# A Characterization of Valuation Domain By J. W. Nam, C. K. Bae, B. H. Park, K. J. Min Gyeongsang National University, Jinju, Korea

### 1. Introduction

In this paper, we have a characterization of valuation domain with the pseudo valuation domain closely related to valuation ring and this is motivated in [4]. There are many characterization of valuation domain in [5] and there, the end of the book, is an example (almost Dedekind domain) of Prufer ring that are not Dedekind domain.

# 2. Definitions and Properties

**Definition 2.1** Let R be a domain with quotient field K. A prime ideal P of R is called strongly prime if  $x, y \in K$  and  $xy \in P$  imply that  $x \in P$  or  $y \in P$ .

Definition 2.2 A domain R is called a *pseudo valuation domain* if every prime ideal of R is strongly prime.

Definition 2.3 An integral domain R is a GCD domain if any two elements in R have a greatest common divisor.

Proposition 2.4 Every valuation domain is a pseudo valuation.

**Proof.** Let V be a valuation domain, and let P be a prime ideal in V. Suppose  $xy \in P$  where  $x, y \in K$ , the quotient field of V. If both x and y are in V, we are done. Suppose that  $x \notin V$ . Since V is a valuation domain, we have  $x^{-1} \in V$ . Hence  $y = xy \cdot x^{-1} \in P$ , as desired.

Proposition 2.5 In a pseudo valuation domain R, the prime ideals are linearly ordered. In particular R is quasi local.

**Proof.** Let P and Q be prime ideals, and suppose  $a \in P - Q$ . Then for each  $b \in Q$  we have  $a/b \in R$ . Hence  $(b/a)P \subset P$  by the proposition. Thus  $b = (b/a)a \in P$  and we have  $Q \subset P$ .

Proposition 2.6 A GCD domain is integrally closed.

Proof. [1. Thm 50]

Corollary 2.7 Every valuation domain is GCD domain.

Proof. Trivial.

## 3. Main Theorem

Theorem 3.1 The following statements are equivalent.

- (1) R is a pseudo valuation domain and GCD domain.
- (2) R is an integrally closed quasi local domain whose primes are linearly ordered by inclusion and the intersection of any two principal ideals is finitely generated.
  - (3) R is valuation domain.

Proof. (1)⇒(2) Trivial by Proposition 2.5 and 2.6.

- $(2) \Rightarrow (3) [2. Thm 1]$
- $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$  By Prop. 2.4 and Cor. 2.7.

Even though R is a valuation domain but has not a nonzero principal prime ideal always in pseudo valuation domain. Since discrete rank 1 valuation ring has no proper prime ideal but the converse (A pseudo valuation domain R has a nonzero principal prime ideal, then R is valuation domain) is true.

Thus this condition is stronger than the condition of valuation domain.

Corollary 3.2 If R is a pseudo valuation domain and GCD domain, then R is Prufer domain.

**Proof.** By hypothesis R is valuation domain thus Prufer domain.

Example 3.3 Let m be a square free positive integer  $m \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ . Let Z denote the ring of integers and set  $D = Z[\sqrt{m}]$ . Since  $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , D does not contain the algebraic integers of the form  $(a+b(\sqrt{m})/2)$ , where a and b are odd integer, thus D is not integrally closed. It is routine to check that  $(2, 1+\sqrt{m})=N$  is a maximal ideal of D. The desired example is  $R = D_N$ , which has  $K = Q[\sqrt{m}]$  as its quotient field. R is not a valuation ring since neither  $(1+\sqrt{m})/2$  nor its inverse lies in R.

In above example R is pseudo valuation domain.

### References

- [1] I. Kaplansky (1970), Commutative Rings, Allyn and Bacon, Boston.
- [2] S. McAdam (1972), Two conductor Theorems, J. Algebra, 23.
- [3] E. Bastida and R. Gilmer (1973), Overrings and divisorial ideals of the form D+M, Michigan Math. J. 20.
- [4] J. R. Hedstrom and E. G. Huston (1978), Pseudo valuation domains, *Pacific J. Math.* 75.
- [5] Larsen and McCarthy (1971), Multiplicative theory of ideals, Academic Press.