Proposition: If $p_1 > 0$, and $q > 0$, we have

$$(i) \text{ If } m=1, \text{ then } b_j(k)=0 \quad (3)$$

$$(ii) \text{ If } m \neq 1, \text{ then } b_j(k)=1 \quad (4)$$

$$(iii) \text{ If } m=1, \text{ then } \theta_j(k)=1 \quad (5)$$

Remark; This proposition is given in [1] without a detailed proof. We sketch the proof of this proposition: (i) If $m=1$, then $q=1$ and $f'(q)=\gamma=m=1$, therefore from(1), we see that

$$\gamma^k \mathcal{P}(q) = \gamma^k \mathcal{P}(1) = \sum \pi_j = \infty, \text{ and } \pi_j [q^j - f_k'(0)] < \infty,$$

so we get $b_j(k)=0$. Summing for j (1) and (2), we can get (ii) and (iii).

Theorem I. If $p_1 > 0$, and $q > 0$, we have

$$(i) \text{ If } m \neq 1, \quad b_j(k) = \frac{b_j [1 - f_k'(0)]}{1 - \mathcal{B}[f_k'(0)]} \quad (6)$$

$$(ii) \text{ If } m=1, \quad \theta_j(k) = \frac{b_j [f_k'(0) - f_{k-1}'(0)]}{\mathcal{B}[f_k'(0)] - \mathcal{B}[f_{k-1}'(0)]} \quad (7)$$

where $b_j = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(Z_n=j | n < T < \omega)$.

Proof. (i) The case $m < 1$, we can get in [1] easily. Now we consider the case $m > 1$. Let

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{B}_{n,k}^{(0)}(s) &\equiv E(s^{Z_n} | n+k < T < \infty) \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} s^j P(Z_n = j | n+k < T < \infty) \\
 &= \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P(Z_n = j) [f_k^j(q) - f_k^j(0)] s^j}{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P(Z_{n+k} = j) q^j} \quad (\text{see [3] p. 1474}) \\
 &= \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P(Z_n = j) q^j s^j - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P(Z_n = j) f_k^j(0) s^j}{f_{n+k}(q) - f_{n+k}(0)} \\
 &= \frac{f_n(sq) - f_n[sf_k(0)]}{q - f_{n+k}(0)} \\
 &= \frac{f_n^*(s) - f_n^*[sf_k(0)]}{1 - f_{n+k}^*(0)}, \quad \text{where } f_n^*(s) = \frac{f_n(sq)}{q}
 \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Therefore by similar method as the case $m < 1$, we get

$$\mathcal{B}^{(0)}(s) \equiv \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{B}_{n,k}^{(0)}(s) = \frac{\mathcal{B}(s) - \mathcal{B}[sf_k(0)]}{1 - \mathcal{B}[f_k(0)]} \tag{9}$$

(cf. [1] p. 16-p. 17).

From (9), comparing the coefficients of power expansion, we can get (6).

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(ii) } \mathcal{B}_{n,k}^{(0)}(s) &\equiv E(s^{Z_n} | n+k = T) \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} s^j P(Z_n = j | n+k = T) \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} s^j \frac{P_n(1, j) [f_k^j(0) - f_{k-1}^j(0)]}{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P_n(1, i) [f_k^i(0) - f_{k-1}^i(0)]} \\
 &= \frac{f_n[sf_k(0)] - f_n[sf_{k-1}(0)]}{f_n[f_k(0)] - f_n[f_{k-1}(0)]}
 \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

From (10), we get

$$\mathcal{B}^{(0)}(s) \equiv \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{B}_{n,k}^{(0)}(s) = \frac{\mathcal{B}[sf_k(0)] - \mathcal{B}[sf_{k-1}(0)]}{\mathcal{B}[f_k(0)] - \mathcal{B}[f_{k-1}(0)]} \tag{11}$$

Comparing the coefficients of (11), we get (7).

