

## AN OPERATOR VALUED CONTINUOUS HOMOMORPHISM ON A $B^*$ -ALGEBRA

BY JAE CHUL RHO

### 1. Introduction.

The main purpose of this note is to make the following integrals  $\int_S f(s)$   
 $E(ds)$ ,  $\int_{\sigma(\phi e)} f(s) E(ds)$  and  $\int_A (\phi f)^\wedge(h) E(dh)$  identify in some sense. It is shown  
 that  $\sigma(\phi e) \equiv S$  (theorem 2.7),  $S \equiv A$  (proposition 3.2) and an identification  
 $\int_{\sigma(\phi e)} f(s) E(ds) \equiv \int_A (\phi f)^\wedge(h) E(dh)$  is given (Corollary 3.3). It results that the  
 above three integrals are identified.

Throughout this note we shall denote by  $B(H)$  the algebra of all bounded linear operators defined on the complex Hilbert space  $H$ , let  $C(S)$ ,  $\hat{T}$ ,  $A$  be complex continuous functions defined on a compact subset  $S$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ , the Gelfand transform of an operator  $T$  and the maximal ideal space of the  $B^*$ -algebra respectively. Let  $\Sigma$  denote the  $\sigma$ -field of subsets of  $S$ , let  $B(S, \Sigma)$  be consists of uniform limit of finite linear combinations of characteristic functions of sets in  $\Sigma$ .

### 2. An operator valued continuous homomorphism.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $\phi: B(S, \Sigma) \rightarrow B(H)$  be a continuous algebraic homomorphism. If we define*

$$\phi(\chi_\delta) = (\phi \circ \chi)(\delta) = E(\delta) \text{ for each } \delta \in \Sigma,$$

*then the mapping  $E: \Sigma \rightarrow B(H)$  defines a spectral measure with  $\|E(\delta)\| = 1$  for each non-empty  $\delta \in \Sigma$ .*

*Proof.* Since

$$\begin{aligned} E^2(\delta) &= E(\delta) \cdot E(\delta) = \phi(\chi_\delta) \cdot \phi(\chi_\delta) = \phi(\chi_\delta) \\ &= E(\delta) \text{ for each } \delta \in \Sigma, \end{aligned}$$

we have  $E^2 = E$ .

---

Received by the editors Apr. 8, 1977.

From the definition, it is obvious that

$$\phi(1) = I \quad 1(s) = 1 \text{ for all } s \in S, \quad \|\phi(1)\| = 1.$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} E(\sigma \cap \delta) &= \phi(\chi_{\sigma \cap \delta}) = \phi(\chi_\sigma \cdot \chi_\delta) = (\phi\chi_\sigma)(\phi\chi_\delta) = E(\sigma) \wedge E(\delta), \\ E(\sigma \cup \delta) &= \phi(\chi_{\sigma \cup \delta}) = \phi(\chi_\sigma + \chi_\delta - \chi_{\sigma \cap \delta}) \\ &= \phi(\chi_\sigma) + \phi(\chi_\delta) - \phi(\chi_{\sigma \cap \delta}) \\ &= E(\sigma) + E(\delta) - E(\sigma) \wedge E(\delta) \\ &= E(\sigma) \vee E(\delta). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $E: \Sigma \rightarrow B(H)$  is a homomorphism on the Boolean algebra to a Boolean algebra of projection operators in  $B(H)$ .

Furthermore if  $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset \Sigma$  with  $\sigma_i \cap \sigma_j = \emptyset$  ( $i \neq j$ ), then

$$\begin{aligned} E\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty \sigma_i\right) x &= \phi\left(\chi_{\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty \sigma_i}\right) x = \phi\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \chi_{\bigcup_{i=1}^n \sigma_i}\right) x \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \phi(\chi_{\sigma_i}) x = \sum_{i=1}^\infty E(\sigma_i) x \end{aligned}$$

for any  $x \in H$ .

The series converges ([4], p. 295, Theorem 12.6).

