#### A THEOREM ON C-LOOPS

## By Afzal Beg

# 1. Introduction

F. Fenyves [2], [3] defined extra loops and C-loops. He showed the equivalence of extra loops and Moufang loops.

The purpose of the present note is to obtain the equivalence between extra loops and C-loops.

### 2. Preliminary information

Let (G,.) be a loop whose identity element is denoted by 1. For each  $x \in G$  the mappings R(x) and L(x) are defined by R(x) = yx and R(x) = xy for all R(x) = xy is an inverse property loop, then corresponding to each R(x) = xy for all R(x) = xy for

$$\begin{split} N_{\lambda} &= \{ \text{all } x \in G | x \cdot yz = xy \cdot z, \text{ all } y, z \in G \}, \\ N_{\mu} &= \{ \text{all } y \in G | x \cdot yz = xy \cdot z, \text{ all } x, z \in G \} \\ N_{\rho} &= \{ \text{all } z \in G | x \cdot yz = xy \cdot z, \text{ all } x, y \in G \} \end{split}$$

The nucleus N of (G,.) is  $N=N_{\lambda}\cap N_{\mu}\cap N_{\rho}$ .

Recall that an ordered triple (U, V, W) of one-to-one mappings U, V and W of G onto G is called an autotopism of the loop (G, .) if and only if  $xU \cdot yV = (xy)$  W for all  $x, y \in G$ . It is well known that the set of all autotopisms of a loop forms a group under the usual "componentwise multiplication".

For a detailed account of the loop theory concepts mentioned in the preceding paragraphs see Bruck [1]. We summarize those basic results needed about Moufang loops and in particular, about extra loops and inverse property loops as follows:

THEOREM 1. Let (G,.) be a Moufang loop. Then

(i) (G,.) is an inverse property loop (see Bruck [1], Ch. W., Lemma 3.1),

(ii) the right, middle and left nuclei of (G, .) coincide with the nucleus of (G, .) (see Bruck [1], Ch, M, Theorem 2.1).

DEFINITION 1. A loop (G, ...) is an extra loop if and only if

$$(1) (xy \cdot z)x = x(y \cdot zx)$$

for all x, y,  $z \in G$ . (See Fenyves [2])

THEOREM 2. Let (G, ...) be an extra loop. Then(G, ...) is Moufang. (See Fenyves [2], Theorem 3).

THEOREM 3. A loop (G,.) is an extra loop if and only if

$$A_{1}(x) = (L(x), R(x)^{-1}, L(x)R(x)^{-1})$$

is an autotopism of (G,.) for all  $x \in G$  (See Fenyves [2], Theorem 2).

THEOREM 4. If (U, V, W) is an autotopism of an inverse property loop (G, .). Then (JUJ, W, V) and (W, JVJ, U) are also the autotopisms of (G, .), (see Bruck [1], Ch W Lemma 2.1), where  $J: x \longrightarrow x^{-1}$  is the inverse mapping of G.

DEFINITION 2. A loop (G, ...) is a C-loop if and only if

$$(2) (yx \cdot x)z = y(x \cdot xz)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in G$ . (See Fenyves [3]).

THEOREM 5. Let (G,.) be a C-loop. Then (G,.) has inverse property. (See Fenyves [3], Proof of Theorem 4).

### 3. Main Theorem

First we prove the following lemma:

LEMMA. A loop (G,.) is a C-loop if and only if  $(R(x)R(x), L(x)^{-1}L(x)^{-1}, I)$  is an autotopism of G, where I is the identity mapping of G.

PROOF. (G,.) is a C-loop if and only if (2) holds i.e.  $(yx \cdot x)z = y(x \cdot xz)$  for all x, y,  $z \in G$ . Replacing z by  $x^{-1}(x^{-1}z)$  and appealing to the fact that a C-loop is an inverse property loop (See Theorem 5), we have, equivalently, that (G,.) is C-loop if and only if

$$(yx \cdot x)(x^{-1}(x^{-1}z)) = yz$$

$$\iff yR(x)R(x) \cdot zL(x)^{-1}L(x)^{-1} = (yz)I$$
for all  $y, z \in G$ .
$$\iff (R(x)R(x), L(x)^{-1}L(x)^{-1}, I)$$

is an autotopism of G and the proof is complete.