Q. E. D.

Remark: From (2), we get the probabilistic interpretation of π_i 's as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \pi_i &= \frac{\theta_i(k)}{f_i^k(0) - f_{i-1}^k(0)} \sum_i \pi_i [f_i^k(0) - f_{i-1}^k(0)] \\
 &= \sum_i \pi_i \left[\frac{f_i^k(0) - f_{i-1}^k(0)}{f_i^k(0) - f_{i-1}^k(0)} \right] \theta_i(k)
 \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

In [1], we can see the relation between the sequence $\{\pi_i\}$, $\{\nu_j\}$ and $\{b_j\}$ and their generating functions $\mathcal{P}(s)$, $\mathcal{Q}(s)$ and $\mathcal{B}(s)$ as following.

If $m \neq 1$ and $p_1 > 0$, then

$$\mathcal{B}(s) = \frac{\mathcal{P}(qs)}{\mathcal{P}(q)} \tag{13}$$

$$\mathcal{Q}(s) = \mathcal{Q}(0) + \frac{\mathcal{P}(s)}{\mathcal{P}'(q)} \tag{14}$$

Moreover if $m < 1$, then

$$\mathcal{B}(s) = 1 - \frac{Q(s)}{Q(0)} \quad (15)$$

From these relations, we get the following results.

Theorem II. If $m \neq 1$, $p_1 > 0$, and $q > 0$, then

$$(i) \quad \frac{\nu_j}{\pi_j} = \frac{Q(q) - Q[qf_k(0)]}{\mathcal{P}(q) - \mathcal{P}[qf_k(0)]} \quad (16)$$

Moreover, $m < 1$, then

$$(ii) \quad \frac{\nu_j}{\pi_j} = \frac{Q[f_k(0)]}{\mathcal{P}'(1)\nu_0 + \mathcal{P}[f_k(0)]} \quad (17)$$

$$(iii) \quad \frac{\nu_j}{\pi_j} = \frac{Q[f_k(0)]}{\mathcal{P}[f_k(0)] - \mathcal{P}(1)} \quad (18)$$

$$(iv) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \pi_j = -\mathcal{P}'(1)\nu_0 \quad (19)$$

Proof. (i) From (9) and (13), we get

$$\mathcal{B}^{(u)}(s) = \frac{\mathcal{P}(sq) - \mathcal{P}[sqf_k(0)]}{\mathcal{P}(q) - \mathcal{P}[qf_k(0)]} \quad (20)$$

and we get

$$b_j(k) = \frac{\pi_j q^j [1 - f_k'(0)]}{\mathcal{P}(q) - \mathcal{P}[qf_k(0)]} \quad (21)$$

From (14) and (20), we get

$$\mathcal{B}^{(u)}(s) = \frac{Q(sq) - Q[sqf_k(0)]}{Q(q) - Q[qf_k(0)]} \quad (22)$$

and we get

$$b_j(k) = \frac{\nu_j q^j [1 - f_k'(0)]}{Q(q) - Q[qf_k(0)]} \quad (23)$$

From (21), (23), we get (16).

(ii) If $m < 1$, from (9) and (15), we get

$$\mathcal{B}^{(u)}(s) = \frac{Q[sf_k(0)] - Q[s]}{Q[f_k(0)]} \quad (24)$$

and we get

$$b_j(k) = \frac{\nu_j [f_k'(0) - 1]}{Q[f_k(0)]} \quad (25)$$

From (24) and (14), we get

$$\mathcal{B}^{(u)}(s) = \frac{\mathcal{P}[sf_k(0)] - \mathcal{P}(s)}{\mathcal{P}'(1)\nu_0 + \mathcal{P}[f_k(0)]} \quad (26)$$

and we get

$$b_j(k) = \frac{\pi_j [f_k'(0) - 1]}{\mathcal{P}'(1)\nu_0 + \mathcal{P}[f_k(0)]} \quad (27)$$

From (25) and (27), we get (17).

(iii) From (21) and (25), we get (18).

(iv) From (17) and (18), we get the equation (19).

Q. E. D.

References

- [1] Athereya K.B. & Ney P.E. (1972) *Branching Processes*: Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York.
- [2] Harris T.E. (1963) *The Theory of Branching Processes*: Berlin: Springer.
- [3] Papangelou F. (1968) A Lemma on the Galton-Watson Process and Some of its Consequence. *PAMS* 19, 1169-1479.