If  $\sigma \subseteq \delta$  for  $\sigma, \delta \in \Sigma$ , then  $\delta = \sigma \cup (\delta \setminus \sigma)$

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_\delta &= \chi_\sigma + \chi_{\delta \setminus \sigma} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(\chi_\delta) = \phi(\chi_\sigma) + \phi(\chi_{\delta \setminus \sigma}). \\ \text{i. e. } E(\delta) &= E(\sigma) + E(\delta \setminus \sigma). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$E(\sigma)H \subseteq E(\delta)H.$$

It follows that

$$\|E(\sigma)\| \leq \|E(\delta)\|.$$

Since  $\delta \subseteq S$  for any  $\delta \in \Sigma$ ,

$$\|E(\delta)\| \leq \|E(S)\| = \|I\| = 1.$$

On the other hand  $\|E(\delta)\| = \|E(\delta)^2\| \leq \|E(\delta)\| \|E(\delta)\|$

$$\therefore 1 \leq \|E(\delta)\| \text{ for any non-empty } \delta \in \Sigma.$$

Thus we have

$$(2.1) \quad \|E(\delta)\| = 1 \text{ for } \phi \neq \delta \in \Sigma.$$

LEMMA 2.2. For a  $f \in B(S, \Sigma)$ ,  $\psi f$  is a spectral operator with the spectral resolution  $E = \psi \circ \chi$ .

*Proof.* By the Lemma 2.1, it is enough to show that

$$E(\delta) \cdot (\psi f) = (\psi f) \cdot E(\delta) \text{ and } \sigma(\psi f | E(\delta)H) \subseteq \bar{\delta}, \delta \in \Sigma.$$

The first equality is obvious since

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi f)E(\delta) &= (\psi f)(\psi\chi_\delta) = \psi(f\chi_\delta) = \psi(\chi_\delta f) \\ &= (\psi\chi_\delta) \cdot (\psi f) = E(\delta)(\psi f). \end{aligned}$$

For  $\lambda \notin \bar{\delta}$ ,  $\chi_\delta(\lambda) = 0$ , whence

$$\psi(\chi_\delta(\lambda))H = \{0\} \text{ (a zero vector).}$$

Since  $\sigma(\psi f | \{0\}) = \emptyset$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \notin \sigma(\psi f | (\psi\chi_\delta)H) \text{ if } \lambda \notin \bar{\delta}. \\ \therefore \sigma(\psi f | E(\delta)H) \subseteq \bar{\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

For the resolution  $E$ , we have the following

LEMMA 2.3. For a  $f \in B(S, \Sigma)$ ,  $\psi f$  can be represented in the form  $\psi f = \int_S f(s)E(ds)$ .

*Proof.* For a  $\Sigma$ -simple function  $f$  on  $S$  is of a form

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \chi_{\delta_i}.$$

Hence we have

$$(2.2) \quad \psi f = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i E(\delta_i).$$

In this case  $\psi f$  is determined uniquely since if

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \chi_{\sigma_i} = \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j \chi_{\delta_j}, \text{ then } \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i E(\sigma_i) = \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j E(\delta_j).$$

For an arbitrary  $f \in B(S, \Sigma)$  there exist a sequence of  $\Sigma$ -simple functions  $\{f_n\}$  such that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly on  $S$ . It follows from (2.2) that

$$(2.3) \quad \psi f_n \rightarrow \psi f = \int_S f(s)E(ds).$$

If  $f = \chi_\delta$ , then

$$\psi \chi_\delta = \int_S \chi_\delta E(ds) = \int_S E(ds) = E(\delta),$$

and for any  $\Sigma$ -simple function  $f = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \chi_{\delta_i}$

$$\int_S f(s) E(ds) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i E(\delta_i) = \psi f.$$

Thus the formula (2.3) valid for any  $f \in B(S, \Sigma)$ .

Without proof we may give the following

**PROPOSITION 2.4.** *Suppose that  $E(\delta)^* = E(\delta)$  for any  $\delta \in \Sigma$ , then  $A = \{\psi f \in B(H) : f \in B(S, \Sigma)\}$  is a commutative  $B^*$ -algebra, and the continuous homomorphism  $\psi : B(S, \Sigma) \rightarrow A$  defines a  $*$ -homomorphism of  $B^*$ -algebra  $B(S, \Sigma)$  onto  $B^*$ -algebra  $A$ .*

Thus  $A$  forms a  $B^*$ -algebra and the equality  $(\psi f)^* = \int_S \bar{f}(s) E(ds)$  implies that the involution on  $A$  is mapped by  $\psi$  to the natural involution on  $B(S, \Sigma)$ .