We are now in a position to present the main

THEOREM. The following statements are equivalent for a loop (G.,):

- (a) (G,.) is an extra loop,
- (b) (G,.) is C-loop and  $(R(x)^{-2}L(x), L(x)^2R(x)^{-1}, L(x)R(x)^{-1})$  is an autotopism of (G,.) for all  $x \in G$ .

PROOF. (a)  $\Longrightarrow$  (b). Suppose (G,.) is an extra loop. Then from Theorem 3 we have the autotopism

$$A_1(x) = (L(x), R(x)^{-1}, L(x)R(x)^{-1}).$$

Also from Theorem 2, (G, .) is Moufang i.e. satisfies the following Moufang identity:

$$(3) (xy) (zx) = x[(yz) \cdot x]$$

Now (3) gives the autotopism  $A_2(x) = (L(x), R(x), R(x), R(x))$  of (G, .). Therefore, we have the autotopism

$$A_1(x)A_2(x) = (L(x), R(x)^{-1}, L(x)R(x)^{-1})(L(x), R(x), R(x), R(x)L(x))$$
$$= (L(x)L(x), I, L(x) L(x)).$$

Then by Theorem 4,  $A_3(x) = (JL(x)L(x)J, L(x)L(x), I) = (R(x)-1R(x)-1, L(x)L(x), I)$ 

I) is an autotopism of (G,.). This implies that  $(R(x)^{-1}R(x)^{-1}, L(x)L(x), I)^{-1} = (R(x) R(x), L(x)^{-1}L(x)^{-1}, I)$  is an autotopism of (G,.).

Hence, by lemma (G,.) is a C-loop. Also,  $A_3(x)A_1(x) = (R(x)^{-2}, L(x)^2, I)(L(x), R(x)^{-1}, L(x)R(x)^{-1}) = (R(x)^{-2}L(x), L(x)^2R(x)^{-1}, L(x)R(x)^{-1})$  is an autotopism of (G,.). Hence, (a)  $\Longrightarrow$  (b).

(b)  $\Longrightarrow$  (a). Assume (b). Then  $(R(x)^{-2}L(x), L(x)^2R(x)^{-1}, L(x)R(x)^{-1})$  and by lemma,  $(R(x)^2, L(x)^{-2}, I)$  are both the autotopisms of (G, .). Combining both the autotopisms we have that  $(L(x), R(x)^{-1}, L(x)R(x)^{-1})$  is an autotopism of (G, .). Therefore, by Theorem 3, (G, .) is extra loop and the proof is complete.

REMARK. As alternative law holds in a C-loop, we have

$$R(x)^2 = R(x^2), R(x)^{-2} = R(x^2)^{-1}, L(x)^2 = L(x^2).$$

Therefore, if the mapping  $x \longrightarrow x^2$  is a permutation of a loop (G,.), then the above theorem can be stated as follows:

The following statements are equivalent for a loop (G,.):

- (a') (G,.) is an extra loop,
- (b') (G,.) is C-loop and  $(R(x)^{-1}L(x), L(x)R(x)^{-1}, L(x)R(x)^{-1})$  is an autotopism of  $(G,.) \forall x \in G$ .

COROLLARY. If the mapping  $x \longrightarrow x^2$  is a permutation of an extra loop (G,.), then (G,.) is a group.

PROOF. If (G, .) is an extra loop, then by main Theorem, (G, .) is C-loop. Therefore, (2) holds and by alternative laws, we have

$$(yx^2)z=y(x^2z) \ \forall y, z \in G.$$

This implies that  $x^2$  is in the middle nucleus  $(N_{\mu})$  of (G,.). Using Therem 1 and Theorem 5, we have  $x^2$  in the nucleus of (G,.). As  $x \longrightarrow x^2$  is permutation, we can say that x is in the nucleus i.e. x associates with every element of  $(G,.) \ \forall x \in G$ . Hence, (G,.) is associative. This implies (G,.) is a group. This completes the Corollary.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The author is grateful to his supervisor Professor M. A. Kazim for the encouragement and help in the preparation of this note.

Aligarh Muslim University Aligarh-202001 India

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R.H. Bruck, A survey of Binary system, (Springer-Verlag Berlin, Heidelberg, New York (1971).
- [2] F. Fenyves, Extra loops I, Publ. Math. Debrecen 15 (1968), 235-238.
- [3] \_\_\_\_, Extra loops II, On loops with identities of Bol-Moufang type, Publ. Math. Debrecen 16(1969), 187-192.