

A GENERALIZATION OF KARLSSONS' FORMULA

By B.L. Sharma

1. Introduction

In a recent paper [3], Karlsson has proved the formula

$$\begin{aligned}
 & {}_pF_q \left[\begin{matrix} b_1+m_1, \dots, b_n+m_n, a_{n+1}, \dots, a_p; Z \end{matrix} \right] \\
 &= \sum_{J_1=0}^{m_1} \dots \sum_{J_n=0}^{m_n} A(J_1, \dots, J_n) Z^{J_n} {}_{p-n}F_{q-n} \left[\begin{matrix} a_{n+1}+J_n, \dots, a_p+J_n; Z \end{matrix} \right], \quad (1)
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$J_n = J_1 + \dots + J_n, \quad (2)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 A(J_1, \dots, J_n) &= \binom{m_1}{J_1} \dots \binom{m_n}{J_n} \frac{(b_2+m_2)_{J_1} (b_3+m_3)_{J_2} \dots (b_n+m_n)_{J_{n-1}}}{(b_1)_{j_1} (b_2)_{j_2} \dots (b_n)_{j_n}} \\
 &\times \frac{(a_{n+1})_{J_n} \dots (a_p)_{J_n}}{(b_{n+1})_{J_n} \dots (b_q)_{J_n}} \quad (3)
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(c)_r = \frac{\Gamma(c+r)}{\Gamma(c)}. \quad (4)$$

According to Erdelyi [1] the fractional derivative is defined by

$$D_x^\lambda [x^{\mu-1}] = \frac{\Gamma(\mu)}{\Gamma(\mu-\lambda)} x^{\mu-\lambda-1}, \quad (5)$$

which holds for all values of λ except $\lambda=\mu$. By means of (5), we can easily establish the formula

$$\begin{aligned}
 & D_x^\mu [x^{\mu+p-1} {}_pF_q(\alpha_p; \beta_q; x)] \\
 &= \frac{\Gamma(\mu+\rho)}{\Gamma(\rho)} x^{\rho-1} {}_{p+1}F_{q+1}(\alpha_p, \mu+\rho; \beta_q, \rho; x). \quad (6)
 \end{aligned}$$

In the investigation we also require the following theorem due to author and Manocha [2].

THEOREM. *If U and V are analytic functions of x , then*

$$D_x^\lambda [UV] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{\lambda}{n} D_x^{\lambda-n} (U) D_x^n (V), \quad (7)$$

where λ is a complex number.

2. The formula to be proved is

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_{p+m}F_{q+m} \left[\begin{matrix} \mu_1 + \rho_1, \dots, \mu_m + \rho_m, \alpha_p; x \\ \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m, \beta_q \end{matrix} \right] \\ &= \sum_{r_1=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{r_m=0}^{\infty} A(r_1, \dots, r_m) x^{R_m} {}_pF_q \left[\begin{matrix} \alpha_p + R_m; x \\ \beta_q + R_m \end{matrix} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where

$$R_m = r_1 + \cdots + r_m, \quad (9)$$

$$A(r_1, \dots, r_m) = \frac{(\alpha_p)_{R_m} (\mu_2 + \rho_2)_{R_1} \cdots (\mu_m + \rho_m)_{R_{m-1}} (\rho_1)_{r_1} \cdots (\rho_m)_{r_m}}{(\beta_q)_{R_m} (\mu_1)_{R_1} (\mu_2)_{R_2} \cdots (\mu_m)_{R_m}} \quad (10)$$

By the principle of Analytic continuation, Eq. (8) is valid whenever the functions involved are all analytic.

PROOF. To prove (8), we take $V = x^{\mu+\rho-1}$ and $V = {}_pF_q(\alpha_p; \beta_q; x)$, substituting these values in (7) and using (5) and (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_{p+1}F_{q+1} [\alpha_p, \mu + \rho; \beta_q, \rho; x] \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{\mu}{n} \frac{(\alpha_p)_n x^n}{(\beta_q)_n (\rho)_n} {}_pF_q [\alpha_p + n; \beta_q + n; x] \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

we repeat this process to m times, we get (8). This completes the proof. In particular, if we take $\rho_1 = m_1, \dots, \rho_m = m_m$, where m_1, \dots, m_m are positive integers, in (8), it reduces to (1).

University of Ife
Ile-Ife
Nigeria

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Erdelyi, *On fractional integration and its application to the theory of Hanbel transforms*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford, 11 (1940), 293-303.
- [2] B.L. Sharma and H.L. Manoch, *Fractional derivative and summation*, Jour. Indian Math. Soc. (accepted for publication).
- [3] Per. W. Karlsson, *Hypergeometric functions with integral parameters differences*, J. Math. Phys. Vol. 12. 1971, 270-271.