**THEOREM 2.5.** *Let  $\Delta$  be the maximal ideal space of the commutative  $B^*$ -algebra  $A = \{\psi f : f \in B(S, \Sigma)\}$  with  $(\psi(\chi_\delta))^* = \psi(\chi_\delta)$  for each  $\delta \in \Sigma$ .*

*Then any  $F \in C(\Delta)$  (the algebra of complex continuous functions on  $\Delta$ ) can be expressed in the form*

$$(2.4) \quad F = \int_S f(s) \hat{E}(ds) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{F} = \int_S \bar{f}(s) \hat{E}(ds)$$

for some  $f \in B(S, \Sigma)$ , where  $\Lambda : A \rightarrow \hat{A}$  is the Gelfand transform: Moreover an evaluation of  $F$  to a  $h \in \Delta$  can be written in the form

$$F(h) = \int_S f(s) \mu_h(ds), \quad \bar{F}(h) = \int_S \bar{f}(s) \mu_h(ds)$$

with

$$|F(h)| \leq \|f\| \quad \text{for each } h \in \Delta,$$

where  $\mu_h$  is a complex measure on  $\Sigma$  determined uniquely by  $h \in \Delta$  such that  $\mu_h(S) = 1$  and  $f$  is unique in the sense that a. e.  $[\mu_h]$ .

*Proof.* For a  $\Sigma$ -simple function  $f = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \chi_{\delta_i}$ , since the Gelfand transform  $\Lambda : \psi f \rightarrow (\psi f)^\wedge$  is a homomorphism, we have

$$(\psi f)^\wedge = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \hat{E}(\delta_i) \quad \text{or} \quad h(\psi f) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i h(E(\delta_i)).$$

Thus we have

$$(2.5) \quad \left( \int_S f(s) E(ds) \right)^\wedge = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \hat{E}(\delta_i) = \int_S f(s) \hat{E}(ds),$$

where  $\hat{E}(\partial_i) \equiv (E(\partial_i))^\wedge$ . From the facts that every complex homomorphism on a Banach algebra is continuous and the set of all  $\Sigma$ -simple functions is dense in  $B(S, \Sigma)$ , it follows that

$$(2.6) \quad \left( \int_s f(s) E(ds) \right)^\wedge = \int_s f(s) \hat{E}(ds)$$

holds for any  $f \in B(S, \Sigma)$ .

Since  $A$  is a commutative  $B^*$ -algebra (proposition 2.4), the Gelfand transform  $A: A \rightarrow \hat{A} \subset C(\mathcal{A})$  is isometrically isomorphic of  $A$  onto  $C(\mathcal{A})$  with the property that  $[(\psi f)^*]^\wedge = \overline{(\psi f)^\wedge}$  (Gelfand-Naimark theorem).

Hence any  $F \in C(\mathcal{A})$  can be represented in the form

$$(2.7) \quad F = (\psi f)^\wedge \text{ with } \|F\| = \|(\psi f)^\wedge\|_\infty = \|\psi f\|.$$

It follows from (2.6) and (2.7) that

$$(2.8) \quad \bar{F} = (\psi f)^\wedge = \int_s f(s) \hat{E}(ds).$$

Moreover that

$$\bar{F} = \overline{(\psi f)^\wedge} = [(\psi f)^*]^\wedge = \int_s \bar{f}(s) \hat{E}(ds).$$

From (2.5) and (2.6), we see that

$$F(h) = (\psi f)^\wedge(h) = \int_s f(s) \hat{E}(ds)(h) \text{ for each } h \in \mathcal{A}.$$

If we put  $\hat{E}(\partial)(h) = \mu_h(\partial)$ , then  $\mu_h: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is a complex measure defined on  $\Sigma$  and is uniquely determined by  $h$ . The last statement follows from the fact that the Gelfand transform is isomorphism. Thus if  $h_1 \neq h_2$ , then

$$\hat{E}(\partial)(h_1) = \mu_{h_1}(\partial) \neq \mu_{h_2}(\partial) = \hat{E}(\partial)(h_2) \text{ for any } \phi \neq \partial \in \Sigma.$$

Therefore we have

$$(2.9) \quad F(h) = \int_s f(s) \mu_h(ds), \quad \bar{F}(h) = \int_s \bar{f}(s) \mu_h(ds).$$

Furthermore

$$|F(h)| \leq \sup_{s \in S} |f(s)| |\mu_h(S)|,$$

and since

$$\mu_h(S) = h[E(S)] = h[\psi(1)] = h(I) = 1 \quad ([4], \text{ p. 231},$$

proposition 10.6)

$$\therefore |F(h)| \leq \sup_{s \in S} |f(s)| = \|f\| \text{ for each } h \in \Delta.$$

The formula (2.9) says that, for a given  $F \in C(\Delta)$  there corresponds a unique (in the sense that almost every where modulo  $\mu_h$  for each  $h \in \Delta$ )  $f \in B(S, \Sigma)$ .

This completes the proof.

Now we shall discuss characteristics of the complex measures  $\{\mu_h : h \in \Delta\}$  defined in the Theorem 2.5.

PROPOSITION 2.6. *The one parameter family of complex measures  $\{\mu_h : h \in \Delta\}$  defined by  $\mu_h(\delta) = \hat{E}(\delta)(h)$  for  $\delta \in \Sigma$  and  $h \in \Delta$  has following properties:*

- (1) 
$$\mu_{\alpha h}(\delta) = \alpha \mu_h(\delta), \quad \alpha \in \mathbf{C}$$
- (2) 
$$\mu_{h_1+h_2}(\delta) = \mu_{h_1}(\delta) + \mu_{h_2}(\delta)$$
- (3) 
$$\mu_h(\phi) = 0, \quad \mu_h(S) = 1 \text{ for any } h \in \Delta \text{ and}$$

$$0 \leq |\mu_h(\delta)| \leq 1 \text{ for each } \delta \in \Sigma \text{ and } h \in \Delta.$$

*Proof.* (1), (2) and the first part of (3) are obvious.

In order to prove the inequality  $0 \leq |\mu_h(\delta)| \leq 1$ , we observe the following:

If  $A$  is a Banach algebra, it is known that for any  $x \in A$  with  $\|x\| < 1$ ,  $|\phi(x)| < 1$  holds for every complex homomorphism  $\phi$  on  $A$ .

Suppose that  $\|x\| = 1$  and  $|\lambda| > 1$  then  $I - \lambda^{-1}x$  is invertible since  $\|\lambda^{-1}x\| < 1$ , whence  $\phi(I - \lambda^{-1}x) \neq 0$  ([4], p. 231, Theorem 10.7),  $I$  is the identity of  $A$ . Thus

$$\Phi(I) \neq \lambda^{-1}\Phi(x), \text{ that is, } \Phi(x) \neq \lambda.$$

$$\therefore |\Phi(x)| \leq 1.$$

It follows from (2.1) that  $|h[E(\delta)]| = |\mu_h(\delta)| \leq 1$  for each  $h \in \Delta$ . We shall use this complex measure again in the last section.

THEOREM 2.7. *Let  $S$  be a compact subset of  $\mathbf{C}$  and  $\psi: B(S, \Sigma) \rightarrow B(H)$  be a continuous homomorphism.*

*Then  $\sigma(\psi e) = S$ , where  $e: S \rightarrow S$  is the diagonal function defined by  $e(s) = s$  for each  $s \in S$ .*

*Proof.* For any  $\lambda \in S$ ,  $\lambda I - \psi e = \psi(\lambda I - e)$  ( $1$  is the function such that  $1(s) = 1$  for each  $s \in S$ ).

Since  $(\lambda I - e)(\lambda) = 0$ , it follows that  $(\lambda I - e)^{-1} \notin B(S, \Sigma)$ , and  $(\lambda I - e)^{-1}$

exist in  $B(S, \Sigma)$  if and only if  $(\lambda I - \psi e)^{-1}$  exist in  $B(H)$  since

$$(\lambda I - \psi e)^{-1} = \psi [(\lambda I - e)^{-1}]$$

$$\therefore \lambda \in \sigma(\psi e), \text{ i. e. } S \subseteq \sigma(\psi e).$$

Conversely suppose that  $\lambda \notin S$ , then the function  $\lambda I - e$  is one-to-one and continuous on  $S$  with  $(\lambda I - e)(z) \neq 0$  for all  $z \in S$ . It follows that  $(\lambda I - e)^{-1} \in B(S, \Sigma)$  and that  $\psi [(\lambda I - e)^{-1}] = (\lambda I - \psi e)^{-1} \in B(H)$ .

Hence

$$\lambda \notin \sigma(\psi e), \text{ so we have } \sigma(\psi e) \subseteq S.$$

Therefore

$$\sigma(\psi e) = S.$$

From this fact,  $\psi f$  can be represented in the form

$$(2.10) \quad \psi f = \int_{\sigma(\psi e)} f(s) E(ds) \text{ for each } f \in B(S, \Sigma)$$

and

$$\psi e = \int_{\sigma(\psi e)} s E(ds).$$

PROPOSITION 2.8. *Let  $A(S)$  be the algebra of all holomorphic functions in some neighborhood of  $S$ . Then  $(\psi f)^{-1}$  exist in  $A(S)$  if and only if  $f(s) \neq 0$  for each  $s \in S$ . In this case we have*

$$(2.11) \quad (\psi f)^{-1} = \int_{\sigma(\psi e)} \frac{1}{f(s)} E(ds)$$

$$(\psi e)^{-1} = \int_{\sigma(\psi e)} s^{-1} E(ds) \text{ if } 0 \notin S.$$

*Proof.* By the norm  $\|f\| = \sup_{s \in S} |f(s)|$ , the Weierstrass theorem on uniformly convergent sequences of holomorphic functions ([1], p.330 Theorem 15.8) shows that  $A(S)$  is closed in  $B(S, \Sigma)$ , whence it is a Banach subalgebra of  $B(S, \Sigma)$ .

If  $f(s) \neq 0$  for every  $s \in S$ , then obviously  $\frac{1}{f} \in A(S)$ . Thus

$$g = \frac{1}{f} \in A(S), \quad f \cdot g = 1.$$

It follows that

$$(\psi f)(\psi g) = I = (\psi g)(\psi f)$$

i. e. 
$$(\psi g) = (\psi f)^{-1} \in B(H).$$

Conversely, suppose  $f(\alpha) = 0$  for some  $\alpha \in S$ . Then there exist an  $h \in A(S)$  such that  $f(s) = (s - \alpha)h(s)$  for each  $s \in S$ . Thus  $f = (e - \alpha I)h$ ,  $\psi f = (\psi e - \alpha I)\psi h$ . Since  $S = \sigma(\psi e)$ ,  $(\psi e - \alpha I)^{-1}$  does not exist. Therefore  $(\psi f)^{-1}$  does not exist in  $B(H)$ . Since  $A(S) \subset B(S, \Sigma)$ , it follows from (2.10) that

$$(\psi f)^{-1} = \psi \left( \frac{1}{f} \right) = \int_{\sigma(\psi e)} \frac{1}{f(s)} E(ds).$$

Moreover since  $e \in A(S)$ , if  $0 \notin S = \sigma(\psi e)$ , then  $(\psi e)^{-1}$  exist in  $B(H)$  with

$$(\psi e)^{-1} = \int_{\sigma(\psi e)} s^{-1} E(ds).$$

**THEOREM 2.9.** *Let  $f$  be a continuous function on  $S$ . Then we have*

$$(2.12) \quad \sigma(\psi f) = f(\sigma(\psi e)).$$

*Proof.* For an arbitrary but fixed  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\lambda \in \sigma(\psi f)$  if and only if  $\lambda I - \psi f$  is not invertible in  $B(H)$ , thus  $(\lambda I - \psi f)^{-1} = (\psi(\lambda - f))^{-1} = \psi((\lambda - f)^{-1})$  does not exist. Since  $\lambda - f$  is a continuous function on  $S$ ,  $(\lambda - f)^{-1} \in B(S, \Sigma)$  if and only if  $\lambda - f \neq 0$  on  $S$ . Therefore  $(\lambda - f)^{-1} \notin B(S, \Sigma)$  if and only if there exist a  $\zeta \in S$  such that  $f(\zeta) = \lambda$ . Hence  $\lambda \in \sigma(\psi f)$  if and only if there exist a  $\zeta \in S$  such that  $\lambda = f(\zeta)$ . It follows that

$$\sigma(\psi f) = \{f(\zeta) : \zeta \in S\}.$$

Since  $\sigma(\psi e) = S$ ,  $\sigma(\psi f) = f(\sigma(\psi e))$ .

The formula (2.12) is a kind of spectral mapping theorem, but the underlying assumptions are different from the spectral mapping theorem for an

$$\text{operator } f(T) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{C}} f(\zeta) (\zeta I - T)^{-1} d\zeta.$$

**COROLLARY 2.10.** *If  $f$  is a continuous function on  $S$ , then*

$$(2.13) \quad \|\psi f\| = \|f\| = \gamma_{\sigma}(\psi f), \quad \|\psi e\| = \gamma_{\sigma}(\psi e),$$

where  $\gamma_{\sigma}(\cdot)$  is the spectral radius of an operator.

*Proof.* Since  $(\psi f)^{\wedge}(\mathcal{A}) = \sigma(\psi f)$  for each  $f \in B(S, \Sigma)$ ,

$$\|(\psi f)^{\wedge}\|_{\infty} = \sup_{\lambda \in \sigma(\psi f)} |\lambda|$$



and

$$\|(\psi f)^\wedge\|_\infty = \|\psi f\| \text{ for any } \psi f \in A.$$

Therefore if  $f$  is continuous on  $S$ , then

$$\|\psi f\| = \sup_{\lambda \in \sigma(\psi f)} |\lambda| = \sup_{\zeta \in \sigma(\psi e)} |f(\zeta)| = \|f\|.$$

Since  $e$  is a continuous function on  $S$ , replacing  $f$  by  $e$  we have

$$\|\psi e\| = \|e\| = \sup_{\lambda \in \sigma(\psi e)} |e(\lambda)| = \sup_{\lambda \in \sigma(\psi e)} |\lambda| = \gamma_\sigma(\psi e).$$

### 3. Identifications.

Let  $C(S)$  be a set of all complex continuous functions on the compact set  $S$  in  $\mathbf{C}$ , and  $\psi: C(S) \rightarrow B(H)$  be the continuous homomorphism with  $E(\delta)^* = E(\delta)$  for each  $\delta \in \mathcal{Z}$ , then it is easy to show that

$$A_C = \{\psi f \in A : f \in C(S)\}$$

(where  $A = \{\psi f \in B(H) : f \in B(S, \mathcal{Z})\}$ ) forms a  $B^*$ -subalgebra of  $A$ .

**THEOREM 3.1** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the maximal ideal space of  $A_C$ . Then the formula  $Tf = (\psi f)^\wedge$ ,  $f \in C(S)$ , defines an isometric isomorphism  $T = \Lambda \circ \psi$  of  $C(S)$  onto  $C(\mathcal{A})$  with  $T\bar{f} = \overline{(\psi f)^\wedge}$  and  $\|T\| = \|\psi\| = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $A_C$  is a commutative  $B^*$ -algebra,  $A_C$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $\hat{A}_C$ . Thus

$$\|F\| = \|(\psi f)^\wedge\|_\infty = \|\psi f\|, \quad F \in C(\mathcal{A}).$$

From the fact that  $\|\psi f\| = \|f\|$  for each  $f \in C(S)$  ((2.13)), it follows that

$$(3.1) \quad \|Tf\| = \|f\| = \|F\|.$$

Since

$$\|f\| = \sup_{s \in S} |f(s)|, \quad \|f\| = 0 \text{ implies that } f = 0.$$

Hence  $Tf = 0$  if and only if  $f = 0$ , thus  $T$  is one-to-one. It follows from (3.1) that  $T$  is an isometric isomorphism of  $C(S)$  onto  $C(\mathcal{A})$  with  $\|T\| = \|\psi\| = 1$ .

Moreover  $T\bar{f} = (\psi \bar{f})^\wedge = ((\psi f)^*)^\wedge = \overline{(\psi f)^\wedge}$  holds by the Gelfand-Naimark theorem.

**PROPOSITION 3.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the maximal ideal space of  $A_C$ , then  $S$  can be identified with  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

*Proof.* By the theorem 3.1,  $C(S) \cong C(\Delta)$ . If we put  $f=e$ , then  $Te = (\psi e)^\wedge$  and  $(\psi e)(\Delta) = \sigma(\psi e)$ . We consider a mapping  $(\psi e)^\wedge: \Delta \rightarrow \sigma(\psi e)$ . From the fact that  $\sigma(\psi f) = f(\sigma(\psi e))$  (Theorem 2.9) we have

$$(3.2) \quad \sigma(\psi f) = f(\sigma(\psi e)) = f((\psi e)^\wedge(\Delta)) = (f \circ (\psi e)^\wedge)(\Delta).$$

Suppose that

$$(\psi e)^\wedge(h_1) = (\psi e)^\wedge(h_2),$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi f)^\wedge(h_1) &= (f \circ (\psi e)^\wedge)(h_1) = f((\psi e)^\wedge(h_1)) \\ &= f((\psi e)^\wedge(h_2)) = (\psi f)^\wedge(h_2) \end{aligned}$$

for each  $f \in C(S)$ ;

Therefore  $h_1(\psi f) = h_2(\psi f)$  holds for each  $\psi f \in A_C$ .

$$\text{i. e. } h_1 = h_2.$$

It follows that the mapping  $(\psi e)^\wedge: \Delta \rightarrow \sigma(\psi e)$  is one-to-one, onto and continuous. Since  $\Delta$  is compact (with respect to the Gelfand topology), it follows that  $(\psi e)^\wedge$  is homeomorphism of  $\Delta$  onto  $\sigma(\psi e)$ .

The mapping  $(\psi e)^\wedge$  also preserves complex conjugation; for,

since

$$(\psi e)^\wedge(h) = \lambda_h \in \sigma(\psi e), \quad h \in \Delta,$$

we have

$$(\psi e)^\wedge(\bar{h}) = \bar{h}(\psi e) = \overline{h(\psi e)} = \overline{(\psi e)^\wedge(h)} = \bar{\lambda}_h.$$

Thus

$$\Delta \cong \sigma(\psi e) = S$$

**COROLLARY 3.3.** *If  $f \in C(S)$ , then the integral formula  $\psi f = \int_{\sigma(\psi e)} f(s) E(ds)$  can be identified with*

$$\int_{\Delta} (\psi f)^\wedge(h) E(dh),$$

where  $\Delta$  is the maximal ideal space of  $A_C$ .

*Proof.* From the Theorem 3.1 and the the proposition 3.2,

$$C(S) = C(\sigma(\psi e)) \cong C(\Delta) = \hat{A}_C, \quad \sigma(\psi e) \cong \Delta.$$

Therefore

$$\int_{\sigma(\psi e)} f(s) E(ds) \equiv \int_{\mathcal{A}} (\psi f)^\wedge(h) E(dh) \text{ holds.}$$

Since

$$\sigma(\psi f) = (\psi f)^\wedge(\mathcal{A}) = (f \circ (\psi e)^\wedge)(\mathcal{A}) \tag{3.2}$$

it follows that

$$(3.3) \quad \psi f = \int_{\mathcal{A}} (\psi f)^\wedge(h) E(dh) = \int_{\mathcal{A}} (f \circ (\psi e)^\wedge)(h) E(dh).$$

If we write  $E(dh) = dE(h)$  then

$$\psi f = \int_{\mathcal{A}} (\psi f)^\wedge dE \equiv \int_{\sigma(\psi e)} f dE.$$

**THEOREM 3.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the maximal ideal space of  $A_C$  and  $C^*(\mathcal{A})$  be the dual space of  $C(\mathcal{A}) \equiv C(\sigma(\psi e))$ . Then we have the followings:*

- (1) *The set of all complex measures  $\{\mu_h : h \in \mathcal{A}\}$ , determined in the section 2, can be regarded as a closed subspace of  $C^*(\mathcal{A})$ ;*
- (2)  *$\mathcal{A}$  can be embedded in  $C^*(\mathcal{A})$ .*

*Proof.* We write  $F(h) = (\psi f)^\wedge(h) = \int_S f d\mu_h$  in (2.9) for the convenience in future discussions. Fix  $h \in \mathcal{A}$ , a linear functional  $L_h$  is defined by

$$(3.4) \quad L_h(f) = (\psi f)^\wedge(h) = \int_{\sigma(\psi e)} f d\mu_h, \quad f \in C(\sigma(\psi e)).$$

The mapping  $h \rightarrow L_h$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  onto  $\{L_h : h \in \mathcal{A}\} \subset C^*(\mathcal{A})$  is one-to-one; For, if  $L_{h_1} = L_{h_2}$ , then  $(\psi f)^\wedge(h_1) = (\psi f)^\wedge(h_2)$  holds for every  $f \in C(\sigma(\psi e))$ . Thus  $h_1 = h_2$ . Therefore two sets  $\{L_h : h \in \mathcal{A}\}$  and  $\{\mu_h : h \in \mathcal{A}\}$  are identified. Therefore we may write

$$(3.5) \quad \mu_h(f) = \int_{\sigma(\psi e)} f d\mu_h, \quad \{\mu_h : h \in \mathcal{A}\} \subset C^*(\mathcal{A}).$$

We shall show that if  $h_n \rightarrow h_0$  in the Gelfand topology of  $\mathcal{A}$ , then  $\mu_{h_n} \rightarrow \mu_{h_0}$  in the weak\* topology of  $C^*(\mathcal{A})$ .

Now, we recall that the Gelfand topology of  $\mathcal{A}$ , it is a weak topology induced by  $\hat{A}_C$ . An  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood  $U(h_0)$  of  $h_0 \in \mathcal{A}$  is following:

$$\begin{aligned} U(h_0) &= U(h_0 : (\psi f_1)^\wedge, (\psi f_2)^\wedge, \dots, (\psi f_n)^\wedge, \varepsilon) \\ &= \{h \in \mathcal{A} : |(\psi f_i)^\wedge(h) - (\psi f_i)^\wedge(h_0)| < \varepsilon, \quad i=1, 2, \dots, n\} \end{aligned}$$

for a finite positive integer  $n$ .

From (3.4) and (3.5) we have

$$(\psi f)^\wedge(h) = \mu_h(f) \text{ or } \langle h, (\psi f)^\wedge \rangle = \langle f, \mu_h \rangle.$$

Since

$$\hat{A}_C = C(\mathcal{A}) = C(\sigma(\psi e)),$$

we may write

$$(3.6) \quad \langle h, f \rangle = \langle f, \mu_h \rangle.$$

Therefore a neighborhood of  $\mu_{h_0}$  can be written in the form

$$V(\mu_{h_0}) = \{\mu_h : |\mu_h(f_i) - \mu_{h_0}(f_i)| < \varepsilon, i=1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

Hence  $h \in U(h_0)$  if and only if  $\mu_h \in V(\mu_{h_0})$ . This implies that  $h_n \rightarrow h_0$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  if and only if  $\mu_{h_n} \rightarrow \mu_{h_0}$  in the weak\* topology of  $C^*(\mathcal{A})$ . Since  $h_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mu_{h_0} \in C^*(\mathcal{A})$ . It follows that  $\{\mu_h : h \in \mathcal{A}\}$  can be regarded as a closed subspace of  $C^*(\mathcal{A})$ .

From the proposition 2.6 together with the above facts, the mapping  $\phi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \{\mu_h : h \in \mathcal{A}\}$ , defined by  $\phi(h) = \mu_h$ , is complex linear, one-to-one and bi-continuous. Thus  $\phi$  is a homeomorphism, so we can identify  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $\{\mu_h : h \in \mathcal{A}\} \subset C^*(\mathcal{A})$ . This completes the proof.

### References

- [ 1 ] Markushevich, *Theory of functions of a complex variable* Vol. 1, Prentice-Hall, Inc. 1965.
- [ 2 ] Michael Cambern, *The isometries of  $L^p(X, K)$* , Pacific Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 55, No.1, 1974.
- [ 3 ] N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear operators part I, II, III*, Wiley-Interscience, New York 1958, 1964, 1971.
- [ 4 ] W. Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, McGraw-Hill book company, New York, 1973.
- [ 5 ] Laurent Schwartz, *Theorie des Distributions*, Hermann and Cie, Paris, 1950.

Sogang University